dogmatic, but merely offer this as a possible assisting factor in some cases.

In towering from the second kind of injury, the bird can and sometimes does fly away from the place where it fell, and, after retrieving, concordant testimony shows lesion in the neighbourhood of the *eyes*, whence blindness has been assumed to be the cause. The fact that this very rarely occurs, perfectly agrees with the objection that the smallness of the head is adverse to the theory of cerebral injury being the invariable cause. It has further been noticed that these birds seldom move until they are touched. Whether, attention having been drawn to this subject, future observers will detect a difference in the towering of birds that may, and those that cannot, rise again, is hard to say, but I hope, in the interests of science, all pertinent observations will be communicated and admitted into the columns of NATURE.

Faringdon, Berks, December 11 J. HOPKINS WALTERS

The Tasmanians

I SEE it stated in NATURE, vol. xiv. p. 242 (which has just reached us), that M. Castelnau, French Consul at Sydney, states in a letter "to the Geographical Society of Paris, read at its last sitting, that the only four Tasmanians living were presented at the last levée held by the Governor of Tasmania."

I cannot imagine how M. Castelnau can have allowed himself to propagate such an error. It is quite true that four Tasmanian aborigines were presented at a governor's levée, but the presentation occurred just ten years ago, and all the four have long since been gathered to their fathers.

In reference to the last paragraph in your note, I regret to say that we really "have seen the last of them." The sole survivor of this singular race, a female, by name Trucanini, died a few months ago at the age of seventy or thereabouts. The "penultimate" aborigine was King Billy, who preceded Trucanini to the grave three years ago. W. W. SPICEY

Hobart Town, October 21

Algoid Swarm-spores

IN a note on algoid swarm spores, published in NATURE, vol. xv. p. 15, reference is made to the new investigations of M. Sachy, who considers the motion and accumulation of spore as due to currents produced by differences of temperature in the water, and not at all to the action of the light causing the living swarm-spores to move. I do not know the experiments by which this result has been reached; but the following seems to me a confirmation of the new theory.

At a distance of about 5 feet from the window of my room is placed a cylindrical glass vessel of 1 foot in diameter, and containing only some sphagna and microscopical crustacea. This aquarium has been kept unshaken for four years.

Now a great quantity of green alga is collected on the side opposite to the window, while the side turned towards the light is covered with a considerable number of little particles of an amorphous matter, arranged in pretty regular cloudy forms, containing nothing but *débris* of plants or animals, and a few desmidiæ.

These particles, which cannot be considered as *living matter*, arise from the light mud which covers the sand at the bottom of the aquarium. The right and left sides of the vessel remain quite clean.

I should much like to know if any of your readers have observed similar facts. E. RODIER

29, rue Saubat, Bordeaux, December 17

Meteor

I SAW the meteor spoken of by your correspondent (*ante*, p. 170) at Blackwater on Wednesday the 13th inst. at 4.45 P.M. as I was passing down St. James's Square. It was apparently of somewhat greater magnitude than the planet Jupiter, and passed from north to south, till it disappeared behind the houses.

Your correspondent will find two notices of the same meteor in the *Times* of the 15th inst. P. L. SCLATER

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN FLOWERS AND INSECTS[1]

THE habit possessed by our honey-bee of feeding itself from flowers, and its corresponding faculty of deciding amongst different species and divining the situation of the honey, is, in the first instance, derived from the common parents of all the Hymenoptera. It probably even comes from such remote ancestors as the leaf-cutting wasp, from them passes to the gall-flies, the ichneumons, and the hunting-wasps, from which latter it goes to the allied species of ants and bees. We may see all these families of Hymenoptera feeding on the honey and pollen of flowers, and manifesting a certain, if not always very obvious, intelligence in choosing the flower to be visited.

The various families of wasps differ amongst each other as to their ingenuity in finding the honey, but it is in the bees that we first arrive at the more complex use of the food, *i.e.*, not merely for the insect itself, but also for its young, combined with such intelligence in its discovery, as proves that the most highly developed form of insect is the one which profits by the honey lying most concealed. The following observations may throw some light on the foregoing statements:—

I come to the conclusion that the Hymenoptera enumerated have a certain degree of intelligence, at least with regard to honey that is in sight, from never having seen leaf-cutting wasps or ichneumons, and still less hunting-wasps or bees, seek honey so long in flowers where it does not exist as is the case with some species of beetles, which feed frequently or exclusively on the nourishment derived from flowers.

However, even very highly-organised insects are at times misled, and Dr. Müller cites one case in which *Melampyrum arvense* was surrounded by a crowd of ichneumons, bees, &c., seeking the honey in vain, the only one which succeeded in obtaining it being *Bombus hortorum*, which has the longest proboscis of all our humble-bees.

It cannot be said either of the leaf-cutting wasps or of the gall-flies that they attain a high degree of intelligence in finding concealed honey, and to these we may add the ichneumons which are frequently found on plants with the honey easily seen (Umbelliferæ, Listera, Ruta, &c.), much more rarely on those where it is partially concealed (Cruciferæ, Spiræa, Salix), and quite as an exception on those in which it is completely hidden (Gypsophila, Malva, Mentha.)

When once a family of Hymenoptera has attained to the point of intelligence of providing food for its young and placing it along with the eggs, we see it develop greater dexterity in its search for honey. In comparing, for instance, the statistics of the visits of the leaf-cutting wasp and the hunting-wasp, we find that even the most developed leaf-cutting wasp only attempts to rob those flowers whose simple form renders the honey easy of access. Even those of Bryonia and Reseda seem unattainable by them. On the contrary, we see the hunting-wasps attack not only these, but also flowers specially adapted to the movements of the fossorial Hymenoptera, for example, Echium, the Labiates, and the Papilionaceæ, and also the pendent bells of Symphoricarpus, which only allow ingress to the honey from below. It must be deduced from the above statements that flowers and the insects which visit them are adapted to each other, and have gone through corresponding degrees of development at each period of the world's history. For example, if my view of the origin of the Hymenoptera is correct, there has been a time when species with an ovipositor were the only Hymenoptera; and when only regular, open, turned-up flowers of as low a form as Salix existed, while Reseda, Echium, the Labiates, the Papilionaceæ, &c., &c., have been developed at a later period after the species of Hymenoptera had developed to the point of preparing a place for their young.

We may therefore see how through the transition of hunting-wasps to the habits of bees, and further within the bee-like family, dexterity in acquiring the food has increased. The species perhaps most nearly allied to the ancestors of the bee—Prosopis—is, as to its organisation,

[1] Abstract of an article in the *Bienen Zeitung* by Dr. H. Müller.