

With fuller information on the rare and unstable compounds, than is to be found in ordinary text books (such as "Miller's Elements"), no doubt numerous other instances might be noticed; these, however, will suffice to exemplify the general law.

With regard to black compounds, I have not included them in the above list, as they only complicate it unnecessarily; the ordinary term *black* being used to describe a very minute amount of any possible colour. It is only when the predominating colour is observed (as blue-olive-red-black, &c.), that the description is of any value. "Brown" is likewise ill-defined in its spectral position, as various tints called brown generally include a small quantity of any colour except blue. Black and brown compounds are therefore inconclusive without a spectral examination of the colour in each case.

The real anomalies to the above law are the following compounds. CrCl_2 white, Cr_2Cl_6 violet; MnCl_2 pale pink, MnCl_3 green brown; As_2S_2 red, As_2S_3 yellow; HgCl yellow white, HgCl_2 white; AuI yellow, AuI_3 green. In these five pairs the law is apparently reversed, but they cannot be said to nullify an induction from thirty-five pairs, as enumerated above. A conformity of six cases in seven to a rule is sufficient to establish it as a law, from which the modifying causes have not yet been eliminated.

One or two other cases might at first seem to be also exceptions; but as they are really *salts*, in which the electro-positive of the base is the same element as that of the acid, they are not necessarily to be compared with binary compounds.

In the order of the colours, it will be observed that, in numerous cases, white occurs between blue and yellow compounds; and there is only one instance of a violet compound with more of the electro-negative element than its white connection. As white light comes (in the natural arrangement) between blue and yellow, this order is more in accordance with the spectrum than is the order of metachromism announced by Mr. Ackroyd, in which white occurs before violet.

It may be worth notice that the electro-negative elements (whose increase reddens the compounds) are, on the whole, rather more red and yellow than the electro-positive, many of which are bluish, and even dark blue, as Na.

This order of colours in successive compounds of a series (which might be called *taxichromism*) was observed by the writer some few years ago, in connection with the spectral order in metachromism; the latter, however, was only traced through a dozen or so, of the oxides, without pursuing the subject farther.

Bromley, Kent

W. M. FLINDERS PETRIE

Seasonal Order of Colour in Flowers

I THINK it may be useful to mention, in reference to several letters on this subject in NATURE, that light appears to have no direct influence on the tints of flowers. I quote the following from Sachs's Textbook, Engl. transl., p. 675:—

"As long as sufficient quantities of assimilated material have been previously accumulated, or are produced by green leaves exposed to the light, flowers are developed even in continuous deep darkness which are of normal size, form, and colour, with perfect pollen and fertile ovules, ripening their fruits and producing seeds capable of germination."

W. T. THISELTON DYER

Rainbow Projected on Blue Sky

AN instance of this phenomenon, which is referred to as rare in NATURE (vol. vii. p. 68), occurred to-day, Feb. 22, 4.30 P.M. The sky was almost quite clear and a light shower of rain falling, caused one to look upwards for the clouds whence it proceeded, but the air was uniformly clear near the zenith, though bordering the horizon all round there were some detached cumuli, and a few thin filmy modifications higher in N.E. There were no visible signs of the origin of the falling drops. On turning round to east, a solar bow was seen, for the most part on a background of azure. It was a *complete* bow and moderately intense. Near the vertex it rested on thin clouds, as did the extremities on the horizon, but they had no sensible effect on the phenomenon, for it was observed that as they receded from the upper portion, the bow remained intact and equally bright in all its parts. The arms spanned areas of blue sky. It was very transient, like the shower, and fading rapidly, was gone within 10 sec. after it was first observed. At 4.45 P.M., the left side of an incomplete bow was seen in N.E. on clear sky, except at the lower extremity:

no rain accompanied it. It was as evanescent as the first. The weather was very unsettled and showery with low barometer. Thermometer 50°, with brisk wind from W.S.W.— $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches of rain had fallen during the previous 9 days.

WILLIAM F. DENNING

Ashleydown, Bristol, Feb. 22

OUR ASTRONOMICAL COLUMN

OLBERS' COMET OF 1815.—The comet discovered by Olbers, at Bremen, on March 6, 1815, and with which his name has been usually associated, belongs to the group, the members of which revolve in periods a few years less than the period of the planet Uranus. The deviation from parabolic motion was remarked independently a few months after the discovery by Bessel, Gauss, Olbers, Nicolai, and Triesnecker. Bessel calculated elliptic elements so early as the middle of May, and was followed by Gauss in June, and the former subsequently investigated, as completely as was practicable at the time, the elements resulting from the whole course of observation and the effect of perturbation in the actual revolution.

When first detected, the comet is said to have been barely visible in a good achromatic, and according to the *Zeitschrift für Astronomie*, was not discernible without telescopic aid at any time; it is, however, upon record that in Russia it was seen with the naked eye. The last observation was made by Gauss at Göttingen, on August 25. At discovery on March 6, at 10 P.M., it was in R.A. $49^{\circ}3'$ and N.P.D. $61^{\circ}3'$, distant from the sun 1.47 and from the earth 1.30 , and at Gauss's final observation it was in R.A. $217^{\circ}1'$, N.P.D. $84^{\circ}5'$, distant from the sun 2.10 , and from the earth 2.36 . Representing the intensity of light at discovery (calculated according to the usual expression $\frac{1}{r^2 \Delta^2}$) by *unity*, a maximum of 1.4 was attained on May 3, and it had diminished [on August 25 to 0.16 , or one-sixth of that at discovery.

Bessel's determination of the elements of this comet and of the perturbations to the next return will be found in *Abhandl. der Berl. Acad. Mathem. Cl.* 1812-15. His definitive figures are as follow:—

Perihelion Passage, 1815, April 25.99867 M.T. at Paris.	
Longitude of the perihelion	$149^{\circ} 1' 56''$
" " ascending node	$83^{\circ} 28' 34''$
Inclination to ecliptic	$44^{\circ} 29' 55''$
Excentricity	0.9312197
Semi-axis major	17.63383

These elements apply to the date of perihelion passage; the longitudes from mean equinox of 1815.

To the above value of the semi-axis corresponds a period of revolution of 74.049 years. The distance in perihelion is 1.213 (the earth's mean distance from the sun being taken as *unity*), and the aphelion distance 34.055 ; the minor semi-axis is found to be 6.427 . The ascending node is situate near the orbit of the planet Mars, and if the actual form of orbit is due to planetary attraction, it is probably to be ascribed to a near approach of the comet to Mars at some distant period. At the other node the radius-vector is 3.81 in the region of the minor planets. The comet, it will be seen, recedes beyond the orbit of Neptune, but near the aphelion it has a depression of nearly 40° below the plane of the ecliptic.

According to Bessel, the mean motion at the instant of perihelion passage in 1815 corresponded to a period of revolution of 27046.9 days, and he found that this would be diminished 824.5 days by the united action of the planets Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus, thus obtaining 26222.4 days for the actual revolution, and fixing the next arrival at perihelion to 1887, February 9.4. Beyond doubt, however, this date may now admit of a closer determination, and very probably we may soon hear of a further investigation being undertaken. The original observations made at the Observatory of Paris, and those at one or two other observatories in greater or less detail, are

in our possession, and will admit of more accurate reduction than has yet been effected; while a more complete computation of the perturbations with the improved values for the masses of the disturbing planets must tend to diminish the uncertainty that at present exists with regard to the possible error of Bessel's determination of the date of next passage through perihelion. If this should fall about 1887, February 9, as he computed, we might expect that the comet would be detected in September previous in the constellation Monoceros; its intensity of light would gradually increase until its nearest approach to the earth (0.5) at the end of the year, when it might be a conspicuous naked eye object in Ursa Major, within 20° N.P.D., and possibly it would be observable till the following May. Subjoined are figures which will enable any reader who is interested in the matter to trace the comet's course more precisely, upon the above supposition as to perihelion passage.

	R.A.	N.P.D.	Distance from Earth.
1886, Oct. 2 ...	97°0	83°6	1°90
„ Nov. 1 ...	106°8	78°2	1°25
„ Dec. 1 ...	117°7	61°0	0°72
„ „ 21 ...	131°1	33°3	0°52
„ „ 31 ...	151°9	17°3	0°51
1887, Jan. 10 ...	216°8	10°5	0°55
„ „ 30 ...	272°3	23°2	0°69
„ March 1 ...	278°8	34°2	0°88
„ April 10 ...	265°4	41°4	0°95
„ May 10 ...	245°5	53°0	1°01

MINOR PLANET, No. 160.—A telegram to the Astronomer Royal, through the Smithsonian Institution, notifies the discovery of another small planet on February 25, in R.A. 10h. 16m. N.P.D. 75° 28'; eleventh magnitude.

THE BINARY STAR ω LEONIS.—Dr. Doberck, of Col. Cooper's Observatory, Markree Castle, Sligo, publishes in *Ast. Nach.*, No. 2,078, provisional elements of this interesting star, viz., peri-astron passage, 1842.77; node, 151° 34'; node to peri-astron on the orbit, 122° 54'; inclination, 65° 22'; eccentricity, 0.5028; period of revolution, 107.62 years.

SCIENCE AND ART IN IRELAND

IN our number for February 17, we reprinted from the *Times* an article on the proposed action of the Government in connection with the Scientific Institutions in Dublin. That article contained the substance of Lord Sandon's letter which was forwarded both to his Grace the President of the Royal Dublin Society and to the President of the Royal Irish Academy. This letter was laid before the Irish Academy at their meeting of the 14th Feb., and was by them referred to the Council of the Academy. This latter body having in several meetings fully considered the whole subject, submitted to the Academy on Monday evening, the 28th Feb., the following Resolutions:—

“1. That the Royal Irish Academy is desirous of co-operating with Her Majesty's Government in the measures necessary for the establishment of a National Science and Art Museum in Dublin, provided that the independence and usefulness of the Academy be not injuriously affected by such measures.

“2. That, while we consent to the transfer of our Museum to the Government, we think that its arrangement, as well as the purchase of additions, should be done through the Academy.

“3. That, in thus assenting to the transfer of its Museum to the Government, the Academy also thinks that adequate provision should be made for the continued acquisition of Irish Antiquities, which may hereafter be discovered or offered for sale; and that the collection of the Academy, together with such other Irish antiquities as shall be added to it, should be for ever kept apart from Miscellaneous Art collections in the possession of the Government, and be permanently maintained as a Mu-

seum of our National Antiquities, no portion of its contents being ever removed from the City of Dublin.

“4. That, considering the position which the Academy has long held, and will continue to hold, as the first Scientific, Literary, and Antiquarian Society of the country, the proportional representation proposed to be given to it on the Board of Visitors (sect. 12 of Lord Sandon's letter), is altogether inadequate; and the Academy further think that no paid official of the Science and Art Department should be eligible to act as a representative on the Board.

“5. That, as the Academy is making a substantial concession in respect to its Museum, there should be provided in the yearly estimates, as laid before Parliament, instead of the several sums now annually voted, a sum of 2,000*l.*, to enable the Academy to discharge more completely its functions as a Scientific, Literary, and Antiquarian body, by making grants in aid of original research, by publishing the results of such research, by maintaining a library specially adapted to assist learned investigation, and by editing and printing ancient Irish Texts.

“6. That the Academy should be accountable, as at present, to her Majesty's Treasury, through the Irish Government, for the sum to be thus voted by Parliament, and should not be subject, in the conduct of its affairs, or the expenditure of its grants, to any control on the part of the Science and Art Department, or any of its officers.”

After some discussion the Academy adjourned to the 6th of March, when it is probable that the resolutions of the Council may be adopted by the Academy, and a deputation appointed to confer with her Majesty's Government on the subject.

The Council of the Royal Dublin Society have also, we understand, drawn up a report with resolutions, to be submitted to a special meeting of the Society which is to be held to-morrow.

We hope in our next number to be able to report the resolutions come to by both bodies, and in the meanwhile refrain from making any comments on the subject.

THE LOAN EXHIBITION OF SCIENTIFIC APPARATUS

A MEETING of the General Committee for this approaching Exhibition was held on Thursday last at the Science Schools, South Kensington Museum. The chair was taken by the Lord-President of the Council, the Duke of Richmond and Gordon, the Vice-President, Lord Sandon, M.P., sitting at his side. Many well-known representatives of science were present.

The Lord-President spoke as follows:—

“It gives me very great pleasure to meet you at the expiration of some twelve months since we first assembled to set in motion a plan for holding a scientific exhibition, and I am happy to be able to congratulate you upon the success which has attended your efforts. The exhibition promises to be the most brilliant one of the kind that has ever taken place in this country. Indeed, I doubt very much whether there has ever been any exhibition in England at all approaching in importance or merit the one which is to be held within the next few months; and I cannot refrain from tendering the thanks of her Majesty's Government to those gentlemen who by their exertions in bringing about this exhibition have contributed so much to the success which we hope will follow. We appreciate the efforts of those gentlemen the more because we know that, engaged as they are in various scientific pursuits, the time which they have devoted to this matter must have caused them considerable inconvenience, and only their love of science could have induced them to render the services which they have done for the carrying out of the object. It is also gratifying to find that this exhibition has met with such a large amount of favour in all parts of the Continent, and more especially