

and atolls? Mountains of different heights are now more or less submerged, and either capped with vast thicknesses of coral, or their tops are girt with barrier and fringing reefs. Take away the sea and the coral growth, and imagine the conditions which prevailed during the slow piling up of these volcanic rocks, their denudation and final overwhelming by the inrush of the ocean incident to the first phase of subsidence. Little is known concerning the age of the raised reefs of the Pacific, and therefore of the duration of the existing state of things; but in the Caribbean there have been reefs in consecutive ages since the early Cretaceous period, and in that area there have been during past ages subsidences and upheavals with contemporaneous volcanic action, following the same laws as those so elaborately described by Darwin as influencing coral growth in the Pacific.

P. M. D.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

The Long Peruvian Skull

It was not my intention to have replied to Dr. J. B. Davis's letter on "The Long Peruvian Skull" in *NATURE*, vol. x. p. 123, as I shall have an opportunity before long of presenting the subject in detail before scientific readers. I find, however, by letters from England that an answer is expected from me. To me, it seemed little more than a reiteration of his disbelief in the existence of such a type; while it leaves unnoticed what I specified as the main point in the discussion.

Dr. Davis demands the production of "half a score of ancient Peruvian dolichocephalic skulls, the appearance of which totally precludes the possibility of interference by art, or other deforming process." Had an anonymous correspondent so stated the issue, I should have supposed that the writer had never seen half a score of Peruvian skulls in his life. The collection presented by Mr. Hutchinson to Prof. Agassiz numbered 368; and out of this Prof. Wyman reports only *eleven* not flattened or distorted. Is Dr. Davis prepared to rule the remaining 357 out of court as of no value in relation to his brachycephalic type? This question of Peruvian long and short heads must be settled in connection with a deforming element affecting both types, or it cannot be settled at all. Hence my specification of the real issue. Keeping this in view, I must beg leave meanwhile to refer, for the sake of brevity, to my statements in *NATURE*, vol. x. p. 48, in reference to examples previously adduced; while I now point out others easily accessible to Dr. Davis.

The large collection furnished to Prof. Agassiz was obtained, apparently at one time, from a single locality, "Ancona and its neighbourhood." Hence no doubt the uniformity of type. Doubling this number of skulls from the same locality would add nothing to the evidence. It is otherwise with the London Anthropological Institute. Its collection was obtained at different times, partly from the same accessible locality; but also from Santos, Ica, Passamayo, and Cerro del Oro. These include places hundreds of miles apart; and Prof. Busk, after minute study, reports that the evidence of the existence of a dolichocephalic type afforded by the collection, though "not very abundant, is nevertheless decisive."

It is a case precisely analogous to the remarkable dolichocephalic British type recognised by the acute sagacity of the late lamented Dr. Thurnam, in the Uley, Kennet, Littleton Drew, Rodmarton, and other long barrows in Wiltshire, &c., as illustrated in the *Crania Britannica*, for which so great a debt of gratitude is due to Dr. Davis and his gifted colleague. Those dolichocephalic skulls are exceedingly rare; they are found along with brachycephalic skulls; but, as Dr. Thurnam showed, accompanying elements suggestive of the latter as an inferior or servile class. Long ago, in a paper in the *Canadian Journal* of September 1862, I referred to the analogy this presents to the long Peruvian skull mingling in the ancient Inca cemeteries with crania of a markedly diverse type.

No multiplication of specimens of the less rare brachycephalic skull of the British cist or round barrow will invalidate this exceedingly rare but valuable dolichocephalic British type produced by Dr. Thurnam; and the exhibition of a whole ship's cargo of brachycephalic skulls from the accessible coast cemetery of Ancona is equally ineffective in disproof of the rare Peruvian dolichocephalic skull of Titicaca and other ancient burial-grounds.

Dr. Davis refers to an error in one of the woodcuts of my first edition of "Prehistoric Man." To anyone conversant with the difficulties of a Canadian author correcting proof-sheets for the London press, the chances of error, with proofs passing while the woodcut swere in the engraver's hands, and their mere titles or blank spaces in lieu of them, must be obvious enough. Dr. Davis will find the error pointed out in the preface to the second edition.

University College, Toronto, Aug. 6 DANIEL WILSON

Pollen-grains in the Air

I AM very sorry to find that, owing to my absence from home at the time, a question addressed to me by Mr. A. W. Bennett, in *NATURE*, vol. ix. p. 435, has escaped my notice hitherto and remained unanswered. Mr. Bennett, alluding to my letter on "Microscopic Examination of Air" (*NATURE*, vol. ix. p. 439), asks on what ground I refer the "triangular pollen" captured on my slide to the birch and hazel. The identification resulted from comparison under the microscope. The pollen-grains which I obtained from catkins of birch and hazel exhibited three conspicuous equidistant prominences (pores) giving each grain a triangular appearance. I cannot now remember if this appearance was equally distinct before and after immersion in glycerine: probably there was a change of shape due to osmosis. I confess that I used the word "triangular" not in its strict geometrical meaning, but in order to mark a feature which distinguished the pollen-grains of birch and hazel from those of poplar. Referring to my notes, I must admit that the shape of the grains which I identified with birch pollen would have been more accurately described as "spherical with three large protuberances."

HUBERT AIRY

Blackheath, S.E., Aug. 31

Chrysomela Banksii

I SHOULD be much obliged if you would allow me to ask the following question of Coleopterists in the columns of *NATURE* :—

Does *Chrysomela Banksii* possess any quality, such as that of exuding an acrid liquid or the like, which would be likely to make it distasteful to spiders or other animals? I have seen it first taken and then rejected unharmed by a Trap-door Spider, and as these spiders feed largely on beetles, I am led to suppose that this particular beetle has some special protection.

J. TRAHERNE MOGGRIDGE

2, Foxton Villas, Richmond, Surrey, Aug. 27

The Aurora Borealis

MAY I ask the readers of *NATURE* for information on the following points :—

1. Where can I find references to any observations on the polarisation or otherwise of auroral light?
2. Are there any published lists of auroræ arranged with a view to determine the periodicity of its recurrence; or, if not so arranged, sufficiently extended for such an investigation?
3. Has any observer besides Mr. Backhouse noted the relative proportion between eastward and westward movement of auroral rays?

HENRY R. PROCTER

North Shields, Aug. 29

ROBERT EDMOND GRANT, M.D., F.R.S.

ON Sunday, August 23, after an illness of about a fortnight, died Dr. R. E. Grant, for many years Professor of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy at University College, London. The family from which Dr. Grant was descended had its head-quarters in the county of Elgin, whence his father removed to Edinburgh, settling as an accountant and a writer to the signet in Argyll Square. He was one of fourteen children, twelve brothers and two sisters, being the seventh son, and the

longest surviving of them all. Neither he nor any of his brothers were married; one sister was, but she left no children. He was born in 1793. Between 1803 and 1808 he was a pupil at the High School, Edinburgh, after leaving which he entered the University of that city as a medical student, attending the lectures of Drs. Monro, Hope, Gregory, Duncan, and others. He took his doctor's degree in 1814, for five years after which he devoted his time to travelling on the Continent, visiting Paris, Rome, Florence, as well as Germany, Bohemia, Hungary, and Austria. In 1822 he settled in Edinburgh, and from then till 1828 contributed several zoological papers to different Scotch scientific societies and journals, including one to the Wernerian Natural History Society, in 1827, on the circulation of fluids through the structure of sponges, in which attention was first drawn to the function of the ossicula and pores of those animals, and which led Mr. Fleming to give the generic name *Grantia* to one member of the family.

In June 1827, whilst still in Edinburgh, Dr. Grant was elected Professor of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy in the new University of London, then being formed; his first lecture was not however delivered until October 1828. For the first few years after he settled in London he communicated several papers on zoological subjects to the Scientific Committee of the Zoological Society, some of which, on points in the anatomy of *Sepiola*, *Loligopsis*, and *Beroë*, read in 1833, are to be found in the first volume of their Transactions. From that time Dr. Grant published no papers of importance.

In 1836 Dr. Grant was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society, and in 1837 he was appointed to the triennial Fullerian Professorship of Physiology at the Royal Institution in Albemarle Street.

At his classes, during one session, it is said that Dr. Grant had only two attendants, these being Mr. Hallam, the illustrious historian, and a young boy; it was always a matter of surprise to the other students of the college how he managed to adapt his lectures to the mental capacity of this trying audience.

During the forty-six years that he held his professorship, he never missed a single lecture. It was his determination, if he had lived, to resign his appointment during the present year.

In disposition Dr. Grant was very retiring and seclusive, and a great reader. He travelled much and was an excellent linguist; so fond of languages was he, that only two years ago he attended lectures on Anglo-Saxon in University College. By his will Dr. Grant leaves his extensive library and all his private collection to University College, together with a sum of money to be employed in maintaining and extending the zoological and zootomical department of the library of the college.

CONFERENCE FOR MARITIME METEOROLOGY

A GENERAL wish having of late been expressed that the measures for the prosecution of Maritime Meteorology, proposed at the International Conference at Brussels in 1853, should be reconsidered, now that the experience of more than twenty years of the operation of these measures has enabled meteorologists to form opinions as to their utility, a conference is now being held at the Meteorological Office, 116, Victoria-street, consisting of the following gentlemen:—Austria—R. Müller, K. K. Hydrographic Office, Pola. *Belgium—Van Rysselberghe, Navigation School, Ostend. Bengal—H. F. Blanford, Meteorological Office, Calcutta. China—J. D. Campbell, Secretary Commissioners of Maritime Customs. Denmark—Capt. N. Hoffmeyer, Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen. France—C. Sainte-Claire Deville, Inspector of Meteorological Stations; A. Dela-

marche, Ministry of Marine, Paris. Germany—W. H. von Freeden, Deutsche Seewarte, Hamburg; G. Neumayer, Hydrographer, Berlin; Capt. Stempel, Imperial Navy; H. A. Meyer, Commissioner for Investigating German Seas, Kiel. Great Britain—(Board of Trade), Capt. Toynbee; R. H. Scott, Director Meteorological Office, Hon. Sec.; *(Admiralty), Rear-Admiral Nolloth; R. J. Mann, M.D., President Meteorological Society. Holland—Buys Ballot, Royal Meteorological Institute, Utrecht, President; Lieut. J. E. Cornelissen, R.N. Italy—Capt. N. Canevaro, R.N. Norway—H. Mohn, Meteorological Institute, Christiana. Portugal—J. C. de Brito Capello, Observatory, Lisbon. Russia—Capt. M. Rikatcheff, I.R.N., Central Physical Observatory, St. Petersburg; *A. Movitz, Observatory, Tiflis. Spain—C. Pujazon, Marine Observatory, San Fernando; Captain Montijo, S.N. *Turkey—Admiral Hobart Pacha. The basis of discussion will be the Report of the Brussels Conference above referred to, with some other heads relating to instructions, instruments, &c. The Conference will be divided into two sub-committees:—1. Instruments; 2. Observations. A Report of the proceedings will be published by the Meteorological Committee. A programme has already appeared in NATURE, vol. x. p. 152.

DEEP-SEA SOUNDINGS IN THE PACIFIC OCEAN

WE take the following extracts on this subject from a report made to the United States Secretary of the Navy by Commander George E. Belknap, dated United States Steamer *Tuscarora*, Hakodadi, Japan, June 26:—

"I left Yokohama on the 8th inst., and at dawn the next morning began the work of sounding homeward on a great circle passing through the island of Tawaga, of the Aleutian group, and towards Puget's Sound. When about 100 miles east by south from Kinghsan or Sendai Bay, on the east coast of Japan, the lead sank to a depth of 3,427 fathoms, showing a descent of 1,594 fathoms in a run of 30 miles. The result seems extraordinary at so short a distance from the land, but the next coast revealed a depth still more astonishing, the sinker carrying the wire down 4,643 fathoms without reaching the bottom.

"On this occasion, when some 500 fathoms of wire had run out, the sinker was suddenly swept under the ship's bottom by the strong undercurrent, and all efforts to get the wire clear and keep it from tending underneath were unavailing, the difficulty being increased by a fresh breeze and a moderately heavy sea. Finally, when 4,643 fathoms of wire had run out, and only 150 fathoms of wire were left on the reel, it broke close to the surface, and about five miles were lost.

"The strain on the reel was very great, and notwithstanding a weight of 130 lb. on the pulley line, it took three men to check and hold the drum, and the wonder was that the wire had not parted sooner. This great strain must have been due to the action of the strong undercurrent upon the sinker, sweeping it with great force from the ship, as since that cast we have sounded repeatedly in depths of more than 4,000 fathoms, and had no trouble in reaching the bottom.

"The position of the cast, as shown by observation was about 45 miles distant from the previous one, the strong current having carried the ship beyond the position where it was intended to sound. . . .

"I determined to run back inshore and skirt the stream, beginning a new great circle off Point Komoto, in latitude 40° north. I also concluded to increase the weight of the sinker some 20 lb. . . .

"It will be seen, by an inspection of the track chart of sounding, that the moment the second line diverges from the coast of Nippon and enters the edge of the Japan

* Not present at the meeting on Aug. 31.