

and the polar water to lie nearer to the surface in this ocean than in the North Atlantic, that therefore this proves the truth of his theory. This condition of matters is just as consistent with my theory as with his. When we consider the immense quantity of warm surface water which, as has been proved,\* is being constantly transferred from the South into the North Atlantic—a quantity which to a large extent is compensated by cold currents from the Antarctic regions—we readily understand how the polar water comes nearer to the surface in the former ocean than in the latter. In fact the whole phenomena is just as easily explained upon the principle of under-currents as upon Dr. Carpenter's theory.

Dr. Carpenter lays considerable stress on the important fact established by the *Challenger* expedition, viz. that the great depths of the sea in equatorial regions are occupied by ice-cold water, while the portion heated by the sun's rays is simply a thin stratum at the surface. It seems to me that it would be difficult to find a fact more hostile to his theory than this. Were it not for this upper stratum of heated water there would be no difference between the equatorial and polar columns, and consequently nothing to produce motion. But the thinner this stratum is the less is the difference and the less there is to produce motion. I have been favoured by the Hydrographer to the Admiralty with a series of temperature soundings taken along the equator, and from these I find that to so small a depth does the super-heating extend that the surface of the ocean at the equator requires to stand only four and a half feet above that at the poles in order to the ocean being in perfect equilibrium. In this case if we suppose, in order to constant circulation, that the polar column is kept in excess of the equatorial by the weight of say two feet of water, there would then remain only a slope of two and a half feet between the equator and poles.

There is another point to which, with some reluctance, I am compelled to refer. Dr. Carpenter is continually representing that eminent physicists have adopted his theories while none of them share in my objections. I can assure Dr. Carpenter that such is not the case. Only a few weeks ago one of the most eminent mathematical physicists of the present day stated to me that no one familiar with the elements of physics and mechanics, who would be at the trouble to make himself acquainted with Dr. Carpenter's theories, could ever adopt them.

JAMES CROLL

#### BIOLOGY AT CAMBRIDGE

ON the evening of Monday, 11th inst., Cambridge biologists mustered at least a hundred strong at the meeting of the Philosophical Society to hear a communication from Prof. Huxley, one of the honorary members of the Society, on the morphological conclusions to be drawn from the distribution of the cranial nerves, with especial reference to those of the seventh pair. Prof. C. B. Babington, F.R.S., president of the Society, occupied the chair. Prof. Huxley took occasion to refer in terms of the highest commendation to the researches of Stannius more than twenty years ago, on the morphological teaching to be derived from studying the distribution of nerves, and also spoke of the deductions drawn from nerve-supply by Gegenbaur, especially in his work on the "Skulls of Plagiostomous Fishes." Prof. Huxley sketched in considerable detail the distribution of the portio dura or seventh cranial nerve in man, and compared it with the homologous nerve in the frog, showing how the arrangements of branches, especially the course of the chorda tympani, which seemed anomalous in man, were a necessary consequence of perfectly obvious and natural arrangements in the lower vertebrates. He also demonstrated how the morphology of the parts might be learnt from such homo-

logies; how a circuitous and apparently useless path taken by a nerve was full of meaning and instruction, and when studied in connection with facts of development and function would lead to an explanation which might be very much trusted. The relation of the tympano-eustachian tube to the bifurcation of the seventh nerve was dwelt upon, as leading to the identification of the comparatively small and simple auditory passage of the frog with the complex one of the mammal, and further to the homological identity of these passages with the spiracle of the Plagiostomes. The distribution of the fifth and seventh pairs of cranial nerves was held to agree with the view, suggested by development, that the trabecular arch is a pre-oral visceral arch, and that the pterygo-palatine is but an outgrowth of the mandibular arch.

The paper, which was illustrated by black-board drawing, with the professor's well-known aptitude, and which was a model of lucidity and careful reasoning, was loudly applauded. In a discussion which followed, Prof. Humphry drew attention to labours of his own having the object of showing the value of the teaching of nerve distribution. He acknowledged the strong case which was now made out in favour of the trabecular arch taking its position in the series of visceral arches, and thought that Prof. Parker's paper on the development of the pig's skull made it almost equally clear that the pterygo-palatine arch was similar in homology. It was also remarked that the same conclusions seemed deducible from Prof. Parker's paper on the development of the salmon, where the pterygo-palatine arch was distinct from the first and in all respects like the other visceral arches.

The practical class for the study of elementary biology, conducted by Dr. Michael Foster and Dr. Martin, is very successful this term. When thirty students entered last year the number was thought very large, and it was made up of men of several years who had previously had no opportunity of attending such a course. It was expected that a much smaller number would attend this year; but the large number of nearly forty have availed themselves of the course, and work proceeds in a most satisfactory and instructive fashion. Adequate superintendence is provided at all hours of the working day by the co-operation of four advanced students in addition to the lecturers. These are Messrs. P. H. Carpenter, Trinity College, A. M. Marshall, B.Sc., and Langley, St. John's College, and S. H. Vines, B. Sc., Christ's College.

G. T. BETTANY

#### NOTES

ON Tuesday, Sir Samuel Baker delivered the Rede lecture in the Senate House, Cambridge, before a numerous assemblage, which included all the leading men of the University in residence, and many ladies. The subject of the address was "Slavery," and Sir Samuel's narrative of his personal experiences in Africa was listened to with much interest.

It is said to be in contemplation to confer honorary degrees at the Cambridge commencement upon Sir Bartle Frere, Sir Garnet Wolesley, Sir James Paget, and Prof. Helmholtz.

It is stated that if the authorities of Owens College, Manchester, can show that they really require it, Government are prepared to make a considerable grant of money to the College.

The Founder's Medal of the Royal Geographical Society has been granted to Dr. Schweinfurth, and the Victoria Medal to Col. P. E. Warburton, who recently succeeded in crossing the interior of Western Australia.

By later advices from Australia we learn that Major Warburton accomplished exactly what he set out to do. He traversed the continent from the MacDonnell Ranges to the coast north of

\* Phil. Mag. for March 1874, p. 170.