

W. to $42^{\circ} 10' W$. It certainly must appear singular to geographers that the limits of the best-known stream in the world should be so ill-defined; but the temperature of the sea at the places marked in the chart cannot suddenly change 12° from any other cause than the irruption of the Gulf-stream or the ordinary waters of the ocean. Had it occurred in a single season only, the correctness of the observations might have been impugned; but extending, as they do, over several years, their accuracy cannot be challenged.

It is the opinion of many that the Gulf-stream is extending its boundaries northward, and ameliorating the climate of the British islands. Such an assumption is not an impossibility, although there are no changes of volume or velocity at its outlet into the Atlantic. There are, however, grounds for believing that the Labrador current does not run with its former force, as icebergs are seldom seen south of the parallel of $43^{\circ} 30'$ north latitude. Observation can alone confirm this theory, but whether correct or not it in nowise affects the accuracy of my data.

WM. W. KIDDLE

U.S. White Star Mail Steamship *Oceanic*, Feb. 2

[We have received a chart from Mr. Kiddle; but it is too large for insertion in NATURE.]

A Lecture Experiment

MR. TAIT's letter in NATURE of February 26 calls to mind an effective lecture illustration I have used in my classes to illustrate a fog or cloud produced by cooling air containing moisture. Instead of using an air-pump as described in "Heat, a mode of Motion," take a flask of one or two litres capacity, rinse it out with distilled water, and attach to the neck a cork and glass tube of about twenty or thirty centimetres in length. Place the glass tube in the mouth and exhaust, when a dense cloud will be formed; then on blowing into the flask the cloud disappears. The cloud may be produced and dissolved as often as wished, and if a beam from the oxy-hydrogen light be sent through the flask, the experiment becomes very effective.

Midland Institute, Birmingham C. J. WOODWARD

The "Treasury of Botany"

It might be inferred from your notice of the new edition of the "Treasury of Botany" (NATURE, vol. ix. p. 300) that the stereotyped pages of the original text of that work—of which you are pleased to speak in terms of commendation—had been reprinted without alteration. Will you allow me space to state that this is by no means the case (as indeed is stated in the preface), but that a large number of corrections have been made, as may be detected by a keen eye in consequence of the slight difference which is observable in the type where the alteration has extended over two or three lines or more. Hence it is not to the Supplement alone that the reader must look for such of the "additions to botanical knowledge made during the last eight years" as it has been found practicable to include in the revised edition.

THOS. MOORE

[We are glad of the opportunity afforded by the foregoing letter of repeating our opinion, already expressed, that in the department of botanical nomenclature and classification, the new edition of the "Treasury of Botany" is an altogether admirable and indispensable work. It is in this department only, or almost exclusively, that the corrections alluded to by Mr. Moore—and to which we perhaps ought to have called special attention—have been made, at least as far as we have been able to detect. We regret that we cannot withdraw from our statement that the same care has not been taken with the histological and physiological section. We might quote a number of instances in support of this assertion—a very ungracious task in speaking of a work so excellent in other respects—but will only refer to a single one. Notwithstanding that a very good and useful epitome of the more important properties of "Cellulose" is given in the Supplement, the statement is allowed to stand in the article in the body of the work, that "its composition, according to the latest analysis, is $C_{24}H_{20}O_{10}$ " a formula which does not, and never did, even under the old notation, represent anything near its composition.—A. W. B.]

The Moons of Uranus

In your "Notes," this week, it is stated that since Mr. Lassell's observations at Malta, no one has seen the four moons of Uranus,

until the re-discovery of the two small ones lately with the new Washington telescope.

In 1869-70, the planet was observed with the Melbourne reflector; the observations were specially directed to the disc, but at the same time the positions of the four satellites were noted on successive nights and thus identified.

I speak from memory, but have no doubt that the observations are to be found in the Melbourne records. The statement "have actually been measured by Prof. Newcomb," probably refers to position, angle, and distance.

March 1

L. S.

MEN OF SCIENCE, THEIR NATURE AND THEIR NURTURE*

THE lecturer spoke of the qualities by which the English men of science of the present day were characterised; he showed the possibility of defining and measuring the amount of any of those qualities, and concluded by summarising the opinions of the scientific men on the merits and demerits of their own education, and gave his interpretation of what, according to their own showing, they would have preferred. His data were obtained from a large collection of autobiographical notes, most obligingly communicated to him, in response to his requests, from the larger part of the leading members of the scientific world. He had addressed 180, who, being Fellows of the Royal Society, had, in addition, gained medals or filled posts of recognised scientific position; 115 answers had already been received, of which 80 or 90 were full and minute replies to his long and varied series of questions. He dealt with only a small part of his deductions from this valuable material, referring to a forthcoming work for the rest.

Regarding the chief qualities in the order of their prevalence among the scientific men, they were—(1) Energy both of body and mind; (2) Good health; (3) Great independence of character; (4) Tenacity of purpose; (5) Practical business habits; and (6) What was usually the salt of the whole, strong innate tastes for science generally or some branch of it. He illustrated his remarks by reading many anonymous extracts from the returns, and explained in what way a notable deficiency in any of the above-mentioned qualities would tend to disqualify a man from succeeding in science.

As to the measurement of qualities, it was argued that the law of constancy in vital statistics might be taken for granted, being evidenced by the experience of insurance offices against fire, death, shipwreck, and other contingencies, always with the proviso that the facts are gathered with discretion, on well-known general principles. Hence we may say with assurance, that although two common nuts may differ, yet the contents of different packets, each containing 1,000 nuts, will be scarcely distinguishable, for the same number of nuts of different sizes will be found in each. Let the contents of the several packets be each arranged in a long row, in order of size, beginning with the biggest nut and ending with the smallest, and place the rows rank behind rank; then by the law of statistical constancy the nuts in the same files will in all cases be closely alike (except the outside ones, where more irregularity prevails). Again, if we incorporate two rows into one of double length, still preserving the arrangement as to regular gradation in size, the centre nuts of the two original series will still be found at or near the centre of the compound series, the nuts in quarter positions will still be in quarter positions, and so on. Hence, whatever be the length of the series the relative position in it of the nut will be a *strict criterion* of its size. This is of course equally true of all groups of qualities or characters

* Lecture on Friday evening, Feb. 27, at the Royal Institution, by Francis Galton, F.R.S.