should have written occasionally with looseness and inaccuracy; for example, at p. 52 we find "Vertical iron at the same place will produce the same deviation in whatever direction the ship's head may be, because a vertical line makes always the same angle at the same place with the line of force." There is confusion of cause and effect here-the deviation is not the same in whatever direction the ship's head may be, although the force remains constant or nearly so—and these are important features for the student to realise.

Notwithstanding, however, these shortcomings, Dr. Merrifield's "small manual" may in the main be received as an orthodox production, and will tend to divert the attention of his students from some singular statements and conceptions relating to the deviation of the compass on board ship and its compensation, to be found at pp. 20-23 of his Navigation and Nautical Astronomy published conjointly with H. Evers in 1868.

We hope to see manuals of this smaller class emanating from seamen, who, possessed of the knowledge of the exact requirements in the practice of their calling, can extract from original sources, and simplify the main points in the various divisions of the subject.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.

Solar Spectroscopic Observations

I HAVE just seen Herschel's letter to you in NATURE of October 3, and am induced to address you, lest his remarks on Indian Climate should make difficulties in respect to the British Association's proposal for a physical observatory for solar

I do not think Captain Herschel has been in England since the Eclipse of 1868, and consequently he cannot speak from experience of the English climate. It is beyond all doubt that from some cause (commonly believed to be haze) there are really very few days when the protuberances can be studied in England. Herschel's letter contains evidence that that cause, whatever it be, is absent in India. We need not go further; we have the two facts (1) that it is impossible in England, with great telescopic power and great dispersion, to see the prominence lines regularly; (2) that it is possible to do so in India, even in the plains at a bad season. The result seems to be that solar investigations should be pursued in India systematically.

I may add that the facility of seeing these things, and the probability that spectroscopic inquiries into the celestial bodies would have been more successful in the earlier stages had there then been any observers in India, are to my mind a strong argument for now establishing in India, and on a permanent footing, an observatory, whose speciality shall be "Researches on the Physics of the Sun and Planets," whether by the spectroscope, or vision, or photography. When the proposal of the British Association is made formally to the Government by the Council, it will, I trust, take this form. There is no such institution now in the British dominions, and when one is established, it should be in the Tropics somewhere, and as systematically devoted to physical researches as Greenwich has been to the moon.

The Director might, probably would, as at Greenwich, in time attach other investigations; but these researches should be his primal object; and, if they were made so, there is no reason why work as standard and as useful should not result.

J. F. TENNANT October 4

Consciousness and Volition

THE question raised by Mr. Bennett in last week's NATURE is of great importance, and of no small difficulty. During a visit to the late Sir William Hamilton in 1855, this subject came up for discussion. Sir William was then engaged on his edition to Stewart's doctrine regarding the operation of Will in acts which are usually ascribed to Habit. Stewart asserts that all habitual actions are really voluntary. As he had no acquaintance with the modern doctrine of "latent mental modifications," he

would naturally take for granted that there can be neither a volition nor any other act of mind without accompanying consciousness. He accounted for the non-remembrance of that conscious. ness by the extreme rapidity of the volitional action. (Collected Works, vol. ii. chap. ii.) To this Sir William objected. He went on to show that in many cases an act, or even a long series of acts, originally voluntary, have ceased to be so. The habit or habitude, which is a mental tendency, though not a power, generated by custom, supplies the place of volition. In illustration, he referred particularly to the well-known fact that in India soldiers will march long distances when they are asleep. Now, it seems to me that this decides Mr. Benneti's question. Here we have regulated action, determined, not by volition, but by Here habit. Sir William, however, failed to meet all my difficulties, because, as I afterwards saw, of his unsatisfactory theory of causation. He so frequently confounded conditions with causes. With him a cause denoted anything without which an effect could not be; hence his doctrine of con-causes, a plurality of causes for each effect. Thus, when I will to move my hand, and the movement follows, Sir William would call the volition one cause of the movement, whereas it is merely a condition. It is remarkable that he should fall into this error since he rejected Biran's doctrine regarding the efficiency of volition. In a subsequent conversation we discussed the points of similarity and the points of difference between Habits and Instincts. It would.

however, be trespassing on your space to give the details.

I will only add that an "unconscious volition" in the sense intended by Mr. Bennett is not possible. Dr. Carpenter's expresion "unconscious cerebration" I regard as unfortunate, since it appears to rest on the assumption of the essential identity of mental, vital, and physical powers. Mr. Bennett will find many valuable observations on the nature and conditions of habitual acts in Hamilton's lectures on "Metaphysics," Morell's "Outlines of Mental Philosophy" (published in 1862), and Sir Henry Holland's "Mental Physiology."

John Moore

Stamford House, Sale, near Manchester, Oct. 14

The Solar Spectrum

UPON reading the communication from Capt. Herschel in your number for October 3, upon the solar spectrum, I seem to reinember a letter from a correspondent being published some year or more ago in your pages, in which it was stated the writer had seen the bright lines near the sun's limb with one of Browning's direct vision prism spectroscopes, the instrument being placed on the back of a swing looking glass as a stand. The dispersion of this instrument would be probably rather more than that of one angular prism. I am bound to say that I have been unable myself, up to the present time, to do more than see a bright line near D, superposed on the solar spectrum, with such an instrument as, however, for other purposes, is a most convenient and sufficiently powerful one.

To my own I have added three small wedge-shaped pointers cut

out of thin brass, and fixed in the eye-piece, while the slit-plate, and consequently the spectrum itself, is drawn across the field by a micrometer screw having a range of about 600 divisions between its starting point near A and G at the other end of the spectrum. In this way a bright line (say the auroral one) may be brought upon one of the pointers, and its distance from D, in a salted spirit-lamp slame, at once accurately measured and mappod down; and if the pointer is again brought on the line after the observation, it may be verified by the position of the pointer next day upon the solar spectrum itself.

It occurred to me the other evening to try the effect of a V-shaped slit, and it seems to me to have some advantages. The lower ends of bright lines being brought to a fine point, are more easily positioned on a scale or pointer, while the tapering of faint or nebulous lines or bands enables their relative intensity to be more easily compared. My experiment was a rough one, and with a home-made slit; but for working purposes, by a sliding plate a slit might be contrived of a V shape, whose width and length would be altered at pleasure; and it would, I think, for some observations give good results.

Guildford, Oct. 7 J. RAND CAPRON

A Day Aurora

A CONTROVERSY was carried on in your columns about a year ago as to the possibility of an aurora being seen during the day time. A recent communication from Padre Secchi to the French