

sociated with the other probable causes of tubercle, and it is difficult to give instances where tubercular consumption has made its appearance whilst perfectly pure air is continually breathed. But, we think, various considerations render Dr. MacCormac's views untenable. We will not refer to Iceland or to the inhabitants of the elevated plains of the Andes, or of the Steppes of Asia—all of which are sad stumbling-blocks in his way—because, as he says, they are so far off, and our facts in regard to the frequency of tubercle in these regions are perhaps not quite satisfactorily ascertained. But we may call attention to the circumstance that the disease is more common in England than in almost any other country—than in France, for example; yet, surely, the hygienic relations in regard to ventilation are superior in England to those existing on the other side of the Channel.

If air that has been breathed is so certainly the cause of tubercle, the poor population of London and other large towns should not only be decimated, but should be swept off *en masse*, for they all breathe through the night, and through a great part of the day, air so contaminated. Once more, how is it that one member of a household belonging to the upper class is attacked and dies, though all the rest, notwithstanding their being exposed to the same conditions, are preserved? Looking at animals, again, any Indian medical officer will tell Dr. MacCormac that monkeys kept in confinement, though they have never had a roof over their heads and have consequently never breathed air a second time, will die with their lungs stuffed with tubercle. Lastly, the evidence is very strong in favour of Virchow's view, that tubercular matter is originally composed of cells resembling the white corpuscles of the blood, which are either modified white corpuscles, or, as Virchow himself maintains, proceeds from the proliferation of connective tissue corpuscles. Whilst disagreeing, therefore, with Dr. MacCormac in regarding the breathing of air imperfectly freed from the products of previous respiration as the exclusive cause of tubercle, we may fully endorse his views upon the desirability of thorough and complete ventilation, especially in our sitting-rooms and sleeping apartments. The exigencies of modern civilisation seem to lead unavoidably to the close herding of mankind; but we confess it is with a sigh of regret that we see year by year long lines of close-packed houses, springing up on what were but recently green fields on every side of this great metropolis. To reach green fields and breathe fresh air is now a day's work.

H. POWER

Theory of Friction. By John H. Jellett, B.D., P.R.I.A. (Dublin: Hodges and Co.; London: Macmillan)

THIS book is, to a certain extent, of the character of a supplement to ordinary treatises on mechanics. It deals with the question of friction by the use of analytical expressions very general in the possibility of their application, on which account perhaps some of the significance of their physical character may be apt to escape the general reader, and the book is thus, perhaps, rather more suitable for advanced than for junior students.

The author brings well into prominence the radical difference between problems in statical and dynamical friction, namely, that the latter are determinate, whereas the former are not necessarily so. He says:—

"When a system of material particles, each of which rests on a rough surface, is subject to the action of external forces, it will in general be found that, of these particles, some will be in a state of motion and others in a state of rest. Everything connected with the moving particles, namely, their positions, their velocities, and the forces, geometrical and frictional, which act upon them, is fully determined by means of the dynamical and geometrical equations. The geometrical and frictional forces which act upon the quiescent particles will also be determinate, unless it be possible to form by elimination

one or more equations between the co-ordinates of the quiescent particles *only*. If this be possible, the geometrical force replacing every such equation will be indeterminate in intensity."

The character and cause of the analytical indeterminateness in the case of statical friction is enunciated in the following words, which obviously apply also to forces not frictional:—

"If any one or more of the forces acting upon the particles of a system be not determinate functions of the co-ordinates, the number of the unknown quantities will exceed the number of equations, and there will be in general an infinite number of positions satisfying the conditions of equilibrium, disposed in one or more groups, in each of which these positions succeed one another continuously."

There is an interesting chapter on the distinction between necessary and possible equilibrium, arising, so far as friction is concerned, from the fact that the coefficient of dynamical friction is less than that of statical friction, so that "if the system be disturbed from its position of equilibrium by the communication of infinitely small velocities to its several points, when the friction at each point will, of course, become dynamical, a finite force tending to augment the displacement may at once be developed at some or all of these points." The whole point of distinction between this and ordinary unstable equilibrium, when friction is not taken into account, consists in the fact of the infinitely small velocity calling into play a finite force, which it would not do in the case of ordinary unstable equilibrium, in the lapse of a finite time. Without questioning the analytical excellence and interest of the investigation, we may hesitate in adopting the change from statical to dynamical friction as a consequence of the assumption of an infinitely small velocity. We would point to the following problem (page 170) as a good example of the concrete application of the principles of the treatise:—"Two rods, AB, CD, firmly jointed together at B, rest so that A presses against a rough vertical surface, and CD lies on a rough peg in the same vertical; find the limiting positions and the nature of the equilibrium."

At the end of the book there are several problems worked out, namely, the well-known problem of a top spinning on a rough plane, the problem of "friction wheels," and one or two problems connected with the driving wheels of locomotives.

J. S.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

The Adamites

I SHOULD not have noticed the letter of "M. A. I.," which appeared in the last number of NATURE, with reference to my paper on "The Adamites," were it not that my silence might be interpreted as an acknowledgment of the justice of the remarks of the anonymous writer. If I had been silent, however, I trust your readers would have had more sense than to accept the dictum of a writer, anonymous or otherwise, who thinks to negative the conclusions of a paper, written at least in a truly scientific spirit, by such nonsense as the reference to *Paddy and Taffy*. One looks for reasoning in the criticisms which appear in such a journal as NATURE, and not for a misleading statement of an opponent's position, supported by reference to general conclusions and the use of weak satire. When "M. A. I." condescends to advance an argument, I shall be happy to consider it; and if it should be unanswerable, I shall not hesitate to admit it to be so. Doubtless I ought to feel thankful for the tenderness with which he has trodden on my toes, but I have scant regard for mere courtesy where questions of science are at stake; and in the interests of truth I would rather that the errors of my

"unlucky paper" should be openly exposed, than that I should be "damned with faint praise."

Hull, April 8

C. STANILAND WAKE

The Aurora of February 4

THE Scottish Meteorological Society has just received the schedules of its observers in Iceland and Farø for February last. At Stykkisholm, on the north-west of Iceland, auroras were seen on each of the nights of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th, and at Thorshavn an aurora of a remarkably red colour was observed in the S.E. and S. in the evening of the 4th. It was also observed at North Uist, Shetland, of a very red colour, and over all the S.E. of the sky; at Monach, the most western island of the Hebrides, and at nearly all the 150 stations which report to the Society, appearing at some places as early as 5 P.M., and continuing visible at others till half-past one on the morning of the 5th. Major Stuart, the Society's observer at Janina, Greece, also reports an aurora on the 4th from 6.30 P.M. to midnight.

On the evening of the 4th much thunder and lightning occurred in Monach, South Uist, Skye, and others of the Western Isles, and on the mainland of Scotland adjacent, even as far inland as Corrimony, fifteen miles west of Loch Ness.

The weather preceding and following this aurora was very remarkable. At Stykkisholm, 22° 43' W. long., the mean height of the barometer from the 30th of January to the 5th of February was only 28.798 inches, and the wind N.E. throughout, except on one of the days, when it was E. At this same place a storm of wind, with snow showers, began at 1 A.M. of the 30th of January, and continued without intermission for 102 hours, or till 7 A.M. of the 3rd, on which day and on the 4th the weather was fine and seasonable and the wind light.

At Monach, 7° 34' W. long., a storm of wind began at 6 A.M. of January 30 and continued to blow from W.S.W., S.W., and S. till 2.30 A.M. of February 5, having thus lasted about 140 hours.

On the west of Scotland and the Western Isles, a heavy storm of wind from S. or S.W. was blowing during the evening of the 4th, the sky being generally clear, and the aurora, consequently, well seen. But at some places the sky presented a strange lurid appearance, as the aurora appeared through the opening clouds as they drifted past. Shortly after the disappearance of the aurora, the wind moderated and fine weather followed.

But in the east of Scotland the storm from the south, accompanied with drizzle and mist, did not break out till the morning of the 5th, or some time after the aurora had disappeared. It was to have been expected that an aurora extending over so much of the earth's surface would be preceded, accompanied, and followed by very different weather in different regions; and we have seen it coming thirty-six hours after a protracted period of stormy weather in Iceland, closing an equally protracted period of stormy weather in West Hebrides, and preceding a storm of wind and rain in the east of Scotland.

ALEXANDER BUCHAN

Scottish Meteorological Society, Edinburgh, April 8

HAVING seen an account of the aurora borealis which was visible in England on the night of February 4, I think that you or some of your scientific friends might like to know that a very brilliant display of aurora was visible here and in other parts of the West Indies on the same night.

On the night of February 4, I was going from Porto Rico to Puerto Plata in, roughly speaking, lat. 19° N., long. 48° W. The aurora was first seen at 8.30 P.M., was most brilliant at 10 P.M., and gradually died away by midnight; the corresponding times at Greenwich would have been 1 A.M., 2.30 A.M., and 4.30 A.M., February 5.

I have several times seen auroras off the Western Islands, but only remember having seen one several years ago in the West Indies.

There were no pillars or points of light in this aurora, but a bright flush in the northern sky, which surged up and died away again every now and then, and was brightest about 10 P.M.

STEPHEN DIX

H.M.S. *Mersey*, St. Thomas, March 14

THE aurora of February 4 was visible at this point, but seems to have been unobserved, except by a very few. My position

was on the deck of a steamboat on the river going from this point to one 23 miles higher up. The aurora was first noticed by me at about 7 P.M., hanging over the woods to the north-east, and was mistaken by the Captain for a large fire, a common occurrence in our pine forests. Soon after, the glow, which was a very deep red, extended to the zenith, shading off there, whilst a much fainter red light appeared in the north-west.

My last observation was made at 8.30 P.M., and the light was then still very strong in the north-east. Being then upon a train, and passing through an unbroken pine forest, I could not note the time of disappearance of the display. I saw no streamers.

There was no aurora whatever to the south at any time visible from at least sunset to 8.30 P.M. The facilities for observing the sky in that direction were peculiarly favourable from the position upon the river.

F. G. BROMKERG

Mobile, Alabama, U.S.A., March 23

On the Colour of a Hydrogen Flame

A CORRESPONDENT to your last number has troubled himself to propound an elaborate theory, to account for the blue tinge which he states is always exhibited by the flame of hydrogen. There are also several text-books on chemistry which assert that hydrogen burns with a characteristic faint blue flame. It is easy to prove, however, that the flame of pure hydrogen has no blue tinge whatever. The blueness so frequently associated with the flame of hydrogen is really due to the presence of sulphur, as is shown in a little paper I published in the *Philosophical Magazine* for November 1865.* It is possible that the facts mentioned in that paper may be turned to a practical end by some of your readers, and therefore it may not be altogether useless if I put down—for such disposal as you deem proper—one or two interesting phenomena associated with the combustion of hydrogen.

There must I imagine be some people who write text-books on experimental science without having verified any of the facts they state. Otherwise one cannot account for some obvious errors which are propagated from one writer to another. The blueness of a hydrogen flame is one such error, and another still more glaring can be traced back through several high authorities. The fact is stated that a rod of iron, or a sewing needle, remains suspended in the centre of a helix of wire through which an electric current is passing. So long as the helix is animated by the current the iron is said to behave like Mahomet's coffin, and hang in the air without the least contact with any solid body. But this is *not* the case, however strong the current, or small the iron, or however the helix may be disposed.

More serious errors than these are to be met with in some of the little books on science for school use, that are now cropping up like mushrooms. Heads of schools cannot exercise too much caution in the introduction of text-books on science, for they know how a poor class book once in a school is a most difficult thing to eject. It is therefore impossible to over-estimate the value of books for boys written by men like Profs. Huxley, Roscoe, and Balfour Stewart. An extraordinary impulse to scientific teaching has been given by the manuals of these and other eminent authors, and of the gladness with which such books are received by elder boys I, like others, can testify.

And now, as a teacher, permit me, Sir, to tender to the same authors not only my own gratitude, but the genuine and hearty thanks of younger boys for their simply delightful Science Primers.

W. F. BARRETT

International College, Spring Grove, W.

[We hope to give in our next number a summary of the experiments to which our correspondent alludes.—ED.]

Barometric Depressions

I HAVE only just seen Mr. Murphy's criticism on my paper, which appeared in your columns on the 21st ult. I intended that paper as a continuation of one which appeared last year. The former aimed at showing that the ordinary variations of the barometer could not be explained by aqueous vapour; the latter at proving that they were accounted for by the heating and cooling of dry air. Into this question of air *versus* vapour the earth's rotation did not enter, and I consequently took no account of it

* A year or two ago I was surprised and amused to read this investigation repeated in the pages of the *Comptes Rendus*. I forget the name of the French chemist who contributed it to the Academy, but he was doubtless unaware of anything I had written on the subject.