of the system has now travelled nearly a thousand millions of miles away since in 1866 he passed his perihelion; and it will be interesting to learn whether the character of his train of followers is at all dependent on their distance from him.

R. A. PROCTOR

[To this note we add the following extract of a letter from Mr. Hind, which appeared in the *Times* of Tuesday.— ED.]

ED.] "The elements of the orbit in which the November meteors revolve are as follow, according to the last calculations of the Italian astronomer Schiaparelli, founded upon the accurate data obtained during the grand display in 1866. Heliocentric longitude of perihelion or of the point nearest to the sun, 56° 26'; crosses the plane of the earth's path from north to south in $51^{\circ} 28'$; inclination of orbit to the plane of the earth's path, $17^{\circ} 45$; eccentricity of orbit, 0.9046; distance from sun in perihelion, 0.9873 (the earth's mean distance being taken as unity); semi-axis major of the orbit, 10'340, similarly expressed; period of revolution, 35.25 years; motion retrograde or opposite to that of the earth. At its nearest approach to the sun the orbit is therefore situate close to the earth's, while in aphelion it is not far distant from that of Uranus. It is well known that these numbers are almost identical with those applying to the orbit of the first comet of 1866, and I have lately ascertained beyond reasonable doubt that the comet of 1366, which became visible three days after the memorable meteoric exhibition in October of that year, when 'there was a movement of the stars such as men never before saw or heard of,' and ' those who saw it were filled with such great fear and dismay that they were astounded, imagining they were struck dead, and that the end of the world had come,' as the exaggerated language of the time has it, also moved in a similar orbit. It was, doubtless, visible during the shower on the confines of Ursa Major and Leo Minor, but the Chinese did not perceive it till three nights later. It was not seen in Europe."

PENNY SCIENCE CLASSES

N opening the session of the Birmingham and Midland 1 Institute recently, the President, Mr. Charles Dickens, referred to the "penny system" of instruction as "one of the most remarkable schemes ever devised for the educational behoof of the artizan;" and as this system, so far as we know, is adopted only at the Birmingham institution, we have made inquiries respecting its working there. We learn that, soon after the opening of the Science Classes in 1854, the teacher, Mr. Williams, observing that after a few terms the attendance fell off, suggested the establishment of Penny Lectures, as introductory to systematic scientific instruction. This plan answering well, an arithmetic class was commenced by Mr. Rickard, to which students were admitted on payment of one penny at the door. Subsequently other classes were started on the penny system, and now among others even chemistry and physical geography are taught in penny lessons. The chemistry class was formerly conducted on the quarterly plan, the fee being 3s. per term of twelve lessons. Though the fee was low, the attendance seldom reached more than 40 or 50 per night; while since the introduction of the penny system it is about 100 during the winter months. The persons attending the class are of all grades of society; but since the change to the penny system the proportion of artizans has much increased. We are not surprised at this, in fact it is what we should expect. The wage-paid class receive their earnings weekly, they pay their rent weekly, their "settlings" are made weekly, and their books they take in in weekly numbers. It is not to be surprised at, then, that a science class in which the fee is paid weekly should be popular with artizans. Where the fee is so low as one penny we do not see how a class can pay; but such institutions as that of Birmingham are not intended, and never were intended, to pay in a commercial sense. The promoters and subscribers are only too pleased to find their efforts successful in inducing attendance at the classes. Viewed in this way we believe the penny system to be the best of any; and the Birmingham and Midland Institute deserves all honour for having introduced it.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his Correspondents.]

Scientific_Education at Cambridge

I NOTICE a paragraph at page 26 of NATURE, in which, while the facts are correct, a wrong inference is drawn from them. Two gentlemen at Cambridge have been appointed to lecture on subjects in natural science, and one of these has been appointed to lecture on electricity, magnetism, and botany. These facts are correct, and your informant congratulates the University on the increased desire for instruction in these subjects manifested among the members of the University, but says, "is the number of men in the University competent to teach them so small, that it has been found necessary to entrust electricity and botany to the same lecturer?" But the real state of the case is, that in the University there is not a want of those able to teach Natural Science, but a want of those desiring to learn it. In fact, there are so few who want desiring to learn it. In fact, there are so few who want to learn these matters of science, that we cannot afford to pay, and have no need to appoint, more than one man to do the work. The lectures which we have in these subjects are insufficiently attended. The University is not behind the age in the power of teaching these matters, but before the age. If there had been many people coming here desiring to be taught certain subjects, and we had been unable to supply that teaching, we should in that have been behind the age. But we are offering teaching which those to whom we offer it have not yet learned, or do not yet care, to appreciate. I am not saving that we have or do not yet care, to appreciate. I am not saying that we have the power of showing all the wonders that the astronomers of the present day can watch with their spectroscopes. We are not well supplied with apparatus; but our want of it does not arise so much from the inefficiency of our teachers as from the apathy of those who are taught. We have quantities of excellent apparatus exhibited and lectured about daily by several of our professors well able to deal with these matters, and yet these lectures are taken advantage of by only a very few. If these lecture-rooms were once crowded, the University would only be too happy, and would immediately have at its command abundant means to increase the staff of competent teachers. We have in fine more than a sufficient number of people who can teach, and, what is more, are willing to teach, natural science, if only there are those who care to be taught.

But it would seem as if the classes, from whom the University is recruited, were behind the age in their desire to be taught these matters; for if we turn away from the University we find a very different state of things. There can be no doubt that throughout England there is a great demand for scientific education. This demand exists more or less among all classes, and it exists among the working men of this country to an extent which could hardly be believed by those who have not had some experience in the matter. Among these there is a great desire for scientific instruction, and there is no one to supply it.

desire for scientific instruction, and there is no one to supply it. The case of the University is exactly reversed. There are 1,500 undergraduates at Cambridge, and there are more men able to teach them science than there is any need for. But look to the non-university classes of England; there are a thousand times that number eager to learn, and not all the men who come forward to teach them unite the qualifications of willingness and of competency. That there is a great demand for scientific education, and that not of a desultory kind, among vast numbers of the various uneducated classes of England, is a most certain fact. If anyone doubts this, let him go to some of our great workshops, and let him give a series of careful lectures on some scientific subject, and I will venture to say he will be simply astonished at the numbers who will come. I have seen crowding to a weekly decture on Astronomy, or on Light, hundreds of workmen who had all been working for at lear t ten hours