NEWS IN FOCUS

CLIMATE CHANGE Modellers look to the past to prepare for UN report **p.254**

SPACE An X-ray mission to reveal the black hearts of galaxies **p.255**

RESOURCES Putting the world's water crisis on the map for Rio **p.256**

CROPS The quest to create a caffeine-free coffee bean **p.264**

S. MORDOVETS/GETTY

Vladimir Putin is proposing to set up world-class institutes and broaden distribution of research funding.

POLITICS

Putin promises science boost

But Russian researchers are sceptical of ambitious schemes.

BY QUIRIN SCHIERMEIER

As a teary-eyed Vladimir Putin celebrated a triumphant win in the Russian presidential elections last week, the country's scientists expressed mixed feelings about the implications for research.

Putin, leader of the United Russia party and

currently prime minister, will in May replace Dmitry Medvedev as president — a post that he previously held in 2000–08. Science had only a marginal role in the election campaign, but Putin outlined his ambitions for research in the newspaper *Vedomosti* on 30 January.

His government, he wrote, will aim to establish several “world-class” research universities

by 2020. Many rectors and chancellors of Russian universities are delighted, and campaigned for Putin. Indeed, there are high hopes for the planned Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, a graduate research university set to be established in a science city outside Moscow, in partnership with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Cambridge. But critics say that Putin's goal is out of reach, given that there are currently no Russian institutions in the top ranks of world university league tables.

Putin also promised a substantial increase in public funding of basic and applied research. The Russian science funding system, often criticized for cronyism and a lack of fair grant-assessment procedures, must become more transparent and open to genuine competition, he wrote. Some researchers are cautiously optimistic, acknowledging that conditions have improved in recent years, thanks to modest funding and salary increases. “I do think that science plays a serious role in Putin's thinking about Russia's future,” says Raul Gainetdinov, a neuroscientist at the Italian Institute of Technology in Genoa, who left Russia in 1996. “If opportunities at home were to really improve, I'd be more than happy to return.”

But although Russian research budgets have increased since their near-collapse in the 1990s, the country's output of scientific papers has declined since 2000, putting it below India, Australia and Canada, according to a 2010 analysis by global data-provider Thomson Reuters. Funding opportunities are poor, with only a select few scientists able to benefit from flagship projects such as the space programme, or the ‘mega-grants’ launched in 2010 to attract leading researchers to the country (see *Nature* **465**, 858; 2010). For the majority of Russian scientists, project grants are almost impossible to get.

“I receive a salary from the Russian Academy of Sciences, but there wasn't any money available last year for buying equipment, doing field research or travelling to international conferences,” says Leo Borkin, a herpetologist at the academy's prestigious Zoological Institute in St Petersburg and former head of the St Petersburg Association of Scientists and Scholars. “Young scientists here have basically no opportunity to make ties with colleagues from North America, Europe or Asia,” he says. “It's a very sad and stupid situation.”

Putin has promised to increase the ▶

► amount of grant money distributed by funding agencies from around 15 billion roubles (US\$500 million) a year to 25 billion roubles by 2018. The average size of grants awarded by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, for example, currently some 350,000 roubles (US\$12,000) per year, will be “made comparable to Western grants”, he wrote.

Researchers welcome more cash, of course, but the plan implies that the number of grants might actually go down, notes Konstantin Severinov, who runs independent groups at the Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS) institutes for molecular genetics and gene biology in Moscow, and Rutgers University

in New Brunswick, New Jersey.

Putin also intends to break the long-standing dominance of the academy, which employs around 50,000 scientists at more than 400 research institutes. He plans to redistribute some of the RAS's budget — currently about 50 billion roubles per year — to give other institutions and universities more money, as a key part of a sweeping ten-year science plan that he has asked the academy to develop.

Last month, the RAS administration made a start by asking leading scientists at its institutes to compile lists of research results that they expect to produce by 2030, including cost estimates. “This is just not how science works,”

says Severinov. To him, the unrealistic request is typical of the stifling bureaucracy prevalent in the Russian science system. “There are undeniably some advances in how science is run in this country. Alas, there are lots of missed opportunities as well,” he says.

Mikhail Gelfand, a Moscow-based bio-informatician who in December spoke to a 100,000-strong crowd of anti-Putin protesters, believes that, under Putin, a “background of omnipresent bureaucracy and corruption” will continue to hamper any Russian science revival. “It would be naive to expect that science alone somehow could, miraculously, blossom in this atmosphere,” he says. ■ SEE EDITORIAL P.245

CLIMATE SCIENCE

Forecasters look back in time

As the next IPCC assessment nears, scientists use palaeoclimatic data to hone their models.

BY JEFF TOLLEFSON

It is hard to imagine beaches in northern Greenland or driftwood washing up on islands of Canada's Arctic archipelago, but both were a reality some 6,000 years ago. “At least seasonally, those areas must have been ice-free,” says Gavin Schmidt, a climate modeller at NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies in New York. The warming was caused primarily by cycles in Earth's orbit, not a spike in greenhouse-gas levels, but climate modellers are revisiting the long-gone era as they prepare to deliver their next global forecast.

The temperate mid-Holocene epoch is one of three episodes included in the World Climate Research Programme's Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project, which will form the basis of next year's fifth assessment by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Simulating palaeoclimates is a challenge, but many scientists say they have enough data from sources such as marine-sediment cores, tree rings and cave deposits to evaluate various aspects of their models. “It's important to check the ability of our climate models to simulate a

different climate, because our future world is also quite different from the present,” says Masa Kageyama, a palaeoclimate modeller at the Pierre-Simon Laplace Institute near Paris.

Owing, in part, to past computational limitations, palaeoclimate simulations have never been systematically factored in to the IPCC modelling effort. With a July deadline for submitting papers approaching, members of the IPCC's physical-science working group gathered in Honolulu, Hawaii, for a pair of workshops, held over the past fortnight, to discuss palaeoclimate modelling and the range of other simulations on which their assessment depends. Scientists presented early results from the latest generation of climate models, which take into account factors such as the impact of land use and vegetation, as well as an increasingly sophisticated treatment of atmospheric physics and chemistry. The simulations explore the effects of a broad range of potential future greenhouse-gas concentrations, including an extreme case in which the amount of carbon dioxide rises to more than three times its current level.

Some wondered whether the increased complexity could add to the uncertainties of the new

models and create a larger range of climate projections, but Jerry Meehl, a climate scientist at the National Center for Atmospheric Research in Boulder, Colorado, and one of the workshop organizers, says the spread of projections is “roughly the same” as that produced by the previous generation of models. Ron Stouffer, a climate researcher at the

“It turns out that land-use changes were as large a player as fossil-fuel emissions were.”

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory in Princeton, New Jersey, says that his team's model has already delivered surprises on the increase

in atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. “It turns out that land-use changes, right up to about 1950 or even 1970, were as large a player as fossil-fuel emissions were,” he says. “And even today they are not trivial.”

More than 20 groups around the world are still processing and uploading modelling data onto a server network that will be accessible to everyone involved in the exercise. So far, more than 2 million files and a petabyte of data have been uploaded. Organizers expect that amount to triple, taking it to roughly 100 times that amassed during the last round of modelling for the IPCC's fourth assessment in 2007. The amount of data is creating new challenges in terms of information management, says Karl Taylor, who works with modellers on experiment design at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in Livermore, California. “We're seeing an awful lot of results,” Taylor says, “but we're also up against deadlines, and everyone is a bit frantic.” ■

**MORE
ONLINE**

TOP STORY

LSD helped problem drinkers battle the bottle
go.nature.com/jytmrh

MORE NEWS

- Industry ties remain rife on panels for psychiatry manual
go.nature.com/choeyt
- Retreating ice leaves invertebrates on the rocks
go.nature.com/nooiru
- The ‘most important questions’ in science policy
go.nature.com/awlrsv