



DANIEL LEAL-OLIVAS/AFP/GETTY

People flood the streets of London on 22 April, sporting signs and chanting support for science.

SOCIETY

March for Science attracts thousands across the globe

Protesters cite the importance of science and fears for climate change as motivators.

BY ALISON ABBOTT, EWEN CALLAWAY, BARBARA CASASSUS, NICKY PHILLIPS, SARA REARDON, EMILIANO RODRIGUEZ MEGA & ALEXANDRA WITZE

Tens of thousands of people gathered on 22 April in Washington DC and at least 600 other cities around the world, in what may have been one of the largest-ever demonstrations in support of scientific research and evidence-based policymaking.

The March for Science was organized shortly after US President Donald Trump's inauguration in January, largely in response to widespread alarm about his administration's attitude towards science. Trump has repeatedly called global warming a "hoax", promised to roll back numerous environmental protection laws and proposed double-digit cuts to agencies such as

the US National Institutes of Health. Although it started in the United States, the march idea soon spread to other countries.

The reasons people had for marching were as varied as the signs they carried, but several themes ran through the crowds. *Nature* reporters visited eight cities around the world and found that many people were protesting to stress the importance of science, concerns over research funding, the reality of climate change and fears for future generations.

The throngs included working researchers, teachers, students and non-scientists who wanted to show their support. Many scientists said they were speaking out for the first time.

SCIENCE IN SOCIETY

"I feel like as a scientist, I don't get the luxury of stepping away [from] being a citizen myself,"

says Eli Dueker, an environmental microbiologist at Bard College in Annandale-on-Hudson, New York. He and his sister attended the march in Washington DC dressed as the Wonder Twins — characters from the animated 1970s TV show *Super Friends* — complete with purple jumpsuits and yellow capes. "Pretending like science is divorced from people is probably one of the reasons we're in this mess to begin with."

In Sydney, Australia, Nadia Santini also came out in support for more engagement between researchers and the public. "It's important for scientists to get more involved in what's going on in the world," said Santini, a plant ecologist at the University of New South Wales, Sydney. She said researchers need to speak out against misinformation and promote science's vital role in society.

That was the main drive for organizers of the march in Paris. “We must explain to citizens that science is much, much more fragile than is believed,” said Alain Fuchs, president of the National Centre for Scientific Research, the main French public basic-research agency. The spread of belief in place of truth “is extremely serious, even in France”.

“The line between pseudoscience and science isn’t always clearly delineated in our society,” said Moritz Hertel from the Max Planck Institute for Ornithology in Seewiesen, Germany. Hertel, who studies brain plasticity, carried an umbrella decorated with dangling pictures of brains to the march in Munich. “Science needs to play a stronger role in policy-making — this is particularly important during this election year in Germany,” he said.

THE FUTURE OF FUNDING

Many marchers railed against recent moves by governments to slash funding for science. They said that cuts threaten not only current research, but also the chances of attracting future generations to careers in science.

Curtis Moon, a graduate student studying biosystematics at London’s Natural History Museum, worries about UK science funding once the country leaves the European Union.

His homemade sign read “WTF?! Where’s the funding?!”

Direct political interference in US science isn’t new, said public-health researcher Simon Chapman, speaking to a crowd of about 3,000 in Sydney’s central business district. But President Trump’s plan to cut the budgets of major science programmes is unprecedented, said the retired University of Sydney professor. “Many researchers here today have colleagues living in Trump’s America who fear for their careers and their future.”

For Adhemar Liquitaya, a graduate student in biochemistry at the National Autonomous University of Mexico in Mexico City, the fight is personal. In January 2017, the National Council of Science and Technology, the government agency in charge of implementing scientific and technological policies, reduced the amount of money offered to students like him. “If I struggled before with paying my rent and buying groceries, now I’m being forced to tighten my belt even more,” said Liquitaya.

A CHANGING CLIMATE

Climate change was high on the list of concerns among participants across the globe as they gathered on Earth Day, an annual event designed to draw attention to the environment.

With home-made signs and impromptu chants, people mocked those who deny evidence linking humans to rising temperatures and swelling seas.

“Sticking your head in the sand is not a solution to global warming. Your ass will still get very hot”, proclaimed the sign held aloft by Frédéric Bayer, a nutrition engineer who now works for a scientific communications agency Protéines in Paris.

Colorado governor John Hickenlooper, a former geologist, told the crowd in Denver that “climate change cannot be reversed by silencing scientists”. But his speech also highlighted that march attendees were not united on all fronts. Hickenlooper spoke over the chants of activists opposed to the drilling practice known as fracking, which he supports.

Across the globe, the gatherings tended to be upbeat, and many participants took it as their responsibility to look towards the future. “I really do believe God made all of this,” said Janine Schroeder, referring to the planet. She attended the march in Washington DC with friends from her Christian church in Arlington, Virginia.

“It’s our job to take care of this Earth. If you don’t fund these programmes to take care of it, then we’re not doing our job as stewards.” ■

ECOLOGY

Peru’s floods teach tough lessons

Surprise El Niño causes devastation, but presents bounty for ecologists.

BY BARBARA FRASER

Torrential rains pummelled Peru’s northern coastal desert in February and March, triggering floods that killed at least 113 people and destroyed some 40,000 homes. As families grapple with their losses and government officials tally the cost of repair and reconstruction, scientists are gearing up for an unusual opportunity to study ecosystems that go decades without much rain.

The rains were spurred by an unusual ‘coastal’ El Niño climate pattern, in which warm water pooled off the coast of southern Ecuador and northern Peru — more so than during the much larger 2015–16 El Niño. Rains fell in both countries, but the human toll was highest in Peru’s normally parched northern desert.

In the now-greening land, plants are growing, bird populations are shifting and rivers are moving sediments and pollution in ways they haven’t done for two decades. What scientists learn as they descend on the region could aid conservation efforts and help people and government officials to prepare for severe weather events.

“Except for the impacts on the people,” says biologist Juan Torres of La Molina National Agrarian University in Lima, “this is a meteorologically enchanting moment.”

Once roads are passable, Torres will visit field sites that he studied after the powerful 1997–98 El Niño, which also soaked the region. At that time, Torres found wild relatives of domesticated crops — including tomatoes, peppers, potatoes and squash — that had sprouted from dormant seeds. This year, he will again catalogue wild plants, along with the crops that farmers choose to grow on lands made fertile by the flooding.

Part of the northern desert is irrigated farmland, but there are also patches of a dry forest that has been devastated in recent years by industrial agriculture, urban sprawl and the charcoal trade. Oliver Whaley at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, in London, has studied

Peru’s dry forests for 25 years, and hopes that the rain will bring respite to the ecosystem.

One important tree species, known locally as huarango (*Prosopis* spp.), has been in rapid decline, in part because of pressure from insects and a fungus. The floods may have washed the insect pests away. Peruvian botanist Ana Juárez will survey the area further with Whaley in May, but has not seen any insects on the trees near her since the rains. That’s a good sign, but the ongoing destruction of the dry forest seems to have exacerbated erosion and flooding from the storms.

Soil carried by the floods surged down normally tranquil rivers. Satellite images show the Tumbes and Chira rivers overflowing and spreading nutrient-rich sediment over swathes of farmland.

That’s what the rivers are supposed to do, says Jorge Abad, a civil engineer at the University of Engineering and Technology in Lima. But these floods caused damage because the rivers have been channelled, dammed and dredged without considering sediment flow, he says, adding that better modelling would ▶

“Except for the impacts on the people, this is a meteorologically enchanting moment.”