A facility at Shidongkou No. 2 Power Plant in Shanghai, China, scrubs carbon dioxide from flue gases for a cost per tonne of CO₂ that is far below prices elsewhere.

CLEAN COAL

Low-cost carbon-capture project sparks interest

Consortium to determine whether price reductions seen in China can be applied abroad.

BY JEFF TOLLEFSON

The Shidongkou No. 2 Power Plant outside Shanghai, China, has hosted a parade of foreign visitors in recent months, from academics and industry officials to US energy secretary Steven Chu. All have had one question on their minds: have Chinese engineers turned a corner on carbon-capture technology?

That question occupies a small but significant place in a package of clean-energy research initiatives expected to be announced this week as Chinese President Hu Jintao meets US President Barack Obama in Washington DC from 19 January.

The buzz began in late 2009, after officials at the government-owned Huaneng Group opened a facility that captures some of the carbon dioxide emitted by the existing giant 1,320-megawatt coal-fired Shidongkou power station. The system scrubs roughly 120,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year from 3% of the facility's flue gases, but what has caught everybody's eye is the cost that Huaneng quotes: a mere US\$30–35 per tonne of CO₂, including the further expense of purifying the captured gas for use in the food and beverage industry.

That is far below the \$100 or more typically estimated for first-generation projects to retrofit existing power plants for carbon capture and

storage (CCS) in the United States and Europe, and it is within the range of past carbon prices in the European Union emissions trading system. If similar cost reductions can be realized elsewhere, they could cut years off the timetable for commercial introduction of retrofitted CCS technology, touted as a way to reduce the climatic impact of existing coal plants. Experts want to know how the Chinese facility is doing it, and whether the savings could be exported.

During Hu's US visit this week, officials are expected to announce an initiative that will see a consortium of government, academic and business interests from the United States and China conduct a coal-technology assessment that could provide some answers. "A lot of people want to know whether that work will translate into other markets, and I believe we'll be able to shed a lot of light on that question," says Julio Friedmann, carbon-management programme leader at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in Livermore, California. Friedmann also serves as technical director for the US–China Advanced Coal Technology Consortium at West Virginia University in Morgantown, which was established last September as part of an energy partnership and will conduct the assessment.

The Shidongkou retrofit builds on the work of a smaller facility, installed at the Gaobeidian power plant in Beijing in 2008 (see *Nature* **454**, 388–392; 2008). Both installations use a common CCS technology: CO₂-rich flue gas from the plant is bubbled through a column containing an amine-based solvent — in this case, a mixture of ethanolamine and additives — that reacts with the gas and takes up its carbon dioxide. The solvent is then heated to release the CO₂, and the whole process starts again. In addition to the direct costs, this process is normally expected to gulp 25% or more of a plant's energy output when fully scaled up, making it a tough sell for power companies.

Huaneng has not yet revealed all the technical details of its CCS process. Huang Bin, head of Huaneng's Research and Development Division in Beijing, says that the company has made unspecified changes in the design of the plant and the chemistry of the solvent, which increased the energy efficiency of the system by 11–14% and reduced the cost of installation by a factor of 10 per tonne of CO₂.

"Huaneng is using a known process, but they seem to have found a way to do it much more economically," says David Mohler, chief technology officer of Duke Energy, an electric utility company based in Charlotte, North Carolina. "What's the secret sauce?" he adds.

► NATURE.COM
Read more
about carbon
sequestration at:
go.nature.com/dztne1

HUANENG GROUP

The cost that Huaneng quotes for capturing the carbon dioxide before purification — around \$20 per tonne — is four to five times lower than anything anybody else is reporting, says Mohler. Duke Energy has a partnership with Huaneng and has been planning to analyse the cost of installing and running Huaneng's technology at its Gibson Station power plant in Indiana; Mohler says that joining the consortium's broader assessment will bolster the Gibson analysis and help put this technology in context with other options.

However, Howard Herzog, a chemical engineer researching carbon sequestration at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Cambridge, says a deeper inspection of the Shidongkou facility might reveal that its secret comes down to things such as cheap labour and fewer regulatory burdens. "The fact that it's cheaper in China doesn't impress me," says Herzog, who recently toured the facility. "Everything is cheaper in China."

Sarah Forbes, a carbon-sequestration expert at the World Resources Institute, an environmental think tank in Washington DC, says that Huaneng's costs for carbon capture are in proportion with general costs in the Chinese coal industry, which tend to be about one-third of those in the United States.

Ming Sung, who promotes US–Chinese business alliances in Beijing on behalf of the Clean Air Task Force in Boston, Massachusetts, acknowledges that costs will be higher in places such as the United States, but says that the question is how much. Assuming that Huaneng has made some industrial progress, he says, its technology will probably still be cheaper than anyone else's at this stage.

That may not be enough to jump-start the technology, Forbes cautions. "Adding carbon capture to a power plant still comes with a significant cost and energy penalty" that will discourage adoption, she says, and the regulatory drivers necessary to encourage or force take-up are still missing.

Nonetheless, EmberClear, an energy company based in Calgary, Canada, has licensed a suite of Huaneng's clean-coal technologies — including the capture technology in place in Shanghai — for deployment in the West. Albert Lin, chief executive of EmberClear, says the cost reductions that Huaneng has achieved at Shidongkou are probably the result of a mixture of practice and Chinese economics. "Half of it is Chinese costs, but there are definitely improvements in how the technology is being used," he says.

To Friedmann, Shidongkou No. 2 has become a critical case study for exploring actual CCS costs, because of its scale and the real operational data that Huaneng is providing. "There are not that many places where we are going to have the kind of data we are looking for," says Friedmann. "They are the forerunners." ■

POLICY

France mulls embryo research reform

Scientists and clinicians push for a clearer, more permissive law on human embryonic stem-cell work.

BY DECLAN BUTLER

France's tight restrictions on human embryonic stem-cell (ESC) research might soon be eased. As parliament prepares to debate the issue next month, *Nature* has learned that researchers' calls for reform are garnering political support.

Officially, research on human ESCs and embryos is banned in France. But under a 2004 amendment to the country's bioethics law, scientists can obtain dispensation for research that could lead to "major therapeutic progress" for serious diseases that resist other approaches. Those whose research fits the bill — about 30 research groups and 40 projects so far — can carry out research on whole embryos, or on cell lines derived from embryos left over from *in vitro* fertilization (IVF). Creating embryos for research purposes is illegal in the country, a position that enjoys a broad consensus among scientists, politicians and public alike.

Scientists concede that the 2004 compromise has been a great improvement on the previous outright ban. But it still left uncertainty over the regulatory status of ESCs in France. This uncertainty is a deterrent to foreign researchers and investment by companies, says Marc Peschanski, a neuroscientist working for INSERM, the national biomedical research agency, and head of the Institute for Stem Cell Therapy and Exploration of Monogenic Diseases in Evry, outside Paris. Axel Kahn, a renowned INSERM geneticist and president of the University of Paris-Descartes, calls the current law "an intellectual absurdity and a legal quirk".

A broad consensus of researchers and clinicians is now urging the government to overturn the ban, and to explicitly authorize research on ESCs and whole embryos without the need for any special dispensation.

The law is ripe for reform, says Philippe Menasché, a cardiovascular surgeon working for INSERM at the Georges Pompidou European Hospital in Paris, where his team is researching stem cells as a potential therapy for heart disorders. Conservative politicians' opposition to all forms of embryo research was so fierce in 2004 that most researchers were grateful for any progress, he recalls, but

political and ideological resistance has now largely abated.

The government's draft revised bill, released last October, would maintain the existing system. But last week, a source close to the science ministry told *Nature* that the ministry will back the explicit authorization of ESC research. After five years without any apparent abuses, politicians are more comfortable with the work, he says. The successful oversight of the national Biomedicine Agency, set up in 2004 to regulate human embryology, genetics and IVF, has had a critical role in inspiring confidence, he adds.

The science ministry is holding off from taking a position on the more controversial issue of research on whole embryos, says the ministry source, preferring to wait until it has heard the views of parliament next month.

Some scientists, including Menasché and Peschanski, say they would be satisfied with

After five years without any apparent abuses, politicians are more comfortable with the work. a more permissive law on only ESCs, a position that has support among parliamentarians, adds Menasché. But other scientists, including Kahn, point out that ESCs are just one aspect of embryo research, and they are

pushing for a more complete authorization that includes all forms of such research. This view was endorsed by the influential bipartisan Parliamentary Office for Evaluation of Scientific and Technological Options last July, and by the Conseil d'État, one of the three arms of the country's supreme court system, in a May 2009 report requested by Prime Minister François Fillon.

The outcome of next month's debate is "unpredictable", says Menasché. The government may decide to take the low-risk approach of maintaining the status quo, and any bill must also be approved by the highly conservative Senate. A key factor will be the recommendations of a cross-party parliamentary commission set up to examine the draft bill. Having heard testimony from researchers, it is scheduled to release its report later this month. A source says that the commission is currently "very divided". ■