GOT A NEWS TIP?

Send any article ideas for Nature's News section to newstips@nature.com

K. CAMPBELL/GETTY

Medicines, who says that there is still a great need for new antiretroviral combinations and formulations for children.

However, GSK's HIV patents could yet dive into a different pool. UNITAID, an international organization that negotiates lower drug prices, hopes to launch a patent pool by the end of this year that would allow companies to license their AIDS drugs in return for royalties. Ellen 't Hoen, UNITAID's senior adviser on intellectual property, says that although no companies have yet signed up, several have expressed interest, including GSK.

There is a sound incentive for companies to join such patent pools. Countries with large populations of sick patients are increasingly likely to use their rights under TRIPS, the World Trade Organization's intellectual-property agreement, to issue compulsory licences, allowing them to produce generic copies of patented drugs at low royalties.

Western drug companies fret that such licences could limit their opportunities in what they see as their biggest future growth markets: the well-off elites in emerging economies such as India, China or Brazil. Preserving these markets may explain why GSK has limited its proposals to the LDCs, says Brook Baker, an expert on health and human rights at Northeastern University in Boston.

Witty also announced that GSK would cut the prices of all its medicines in the LDCs to no more than 25% of their prices in the richest countries. But the focus on the LDCs means that these cuts, and the patent pool, will not benefit the vast number of poverty-stricken people living in middle-income countries, says Baker. According to the World Bank, more people live on less than \$2 per day in India than in all of sub-Saharan Africa.

Despite the cuts, GSK's drugs will still be unaffordable to most poor people, he adds. And if generic producers can only use the patent pool to produce drugs for the LDCs, they will not be able to get the economies of scale they need to sell drugs cheaply enough.

"When Glaxo and others announced at the start of the decade that they would cut the cost of HIV drugs by 70%, initially we thought 'this is a big deal,'" says Childs. "But they were still unaffordable. It was only when generic manufacturers brought prices down 99% that we saw wide access to drugs."

Declan Butler

Additional reporting by Natasha Gilbert

Google Ocean reveals the terrain hidden beneath the waves.

Seabed images create waves

Fly to coordinates N0 E94.75 in Google Ocean, the visualization program launched this month that renders marine environments in unprecedented detail, and you'll see something that's definitely not in the real ocean: the initials 'DTS/SIO'. This is the mark of geophysicist David T. Sandwell of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla, part of the University of California, San Diego (UCSD), who uses the initials here and elsewhere to mark vast areas of the ocean that remain uncharted.

The initials are also a reminder of the people and institutions behind the data — and how they can lose out on financial gain as public assets enter the corporate world. More than a decade ago, Sandwell and Walter Smith of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in Silver Spring, Maryland, developed a way to convert gravity and ocean-depth data from satellite missions and research cruises into a bathymetric map of the sea floor. Google Ocean incorporates that method — which Sandwell copyrighted for UCSD — without compensation.

Many researchers have praised Google's visualizations such as Google Earth and Google Mars. "Scientists want to see their data published and used by the world," says Steve Miller, project manager for Google Ocean at the California-based company headquartered in Mountain View. The programs incorporate public-domain data from non-profit organizations and agencies such as NASA.

But some question whether institutions — whose researchers often develop sophisticated ways of using such data — are losing out. "The marketplace is taking the technology and the university isn't doing squat," says Gideon Markman, a management

scholar at Colorado State University in Fort Collins. Jeff Chester, a social scientist who directs the Center for Digital Democracy in Washington DC, goes further: "In this case, it looks like the university was digitally fleeced."

Miller says that Google talked last summer with UCSD and Sandwell about incorporating the technology. "There were some legal questions," he says. "But we are comfortable." And William Decker, UCSD's technology-transfer official on the case, says, "we followed the process we always do to get appropriate fair value".

Sandwell wants other researchers to use his bathymetric map, and asks commercial providers to contact him before use. Oil and gas companies such as ExxonMobil have done so and funded his research. But Google didn't contact Sandwell when it first started using an earlier version of the bathymetry in 2005, and he raised the issue with the company last year as it was working on its oceans system.

Tony Haymet, the Scripps's director who led discussions with Google, acknowledges that there were no negotiations for compensation. Asked why the university didn't push to receive anything when state universities are facing major financial difficulties, Haymet responded: "That's a good question."

Last August, the US National Geophysical Data Center in Boulder, Colorado, pulled a version of Sandwell's technology from its website in part because of the copyright issue.

So far, all that Sandwell has received in return for his work is a year's worth of Google Earth Pro software — normal cost US\$400 — for his classes. He is now applying to a Google foundation for funds to support a postdoctoral research position in oceanography.

Rex Dalton

"The university isn't doing squat."