Evolutionary biologist Christopher Dick was collecting seeds in the Brazilian Amazon when he was bitten by a fer-de-lance (*Bothrops asper*), one of the rainforest’s most notorious venomous snakes. He and a field assistant were 1.5 kilometres from camp and 90 kilometres north of the city of Manaus. They had no mobile phone or Global Positioning System (GPS) device (it was the 1990s) and the supply vehicle wasn’t due for two days.

Using a venom-extraction kit, Dick picked out some coagulated blood from the bite marks on his ankle. Then he walked back to camp. While he waited for an emergency pick-up, one local tied a piece of bark to his ankle, another offered to spit in his mouth and a third suggested that he drink the local alcohol. He declined the offers.

Arriving hours later at a small hospital, nurses injected him with anti-venom. Dick spent the night on an operating table under fluorescent light. Then he was moved to a bed in a roomful of people who had various tropical diseases. The bathroom had no toilet paper, no one had cleaned his wound and a nurse told him he would need to stay for two weeks to be monitored for secondary infections. He found a pay phone and hailed a cab so that he could join his colleagues in Manaus, where he slowly regained his health. When Dick returned home to Massachusetts several months later, medical tests revealed that he had three diseases, including German measles.

Dick recovered completely, but gained an appreciation of the risks that come with fieldwork in his discipline, and for the need to prepare for them in advance. “I want to go over all of the potential pitfalls and dangers and have plans available for contacting me or someone else who can help immediately,” he says of the graduate students at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, whom he plans to bring to Brazil next summer.

Opportunities to do research in the field attract many scientists who are eager to experience adventure while making discoveries.
But travelling to foreign lands and working in remote places carry risks of injury and illness that are often difficult to predict and are challenging to navigate.

There seems to be no central database to log illnesses, injuries and deaths among scientists in the field. And many mishaps are likely to go unrecorded because researchers either don’t see the need to report what happened, fear putting their funding at risk or want to avoid the hassle of the reporting process. You cannot prepare for everything, and it’s important to accept that some accidents, such as those triggered by freak occurrences, are unavoidable, just as some accidents, such as those triggered by human error, are preventable. But researchers who travel into the field recommend preparing detailed analyses of, and action strategies for, everything that could possibly go wrong — from riots to malaria. If calamity strikes, a sound plan could make the difference between tragedy and escape (see ‘Be prepared’).

**ADVANCE PLANNING**

Cultural anthropologist Christopher Kovats-Bernat, an explorer-in-residence with the National Geographic Society who lives in Allen-town, Pennsylvania, says that advance knowledge of potential dangers in the field would have helped him to avoid and deal with them better when he started his career. He began studying violence among children in Haiti during a particularly bloody period in 1994. Kovats-Bernat’s research on street children and armed gangs has taken him into a Haitian ghetto called Cité Soleil, once described by the United Nations as the most dangerous place on Earth. He developed a traumatic brain injury and partial hearing loss when his shoulder was used as a gunrest, and got shrapnel lodged in his eye during a gunfight between child soldiers.

Kovats-Bernat has had a life-threatening anaphylactic reaction to a spider bite, three cases of malaria, a persistent case of giardia infection and three bouts of dengue, including one that coincided with a chikungunya virus infection and landed him in critical care for a week. And his work and its fallout have left him with post-traumatic stress disorder, a risk to researchers who work in dangerous places that he thinks continues to be unaddressed and underacknowledged by educators and by his professional anthropology association. “I was never told anything about dangers during undergraduate or graduate training,” he says.

These days, Kovats-Bernat teaches his students about all the risks and dangers associated with fieldwork and how to prepare for and deal with them. He trained as an emergency medical technician, and in advanced first-aid and triage trauma care, to deal with mishaps in the field. He carries a trauma kit that includes intravenous drips, injectable lidocaine, sutures, a military field surgical kit, bandages and rehydration salts, along with 32 prescription and over-the-counter medicines. His pack also contains enough cash, clothing, food, water, self-defence gear and other supplies to last for four days. And once he knew that he would be spending a lot of time in Haiti, he learned the Haitian Creole (Kreyòl) language so that he could understand what locals were saying to — and about — him.

A sound plan for averting disaster on field trips should include staying in top physical condition, says Laurent Godin, a structural geologist at Queen’s University in Kingston, Canada. And like Kovats-Bernat, Godin recommends taking emergency medications, clothing and other supplies, as well as working with experienced local guides and having direct contact with drivers and emergency services. The strategy paid off during a research trip to India in 2008 to date rock formations. One morning, Godin climbed up a steep, snow-covered slope to collect some rocks. On descent he hit a patch of ice, slid and twisted his ankle by 100 degrees.

His fitness and advance planning helped him to navigate the subsequent gruelling challenges. After taking some ibuprofen from his well-outfitted pack, Godin worked out his GPS coordinate, tightened his boot to support his ankle and donned mittens. Then he crawled for a couple of hours until he reached two colleagues, who helped to transport him to camp (and returned for the rocks). After a painful night, Godin climbed up a steep, snow-covered slope to collect some rocks. On descent he hit a patch of ice, slid and twisted his ankle by 100 degrees.

**BE PREPARED**

Field researchers need a plan to avoid disaster and for how to deal with it when it happens. “You don’t want to push the abort button at the end when so much is at stake, you have a graduate student starting a project and it’s costing you US$20,000 to be there,” says Laurent Godin, a structural geologist at Queen’s University in Kingston, Canada. “Have a plan in place.” Here are some tips.

**Before you go**

- Take workshops to learn leadership strategies, and practise safety plans using role-play scenarios. What if you are rattled by an encounter with an elephant en route to a field site and want to turn back, but your team leader wants to continue? Or it starts pouring with rain four hours before a boat is scheduled to pick up your team, and your field assistant forgot his rain gear?
- Most institutions require researchers to complete a field-research hazard assessment that includes estimating risks and how you would minimize or deal with them. Even if your institution doesn’t, do one. Godin’s hazard list includes avalanches, earthquakes, political instability, street riots, land mines, dysentery, malaria, altitude sickness and rock falls.
- Get the relevant vaccinations, find out where the international clinics are in overseas destinations and build in a financial cushion for dealing with emergencies. There may be opportunities for emergency-evacuation funding within your field.

**In the field**

- Know where your team members are and never work alone. Develop a communication plan, with a schedule for checking in with a designated contact who will call for help if the check-in doesn’t happen.
- Always have a mobile phone with you; keep it charged.
- Be trained in first aid, have a complete first-aid kit in camp and take a small one in your day pack.
- Keep your passport with you and have good insurance.
- Carry a loud whistle to alert teammates that you need help.
- Be ready to make quick decisions in the face of danger and to enlist the help of locals. Ecologist Douglas Yu of the University of East Anglia in Norwich, UK, was surveying trees in the Amazon in 1999 when his indigenous companions heard bird-calls that sounded like the tinamou — but the season was wrong. Instead, the calls signalled the presence of an aggressive tribe. Yu and his team left immediately. More recently, Yu cancelled a trip to Ethiopia because of riots. He makes such rules for all trips. “You have to be able and willing to say no,” he says. £5.

**Fitness might be less relevant, however, in the face of disasters unrelated to extreme circumstances: researchers also need to be wary of seemingly mundane risks such as road conditions. Ecologist Douglas Yu, of the University of East Anglia in Norwich, UK, was driving with his wife and their dog along a slippery mountain road in China in 2012 to view flying squirrels. The car skidded, tumbled down a ravine and rolled over several times. Although the car landed upright, his wife was unconscious.**
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