

REVIEW

Retinoic acid resistance in acute promyelocytic leukemia

RE Gallagher

Departments of Oncology and Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center and Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, USA

Primary resistance of PML-RAR α -positive acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) to the induction of clinical remission (CR) by all-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA) is rare but markedly increases in frequency after ≥ 2 relapses from chemotherapy-induced CRs. Nevertheless, even in *de novo* cases, the primary response of ATRA-naive cases is variable by several measures, suggesting involvement of heterogeneous molecular elements. Secondary, acquired ATRA resistance occurs in most patients treated with ATRA alone and in many patients who relapse from combination ATRA chemotherapy regimens despite limited ATRA exposure. Although early studies suggested that an adaptive hypercatabolic response to pharmacological ATRA levels is the principal mechanism of ATRA resistance, recent studies suggest that molecular disturbances in APL cells have a predominant role, particularly if disease relapse occurs a few months after discontinuing ATRA therapy. This review summarizes the systemic and APL cellular elements that have been linked to clinical ATRA resistance with emphasis on identifying areas of deficient information and important topics for further investigation. Overall, the subject review strongly supports the hypothesis that, although APL is an infrequent and nearly cured disease, much can be gained by understanding the complex relationship of ATRA resistance to the progression and relapse of APL, which has important implications for other leukemias and malignancies.

Leukemia (2002) 16, 1940–1958. doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2402719

Keywords: APL; retinoids; resistance; differentiation; PML-RAR α ; CRABP

Introduction

This review presupposes familiarity with the essential clinical aspects of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) and with basic molecular mechanisms involved in the maturation response of APL cells to all-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA). For readers seeking more background information, many reviews are available.^{1–6} Although five different chromosome translocations have been associated with APL, all involving the retinoic acid receptor- α (RAR α) gene, this review is restricted to the t(15;17) that generates the PML-RAR α oncoprotein. About 99% of APL cases are PML-RAR α -positive, and a substantial body of clinical, pharmacological and cell biological correlative information has now accrued from clinical trials employing ATRA therapy. Some of the most interesting information relates to ATRA resistance, much of which has been developed since this subject was last comprehensively reviewed.⁷

In this review, clinical ATRA resistance shall be defined as the inability to achieve or sustain complete hematological remission (CR) of APL on ATRA therapy. By this criterion, primary ATRA resistance is very uncommon, since CR can be

achieved in all but a few reported ATRA naive cases, excluding early death from disease complications or the early development of toxic complications. On the other hand, the development of secondary, acquired ATRA resistance is very common in patients treated continuously with oral ATRA as a single agent. As induction therapy has improved by using ATRA in combination with chemotherapeutic agents, the incidence of ATRA resistance has declined, but it remains a significant deterrent to the maximum application of this highly specific agent, especially in the 10–30% of patients who relapse from CRs achieved and consolidated with ATRA-containing regimens. Increasingly, it is recognized that there is great heterogeneity in sensitivity to ATRA effects on APL cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and, although it is convenient for discussion to fix a definition of ATRA resistance, it, in reality, reflects a spectrum of aberrations with complex permutations. This review attempts to update and integrate information related to these complexities following the outline in Table 1.

Clinical observations

Primary ATRA resistance

Table 2 summarizes the results of major reported studies in which ATRA was utilized as a single agent in either oral or intravenous liposomal (L-ATRA) form for the induction of CR in ATRA-naive cases.^{8–20} Among >375 cases of *de novo* disease with no previous anti-leukemia therapy, only two instances of remission induction failure due to ATRA-resistant disease were reported. In only one of these cases was the diagnosis of APL established by genetic criteria, ie the finding of

Table 1 Outline of topics related to ATRA-resistance in APL

<i>Clinical observations</i>
Primary ATRA resistance
Secondary, acquired ATRA resistance
<i>Elements of acquired ATRA resistance</i>
Systemic elements
Increased catabolism
Sequestration by CRABP
Relation of alternative retinoids to hypercatabolism
APL cellular elements
<i>In vitro</i> ATRA sensitivity testing
Mechanisms that may limit ATRA delivery to cell nucleus
Decreased effective APL cell uptake
Increased cytoplasmic sequestration
Increased cytoplasmic catabolism
Decreased nuclear transport
Aberrations of nuclear receptor-gene response mechanisms
Overall considerations
Focus on PML-RAR α : <i>in vitro</i> studies
Focus on PML-RAR α : clinical studies

Correspondence: RE Gallagher, Department of Oncology, Montefiore Medical Center, 111 East 210th Street, Bronx, New York, USA 10467; Fax: 718-798-7474

Received 1 June 2002; accepted 21 June 2002

the PML-RAR α transcript by RT-PCR assay.¹⁶ Similarly, in other large clinical trials in which ATRA was used in combination with chemotherapy, no^{18–21} or a very low^{22–24} incidence of primary resistant disease was reported in *de novo* APL patients. Although most patients entered on these trials had cytogenetic or molecular studies to make the genetic diagnosis of APL, a significant fraction of patients did not have these studies. In almost all instances, these data were not reported specifically related to the ATRA induction failure cases, raising the possibility that some of these cases may not have had PML-RAR α -positive APL. For example, in the North American trial INT0129 in which this was reported, 3/4 ATRA (single agent) induction failure cases tested by RT-PCR lacked PML-RAR α mRNA.¹⁶

At first relapse from previous chemotherapy or in cases initially refractory to chemotherapy, two cases of primary ATRA resistance, both of which had documented genetic APL by the t(15;17) marker chromosome, have been reported among approximately 60 evaluable cases (Table 2). These results suggest that the incidence of primary ATRA resistance is either the same or slightly higher than in *de novo* disease, although long-term outcome is less favorable (reviewed in Ref. 25). Only a few reported cases of ATRA induction following two or more relapses from chemotherapy-induced CRs are available. However, in two studies with oral ATRA, 3/10 patients^{9,13} and, in one study with L-ATRA,²⁰ 3/3 patients, respectively, failed to achieve CR. This high incidence (46%) of ATRA resistance in ATRA-naive patients with two or more relapses indicates the development either of cross-resistance to chemotherapeutic agents, as discussed in more detail

below, or of non-specific molecular aberrations related to leukemic progression. Importantly, at least six of these cases were documented to be positive for the t(15;17) by repeat cytogenetic analysis just prior to retreatment with ATRA or L-ATRA.^{9,20}

Cytogenetic or molecular confirmation of genetic APL in cases with primary clinical ATRA resistance is important, because several instances of leukemic chimeras have been reported in which APL cells are admixed with alternative leukemia cells, most frequently t(8;21)/AML1-ETO-positive cells.^{26–30} For example, in the INT0129 trial, a case that was PML-RAR α -positive but lacked the t(15;17) in the few analyzable metaphase cells (as occurs in ~15% of cases analyzed) was crossed over to the chemotherapy induction arm of the protocol because of ATRA toxicity (but also with a poor initial response) and, after initially achieving CR, the patient relapsed with PML-RAR α -negative AML.³¹ Similar instances have been cited in other studies involving ATRA induction as a single agent³² or in combination with chemotherapy.^{29,30} Most convincingly, in two cases, transcripts for both PML-RAR α and AML1-ETO could be detected prior to therapy but after treatment with combined ATRA/chemotherapy, there was selective loss of the PML-RAR α transcript or t(15;17) with retention and relapse with AML1-ETO-positive leukemia.^{29,30} Since RT-PCR is such a sensitive method, positive results for PML-RAR α might be observed even with a relatively minor subpopulation of APL cells in a leukemic chimera, which could then unfold as an apparent primary ATRA-resistant case.

Few other specific mechanisms of potential primary ATRA resistance have been identified. Based on few cases, evidence

Table 2 Reports of primary ATRA resistance in ATRA-naive APL cases

Previous chemoRx	Evaluable cases ^a	ATRA form and dose ^b	No. resistant	Diagnostic criteria	Study/Ref.
None/ <i>De novo</i> disease	15	Oral 45	1	Cytologic	Huang <i>et al</i> ⁸
	41	Oral 60–80	0		Chen <i>et al</i> ¹⁰
	2	Oral 45	0		Castaigne <i>et al</i> ⁹
	10	Oral 25	0		Castaigne <i>et al</i> ¹¹
	54	Oral 45	0		Soignet <i>et al</i> ¹⁵
	14	Oral 45	0		Fenaux <i>et al</i> ¹²
	153	Oral 45	1 ^c	Molecular	Tallman <i>et al</i> ¹⁶
	59	Oral 45	0		Asou <i>et al</i> ¹⁸
	27 ^d	IV Lipo 90	0		Estey <i>et al</i> ¹⁹
	20 ^d	IV Lipo 90	0		Douer <i>et al</i> ²⁰
1st Relapse or 1st induction failure	8	Oral 45	0		Huang <i>et al</i> ⁸
	6	Oral 60–80	0	Cytogenet	Chen <i>et al</i> ¹⁰
	10	Oral 45	1		Castaigne <i>et al</i> ⁹
	12	Oral 25	1	Cytogenet	Castaigne <i>et al</i> ¹¹
	11	Oral 45	0 ^e		Ohno <i>et al</i> ¹³
	7	Oral 50	0		Cortes <i>et al</i> ¹⁷
	4	IV Lipo 90	0		Douer <i>et al</i> ²⁰
≥1 Relapse ^f	25	Oral 45	0		Soignet <i>et al</i> ¹⁵
≥2 Relapses or 2nd refractory	5	Oral 45	3	Cytogenet	Castaigne <i>et al</i> ⁹
	5	Oral 45	0 ^e		Ohno <i>et al</i> ¹³
	3	IV Lipo 90	3	Cytogenet	Douer <i>et al</i> ²⁰

^aEarly death cases and, in some trials, cases treated with chemotherapy in addition to ATRA have been subtracted from the total reported cases, because they are not evaluable for the effectiveness of ATRA as a single agent to induce CR.

^bDose in mg/m²/day except liposomal ATRA is every other day.

^cAPL cells from three additional reported cases with ATRA resistance tested negative for the PML-RAR α fusion gene.

^dCases are partially overlapping from both references.

^eData from 'First Study' cited in Ref. 13.

^fCases not segregated by relapse number but evidently a minority of cases had >1 relapse.

was presented that APL cells harboring the uncommon V-form of PML-RAR α with relatively long deletions of the carboxy-terminus of PML exon 6 have reduced sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation.³³ Although some supportive evidence for an adverse clinical impact of the V-form has emerged, there have been insufficient cases to establish this, and it may have a greater impact on disease-free and overall survival than on CR.^{34,35} More specifically, in one reported V-form case (case 1, Ref. 33, case 16 Ref. 31) with a long PML exon 6 deletion and an over-compensatory insertion of sequence from RAR α intron 2,^{34,36} two novel molecular findings related to ATRA resistance have been made by independent investigative groups: (1) a small deletion mutation in the normal PML allele³⁷ and (2) a sequence motif in the RAR α intronic insert associated with increased binding of corepressor protein.³⁶ The APL cells of this patient, who discontinued ATRA induction therapy because of the development of cerebral aspergilliosis,³³ had a defect in superoxide generation, as assessed by the nitroblue tetrazolium dye reduction test, which was disproportionate to the loss of cytological response. This defect became more severe after relapse from a brief chemotherapy-achieved CR³¹ without, however, apparent change in either of the two molecular defects.^{36,37} These results illustrate how more than one molecular defect may contribute to reduction of the primary maturation response of APL cells to ATRA.

Also consistent with the notion that ATRA-sensitivity – which is just the obverse of ATRA resistance – is related to multiple factors, is a recent study of the *in vitro* induction of APL cell maturation under non-saturating ATRA conditions.³⁸ When tested at 0.1 μ M ATRA for only 3 days, there was great heterogeneity in the level of APL cell maturation achieved, which contrasts with the more uniform high-level of terminal differentiation of APL blasts from the great majority of cases after 5 or 6 days incubation.^{33,38} This heterogeneity is difficult to explain by any common molecular mechanism that decreases sensitivity and, reciprocally, increases relative resistance. As noted,³⁸ a variety of factors may contribute to this heterogeneity, including inherent differences in intracellular molecules mediating the ATRA response, in effective APL cellular uptake of ATRA, or in modulation by cytokines. Extending this logic to the *in vivo* situation, inherent differences in systemic ATRA metabolism^{39,40} might further contribute to an individual patient's position on the ATRA sensitivity/resistance spectrum. However, these heterogeneity elements appear to be insufficient to produce primary ATRA resistance, as defined, since virtually all *de novo* APL patients achieve CR, although in some cases this may be quite short-lived. In the few documented primary ATRA resistant cases, mostly after relapse from chemotherapy Table 2, the partial or transient response of some of these cases could reflect a quantitative change in the heterogeneity elements and/or could reflect their interaction with drug-resistant mechanisms induced by prior chemotherapy. Notably, patients from the APL93 clinical trial with >50% APL blast maturation under the non-saturating ATRA conditions had significantly better disease-free survival (DFS) by both univariate and multivariate analysis, than those with less maturation.³⁸ Further studies are needed to determine if pretreatment heterogeneity elements are related to secondary, acquired ATRA resistance that so frequently attends post-ATRA therapy clinical relapse.

Secondary, acquired ATRA resistance

Table 3 summarizes studies in which attempts were made to induce another CR after relapse from ATRA-containing ther-

apy, using ATRA or an alternative retinoid as a single agent. The data are presented as the proportion of cases that failed to achieve CR on retinoid retreatment and include only cases in which sufficient retreatment was administered to document that CR could not be re-achieved because of resistant disease rather than failure for an alternative reason, such as early death or toxicity. Studies in which this could not be clearly assessed were excluded from this analysis, eg 12/15 cases that failed to achieve a second CR on ATRA as a single agent after relapse from an ATRA-induced CR.^{41,42} Similarly, some cases were excluded because the achievement of CR apparently involved supplementation with chemotherapy, eg in 13/13 CRs after first relapse from prior treatment with ATRA + chemotherapy.⁴³

Discounting differences in effectiveness of the different agents, the data indicate that the incidence of ATRA resistance is inversely related to the time since last ATRA exposure: 18/18 (100%) patients who relapsed while taking ATRA treatment; 19/25 (76%) patients who relapsed within 1 year of ATRA treatment; and 15/44 (34%) patients who relapsed after 1 year of ATRA treatment. Such observations led to the hypothesis that a time-reversible mechanism is the primary basis of ATRA resistance in APL.⁴⁴ Although this hypothesis is likely true to a certain extent, there are reasons, including molecular pharmacological and genetic considerations (*vide infra*), for suggesting that other mechanisms may be predominant in many ATRA-resistant cases. Other general considerations that tend to attenuate this hypothesis are that a non-specific property of leukemic relapse is that short CR durations are associated with more aggressive, less treatment-responsive disease and that there have been no reported instances of reversal of documented clinical ATRA resistance in any individual case with time. The observation that the incidence of resistance to alternative retinoids, including liposomal ATRA, 9-*cis* RA and Am80, are associated with a higher incidence of cross-resistance in cases that relapsed within a few months of stopping oral ATRA treatment (Table 3) also seems counter to the reversibility concept, as discussed in more detail below. Briefly, if ATRA resistance is primarily related to an adaptive, reversible metabolic response to ATRA, these alternative retinoids that are able to bypass elements of this response would be expected to be more effective than ATRA at inducing CR in the early off-ATRA period. But, as summarized in Table 3, the data do not appear to support this concept.

Another potentially important recent observation related to the timing of ATRA resistance derives from a study of minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring to detect molecular, as opposed to clinical, relapse. In this study, 7/12 patients (58%) who had a molecular relapse following CR induced by ATRA + idarubicin (AIDA protocol) achieved a second molecular remission following treatment with oral ATRA (45 mg/m²/day) as a single agent.⁴⁵ All of the ATRA-responsive molecular relapses, documented by two successive positive RT-PCR assays for PML-RAR α mRNA, occurred between 2 and 12 months of initially achieving molecular remission, a time-frame strongly overlapping with the five cases that did not re-achieve molecular remission on ATRA alone.⁴⁵ Since the data in Table 3 indicate that retreatment with oral ATRA during this time-frame, even at increased doses, was effective in achieving second clinical CRs in only 2/11 (18%) patients, the molecular data raise the additional possibility that acquisition of ATRA resistance may be associated with the expansion of the APL cell clone from a molecularly detectable to a clinical relapse level.

Another factor to consider is the number of previous

Table 3 Reports of acquired ATRA resistance: incidence of failure to achieve second, single-retinoid-induced remission

Agent and dose ^a	Evaluable cases ^b	No. previous relapses	No. of failures/Total cases Time off ATRA			Study/Ref.
			0–1 mo	2–12 mos	> 12 mos	
ATRA 100–120	9	1	6/6	1/1	1/2	Chen <i>et al</i> ¹⁰
ATRA 45	6	1	1/1	5/5		Delva <i>et al</i> ⁹¹
ATRA 90 ^c	17	1–2	10/10	3/5	1/2	Warrell <i>et al</i> ¹⁴
9- <i>cis</i> RA 30–230	6	1–5	1/1	1/1	3/4	Miller <i>et al</i> ⁴⁶
Am80	24	1		3/3	7/21	Tobita <i>et al</i> ¹⁰⁰
Lipo ATRA 30–110	6	1–4		2/3	1/3	Estey <i>et al</i> ⁴⁷
Lipo ATRA 90	18	1		3/6	2/12	Douer <i>et al</i> ²⁰

^aDose in mg/m²/day or, for liposomal ATRA, every other day.

^bEarly deaths or withdrawals and, in some trials, cases treated with chemotherapy in addition to ATRA have been subtracted from total reported cases.

^cRelapse cases with ≥ 2 months may have received standard 45 mg/m²/day dose of ATRA.

relapses. In the study by Warrell *et al*,¹⁴ it can be inferred from the trial design that all 10 unsuccessful '0 time-off ATRA' cases were in second relapse, while three successful reinductions at later times were most likely first relapse cases. Similarly, the single successful reinduction with 9-*cis* RA reported by Miller *et al*,⁴⁶ and the three successful reinductions with L-ATRA reported by Estey, *et al*,⁴⁷ were first relapse cases. All of the cases with two or more relapses had been treated with prior chemotherapy, and, as apparent from Table 2, non-ATRA-specific cross-resistance becomes a factor with two or more relapses.

Despite the apparent establishment of ATRA resistance after two or more relapses, it was employed after multiple relapses in five cases in combination with sodium phenylbutyrate, a histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDI).^{48,49} In one case in which progressive disease was noted with ATRA (45 mg/m²) as a single agent after a third relapse, a CR of several months duration was achieved after the addition of phenylbutyrate and increasing ATRA to 90 mg/m².⁴⁸ Although it is unclear if the ATRA was contributory to this response, it is notable that the *in vitro* maturation of the ATRA-resistant APL cells from this patient was augmented by the combination of ATRA with sodium butyrate⁵⁰ and that in a murine APL model of progressive disease on ATRA as a single agent, the response to HDI *in vivo* required combination with ATRA.⁵¹ These observations suggest that, as noted for ATRA-naive APL, the genesis of ATRA resistance is likely heterogeneous and multifactorial such that some elements of the APL cellular response to ATRA may persist even after multiple relapses.

Systemic elements in acquired ATRA resistance

Increased catabolism

An early assessment of acquired ATRA resistance in APL was that it develops mostly, if not entirely, as an adaptive metabolic response to the sudden increase in ATRA to pharmacological levels ($>10^{-7}$ M) from normal physiological levels ($\leq 10^{-8}$ M).⁷ It was plausibly argued that the near-universal development of ATRA resistance in patients continuously treated until relapse is more likely due to a common regulatory change(s) than to the acquisition of a fixed genetic alteration(s). The theoretical argument for an adaptive role is enhanced by the consideration that an inducible protective systemic mechanism might reasonably have been evolutionarily selected to prevent toxicity from high ATRA con-

centrations due to disruption of regulatory pathways required for its natural function as an embryonic morphogen and as a co-factor in tissue homeostasis throughout life.^{52,53}

Beyond plausibility, pharmacokinetic (PK) studies demonstrated that ATRA treatment induces a hypercatabolic response that reduces achievable peak ATRA concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values by up to 80% compared to first-treatment values. This was initially demonstrated in an evaluation of relapse cases⁵⁴ but was subsequently found to occur in virtually all cases within a few days of initiating ATRA therapy.^{55–58} Subsequent studies demonstrated that this hypercatabolic state is reversible on an intermittent, every-other-week schedule up to 11 weeks of treatment.⁵⁹ A subsequent study suggested that after 2 weeks of ATRA therapy 1 week off drug was insufficient for reversal of the hypercatabolic state.⁵⁸ No PK studies have been reported after prolonged continuous ATRA therapy to test the time needed to reverse the hypercatabolic state.

Several factors likely contribute to the systemic hypercatabolic response, all of which have been associated with increased oxidation metabolites, principally 4-oxo-RA-glucuronide in urine (10-fold) and 4-oxo-RA in plasma (<2 -fold).⁵⁵ The oxidative catabolism of ATRA is mediated by microsomal membrane-bound cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, principally in the liver,⁶⁰ encoded by a supergene family that catalyzes the oxidation of a wide variety of endogenous and exogenous substrates.⁶¹ Although vitamin A and retinoic acid had been noted to upregulate some CYP species,^{62,63} only recently has a CYP enzyme family been identified that is specifically dedicated to auto-regulation by ATRA,^{64,65} of which at least two different forms with different tissue distributions have been described, CYP26A1 and CYP26B1.⁵³ It has been suggested that CYP26 is an important element in the hypercatabolic response following the administration of ATRA to APL patients, but this has not been specifically reported.⁶⁶

A study prior to the identification of CYP26 with hepatic microsomal fractions containing mixed CYP enzymes suggested that the predominant effect of ATRA might be mediated by upregulation of lipid hydroperoxides, which are co-factors for CYP activity via the so-called 'peroxide shunt', rather than by direct upregulation of CYP enzymes *per se*.⁶⁷ Lipid hydroperoxides are derived from prostaglandins, a synthetic pathway initiated from arachidonic acid involving lipoxygenases, which can be upregulated by ATRA.⁶⁸ The principal clinical evidence that increased CYP activity contributes to the hypercatabolic response was that pre-treatment with anti-fungal imidazole inhibitors of P450 enzymes, including ketocon-

zole,⁴⁰ liarozole⁶⁹ and fluconazole,⁷⁰ could inhibit the early development of the hypercatabolic response to ATRA administration. Although the initial study indicated that ketoconazole was effective up to 1 month of continuous ATRA therapy,⁴⁰ subsequent studies demonstrated that the effect of these agents is only transitory.^{58,69} One study with hepatic microsomes demonstrated that both ketoconazole and fluconazole can inhibit direct, NADPH-mediated CYP oxidation of ATRA but not lipid hydroperoxide-dependent oxidation.⁷⁰ Alternatively, the imidazole inhibitors could affect lipid hydroperoxide activity by inhibiting their synthesis from prostaglandins.⁷¹ These agents can inhibit auto-induced oxidative metabolism of ATRA in a variety of cell types *in vitro*, including tumor cells (see below), which has been linked to inhibition of CYP26,^{65,66} but the exact mechanism has not been determined nor, as noted above, has the relationship to the systemic hypercatabolic response to pharmacological doses of orally administered ATRA been determined.

Sequestration by CRABP

Another molecular factor that has been related to the hypercatabolic response to ATRA therapy is upregulation of small (15–18 kDa) cellular RA-binding proteins encoded by two different genes, CRABP-I and CRABP-II. CRABP-I is ubiquitously expressed, predominantly in the cytoplasm of many cell types, where it may protect against the teratogenic effects of excess RA during embryonic development.^{52,72} Based on much experimental data, the primary function of CRABP-I appears to be regulation by cytoplasmic sequestration and catabolism of the amount of free RA that gains access to the cell nucleus.^{73–75} The molecular mechanisms controlling regulation of CRABP-I levels in different cell types are poorly understood, but they appear to involve complex transcriptional regulation of the gene promoter,⁷⁶ since there is no indication that mRNA and protein expression are dyscoordinate.⁷⁷

CRABP-II has commonly been considered to have the same essential functions as CRABP-I, since it is 73% homologous to CRABP-I and since these proteins are highly conserved evolutionarily.^{74–76} The possibility that CRABP-II might have an even more direct protective effect against increased ATRA levels was enhanced by the finding that this gene, in contrast to CRABP-I, has an ATRA response element (RARE) in its gene promoter.^{78,79} However, a negative effect of CRABP-II expression on ATRA-mediated nuclear activity has never been reported,⁷⁵ and increasing recent evidence suggests that its primary function is instead a positive, stimulatory effect on ATRA-regulated gene activity.^{80–85} CRABP-II has a distinct tissue distribution from CRABP-I⁷² and is visualized in the nucleus as well as the cytoplasm, suggesting an ATRA shuttle function between these cellular compartments.^{82,86} Additionally, CRABP-II has been associated with increased intracellular synthesis of ATRA.⁸⁷ At the molecular level, CRABP-II has been demonstrated to directly interact with nuclear receptors RAR α and RXR α in an ATRA-independent manner, acting to coactivate RAR:RXR activity in the presence of ATRA^{82,85} and to serve as a targeted carrier of bound ATRA from the cytoplasm to nuclear RA receptors.^{83,84} Thus, although the information about the CRABPs remains incomplete, CRABP-I appears to regulate access of free ATRA with primary negative effects in tissues enriched with catabolic enzyme machinery, while CRABP-II appears to be primarily geared to enhance ATRA activity in cells with high demand for ATRA-regulated gene activity.

Following the short-term administration of oral ATRA, a rapid, reversible increase in CRABP (generic designation if CRABP type is uncertain or unknown) was noted in the skin of monkeys. From analysis of the kinetics of the plasma ATRA PK values vis-à-vis skin CRABP levels, it was concluded that this might be a component but probably not the major factor in the hypercatabolic response to ATRA administration.^{7,56} No discrimination was made between CRABP-I or -II, but it seems reasonable to suppose that CRABP-II was involved to some extent, since skin is the major site of its expression and since it is selectively induced after topical ATRA application in humans. Attention was focused on a likely role of CRABP-II in the hypercatabolic ATRA response by the demonstration of a marked increase in its expression in bone marrow cells and in APL cells following ATRA therapy,^{44,90,91} however, these findings have not been confirmed⁹² (*vide infra*). Also of note, no studies of CRABPs have been performed in liver tissue following ATRA administration in primates, the principal site of oral ATRA metabolism.⁵⁶ In summary, a modest increase in sequestration of ATRA by CRABP is likely contributory to the systemic hypercatabolic response during oral ATRA therapy, and increased metabolism secondary to quantitative CRABP changes may also be contributory but there is no experimental evidence to support this possibility.

Relationship of alternative retinoids to hypercatabolism

If systemic hypercatabolism of ATRA is the primary factor in the development of resistance to ATRA therapy, then treatment approaches that circumvent this response should be effective in overcoming ATRA resistance. Three such treatment approaches have been reported. The first of these utilized 9-*cis* RA (9cRA) in 11 patients who had relapsed from previous ATRA therapy.^{46,93} In contrast to ATRA, 9cRA can activate RXR α in addition to RAR α .^{94,95} Despite this bifunctional activity, 9cRA has approximately the same potency as ATRA in inducing differentiation of NB4 cells and fresh APL cells.⁴⁶ Pharmacokinetic data from both this study and an earlier study in monkeys⁹⁶ demonstrated that 9cRA induces its autometabolism to a substantially lesser degree than ATRA – although a recent phase I study in children minimized the difference between 9cRA and ATRA.⁹⁷ Other studies support the concept that 9cRA is less subject to self-induced autometabolism, including the observation that it may be primarily metabolized by non-oxidative pathways⁶⁶ and that it has considerably reduced binding to CRABPs.^{98,99} In any event, 9cRA produced CR in 3/10 (30%) evaluable ATRA relapse patients,⁹³ only six of whom were presented in sufficient detail to include in Table 3.⁴⁶ The investigators' conclusion that 9cRA is incapable of overcoming ATRA resistance was buttressed by PK information illustrating that, even at the lowest administered dose, it reached levels comparable to ATRA *in vivo* and that 9cRA was no more effective than ATRA in inducing maturation of ATRA-resistant APL cells *in vitro*.⁴⁶

A second treatment approach utilized the synthetic RAR α -specific retinoid Am80, which induces *in vitro* differentiation of NB4 cells with 10-fold greater potency than ATRA, binds to CRABPs with low affinity and is resistant to oxidation.¹⁰⁰ Of 24 evaluable patients who relapsed from ATRA therapy 3–58 months (median, 22 months) after the last ATRA treatment, 14 (58%) achieved CR. There was no difference in the interval off ATRA in cases that failed vs those that achieved CR on Am80¹⁰⁰, although 3/3 cases treated within 3 months of the

last ATRA treatment failed to achieve CR (Table 3). Notably, achievement of CR correlated most closely with sensitivity of the APL cells to Am80-induced terminal maturation *in vitro*. Four of eight (50%) of these CR patients who did not receive an allogeneic bone marrow transplant during the second CR were in continuing CR after consolidation chemotherapy with a median follow-up of 49 months.¹⁰¹

A third treatment approach utilized L-ATRA,¹⁰² which is approximately equipotent to free ATRA in inducing maturation of APL cells *in vitro*.¹⁰³ At a therapeutic dose of 90 mg/m², L-ATRA yields peak blood concentrations about 10-fold higher than peak plasma levels achieved with standard dose oral ATRA (45 mg/m²).⁴⁷ Peak concentrations and AUC values were maintained for at least 15 days of continuous treatment and in one case up to 85 days of treatment. It was suggested that this might be related to less activation of hepatic microsomes and reduced delivery to catabolic liver and skin sites. In this initial phase I study, 3/6 patients⁴⁷ and, in a successor study, 5/18 patients,²⁰ ie 8/24 (33%) overall evaluable ATRA relapse patients, were resistant to re-induction of CR with L-ATRA (Table 3). As for Am80, the incidence of CR was higher in cases in which the hiatus from previous ATRA exposure exceeded 1 year: 4/9 (44%) CRs at <1 year vs 12/15 (75%) CRs at >1 year (Table 3). Although all CRs in the phase I study occurred in first relapse cases,⁴⁷ differences in relapse history probably do not account for the difference in time-stratified CR rates, since all of the L-ATRA reinduction failures at <1 year in the successor trial at constant dose L-ATRA occurred in first relapse cases.

The above three study approaches strongly suggest that both Am80 and L-ATRA are able to produce second CRs in a fraction of post-ATRA relapse patients in whom this would not be possible by re-treatment with oral ATRA. Although the number of evaluable cases is small, only 4/15 (27%) ATRA-retreated vs 14/24 (58%) Am80-treated and 16/24 (67%) L-ATRA treated ATRA-relapse cases achieved CR. Whether or not this difference is due to 'overcoming' persistent ATRA hypercatabolism, as opposed, simply, to greater efficacy of the these compounds, is, however, not clear. With the exception of the ineffective 9cRA trial for relapse APL,⁴⁶ PK data are quite meager but suggest that insufficient L-ATRA levels were not the reason for failure in two cases.⁴⁷ Although it seems likely that hypercatabolism of ATRA is involved in early ATRA resistance, particularly in cases that relapse while taking ATRA (no data available for other agents; Table 3), the data with the alternative retinoids, which also have a higher incidence of failure in cases that relapse in the 2–12 month post-ATRA time-frame despite their markedly reduced susceptibility to ATRA-induced catabolic mechanisms, strongly imply that other mechanisms are primarily involved in these treatment failures.

APL cellular elements in acquired ATRA resistance

In vitro ATRA sensitivity testing

Although fresh APL cells do not replicate effectively during short-term culture, their response to ATRA, nevertheless, follows a concentration- and time-dependent maturation sequence similar to that of exponentially proliferating, ATRA-responsive cells from leukemia cell lines, such as HL-60 and NB4.^{33,38,104–106} Also, although the *in vitro* culture environment, typically including 10–20% fetal bovine serum and an enriched source of culture medium such as RPMI 1640 or

Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (IMDM), presumably markedly differs from the environment of APL cells *in vivo*, the *in vitro* maturation response, properly studied, usually correlates well with clinical response to ATRA therapy. As described above, variations in the sensitivity of ATRA-naive APL cells under non-saturating ATRA conditions (0.1 μM for 3 days) is positively correlated with DFS but not with the incidence of near-universal CR.³⁸

At the time of first relapse from previous ATRA therapy, whether or not given in combination with chemotherapy, there was a variable loss of APL cell sensitivity to ATRA-induced APL cell maturation *in vitro* in the great majority of cases.^{10,31,46,91,92} In some of these cases, the loss of ATRA sensitivity was detectable as reduced APL cell terminal differentiation even at high ATRA exposure conditions, eg 1 μM ATRA × ≥5 days, while in other cases it was apparent only under more discriminating non-saturating ATRA exposure conditions. After two or more relapses, APL cell sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation *in vitro* is typically reduced and is associated with clinical ATRA resistance (Table 2).^{46,91} These observations re-emphasize that ATRA sensitivity/resistance of APL cells is relative, that it reflects a continuum of intrinsic APL cellular variations with a bearing on the efficacy of ATRA therapy and that at some level cellular ATRA resistance shares cross-resistance with chemotherapeutic agents and/or with intrinsic cellular alterations involved with progression of the leukemic process.

Mechanisms that may limit ATRA access to the cell nucleus

The terminal differentiation response of APL cells depends primarily on the delivery of sufficient ATRA to nuclear receptors (PML-RARα and RARα) to modulate the transcriptional activity of requisite genes.^{1,4,107} Therefore, relative ATRA resistance can develop in two fundamental ways: by limiting the access of ATRA to nuclear receptor sites or by aberrations in the nuclear transcription machinery, including RA receptors and downstream co-factors required to produce activation of appropriate target genes. ATRA access to nuclear transcription sites may be impeded by essentially four different mechanisms, as detailed below.

Decreased effective APL cell ATRA uptake: Information about the net uptake of ATRA by fresh APL cells is available from two investigative groups. In a study of ATRA-naive APL cells from nine patients, the first group found a nearly 10-fold difference in the uptake of ATRA between low ($n = 3$; median 20 pmol/10⁶ cells, range 17–60 pmol/10⁶) and high ($n = 6$; median 195 pmol/10⁶ cells, range 140–230 pmol/10⁶) ATRA uptake cases.^{108–109} This corresponded to differences in *in vitro* sensitivity of APL cells from the low or high uptake subgroups to ATRA-induced maturation, ≤45% vs ≥55%, respectively.^{108,109} However, these subgroups could not be tested for potential differences in clinical response, since all three of the low uptake cases experienced early death, while all of five high uptake cases treated with combined ATRA + chemotherapy achieved CR.¹⁰⁹ The second investigative group found no significant difference in ATRA uptake between five *de novo* and five ATRA relapse patients who had difficulty achieving a second CR with ATRA-containing therapy,¹¹⁰ and this was apparently associated with decreased *in vitro* ATRA-induced maturation of fresh relapse APL cells.¹¹¹

The second investigative group additionally reported that an ATRA-resistant sub-line of the APL cell line NB4 showed no difference in ATRA accumulation compared to the parental cell line, despite major differences in sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation.^{110,111} These results are similar to a study of ATRA-resistant HL-60 cells in which no differences were found in ATRA uptake compared to parental ATRA-sensitive HL-60 cells in either serum-containing, as in the fresh APL and NB4 cell reports, or in serum-free culture medium.¹¹² In the HL-60 system, ATRA uptake was demonstrated to occur very rapidly (in <1 min) and increased 10-fold in serum-free medium, which was associated with a ~10-fold decrease in the ATRA concentration required to induce terminal differentiation. Although the relevance of such *in vitro* observations to ATRA resistance that develops under clinical conditions is uncertain, they are a reminder that it is not known whether the major site of ATRA activity *in vivo* is on APL cells in the blood or in the bone marrow and extramedullary sites where the cells may be bathed in low-protein interstitial fluid that could have a marked effect on ATRA potency.

Effective cellular uptake of pharmacological agents is determined by the balance of influx and efflux rates. There is no precedent for increased extrusion of unconjugated lipophilic ligands for nuclear receptors, like ATRA, that enter cells by passive diffusion across the cell membrane.¹¹³ Nevertheless, it has been queried whether increased expression of members of the ATP-binding transmembrane transporter gene superfamily that can produce multidrug resistance (MDR) might have an effect on ATRA uptake. The relevance of this query is enhanced by the fact that most clinical protocols involve the co-administration of ATRA with an anthracycline and/or cytarabine, both of which can induce MDR in AML.^{114,115} It is well-recognized that APL cells express no or much lower levels of P-glycoprotein (PGP), the prototype MDR gene, than other myeloid leukemia cells.^{116,117} However, the data in relapse APL are somewhat controversial, based on small case numbers. In an early study, 2/2 relapse ATRA-resistant cases were reported to express low levels of PGP, and the PGP inhibitor verapamil significantly restored the ability of ATRA to induce terminal differentiation.¹¹⁸ However, in two subsequent reports with five¹¹⁰ and seven¹¹⁹ relapse cases, only one relapse patient had a small increase (three-fold) in PGP. Two other members of the MDR family lung resistance-related protein (LRP) and multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP1) were also not increased after relapse from combined ATRA/anthracycline therapy.¹¹⁹ After ≥ 2 relapses, however, 3/4 cases had a two to three-fold increase in PGP,¹¹⁹ which is obviously of interest related to the clinical observation that APL cases with multiple relapses from chemotherapy but without prior ATRA exposure are frequently ATRA resistant (Table 2).

The possible role of multidrug resistance in ATRA resistance has been studied further in ATRA-responsive cell line models. ATRA-resistant HL-60 were reported to express low levels of PGP mRNA and to have increased maturation in response to ATRA when used in combination with the PGP inhibitor verapamil.¹¹⁸ Furthermore, targeted destruction of these PGP (MDR1) transcripts, using a specific ribozyme, partially restored ATRA sensitivity.¹²⁰ In contrast to these findings, however, it was reported that stable transduction of the MDR1 gene into NB4 cells did not affect either the effective uptake of ATRA in the presence or absence of the specific PGP inhibitor PSC833 or the bioresponse (maturation or apoptosis) of these cells to ATRA.^{110,111}

In sum, the results suggest that variations in ATRA uptake

are a minor element in ATRA resistance, although, given the observation that relatively minor variations in APL cell ATRA sensitivity can be associated with differences in long-term outcome,³⁸ it cannot be discounted as a subtle contributor in *de novo* disease or as factor in ATRA resistance after multiple relapses from chemotherapy, although the most pertinent cell line model does not provide support for this possibility.^{110,111}

Increased cytoplasmic sequestration: Cytoplasmic sequestration of ATRA was proposed as a major contributor to acquired ATRA resistance in APL related to the finding of markedly increased CRABP expression in the APL cells of four relapse cases with decreased sensitivity to ATRA-induced differentiation.^{44,91} Similar increases were observed in predominantly normal bone marrow cells after ATRA treatment, and it was established by a gel electrophoretic method that the cytoplasmic ATRA binding activity was CRABP-II, not CRABP-I.⁹⁰ As previously mentioned, this hypothesis seemed logical, since the CRABP-II gene promoter contains a RARE, which, in response to pharmacological ATRA concentrations, could lead to increased CRABP-II production, providing a protective feed-back loop by which the incoming ATRA could be increasingly sequestered in the cytoplasm. However, in a larger screening study of 36 APL patients designed to assess the clinical associations of CRABP-II levels, it was unexpectedly found that CRABP-II was constitutively expressed in ATRA-naive cells, that its level did not significantly differ in bone marrow aspirate cells from patients following ATRA or chemotherapy induction treatment, that there was no increase in CRABP-II in relapse APL cells, and that there was no correlation between CRABP-II level and sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation *in vitro*.⁹² As also previously mentioned, these results seem more consistent with the subsequent findings that CRABP-II functions as a positive rather than a negative regulator of ATRA activity by acting as a shuttle for the delivery of ATRA to nuclear transcription sites and as a co-activator of RAR α . These developments raise the possibility, contrary to prior thinking, that *decreased* rather than increased expression or activity of CRABP-II may be associated with ATRA resistance in APL cells, which requires investigation.

No alternative molecule to supplant CRABP-II as a vehicle of cytoplasmic sequestration has been suggested. Worth mentioning, perhaps, is that HL-60 cells selected *in vitro* for ATRA resistance develop marked alterations of cell membrane glycoproteins and glycolipids that, if also present in ATRA-resistant APL cells, might possibly affect the cellular disposition and activity of ATRA (reviewed in Ref. 121).

Increased cytoplasmic ATRA catabolism: Intracellular ATRA catabolism occurs primarily by oxidation, involving microsomal membrane-bound P450 enzymes.^{60,122} The hypothesis that increased APL cellular metabolism is likely a factor in acquired ATRA resistance, apparently rests entirely on observations that ATRA can upregulate P450 enzymes in other cell systems, since there are no reported studies of ATRA catabolism in ATRA-resistant APL cells. In two studies of ATRA catabolism in ATRA-sensitive NB4 cells, rather different results were reported. In one, ATRA was slowly metabolized over several days without the observation of oxidative polar metabolites in either the cells or culture medium.¹⁰⁸ In the other, the rate of ATRA catabolism is not stated but was associated with the generation of several polar metabolites in mixed extracts of cells and medium.¹²³ Possibly, these differ-

ences could be related to serum differences between the two studies, a suggestion based on a study of HL-60 cells.¹¹² In the presence of serum, ATRA catabolism in HL-60 cells occurred slowly (days) without observable polar metabolites, while under serum-free conditions ATRA was rapidly catabolized ($t_{1/2} < 4$ h), associated with conversion to polar catabolites in the culture medium but relative preservation of intracellular ATRA. Compared to the parental line, an ATRA-resistant subline showed no difference in the presence of serum but approximately four-fold more rapid catabolism in serum-free conditions. Whether this increased catabolism is a cause of the ATRA resistance, however, is questionable, since the parental and ATRA-resistant subline were each ≥ 10 -fold more sensitive to ATRA-induced differentiation in serum-free medium than in serum-containing medium.¹¹² These HL-60 cell observations are consistent with those in other types of cultured cells (breast cancer cells) in which ATRA sensitivity to growth inhibition is positively correlated with catabolic rate.^{124,125}

Another consideration regarding the role of ATRA catabolism as a potential mechanism of ATRA resistance is that all identifiable ATRA oxidative catabolites were shown to be only slightly less effective than ATRA in inducing NB4 cell terminal differentiation.¹²³ As noted, it is possible that the exogenous application of these compounds might have a different action than their intracellular generation following assimilation of pharmacological ATRA doses. Nevertheless, these observations, like those on the effects of catabolic rate, do not support a role of increased catabolism in ATRA resistance. Some reported data do, however, favor this possibility, namely, the observation that the ATRA sensitivity of the aforementioned ATRA-resistant HL-60 subline was augmented by co-treatment with the P450 enzyme inhibitor clotrimazol.¹¹⁸ Clearly, more research is required, particularly considering the recent identification of ATRA-dedicated P450 enzymes.^{65,66}

Decreased nuclear transport: There has been no previous consideration that alterations in the delivery of ATRA to the nucleus could be involved in the development of resistance to ATRA. This is because ATRA was believed to enter the nucleus solely by passive diffusion, which is entirely a function of the concentration gradient of free ATRA from the cell cytoplasm to the nucleus.^{74,122} Passive diffusion may, in fact, be the major default pathway in many cell types, since mice deficient in either CRABP-II or in both CRABP-I and -II are essentially normal.¹²⁶ Although this default mechanism may also apply in APL cells, the question must be asked as to why APL cells are among the very limited cell types, albeit malignant, in adults that constitutively express CRABP-II.⁹² The recent finding that CRABP-II acts to specifically channel the transfer of ATRA to RAR α in the nucleus^{83,84} necessarily raises the consideration that this facilitated carrier system may be subject to regulations for nuclear transport proteins.^{127,128} Thus, investigations are needed to determine whether or not CRABP-II expression is required for the ATRA response of APL cells and, if so, to determine if defects in this system might contribute to ATRA resistance.

Aberrations of ATRA nuclear receptor-gene response mechanisms

Overall considerations: Once ATRA is delivered to PML-RAR α /RAR α in the APL cell nucleus, whether by passive dif-

fusion or facilitated transport, the gene transcriptional response pathway is extremely complex. In addition, to the nuclear receptor oligomeric complexes of PML-RAR α /RAR α and RXR α ,¹²⁹⁻¹³¹ at least four other classes of multi-protein complexes are involved in regulating transmission of the ATRA receptor signal to the basal transcriptional apparatus containing RNA polymerase II.^{132,133} An important function of two of these classes, co-repressor and p160 co-activator complexes, is to regulate the level of acetylation of nucleosomal histones. Co-repressors decrease acetylation by recruiting members of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzyme family, which function to maintain nuclear chromatin in a condensed, inactive state. Conversely, p160 co-activators have endogenous histone acetylase (HAT) enzyme activity or recruit auxiliary proteins with this activity and maintain chromatin in an open, active state.^{134,135} Information is rapidly accruing about the detailed molecular mechanisms by which these opposing enzyme activities dynamically control gene transcriptional activity by regulating the acetylation status of specific lysine residues in nucleosomal histone tails¹³⁶ and by interacting with alternative transcriptional control mechanisms involving methylation and phosphorylation of specific histone residues.^{137,138} A third transcription complex is the so-called DRIP/TRAP complex, which is involved in transcriptional activation of RARE-regulated promoters.¹³⁹ This complex, like the HAT p160 coactivators, interacts directly with the AF-2 region of nuclear receptors in a ligand-dependent manner and is also directly linked to RNA pol II. Although required for the transcriptional activation response, the relationship of the DRIP/TRAP complex to the HAT coactivator complex is unclear. A fourth class of complexes is a family of so-called ATP-dependent 'chromatin remodelling machines', the most familiar member of which is the Swi/Snf (acronyms from yeast genetic terminology) co-activators, which are also involved in ligand-regulated transcriptional activation.^{140,141}

Any of the four complex classes cited above could, theoretically, be involved in the development of ATRA-resistant APL cells. However, relatively little information is so far available. An exception is a study of the DRIP/TRAP complex in wild-type and three different ATRA-resistant sublines of NB4 cells, as well as a variety of other hematopoietic cells, including HL-60 cells.¹⁴² It was found that this complex is expressed in an invariant and ubiquitous fashion in all cell types studied, suggesting that it does not have a role in ATRA resistance in these cells.¹⁴² Although abnormalities of both co-repressors¹⁴³ and p160 co-activators^{144,145} have been associated with other hematopoietic malignancies, there have been no reports of intrinsic abnormalities of these proteins in APL. Evidence has, however, been presented in a murine myeloid culture model indicating that variations in co-repressor and p160 co-activator activity can occur as a function of the stage of myeloid cell differentiation associated with variations in sensitivity to ATRA-mediated bioactivity.¹⁴⁶ Additional studies in this system indicated that the variations in these co-factor activities are not due to different levels of expression of these proteins, leading to the suggestion that they may be related to changes in post-translational modification.¹⁴⁷ Although these intriguing observations may be related to ATRA resistance in future investigations, the focus of this review will be on increasing evidence that abnormalities of PML-RAR α function are key elements in many instances of acquired ATRA resistance both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Focus on PML-RAR α : *in vitro* studies: ATRA resistance

and PML-RAR α have been most extensively studied in the first-established APL cell line NB4.¹⁴⁸ Although derived from a patient who failed to achieve remission on ATRA therapy, the established, hypotetraploid, t(15;17)-positive (two copies) NB4 cells had similar sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation to freshly explanted leukemic cells from ATRA-naive APL cases.^{148,149} It was noted, however, that a small fraction of NB4 cells failed to mature in response to ATRA, suggesting some level of intrinsic ATRA resistance, possibly derived from the ATRA-treated donor.¹⁵⁰ This ATRA-resistant subpopulation did not provide a strong competitive advantage, since 15 months of continuous exposure to 1 μ M ATRA were required to derive a stably resistant subline (NB4-R1),^{106,150} recently redesignated NB4-LR1 (Table 4).¹⁵¹ NB4-LR1 cells were found to be only partially resistant to ATRA, since ATRA induced several molecular events characteristic of PML-RAR α /RAR α , RARE-mediated responses to ATRA in NB4 cells, including downregulation of RXR α and proteolytic degradation of PML-RAR α . However, 'triggering' of cytological maturation required treatment of 'ATRA-primed' LR1 cells with agents that increased intracellular levels of cAMP and activated cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), most effectively the stable cAMP analog, 8-chloroadenosine cyclic 3',5'-phosphorothioate (8-CPT-cAMP).¹⁰⁶ The LR1 subline has additional properties of being unusually sensitive to ATRA-induced apoptosis, despite failure to downregulate Bcl-2 in contrast to the parental line,¹⁵³ and of undergoing telomeric loss leading to growth arrest and cell death on exposure to ATRA for >2 weeks¹⁵⁴ (surprisingly, in view of the history of continuous ATRA selection needed to select the subline¹⁵⁰). Notably, both of the latter ATRA effects could be subverted by administering 8-CPT-cAMP to stimulate LR1 cell maturation. These observations, with additional supportive data, have been interpreted to indicate that the LR1 type of ATRA resistance, a phenotype that apparently can be repeatedly generated from NB4 cells, is due to uncoupling of the classical ATRA/RARE response pathway from an essential cAMP component and that the cell maturation response is dominant over alternative default response pathways for ATRA and other retinoids, including RXR-specific 'rexinoids' in APL cells.^{155,156} This notion raises the important consideration that ATRA resistance might arise from defects in the cAMP response pathway rather than in the RAR/RARE response system *per se*. Some experiments with PKA inhibitors provide support for this notion,^{106,156} but further experiments are

needed to document the absolute requirement of the cAMP response system for ATRA/RARE activity and to better understand the molecular interactions between these two transcriptional regulatory response systems.

In contrast to the NB4-LR1 cells that express wild-type (WT) PML-RAR α , variable alterations of PML-RAR α have been observed in other NB4 sublines selected for ATRA resistance. Most frequently, this has involved mutations, all of which have been localized in the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of the RAR α portion of the molecule.¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁰ One of these mutants (NB4-DR1; Table 4 designation), a three nucleotide deletion (Δ Phe286 with reference to normal RAR α ¹⁶¹) that preserved the open reading frame (ORF), was derived by direct clonal isolation, ie without ATRA selection, from parental NB4 cells.¹⁵⁹ Interestingly, this intrinsic mutation has not been reported in any other ATRA-selected NB4 subline, despite being associated with a very high level of ATRA resistance in the DR1 subline (no growth inhibition at 10 μ M ATRA).¹⁵⁹ This suggests either that the mutation had been very recently acquired *in vitro* in the parental NB4 cells or that the mutation has little selective advantage in the absence or presence of ATRA vs the mass of NB4 cells bearing WT PML-RAR α .

In two other laboratories, ATRA-resistant NB4 sublines harboring unique missense mutations were derived after several months of selection in ATRA followed by sub-selection either by cloning or limiting dilution.^{157,158,162} In one of these studies, at least two out of nine alternative clones derived from the same ATRA-selected and -resistant subculture did not contain mutations, although the PML-RAR α s from these clones had aberrant ATRA binding patterns compared to WT PML-RAR α , as assessed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).^{157,162} The mutant clone in this study, NB4-MR4 (Table 4 designation), contained a Leu398Pro mutation that severely compromised ATRA binding, minimally transactivated transcription from RARE-containing reporter gene promoters at high ATRA concentrations, and produced strong dominant-negative activity vs normal RAR α .^{157,163} The defective transcriptional activity of the Leu398Pro mutant was associated with excessively tight binding of corepressor complex, which could be partially overcome by treatment with a histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDI), trichostatin A (TSA), in the presence of ATRA.¹⁶³ Despite the severe defects engendered by the Pro398Leu mutation, the NB4-MR4 cell subline, could activate a subset of ATRA-responsive genes, including myeloblastin and CD18.^{157,162} Unlike NB4-LR1 cells, the NB4-MR4 cells did not downregulate RXR α or degrade PML-RAR α after ATRA exposure.^{162,164}

The other missense mutation, Pro407Leu, was also associated with a markedly blunted ATRA response of the NB4-NR1 subline (Table 4 designation), harboring the mutation,¹⁵⁸ although no functional analyses of the mutant PML-RAR α were reported. Notably, similar to non-mutant NB4-LR1 cells, the NB4-NR1 cells could be induced to partially differentiate by combined ATRA + 8-CPT-cAMP treatment. However, in this case, ATRA priming was not required and the differentiation response was not attended by degradation of PML-RAR α or reorganization of microspeckled nuclear bodies.¹⁵⁸ The lack of the latter features was previously associated with induction of NB4 cell maturation by a default rexinoid pathway in combination with 8-CPT-cAMP.¹⁵⁵ This possibility seems unlikely to be related to the differentiation response of NB4-NR1 cells, however, since the RAR α -specific synthetic retinoid Am80, which cannot be metabolically converted to an RXR ligand, induced maturation as effectively as ATRA or

Table 4 ATRA-resistant NB4 sublines: redesignation as recently recommended^a

Original designation	New designation	PML-RAR α abnormality ^b	Ref.
NB4-R1	NB4-LR1	None reported	Duprez <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁰ Ruchaud <i>et al</i> ¹⁰⁶
NB4-R1	NB4-DR1	Δ Phe286	Nason-Burchenal <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁹
NB4-R4	NB4-MR4	Leu398Pro	Shao <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁷
NB4/RA	NB4-NR1	Pro407Leu	Kitamura <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁸
NB4-R2	NB4-LR2	Gln411STOP	Duprez <i>et al</i> ¹⁶⁰

^aFollowing the recommendation of Roussel *et al*,¹⁵¹ each ATRA-resistant subline includes the initial of the last name of the corresponding author of the paper that first-described the derivation of the subline.

^bPML-RAR α mutations resulting in amino acid changes are numbered by reference to normal RAR α ¹⁶¹

9cRA in combination with 8-CPT-cAMP.¹⁵⁸ Thus, as suggested by the authors, the differentiation response of the NB4-NR1 cells to the combined agents most likely occurred through some modification of the PML-RAR α /RARE response pathway or through relief of dominant-negative repression of normal RAR α . These considerations emphasize the need for further studies to better understand the relationship of the various retinoid/rexinoid response pathways to the cAMP/PKA response pathway in APL cells.¹⁵⁶

A final reported mutation in ATRA-resistant NB4 cells, a nonsense mutation corresponding to amino acid 411 of RAR α 1 (Gln411STOP), was detected in a secondary subline, NB4-LR2 (Table 4 designation), derived from the NB4-LR1 subline by continuous treatment with both 1 μ M ATRA and 100 μ M 8-CTP-cAMP.^{106,160} Only one of the two PML-RAR α genes was mutated, and neither of the proteins were detectable by Western blot analysis with specific antisera.¹⁶⁰ The mutant PML-RAR α protein, truncated by 52 amino acids, lacked direct transcriptional transactivation capacity and was a potent dominant-negative inhibitor of RAR α and WT PML-RAR α activity on a DR5 RARE reporter in transient transfection assays.¹⁶⁰ The dual findings of potent dominant-negative inhibition and lack of evidence of stable expression did not permit a judgment as to which mechanism is the basis for the inability of ATRA to induce maturation of the NB4-LR2 cells via the RARE response pathway,¹⁶⁰ although there are precedents for both (*vide infra*). The latter defect in LR2 cells has been of value in documenting the existence of alternative retinoid \pm cAMP maturation and cell death pathways in APL cells that are obscured in the presence of an intact, dominant ATRA/RARE response system under usual cell culture conditions.^{155,165,166} Although it is unclear whether these backup, default pathways have any role in the response of ATRA-sensitive APL cells, they may become active or provide therapeutic alternatives in ATRA-resistant disease.¹⁵⁶ In fact, a preliminary report indicated that combination treatment with a synthetic RXR agonist and RAR α antagonist (BMS749), in combination with 8-CPT-cAMP, was able to partially differentiate APL cells harboring the PLZF-RAR α oncoprotein that blocks the classical ATRA response by activating the ATRA/RARE-independent, rexinoid pathway.¹⁶⁷

Notably, the Gln411STOP mutation recently found in NB4-LR2 cells had previously been detected in two independently selected sublines of ATRA-resistant HL-60 cells,^{168,169} suggesting that this is a mutational hotspot for ATRA resistance in myeloid leukemia cells. Although not specifically demonstrated, in one subline (HL-60R) the 411 mutation was evidently heterozygous, since the inferred resistance mechanism was dominant-negative inhibition of the normal RAR α allele. This inference was supported by demonstrated dominant-negative activity of the mutant RAR α vs RAR α in transient transfection assays¹⁶⁸ and by evidence that an RAR α protein of aberrant size with reduced ATRA binding affinity was expressed in HL-60R cells.¹⁷⁰ Proof of endogenous dominant-negative activity is difficult to establish with certainty, however, since it depends on the level of expression of the mutant vs WT allele, as discussed related to another ATRA-resistant HL-60 subline with a different heterozygous carboxy-terminus truncation mutation of RAR α .¹⁷¹ The other ATRA-resistant HL-60 subline with a Gln411STOP mutation (HL-60/RA-res or, simply, RA-res) was homozygous, and, lacking a normal RAR α allele, cannot have produced resistance by a dominant-negative mechanism. Although *in vitro* transcription and translation of mRNA from the RA-res cells indicated that the truncated protein can be exogenously stable,¹⁷¹ an RA-binding pro-

tein of corresponding size was not detected in these cells.¹⁷² In a comparative study, RA-res cells responded to alternative inducers of terminal neutrophilic differentiation much better than HL-60R cells.¹⁷³ This might be related to the homozygous loss of RAR α expression in RA-res cells, since expression of unligated RAR α has been demonstrated to be a specific and potent inhibitor of neutrophil maturation.^{174,175}

In the NB4 line, loss of PML-RAR α protein expression has been demonstrated to be another mechanism of ATRA resistance. At least two independent sublines have been established by step-wise ATRA selection, with (NB4.306)¹⁷⁶ or without (NB4/007.6)¹⁷⁷ prior low-dose irradiation, that express comparable levels of PML-RAR α mRNA as parental NB4 cells but which express no detectable PML-RAR α protein.^{176,178} In both sublines normal RAR α protein is present at typical NB4 cell levels. The lack of PML-RAR α protein expression was demonstrated to be at least partly due to degradation by a constitutively active proteasome in the NB4.006/7 cells but not the NB4.306 cells.¹⁷⁸ Caspase activation was not a factor in either subline, suggesting involvement of a third, unknown mechanism of proteolysis, which is likely dependent on post-translational modification, since *in vitro*-translated PML-RAR α was not degraded by NB4.006/7 cell lysates. ATRA sensitivity was partially restored to the NB4.006/7 cells by transduction of PML-RAR α , much more effectively so than by transduction of RAR α . The overall results strongly support the conclusion that PML-RAR α rather than RAR α is positively involved in mediating at least the initial stages of the ATRA-induced maturation response in APL cells.¹⁷⁸

In addition to the ATRA-resistant NB4 cell sublines, two other APL cell lines have been established associated with reduced ATRA sensitivity and with PML-RAR α missense mutations in the LBD of the RAR α region: line UF-1^{179,180} and line AP-1060^{181,182} (Sun *et al*, manuscript in preparation). In both lines, the karyotype is near-diploid with a single t(15;17), and these mutations were also detected in a specimen from the patient donor, indicating that they originated *in vivo*. Such naturally occurring mutations, associated with the unusual capacity for sustained *in vitro* growth, have the potential of providing novel insights into the selection pressures that lead to the clinical emergence of the APL cell subclones harboring PML-RAR α mutations (*vide infra*).

The UF-1 line was derived from a patient, who, like the NB4 cell line donor,¹⁴⁸ had relapsed from two previous chemotherapy-induced remissions and then had failed to achieve remission with intensive ATRA therapy.¹⁷⁹ The clinical specimen containing the mutation was obtained when the patient was already resistant to ATRA therapy,¹⁸⁰ and it is therefore uncertain whether it was present prior to beginning or emerged during ATRA therapy.¹⁷⁹ The Arg276Trp mutation in this case was associated with a marked decrease in ATRA binding affinity¹⁸⁰ and with only partial maturation of UF-1 cells, even at 1 μ M ATRA.¹⁷⁹

The AP-1060 line was derived from a patient in third relapse after previous therapy with four different chemotherapeutic agents, three in combination with ATRA, and after a transient partial response to arsenic trioxide (case No. 1, Ref. 50 and Sun *et al*, manuscript in preparation). The missense mutation in this case occurred at the same site as in the NB4-NR1 subline but with a different amino acid change, Pro407Ser instead of Pro407Leu.¹⁵⁸ In contrast to NB4-NR1 cells, the AP-1060 cells were not strongly resistant to ATRA-induced maturation. Rather the ATRA-induced maturation dose-response curve was slightly right-shifted but markedly sharpened with no cytologic response observed at 0.01 μ M

ATRA and a complete response at 0.1 μM ATRA. This profile was virtually superimposable on the ATRA transcriptional transactivation dose–response of the mutant PML-RAR α on a DR5 reporter gene in transient transfection assays¹⁸¹ (Sun *et al*, manuscript in preparation). Further studies are in progress to try to determine how or whether a mutation with such weak functional consequences might have contributed to clinical ATRA resistance and/or clinical relapse.

Focus on PML-RAR α : clinical studies: Studies of potentially aberrant nuclear response mechanisms in fresh patient specimens, as representative of *in vivo* acquired ATRA resistance, have so far primarily focused on a search for mutations in the PML-RAR α oncoprotein. As part of these investigations, it has been additionally established, however, that possible alternative aberrations of PML-RAR α mRNA, such as gross rearrangements, deletions or alternative or abnormal splicing variants (detectable at very low levels by sensitive RT-PCR amplification in many APL cases; Ding and Gallagher, unpublished results) either are not or are very infrequently involved in the development of ATRA resistance.³¹ Also, there have been no reported studies of PML-RAR α proteins in fresh APL specimens, so it is unknown whether proteolytic degradation in the absence of PML-RAR α mRNA alterations, as described in some ATRA-resistant NB4 sublines,^{176,178} might be involved in some instances of clinical ATRA resistance.

Table 5 summarizes and references information about 15 APL relapse cases with reported mutations of PML-RAR α , all of which are missense mutations. All mutations were derived from patients who had received ATRA treatment. Conversely, there have been no reports of PML-RAR α mutations in ATRA-naive APL cases. The latter include studies of more than 50 *de novo* cases^{31,183,184} but only eight cases after chemotherapy alone, all first relapse cases.³¹ Thus, although the data imply that ATRA therapy is required for the selection of APL cell

subclones harboring mutant PML-RAR α at relapse, insufficient chemotherapy-only relapse cases, particularly after two or more relapses, have been studied to be certain of this conclusion. Further support for an ATRA requirement is added by the consideration of the nature of the mutations, which are confined to the region of PML-RAR α related to direct ATRA effects (*vide infra*). Nevertheless, examination of the data in Table 5 raises questions about the relationship of ATRA therapy to the emergence of PML-RAR α mutant subclones at relapse.

In some cases, the PML-RAR α mutant subclone was detected after relapse while on prolonged ATRA therapy, eg cases 2, 5, 6¹⁸⁰ and 15. In case 5, it was further reported that bone marrow samples taken 3 and 6 months prior to relapse were PML-RAR α positive but lacked the mutation, indicating that the subclone harboring the mutation was late-emerging at relapse.¹⁸⁵ This suggests that continuous exposure to pharmacological ATRA concentrations selected the mutant subclone over a several month period, as noted to occur in the selection of ATRA-resistant subclones of NB4 culture cells with missense mutations of PML-RAR α .^{157,158} However, in other cases, the relationship to ATRA selection pressure is less clear. For example, after 1 year of continuous ATRA maintenance therapy, case 12 remained PML-RAR α -positive but lacked the mutation that emerged in the relapse subclone 1.5 months after the termination of ATRA therapy.^{31,186} Moreover, in cases 8, 9 and 13, relapse subclones harboring PML-RAR α mutations emerged at relapse many months after the termination of ATRA therapy and with interim negative analyses for mutations in PML-RAR α -positive samples.^{31,186} These observations indicate that, although prior ATRA therapy may have initially spawned the mutations, the forceful selection of the mutant subclones leading to relapse occurred at endogenous, physiological ATRA concentrations. This suggests that a secondary defect(s) develops and interacts in some alternative way with the PML-RAR α mutation to provide the mutant sub-

Table 5 Relationship of naturally occurring PML-RAR α mutations to clinical relapse from ATRA-containing therapy

Case No.	PML-RAR α mutation ^a	No. reported	Relapse No.	Days of ATRA Rx	Days off ATRA Rx	Mutations in pre-relapse samples ^b	Ref.
1	Lys207Asn	1	4	>180	0	nx1(~500)	Zhou <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰
2	Arg272Gln	3	1	~210	0	N	Imaizumi <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁴
3			1	~75	~270	N	Marasca <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁵
4			2	>100	~60	N	Zhou <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰
5	Arg276Trp	2	1	~240	0	N,nx1(~90)	Marasca <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁴
6			2		0		Takayama <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁰
7	Gly289Arg	2	1	59	1437	N,nx2(600)	Gallagher <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁶
8			3	>150	~100		Zhou, <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰
9	Leu290Val	1	1	52	174	N,nx2(90)	Ding <i>et al</i> ; ³¹ Gallagher <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁶
10	Arg294Trp	1	3	>200	<120		Zhou <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰
11	Met297Leu	1	1	~100	<60	N	Imaizumi <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁴
12	Arg394Trp	2	1	415	49	N,nx4(49)	Ding <i>et al</i> ; ³¹ Gallagher <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁶
13			1	365	223	N,nx3(223)	Gallagher <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁶
14	Pro407Leu	1	4	>120	~150		Zhou <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰
15	Met413Thr	1	1	142	0	N	Ding <i>et al</i> ³¹

^aPML-RAR α mutations are numbered by codon of normal RAR α 1.

^bCapital letters indicate tests for mutation done at disease presentation before any anti-leukemia treatment (N, negative); the small 'nxnumber' indicates the number of samples tested for a mutation with negative results between the time of complete remission and detection of the mutation at relapse; the numbers in parentheses indicate the number of days between the last interim test for a mutation prior to positive mutation detection at relapse.

clone with a competitive advantage over the predominant PML-RAR α WT APL cells, leading to relapse.

Another consideration in evaluating the relationship of PML-RAR α mutations to ATRA resistance and to disease relapse is the dysfunction imparted by the mutation. In this respect, Table 6 summarizes several functional features of nine unique missense mutations. All of these mutations are located in the LBD of the RAR α -region of PML-RAR α , and all had diminished ATRA binding compared to WT PML-RAR α , which was quite variable in magnitude. Although the binding constants were not precisely quantified, several of the estimates from HPLC analyses were confirmed by a protease protection method¹⁸⁷ and seem reliable at a semi-quantitative level. For all tested mutants, there was a reasonably direct relationship between the degree to which ATRA binding was decreased and the ability to transactivate transcription from a reporter vector containing a DR5 RARE in transient transfection assays, recognizing that the dense association of corepressor proteins with PML-RAR α results in at least a 10-fold higher ATRA concentration for transactivation compared to ATRA binding.^{130,131} This suggests that defective ATRA binding is the major cause of ATRA resistance related to mutant PML-RAR α s, although more detailed analyses indicate that in some instances the mutations additionally modify transcriptional transactivation by effects on direct interactions between PML-RAR α and co-repressor and/or co-activator proteins.^{163,187} Notably, several of the mutations produce relatively weak defects, activating the RARE-regulated reporter gene at ≤ 100 nM ATRA, an easily achieved pharmacological level. These assays are performed with monolayer cell cultures, eg COS-1 cells, that have been selected for low endogenous activity and strong support of exogenously transfected reporter vector activity, and therefore, they presumably contain a surfeit of the co-factors that are required to maximize transcriptional activity. This could decrease sensitivity for detecting adverse effects of the mutations, and, unfortunately, there have been very few studies of ATRA-induced terminal differentiation in the native relapse APL cells that harbor the PML-RAR α mutations. In three cases studied (Table 6, Gly289Arg, Leu290Val and Pro407Ser), there was a close correlation between the ATRA concentrations required to induce APL cell differentiation *in vitro* and reporter vector transcriptional transactivation in COS-1 cells.^{31,50} However, in two other cases (Table 6, Arg394Trp and Met413Leu), 10- or >100-fold higher ATRA concentrations were required to induce APL cell maturation,^{31,50,187} suggesting either that alternative defects contributed to the APL cellular resistance or that quantitative differences in RARE-related transcription cofactors differentially affect the functional capacity of the mutant PML-RAR α .

In the ATRA-resistant subline NB4-MR4, it was shown that both defective transcriptional transactivation on a DR5 reporter vector and cell maturation could be partially overcome by co-administering ATRA and a HDI, either sodium butyrate (NaB) or trichostatin A (TSA).¹⁶³ Such an interactive effect between ATRA and NaB on DR5-enhanced transcriptional transactivation has been shown, as well, for several of the naturally-occurring PML-RAR α mutations (Table 6). Also, in one of only two studies of associated APL cell maturation, the combined effect of ATRA + NaB was greater than in the reporter transactivation assay (Table 6, Gly289Arg).⁵⁰ Since NaB alone was able to stimulate partial maturation of the APL cells harboring the Gly289Arg mutation,⁵⁰ these observations suggest that the ATRA-independent maturation-promoting activity of NaB alone was able to compensate for the strongly

inactivating PML-RAR α mutation and was likely positively involved in the clinical response of the patient to the combined agents.⁴⁸ In contrast, four other relapse APL cases failed to respond to combined ATRA + butyrate therapy,⁴⁹ despite harboring WT or less disabling PML-RAR α mutations that could be strongly co-modulated by the two agents in the DR5 reporter transactivation assay (Table 6, mutants Lys207Asn, Arg272Gln and Arg294Trp).⁵⁰ These observations indicate that much remains to be learned about the relationship between PML-RAR α mutant status and clinical ATRA resistance.

Until now, a principal model that has been cited for ATRA resistance in APL related to mutations in PML-RAR α /RAR α is the thyroid hormone resistance syndrome (RTHS) due to thyroid hormone receptor (THR) mutations.^{50,184,187,188} THR α s and RARs share close homology and mutations occur in similar locations with like effects on interactive co-repressor and co-activator proteins. Also, the THR may have a role in red blood cell maturation that is analogous to that of RAR α in neutrophil maturation,^{175,189} and the mutant THR *erbA* oncogene present in avian erythroblastosis¹⁹⁰ virus that causes erythroleukemia has a transcriptional repressor function analogous to unliganded RAR α /PML-RAR α .¹⁹¹ However, the great majority of naturally occurring THR mutants, derived from cases of inherited RTHS, are strict loss-of-function mutations due to dominant-negative inhibition of the normal allele.^{188,192} Although virtually all PML-RAR α mutations also have loss-of-function regarding ATRA binding, it must be considered that these somatic mutations arise in a malignant cell population and might develop alternative effects. Such alternative effects have been recognized, for example, in the acquisition of androgen receptor mutations in prostate cancer, and they include promiscuous binding to alternative hormones and abnormal targeting by various cytokines.^{193,194} Although the therapeutic selective pressure for the acquisition of androgen receptor mutations is typically the opposite from that for PML-RAR α mutations in APL, ie ligand deprivation rather than ligand excess, it nevertheless seems likely that similar phenomena might occur under both circumstances. In this regard, it was recently reported that hematopoietic growth factors can activate RARs in an ATRA-independent, as well as an ATRA-dependent, manner.¹⁴⁷ Thus, the interplay of growth factor or other molecular pathways might differentially affect the activity of WT or mutant PML-RAR α and, secondarily, influence the ability of the respective subclones to compete for growth and survival advantage in the host. This competitive relationship might vary during the course of disease as a function of changes in exogenous influences, eg treatment, or endogenous developments, eg additional APL cell mutations.

A further consideration related to endogenous APL cell alterations is the recent finding that PML-RAR α can recruit DNA methyltransferase enzymes.¹⁹⁵ In this initial study, it was demonstrated that this recruitment is associated with hypermethylation and silencing of the possible tumor suppressor gene RAR β that contains a RARE in the promoter region. Since the PML-RAR α -methyltransferase interaction was partly dependent on the RAR α -component of PML-RAR α ,¹⁹⁵ a possibility is that mutations in this region might alter this activity on RAR β or other PML-RAR α -targeted gene promoters. If so, such heritable epigenetic changes might amplify or broaden the effects of PML-RAR α mutations and contribute to the enhanced survival and growth properties of APL subclones harboring these mutations that emerge at relapse.

Table 6 Naturally occurring PML-RAR α mutations: ATRA concentrations (nM) required for PML-RAR α receptor-binding and, in the presence or absence of NaB (1 mM), for ATRA activation of a RARE-regulated gene promoter or induction of maturation of fresh, mutation-harboring APL cells from patients^a

PML-RAR α Mutation	PML-RAR α		RARE reporter transactivation		APL cell maturation	
	ATRA-binding		ATRA	ATRA+NaB	ATRA	ATRA+NaB
Wild-type	<1		<10	<10	10–100	~10
Lys207Asn	<10		10–100	~10	NT	NT
Arg272Gln	~10		10–100	~10	NT	NT
Arg276Trp	5–10		NT	NT	~1000	NT
Gly289Arg	≥10		>1000	~1000	>1000	<1000
Leu290Val	≥10		100–1000	~100	~1000	NT
Arg294Trp	>10		~100	<10	NT	NT
Arg394Trp	<10		~10	<10	~100	NT
Pro407Ser	>1<10		50–100	~10	50–100	50–100
Met413Thr	<10		~10	<10	>1000	NT

^aFor references see Table 5 and text.

ATRA, all-*trans* retinoic acid; NaB, sodium butyrate; RARE, retinoic acid response element; NT, not tested.

Summary and conclusions

Although clinical ATRA resistance in APL is defined as the inability to achieve or sustain clinical remission, there is, in reality, a spectrum of ATRA sensitivity/resistance in individual patients. Primary ATRA resistance is rare in *de novo* cases in the sense that CR can be achieved in almost all ATRA-treated patients with genetically verified PML-RAR α -positive, non-chimeric APL. However, there is a range of heterogeneity elements that affect initial sensitivity to ATRA treatment, which has been linked to variations in longer term clinical outcome following combined ATRA + chemotherapy-induced clinical remissions. These heterogeneity elements are likely multi-factorial and with few exceptions have not been defined at the molecular level; it is unclear how they relate to relapse. Secondary, acquired ATRA resistance is near-universal in patients treated with continuous ATRA therapy, and, in these circumstances, an adaptive reaction to pharmacological ATRA administration is likely contributory to the ATRA resistance and to the selection of the APL subclone(s) that produce disease relapse. Although this reaction involves reversible ATRA hypercatabolism, the responsible molecular mechanisms remain poorly defined and may not provide a full explanation for on-ATRA relapses. Based on clinical observations with alternative retinoid formulations, such as 9cRA, Am80 and L-ATRA, that can by-pass this reaction, hypercatabolism does not appear to be a major element in ATRA resistance associated with relapse that follows discontinuance of ATRA by a few months. Relapse from treatment regimens with ATRA/chemotherapy combinations, usually after a several month interval from ATRA discontinuance, is nevertheless commonly associated with clinical ATRA resistance and with diminished APL cell sensitivity to ATRA-induced maturation *in vitro*. Early studies suggested that this is due to APL cellular alterations that limit access of ATRA to the cell nucleus by cytoplasmic sequestration and oxidative catabolism. However, subsequent studies have provided limited support for these hypotheses. Most dramatically, CRABP-II has been demonstrated to be constitutively expressed in APL cells and to have a positive rather than a negative role in delivering ATRA to nuclear regulatory sites. A caveat is that recently discovered P450 enzymes that have specificity for the oxidative catabolism of ATRA (CYP26) have not been thoroughly investigated for a possible role in ATRA resistance. In contrast, much evi-

dence has accrued for an association of defects of PML-RAR α , particularly mutations in the LBD of the RAR α -region with ATRA resistance in clinical APL. To a considerable extent these findings are a confirmation of the clinical relevance of similar mutations and associated molecular alterations found in ATRA-resistant sublines of the APL cell line NB4 and the RA-sensitive AML cell line HL-60. Remarkably, however, the emergence of APL cell clones with these mutations at relapse following ATRA therapy is not necessarily related to ATRA selection pressure, and the quantitative defect in ATRA-regulated transcriptional activity does not consistently coincide with the loss of ATRA sensitivity of the mutation-harboring APL cells or seem of sufficient magnitude to explain selection of the associated relapse APL cell clone. These observations suggest that mutations of PML-RAR α have complex effects on APL cell survival, growth and terminal differentiation, the detailed mechanisms of which remain to be elucidated. Finally, since PML-RAR α mutations are not present in many ATRA-resistant relapse cases, other mechanisms, some likely involving perturbations of the multi-factorial nuclear complexes that regulate gene expression, remain to be discovered.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Dr Ari Melnick for careful reading of the manuscript and for suggestions, and to Dr Dan Douer and Dr Ryuzo Ohno for reviewing and clarifying their published data. This work was supported by USPHS Grant CA56771.

References

- Warrell Jr RP, de The H, Wang ZY, Degos L. Acute promyelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 1993; **329**: 177–189.
- Grignani F, Fagioli M, Alcalay M, Longo L, Pandolfi PP, Dotti E, Biondi A, Lo Coco F, Grignani F, Pelicci PG. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: from genetics to treatment. *Blood* 1994; **83**: 10–25.
- Fenaux P, Chomienne C, Degos L. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: biology and treatment. *Semin Oncol* 1997; **24**: 92–102.
- Melnick A, Licht JD. Deconstructing a disease: RAR α , its fusion partners, and their roles in the pathogenesis of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1999; **93**: 3167–3215.
- Slack JL, Gallagher RE. The molecular biology of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer Treat Res* 1999; **99**: 75–124.

- 6 Wiernik PW, Gallagher RE, Tallman MT. Diagnosis and treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. In: Wiernik P, Goldman J, Kyle R (eds). *Neoplastic Diseases of the Blood*. Cambridge University Press: London (in press).
- 7 Warrell Jr RP. Retinoid resistance in acute promyelocytic leukemia: new mechanisms, strategies and implications. *Blood* 1993; **82**: 1949–1953.
- 8 Huang M-E, Ye Y-C, Chen S-R, Chai J-R, Lu J-X, Lin Z, Gu L-J, Wang Z-Y. Use of all-*trans* retinoic acid in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1988; **72**: 567–572.
- 9 Castaigne S, Chomienne C, Daniel MT, Ballerini P, Berger R, Fenaux P, Degos L. All-*trans* retinoic acid as a differentiation therapy for acute promyelocytic leukemia. I. Clinical results. *Blood* 1990; **76**: 1704–1709.
- 10 Chen Z-X, Xue Y-Q, Zhang R, Tao R-F, Xia X-M, Li C, Wang W, Zu W-Y, Yao X-Z, Ling B-J. A clinical and experimental study on all-*trans* retinoic acid-treated acute promyelocytic leukemia patients. *Blood* 1991; **78**: 1413–1419.
- 11 Castaigne S, Lefebvre P, Rigal-Huguet F, Gardin C, Montfort L, Luc E, Delmer A, Troncy J, Tilly H, Travade P, Gilles E, Rapp M, Montastruc M, Isnard F, Weh H, Christian B, Janvier M, Dombet H, Fenaux P, Chomienne C, Degos L. Effectiveness and pharmacokinetics of low-dose all-*trans* retinoic acid (25 mg/m²) in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1992; **82**: 3560–3563.
- 12 Fenaux P, Castaigne S, Chomienne C, Dombret H, Degos L. All *trans* retinoic acid treatment for patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL): a pilot study. *Leukemia* 1992; **6**: 64–69.
- 13 Ohno R, Yoshida H, Fukutani H, Naoe T, Ohshima T, Kyo T, Endoh N, Fujimoto T, Kobiyashi T, Hiraoka A, Mizoguchi H, Kodaera Y, Suzuki H, Hirano M, Akiyama H, Aoki N, Shindo H, Yokomaku S. Multi-institutional study of all-*trans*-retinoic acid as a differentiation therapy of refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1993; **7**: 1722–1727.
- 14 Warrell Jr RP, Maslak P, Eardley A, Geller G, Miller Jr WH, Franke SR. Treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-*trans* retinoic acid: an update of the New York experience. *Leukemia* 1994; **8**: 929–933.
- 15 Soignet S, Fleischauer A, Polyak T, Heller G, Warrell Jr RP. All-*trans* retinoic acid significantly increases 5-year survival in patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia: long-term follow-up of the New York study. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1997; **40**: S25–S29.
- 16 Tallman MS, Andersen JW, Schiffer CA, Appelbaum FR, Feusner JE, Ogden A, Shepherd L, Willman CL, Bloomfield CD, Rowe JM, Wiernik PH. All-*trans* retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **337**: 1021–1028.
- 17 Cortes J, Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Robertson L, Koller C, Hirsh-Ginsberg C, Stass S, Keating M, Estey E. All-*trans* retinoic acid followed by chemotherapy for salvage of refractory or relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer* 1994; **73**: 2946–2952.
- 18 Asou N, Adachi K, Tamura J, Kanamaru S, Kageyama S, Hiraoka A, Omoto E, Akiyama H, Tsubaki K, Saito K, Kuriyama K, Oh H, Kitano K, Miyawaki S, Takeyama K, Yamada O, Nishikawa K, Takahashi M, Matsuda S, Ohtake S, Suzushima H, Emi N, Ohno R. Analysis of prognostic factors in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia treated with all-*trans* retinoic acid and chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1998; **6**: 78–85.
- 19 Estey E, Koller C, Cortes J, Reed P, Freireich E, Giles F, Kantarjian H. Treatment of newly-diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia with liposomal all-*trans* retinoic acid. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2001; **42**: 309–316.
- 20 Douer D, Estey E, Santillana S, Bennett JM, Lopez-Berestein G, Boehm K, Williams T. Treatment of newly diagnosed and relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia with intravenous liposomal all-*trans* retinoic acid. *Blood* 2001; **97**: 73–80.
- 21 Mandelli F, Diverio D, Avvisati G, Luciano A, Barbui T, Bernasconi C, Brocchia G, Cerri R, Falda M, Fioritoni G, Leoni F, Liso V, Petti MC, Rodeghiero F, Saglio G, Vegna ML, Visani G, Jehn U, Willemze R, Muus P, Pellicci PG, Biondi A, Lo Coco F. Molecular remission in PML/RAR α -positive acute promyelocytic leukemia by combined all-*trans* retinoic acid and idarubicin (AIDA) therapy. *Blood* 1997; **90**: 1014–1021.
- 22 Fenaux P, Chastang C, Chevret S, Sanz M, Dombret H, Archimbaud E, Fey M, Rayon C, Huguet F, Sotto JJ, Gardin C, Makhoul PC, Travade P, Solary E, Fegueux N, Bordessoule D, San Miguel J, Link H, Desablens B, Stamatoullas A, Deconinck E, Maloisel F, Castaigne S, Preudhomme C, Degos L. A randomized comparison of all transretinoic acid (ATRA) followed by chemotherapy and ATRA plus chemotherapy and the role of maintenance therapy in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1999; **94**: 1192–1200.
- 23 Sanz MA, Martin G, Rayon C, Esteve J, Gonzalez M, Diaz-Mediavilla J, Bolufer P, Barragan E, Terol MJ, Gonzalez JD, Colomer D, Chillon C, Rivas C, Gomez T, Ribera JM, Bornstein R, Roman J, Calasanz MJ, Arias J, Alvarez C, Ramos F, Deben G. A modified AIDA protocol with anthracycline-based consolidation results in high antileukemic efficacy and reduced toxicity in newly diagnosed PML/RAR α -positive acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1999; **94**: 3015–3021.
- 24 Burnett AK, Grimwade D, Solomon E, Wheatley K, Goldstone AH. Presenting white blood cell count and kinetics of molecular remission predict prognosis in acute promyelocytic leukemia treated with all-*trans* retinoic acid: result of the randomized MRC trial. *Blood* 1999; **93**: 4131–4143.
- 25 Douer D. Advances in the treatment of relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Acta Haematol* 2002; **107**: 1–17.
- 26 Charrin C, Ritouet D, Campos L, Devaux Y, Archimbaud E, Fraisse J, Fiere D, Germain D. Association of t(15;17) and t(8;21) in the initial phase of an acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1992; **58**: 177–180.
- 27 Movafagh A, Varma N, Varma S. Co-expression of two FAB-specific chromosome changes, t(15;17) and t(8;21) in a case of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Ann Hematol* 1996; **72**: 375–377.
- 28 Varella-Garcia M, Brizard F, Roche J, Flandrin G, Drabkin H, Brizard A. Aml1/ETO and Pml/RARA rearrangements in a case of AML-M2 acute myeloblastic leukemia with t(15;17). *Leuk Lymphoma* 1999; **33**: 403–404.
- 29 Stavroyianni N, Kalmantis T, Yataganas X. Simultaneous PML/RAR α and AML/ETO gene rearrangements in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 1999; **13**: 1294–1295.
- 30 Bonomi R, Giordano H, Moreno M, Bodega E, Landom AI, Gallagher R, Uriarte R. Simultaneous PML/RAR α and AML1/ETO expression with t(15;17) at onset and relapse with only t(8;21) in an acute promyelocytic leukemia patient. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2000; **123**: 41–43.
- 31 Ding W, Li YP, Nobile LM, Grills G, Carrera I, Paietta E, Tallman MS, Wiernik PH, Gallagher RE. Leukemic cellular retinoic acid resistance and missense mutations in the PML-RAR α fusion gene after relapse of acute promyelocytic leukemia from treatment with all-*trans* retinoic acid and intensive chemotherapy. *Blood* 1998; **92**: 1172–1183.
- 32 Shen ZX, Chen GQ, Ni JH, Li XS, Xiong SM, Qiu QY, Zhu J, Tang W, Sun GL, Yang KQ, Chen Y, Zhou L, Fang ZW, Wang YT, Ma J, Zhang P, Zhang TD, Chen SJ, Chen Z, Wang ZY. Use of arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL): II. Clinical efficacy and pharmacokinetics in relapsed patients. *Blood* 1997; **89**: 3354–3360.
- 33 Gallagher RE, Li Y-P, Rao S, Paietta E, Andersen J, Etkind P, Tallman MS, Wiernik PH. Characterization of acute promyelocytic leukemia cases with PML-RAR α break/fusion sites in PML exon 6: identification of a subgroup with decreased in vitro responsiveness to all-*trans* retinoic acid. *Blood* 1995; **86**: 1540–1547.
- 34 Slack JL, Willman CL, Andersen JW, Li Y-P, Viswanatha DS, Bloomfield CD, Tallman MS, Gallagher RE. Molecular analysis and clinical outcome of adult APL patients with the type V PML-RAR α isoform: results from Intergroup protocol 0129. *Blood* 2000; **95**: 398–403.
- 35 Gonzalez M, Barragan E, Bolufer P, Chillon C, Colomer D, Borstein R, Calasanz MF, Gomez-Casares MT, Villegas A, Marugan I, Roman J, Martin G, Rayon C, Deben G, Tormo M, Diaz-Mediavilla J, Esteve J, Gonzalez-San Miguel J, Rivas C, Perez-Equiza K, Garcia-Sanz R, Capote FJ, Ribera JM, Arias J, Leon A, Sanz M. Pretreatment characteristics and clinical outcome of acute promyelocytic leukaemia patients according to the PML-RAR α isoforms: a study of the PETHEMA group. *Br J Haematol* 2001; **114**: 99–103.
- 36 Gu BW, Xiong H, Zhou Y, Chen B, Dong S, Yu ZY, Lu LF, Zhong M, Yin HF, Zhu GF, Huang W, Ren SX, Gallagher RE, Waxman S, Chen GQ, Wang ZG, Chen Z, Chen SJ, G. F. Variant-type PML-RAR α fusion transcript in acute promyelocytic leukemia:

- use of a cryptic coding sequence from intron 2 of the RAR α gene and identification of a new clinical subtype of retinoic acid therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; **99**: 7640–7645.
- 37 Gurrieri C, Nafa K, Taha M, Jain V, Douer D, Biondi A, Nimer S, Gallagher R, Pandolfi PP. Mutations of the PML tumor suppressor gene in RA-resistant APL. *Blood* 2001; **99**: 835a.
 - 38 Cassinat B, Chevret S, Zassadowski F, Balitrand N, Guillemot I, Menot M-L, Degos L, Fenaux P, Chomienne C. *In vitro* all-trans retinoic acid sensitivity of acute promyelocytic leukemia blasts: a novel indicator of poor patient outcome. *Blood* 2001; **98**: 2862–2864.
 - 39 Lefebvre P, Thomas G, Gourmel B, Agadir A, Castaigne S, Dreux C, Degos L, Chomienne C. Pharmacokinetics of oral all-trans retinoic acid with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1991; **5**: 1054–1058.
 - 40 Rigas J, Francis P, Muindi J, Kris M, Huselton C, DeGrazia F, Orazem J, Young C, Warrell Jr, RP. Constitutive variability in the pharmacokinetics of the natural retinoid, all-trans-retinoic acid, and its modulation by ketoconazole. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; **85**: 1921–1926.
 - 41 Ohno R, Ohnishi K, Takeshita A, Tanimoto M, Murakami H, Kanamaru A, Asou N, Kobayashi T, Kuriyama K, Ohmoto E, Sakamaki H, Tsubaki KI, Hiraoka A, Yamada O, Oh H, Furusawa S, Matsuda S, Naoe T. All-trans retinoic acid therapy in relapsed/refractory or newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) in Japan. *Leukemia* 1994; **8**: S64–S69.
 - 42 Yamada O, Hatake K, Tanimoto M, Ishiyama T, Ohno R, Shirakawa S, Horiuchi A, Tomonaga M, Ota K. Cooperative study of all-trans retinoic acid as a differentiation induction therapy of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994; **21**: 1981–1986.
 - 43 Thomas X, Dombret H, Cordonnier C, Pigneux A, Gardin C, Guerci A, Vekhoff A, Sadoun A, Stamatoullas A, Fegueux N, Maloisel F, Cahn JY, Reman O, Gratecos N, Berthou C, Huguier F, Kotoucek P, Travade P, Buzyn A, de Revel T, Vilque JP, Naccache P, Chomienne C, Degos L, Fenaux P. Treatment of relapsing acute promyelocytic leukemia by all-trans retinoic acid therapy followed by timed sequential chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. *Leukemia* 2000; **14**: 1006–1013.
 - 44 Degos L, Dombret H, Chomienne C, Daniel M-T, Miclea J-M, Chastang C, Castaigne S, Fenaux P. All-trans-retinoic acid as a differentiating agent in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1995; **85**: 2643–2653.
 - 45 Lo Coco F, Diverio D, Avvisati G, Petti MC, Meloni G, Pogliani EM, Biondi A, Rossi G, Carlo-Stella C, Selleri C, Martino B, Specchia G, Mandelli F. Therapy of molecular relapse in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1999; **94**: 2225–2229.
 - 46 Miller Jr WH, Jakubowski A, Tong WP, Miller VA, Rigas JR, Benedetti F, Gill GM, Truglia JA, Ulm E, Shirley M, Warrell Jr RP. 9-cis retinoic acid induces complete remission but does not reverse clinically acquired retinoid resistance in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1995; **85**: 3021–3027.
 - 47 Estey E, Thall PF, Mehta K, Rosenblum M, Brewer Jr T, Simmons V, Cabanillas F, Kurzrock R, Lopez-Berestein G. Alterations in tretinoin pharmacokinetics following administration of liposomal all-trans retinoic acid. *Blood* 1996; **87**: 3650–3654.
 - 48 Warrell Jr RP, He LZ, Richon V, Calleja E, Pandolfi PP. Therapeutic targeting of transcription in acute promyelocytic leukemia by use of an inhibitor of histone deacetylase. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998; **90**: 1621–1625.
 - 49 Novick S, Camacho L, Gallagher R, Chanel S, Ho R, Tolentino T, Warrell Jr R. Initial clinical evaluation of 'transcription therapy' for cancer: all-trans retinoic acid and phenylbutyrate. *Blood* 1999; **94**: 61a.
 - 50 Zhou D-C, Kim S, Ding W, Schulz C, Warrell Jr RP, Gallagher RE. Frequent mutations in the ligand binding domain of PML-RAR α after multiple relapses of acute promyelocytic leukemia: analysis for functional relationship to response to all-trans retinoic acid and histone deacetylase inhibitors *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Blood* 2002; **99**: 1356–1363.
 - 51 He LZ, Merghoub T, Pandolfi PP. *In vivo* analysis of the molecular pathogenesis of acute promyelocytic leukemia in the mouse and its therapeutic implications. *Oncogene* 1999; **18**: 5278–5292.
 - 52 Giguere V. Retinoic acid receptors and cellular retinoid binding proteins: complex interplay in retinoid signalling. *Endocrine Rev* 1994; **15**: 61–79.
 - 53 Luu L, Ramshaw H, Tahayato A, Stuart A, Jones G, White J, Petkovich M. Regulation of retinoic acid metabolism. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 2001; **41**: 159–175.
 - 54 Muindi J, Frankel S, Miller Jr WH, Jakubowski A, Scheinberg D, Young C, Dmitrovsky E, Warrell Jr, RP. Continuous treatment with all-trans-retinoic acid causes a progressive reduction in plasma drug concentrations: implications for relapse and retinoid 'resistance' in patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1992; **79**: 299–303.
 - 55 Muindi J, Frankel S, Huselton C, DeGrazia F, Garland W, Young C, Warrell Jr, RP. Clinical pharmacology of oral all-trans retinoic acid with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer Res* 1992; **52**: 2138–2142.
 - 56 Adamson PC, Boylan JF, Balis FM, Murphy RF, Godwin KA, Gudas LJ, Poplack DG. Time course of induction of metabolism of all-trans-retinoic acid and the up-regulation of cellular retinoic acid-binding protein. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 472–476.
 - 57 Adamson P, Pitot H, Balis F, Rubin J, Murphy R, Poplack D. Variability in the oral bioavailability of all-trans-retinoic acid. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; **85**: 993–996.
 - 58 Lee JS, Newman RA, Lippman SM, Fossella FV, Calayag M, Raber MN, Krakoff IH, Hong WK. Phase I evaluation of all-trans retinoic acid with and without ketoconazole in adults with solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 1995; **13**: 1501–1508.
 - 59 Adamson PC, Bailey J, Pluda J, Poplack DG, Bauza S, Murphy RF, Yarchoan R, Balis FM. Pharmacokinetics of all-trans-retinoic acid administered on an intermittent schedule. *J Clin Oncol* 1995; **13**: 1238–1241.
 - 60 Frolik CA. Metabolism of retinoids. In: Sporn MB, Roberts AB, Goodman DS (eds). *The Retinoids*. Academic Press: New York, 1984, pp 177–208.
 - 61 Nelson DR, Koymans L, Kamataki T, Stegman JJ, Feyereisen R, Waxman DJ, Waterman MR, Gotoh O, Coon MJ, Estabrook RW, Gunsalus IC, Nebert DW. The P450 superfamily: update on new sequences, gene mapping, accession numbers, and nomenclature. *Pharmacogenetics* 1996; **6**: 1–42.
 - 62 Martini R, Murray M. Participation of P450 3A enzymes in rat hepatic microsomal retinoic acid 4-hydroxylation. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1993; **303**: 57–66.
 - 63 Westin S, Sonneveld E, van der Leede BM, van der Saag PT, Gustafsson JA, Mode A. CYP2C7 expression in rat liver and hepatocytes: regulation by retinoids. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 1997; **129**: 169–179.
 - 64 Ray WJ, Bain G, Yao M, Gottlieb DI. CYP26, a novel mammalian cytochrome P450, is induced by retinoic acid and defines a new family. *J Biol Chem* 1997; **272**: 18702–18708.
 - 65 White JA, Beckett-Jones B, Guo Y-D, Kilworth J, Bonasoro J, Jones G, Petkovich M. cDNA cloning of human retinoic acid-metabolizing enzyme (hP450RAI) identifies a novel family of cytochromes P450 (CYP26). *J Biol Chem* 1997; **272**: 18538–18541.
 - 66 Sonneveld E, van den Brink CE, van der Leede B-JM, Schulkes R-KAM, Petkovich M, van der Burg B, van der Saag PT. Human retinoic acid (RA) 4-hydroxylase (CYP26) is highly specific for all-trans-RA and can be induced through RA receptors in human breast and colon carcinoma cells. *Cell Growth Differ* 1998; **9**: 629–637.
 - 67 Muindi J, Young C. Lipid hydroperoxides greatly increase the rate of oxidative catabolism of all-trans-retinoic acid by human microsomes genetically enriched in specified cytochrome P-450 isoforms. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 1226–1229.
 - 68 Miller AM, Kobb SM, McTiernan RM. Regulation of HL-60 differentiation by lipoxygenase pathway metabolites *in vitro*. *Cancer Res* 1990; **50**: 7257–7260.
 - 69 Miller VA, Rigas JR, Muindi JRF, Tong WP, Venkatraman E, Kris MG, Warrell Jr RP. Modulation of all-trans retinoic acid pharmacokinetics by liarazole. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1994; **34**: 522–526.
 - 70 Schwartz E, Hallam S, Gallagher R, Wiernik P. Inhibition of all-trans-retinoic acid metabolism by fluconazole *in vitro* and in patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1995; **50**: 923–928.
 - 71 Capdevila J, Gil L, Orellana M. Inhibitors of cytochrome P-450-

- dependent arachidonic acid metabolism. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1988; **261**: 257–263.
- 72 Ruberte E, Friederic B, Morriss-Kay G, Chambon P. Differential distribution patterns of CRABP I and CRABP II transcripts during mouse embryogenesis. *Development* 1992; **115**: 973–987.
- 73 Boylan J, Gudas L. The level of CRABP-I expression influences the amounts and types of all-*trans*-retinoic acid metabolism in F9 teratocarcinoma stem cells. *J Biol Chem* 1992; **267**: 21486–21491.
- 74 Napoli J. Retinoic acid biosynthesis and metabolism. *FASEB J* 1996; **10**: 993–1001.
- 75 Donovan M, Olofsson B, Gustafson AL, Dencker L, Eriksson U. The cellular retinoic acid binding proteins. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 1995; **43**: 459–465.
- 76 Means AL, Thompson JR, Gudas LJ. Transcriptional regulation of the cellular retinoic acid binding protein I gene in F9 teratocarcinoma cells. *Cell Growth Differ* 2000; **11**: 71–82.
- 77 Piletta P, Jaconi S, Siegenthaler G, Didierjean L, Saurat JH. Topical glucocorticosteroids modulate the expression of CRABP I and II in human skin differently. *Exp Dermatol* 1994; **3**: 23–28.
- 78 Durand B, Saunders M, Leroy P, Leid M, Chambon P. All-*trans* and 9-*cis* retinoic acid induction of CRABP II transcription is mediated by RAR-RXR heterodimers bound to DR1 and DR2 repeated motifs. *Cell* 1992; **71**: 73–85.
- 79 Astrom A, Pettersson U, Chambon P, Voorhees J. Retinoic acid induction of human cellular retinoic acid-binding protein-II gene transcription is mediated by retinoic acid receptor-retinoid X receptor heterodimers bound to one far upstream retinoic acid-responsive element with 5-base pair spacing. *J Biol Chem* 1994; **269**: 22334–22339.
- 80 Jing Y, Waxman S, Mira-y-Lopez R. The cellular retinoic acid binding protein II is a positive regulator of retinoic acid signaling in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 1997; **57**: 1668–1672.
- 81 Vo HP, Crowe DL. Transcriptional regulation of retinoic acid responsive genes by cellular retinoic acid binding protein-II modulates RA-mediated tumor cell proliferation and invasion. *Anticancer Res* 1998; **18**: 217–224.
- 82 Delva L, Bastie J-N, Rochette-Egley C, Kraiba R, Balitrand N, Despauy G, Chambon P, Chomienne C. Physical and functional interactions between cellular retinoic acid binding protein II and the retinoic acid-dependent nuclear complex. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; **19**: 7158–7167.
- 83 Dong D, Ruuska SE, Levinthal DJ, Noy N. Distinct roles for cellular retinoic acid-binding proteins I and II in regulating signaling by retinoic acid. *J Biol Chem* 1999; **274**: 23695–23698.
- 84 Budhu A, Gillilan R, Noy N. Localization of the RAR interaction domain of cellular retinoic acid binding protein-II. *J Mol Biol* 2001; **305**: 939–949.
- 85 Bastie J-N, Despouy G, Balitrand N, Rochette-Egley C, Chomienne C, Delva L. The novel co-activator CRABP II binds to RAR α and RXR α via two nuclear receptor interacting domains and does not require the AF-2 'core'. *FEBS Lett* 2001; **507**: 67–73.
- 86 Gaub MP, Lutz Y, Ghyssels NB, Scheuer I, Pfister V, Chambon P, Rochette-Egley C. Nuclear detection of cellular retinoic acid binding proteins I and II with new antibodies. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1998; **46**: 1103–1111.
- 87 Zheng WL, Sierra-Rivera E, Luan J, Ostgeen KG, Ong DE. Retinoic acid synthesis and expression of cellular retinol-binding protein and cellular retinoic acid-binding protein type II are concurrent with decidualization of rat uterine stromal cells. *Endocrinol* 2000; **141**: 802–808.
- 88 Warrell Jr R. Retinoid resistance. *Lancet* 1993; **341**: 126.
- 89 Astrom A, Tavakkol A, Pettersson U, Cromie M, Elder J, Voorhees J. Molecular cloning of two human cellular retinoic acid-binding proteins (CRABP). *J Biol Chem* 1991; **266**: 17662–17666.
- 90 Cornic M, Delva L, Guidez F, Balitrand N, Degos L, Chomienne C. Induction of retinoic acid-binding protein in normal and malignant human myeloid cells by retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia patients. *Cancer Res* 1992; **52**: 3329–3334.
- 91 Delva L, Cornic M, Balitrand N, Guidez F, Miclea J-M, Delmer A, Teillet F, Fenaux P, Castaigne S, Degos L, Chomienne C. Resistance to all-*trans*-retinoic acid (ATRA) therapy in relapsing acute promyelocytic leukemia: study of *in vitro* sensitivity and cellular retinoic acid binding protein levels in leukemic cells. *Blood* 1993; **82**: 2175–2181.
- 92 Zhou D-C, Hallam SJ, Klein RS, Wiernik PH, Tallman MS, Gallagher RE. Constitutive expression of cellular retinoic acid binding protein II and lack of correlation with sensitivity to all-*trans* retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. *Cancer Res* 1998; **58**: 5770–5776.
- 93 Soignet SL, Benedetti F, Fleishauer A, Parker BA, Truglia JA, Ra Crisp M, Warrell Jr RP. Clinical study of 9-*cis* retinoic acid (LGD1057) in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1998; **12**: 1518–1521.
- 94 Heyman RA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Dyck JA, Stein RB, Eichele G, Evans RM, Thaller C. 9-*cis* retinoic acid is a high affinity ligand for the retinoid X receptor. *Cell* 1992; **68**: 397–406.
- 95 Levin AA, Sturzenbecker LJ, Kazmer S, Bosakowski T, Huselton C, Allenby G, Speck J, Kratzeisen CI, Rosenberger M, Lovey A, Grippo JF. 9-*cis* retinoic acid stereoisomer binds and activates the nuclear receptor RXR α . *Nature* 1992; **355**: 359–361.
- 96 Adamson PC, Murphy RF, Godwin KA, Ulm EH, Balis FM. Pharmacokinetics of 9-*cis* retinoic acid in the rhesus monkey. *Cancer Res* 1995; **55**: 482–485.
- 97 Adamson PC, Widemann BC, Reaman GH, Seibel NI, Murphy RF, Gillespie AF, Balis FM. A phase I trial and pharmacokinetic study of 9-*cis*-retinoic acid (ALRT1057) in pediatric patients with refractory cancer: a joint Pediatric Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, and Children's Cancer Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; **7**: 3034–3039.
- 98 Allenby G, Bocquel MT, Saunders M, Kazmer S, Speck J, Rosenberger M, Lovey A, Kastner P, Grippo JF, Chambon P, Levin A. Retinoic acid receptors and retinoid X receptors: interactions with endogenous retinoic acids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993; **90**: 30–34.
- 99 Fiorella P, Giguere V, Napoli J. Expression of cellular retinoic acid-binding protein (type II) in *Escherichia coli*: characterization and comparison to cellular binding retinoic acid binding protein (type I). *J Biol Chem* 1993; **268**: 21545–21552.
- 100 Tobita T, Takeshita A, Kitamura K, Ohnishi K, Yanagi M, Hiraoka A, Karasuno T, Takeuchi M, Miyawaki S, Ueda R, Naoe T, Ohno R. Treatment with a new synthetic retinoid, Am80, of acute promyelocytic leukemia relapsed from complete remission induced by all-*trans* retinoic acid. *Blood* 1997; **90**: 967–973.
- 101 Shinjo K, Takeshita A, Ohnishi K, Sakura T, Miyawaki S, Hiraoka A, Takeuchi M, Tomoyasu S, Wakita H, Ata K, Fukutani H, Ueda R, Ohno R. Good prognosis of patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia who achieved second complete remission (CR) with a new retinoid, Am80, after relapse from CR induced all-*trans*-retinoic acid. *Int J Hematol* 2000; **72**: 470–473.
- 102 Lopez-Berestein G. Pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and toxicology of tretinoin incorporated in liposomes. *J Lipid Res* 1994; **4**: 689–700.
- 103 Drach J, Lopez-Berestein G, McQueen T, Andreeff M, Mehta K. Induction of differentiation in myeloid leukemia cell lines and acute promyelocytic leukemia cells by liposomal all-*trans*-retinoic acid. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 2100–2104.
- 104 Imaizumi M, Breitman TR. Retinoic acid induced differentiation of the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line, HL-60, and fresh human leukemia cells in primary culture: a model for differentiation inducing therapy. *Eur J Haematol* 1987; **38**: 289–302.
- 105 Chomienne C, Ballerini P, Balitrand N, Daniel MT, Fenaux P, Castaigne S, Degos L. All-*trans* retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemias. II. *In vitro* studies: structure–function relationship. *Blood* 1990; **76**: 1710–1717.
- 106 Ruchaud S, Duprez E, Gendron MD, Houge G, Genieser HG, Jastorff B, Doskeland SO, Lanotte M. Two distinctly regulated events, priming and triggering, during retinoid-induced maturation and resistance of NB4 promyelocytic leukemia cell line. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994; **91**: 8428–8432.
- 107 Chambon P. A decade of molecular biology of retinoic acid receptors. *FASEB J* 1996; **10**: 940–954.
- 108 Agadir A, Cornic M, Lefebvre P, Gourmel B, Balitrand N, Degos L, Chomienne C. Differential uptake of all-*trans*-retinoic acid by acute promyelocytic leukemic cells: evidence for its role in retinoic acid efficacy. *Leukemia* 1995; **9**: 139–145.
- 109 Agadir A, Cornic M, Lefebvre P, Gourmel B, Jerome M, Degos L, Fenaux P, Chomienne C. All-*trans*-retinoic acid pharmacokinetics and bioavailability in acute promyelocytic leukemia: intra-

- cellular concentrations and biologic response relationship. *J Clin Oncol* 1995; **13**: 2517–2523.
- 110 Takeshita A, Shinjo K, Naito K, Ohnishi K, Sugimoto Y, Tanimoto M, Kitamura K, Naoe T, Ohno R. Role of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) in all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) resistance in acute promyelocytic leukaemia cells: analysis of intracellular concentration of ATRA. *Br J Haematol* 2000; **108**: 90–92.
 - 111 Takeshita A, Shigeno K, Shinjo K, Naito K, Ohnishi K, Hahyashi H, Tanimoto M, Ohno R. All-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) differentiates acute promyelocytic leukemia cells independently of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) related drug resistance. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2001; **42**: 739–746.
 - 112 Gallagher R, de Cuevillas F, Chang C-S, Schwartz E. Variable regulation of sensitivity to retinoic acid-induced differentiation in wild-type and retinoic acid-resistant HL-60 cells. *Cancer Commun* 1989; **1**: 45–54.
 - 113 Qian YM, Song WC, Cui H, Cole SP, Deeley RG. Glutathione stimulates sulfated estrogen transport by multidrug resistance protein 1. *J Biol Chem* 2001; **276**: 6404–6411.
 - 114 Zhou DC, Zittoun R, Marie JP. Expression of multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) and multidrug resistance (MDR1) genes in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 1995; **9**: 1661–1666.
 - 115 Willman CL. The prognostic significance of the expression and function of the multidrug resistance transporter proteins in acute myeloid leukemia: studies of the Southwest Oncology Leukemia Research Program. *Semin Hematol* 1997; **34**: 25–33.
 - 116 Paietta E, Andersen J, Racevskis J, Gallagher R, Bennett J, Yunis J, Cassileth P, Wiernik P. Significantly lower P-glycoprotein expression in acute promyelocytic leukemia than in other types of acute myeloid leukemia: immunological, molecular and functional analyses. *Leukemia* 1994; **8**: 968–973.
 - 117 Drach D, Zhao S, Drach J, Andreeff M. Low incidence of MDR1 expression in acute promyelocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 1995; **90**: 369–374.
 - 118 Kizaki M, Hironori U, Yamazoe Y, Shimada M, Takayama N, Muto A, Matsushita H, Nakajima H, Morikawa M, Koeffler HP, Ikeda Y. Mechanisms of retinoid resistance in leukemic cells: possible role of cytochrome P450 and P-glycoprotein. *Blood* 1996; **87**: 725–733.
 - 119 Michieli M, Damiani D, Ermacora A, Geromin A, Michelutti A, Masolini P, Bacarani M. P-glycoprotein (PGP), lunge resistance-related protein (LRP) and multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) expression in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Br J Haematol* 2000; **108**: 703–709.
 - 120 Matsushita H, Kizaki M, Kobayashi H, Ueno H, Muto A, Takayama N, Awaya N, Kinjo K, Hattori Y, Ikeda Y. Restoration of retinoid sensitivity by MDR1 ribozymes in retinoic acid-resistant myeloid leukemic cells. *Blood* 1998; **91**: 2452–2458.
 - 121 Gallagher RE, De Luca LM. Membrane events regulating differentiation in response to lipophilic inducing agents: therapeutic implications. In: Waxman S, Rossi G, Takaku F (eds). *The Status of Differentiation Therapy of Cancer*. Raven Press: New York, 1991, pp 143–157.
 - 122 Napoli JL. Retinoic acid: its biosynthesis and metabolism. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 1999; **63**: 139–188.
 - 123 Idres N, Benoit G, Flexor MA, Lanotte M, Chabot GG. Granulocytic differentiation of human NB4 promyelocytic leukemia cells induced by all-trans retinoic acid metabolites. *Blood* 2001; **61**: 700–705.
 - 124 Takatsuka J, Takahashi N, De Luca LM. Retinoic acid metabolism and inhibition of cell proliferation: an unexpected liaison. *Cancer Res* 1996; **56**: 675–678.
 - 125 van der Leede BM, van den Brink CE, Pijnappel WW, Sonneveld E, van der Saag PT, van der Burg B. Autoinduction of retinoic acid metabolism to polar derivatives with decreased biological activity in retinoic acid-sensitive but not in retinoic acid-resistant human breast cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* 1997; **272**: 17921–17928.
 - 126 Lampron C, Rochette-Egly C, Gorry P, Dollé P, Mark M, Lufkin T, LeMeur M, Chambon P. Mice deficient in cellular retinoic acid binding protein II (CRABP II) or in both CRABP I and CRABP II are essentially normal. *Development* 1995; **121**: 539–548.
 - 127 Kuersten S, Ohno M, Mattaj JW. Nucleocytoplasmic transport: ran, beta and beyond. *Trends Cell Biol* 2001; **11**: 497–503.
 - 128 Macara IG. Transport into and out of the nucleus. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2001; **65**: 570–594.
 - 129 Perez A, Kastner P, Sethi S, Lutz Y, Reibel C, Chambon P. PMLRAR homodimers: distinct DNA binding properties and heterodimeric interactions with RXR. *EMBO J* 1993; **12**: 3171–3182.
 - 130 Minucci S, Maccarana M, Ciocce M, De Luca P, Gelmetti V, Segalla S, Di Croce L, Giavara S, Matteucci C, Gobbi A, Bianchini A, Colombo E, Schiavoni I, Badaracco G, Hu X, Lazar MA, Landsberger N, Nervi C, Pelicci PG. Oligomerization of RAR and AML1 transcription factors as a novel mechanism of oncogenic activation. *Mol Cell* 2000; **5**: 811–820.
 - 131 Lin RJ, Evans RM. Acquisition of oncogenic potential by RAR chimeras in acute promyelocytic leukemia through formation of homodimers. *Mol Cell* 2000; **5**: 821–830.
 - 132 Collingwood TN, Urnov FD, Wolffe AP. Nuclear receptors: coactivators, corepressors and chromatin remodeling in the control of transcription. *J Mol Endocrinol* 1999; **23**: 255–275.
 - 133 McKenna NJ, Lanz RB, O'Malley BW. Nuclear receptor coregulators: cellular and molecular biology. *Endocrine Rev* 1999; **20**: 321–344.
 - 134 Perissi V, Staszewski LM, McInerney EM, Kurokawa R, Kronen A, Rose DW, Lambert MH, Milburn MV, Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG. Molecular determinants of nuclear receptor-corepressor interaction. *Genes Dev* 1999; **13**: 3198–3208.
 - 135 Westin S, Rosenfeld MG, Glass CK. Nuclear receptor coactivators. *Adv Pharmacol* 2000; **47**: 89–112.
 - 136 Strahl BD, Allis CD. The language of covalent histone modification. *Nature* 1998; **403**: 41–45.
 - 137 Rea S, Eisenhaber F, O'Carroll D, Strahl BD, Sun Z-W, Schmid M, Opravil S, Mechtler K, Ponting CP, Allis CD, Henuwein T. Regulation of chromatin structure by site-specific histone H3 methyltransferases. *Nature* 2000; **406**: 593–599.
 - 138 Xu W, Chen H, Du K, Asahara H, Tini M, Emerson BM, Montminy M, Evans RM. A transcriptional switch mediated by cofactor methylation. *Science* 2001; **294**: 2507–2511.
 - 139 Freedman LP. Increasing the complexity of coactivation in nuclear receptor signalling. *Cell* 1999; **97**: 5–8.
 - 140 Cairns BR. Chromatin remodeling machines: similar motors, ulterior motives. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1998; **23**: 20–25.
 - 141 Lemon B, Inoué C, King DS, Tjian R. Selectivity of chromatin-remodeling cofactors for ligand-activated transcription. *Nature* 2001; **414**: 924–928.
 - 142 Shao W, Rosenauer A, Mann K, Chang C-PB, Rachez C, Freedman LP, Miller Jr WH. Ligand-inducible interaction of the DRIP/TRAP coactivator complex with retinoid receptors in retinoic acid-sensitive and -resistant acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. *Blood* 2000; **96**: 2233–2239.
 - 143 Coignet LJ, Song L, Zlobin A, Brigaudeau C, Weijssen S, Jiang Q, Nacheva E, Davis E, Catovsky D, Grogan T, Staudt L, Fisher RI, Miele L. Alteration of SMRT tumor suppressor function in transformed non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* 2001; **98**: 3164a.
 - 144 Carapeti M, Aguiar RCT, Goldman JM, Cross NCP. A novel fusion between MOZ and the nuclear receptor coactivator TIF2 in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 1998; **91**: 3127–3133.
 - 145 Rowley JD, Reshmi S, Sobulo O, Musvee T, Anastasi J, Raimondi S, Schneider NR, Barredo JD, Cantu ES, Schlegelberger B, Behm F, Doggett NA, Borrow J, Zelznik-Le N. All patients with the t(11;16)(q23;p13.3) that involves MLL and CBP have treatment-related hematologic disorders. *Blood* 1997; **90**: 535–541.
 - 146 Johnson BS, Chandraratna RAS, Heyman RA, Allegretto EA, Mueller L, Collins SJ. Retinoid X receptor (RXR) agonist-induced activation of dominant-negative RXR-retinoic acid receptor α 403 heterodimers is developmentally regulated during myeloid differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; **19**: 3372–3382.
 - 147 Johnson BS, Mueller L, Li J, Collins SJ. The cytokines IL-3 and GM-CSF regulate the transcriptional activity of retinoic acid receptors in different *in vitro* models of myeloid differentiation. *Blood* 2002; **99**: 746–753.
 - 148 Lanotte M, Martin-Thouvenin B, Najman S, Balerini P, Valensi F, Berger R. NB4, a maturation inducible cell line with t(15;17) marker isolated from a human acute promyelocytic leukemia (M3). *Blood* 1991; **77**: 1080–1086.
 - 149 Breitman TR, Collins SJ, Keene BR. Terminal differentiation of human promyelocytic leukemic cells in primary culture in response to retinoic acid. *Blood* 1981; **57**: 1000–1004.

- 150 Duprez E, Ruchaud S, Houge G, Martin-Thouvenin V, Valensi F, Kastner P, Berger R, Lanotte M. A retinoid acid 'resistant' t(15;17) acute promyelocytic leukemia cell line: isolation, morphological, immunological, and molecular features. *Leukemia* 1992; **6**: 1281–1287.
- 151 Roussel MJS, Lanotte M. Maturation sensitive and resistant t(15;17) NB4 cell lines as tools for APL physiopathology: nomenclature of cells and repertory of their known genetic alterations and phenotypes. *Oncogene* 2001; **20**: 7287–7291.
- 152 Duprez E, Lillehaug JR, Gaub MP, Lanotte M. Differential changes of retinoid-X-receptor (RXR α) and its RAR α and PML-RAR α partners induced by retinoic acid and cAMP distinguish maturation sensitive and resistant t(15;17) promyelocytic leukemia NB4 cells. *Oncogene* 1996; **12**: 2443–2450.
- 153 Bruel A, Benoit G, De Nay D, Brown S, Lanotte M. Distinct apoptotic responses in maturation sensitive and resistant t(15;17) acute promyelocytic leukemia NB4 cells. 9-*cis* retinoic acid induces apoptosis independent of maturation and Bcl-2 expression. *Leukemia* 1995; **9**: 1173–1184.
- 154 Pendino F, Flexor M, Delhommeau F, Buet D, Lanotte M, Segal-Bendirdjian E. Retinoids down-regulate telomerase and telomere length in a pathway distinct from leukemia cell differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; **98**: 6662–6667.
- 155 Benoit G, Altucci L, Flexor M, Ruchaud S, Lillehaug J, Rafelsberger W, Gronemeyer H, Lanotte M. RAR-independent RXR signaling induces t(15;17) leukemia cell maturation. *EMBO J* 1999; **18**: 7011–7018.
- 156 Benoit G, Roussel M, Pendino F, Segal-Bendirdjian E, Lanotte M. Orchestration of multiple arrays of signal cross-talk and combinatorial interactions for maturation and cell death: another vision of t(15;17) preleukemic blast and APL-cell maturation. *Oncogene* 2001; **20**: 7161–7177.
- 157 Shao W, Benedetti L, Lamph WW, Nervi C, Miller Jr WH. A retinoid-resistant acute promyelocytic leukemia subclone expresses a dominant negative PML-RAR α mutation. *Blood* 1997; **89**: 4282–4289.
- 158 Kitamura K, Kiyoi H, Yoshida H, Saito H, Ohno R, Naoe T. Mutant AF-2 domain of PML-RAR α in retinoic acid-resistant NB4 cells: differentiation induce by RA is triggered directly through PML-RAR α and its down-regulation in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1997; **11**: 1950–1956.
- 159 Nason-Burchenal K, Allopenna J, Bégue A, Stéhelin D, Dmitrovsky E, Martin P. Targeting of PML/RAR α is lethal to retinoic acid-resistant promyelocytic leukemia cells. *Blood* 1998; **92**: 1758–1767.
- 160 Duprez E, Benoit G, Flexor M, Lillehaug JR, Lanotte M. A mutated PML/RAR α found in the retinoid maturation-resistant NB4 subclone, NB4-R2, block RARA and wild-type PML/RAR α transcriptional activities. *Leukemia* 2000; **14**: 255–261.
- 161 Giguere V, Ong ES, Segui P, Evans RM. Identification of a receptor for the morphogen retinoic acid. *Nature* 1987; **330**: 624–629.
- 162 Rosenauer A, Raelson JV, Nervi C, Eydoux P, DeBlasio A, Miller Jr WH. Alteration in expression, binding to ligand and DNA, and transcriptional activity of rearranged and wild-type retinoid receptors in retinoid-resistant acute promyelocytic leukemia cell lines. *Blood* 1996; **88**: 2671–2682.
- 163 Lin RJ, Nagy L, Inoue S, Shao W, Miller Jr WH, Evans RM. Role of the histone deacetylase complex in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Nature* 1998; **391**: 811–814.
- 164 Raelson JV, Nervi C, Rosenauer A, Benedetti L, Monczak Y, Pearson M, Pelicci PG, Miller Jr WH. The PML/RAR α oncoprotein is a direct molecular target of retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. *Blood* 1996; **88**: 2826–2832.
- 165 Benoit GR, Flexor M, Besancon F, Altucci L, Rossin A, Hillion J, Balajthy Z, Legrest L, Segal-Bendirdjian E, Gronemeyer H, Lanotte M. Autonomous retinoid death signaling is suppressed by converging pathways in immature leukemia cells. *Mol Endocrinol* 2001; **15**: 1154–1169.
- 166 Altucci L, Rossin A, Raffelsberger W, Reitmaier A, Chomienne C, Gronemeyer H. Retinoic acid-induced apoptosis in leukemia cells is mediated by paracrine action of tumor-selective death ligand TRAIL. *Nat Med* 2001; **6**: 680–686.
- 167 Guidez F, Altucci L, Xu K, Rossin A, Wilhelm E, Culligan DJ, Solomon E, Gronemeyer H, Zelent A, Grimwade D. Retinoid therapy bypasses the differentiation block associated with acute promyelocytic leukemia harboring the PLZF/RAR α rearrangement. *Blood* 2001; **98**: 766a.
- 168 Robertson K, Emami B, Collins S. Retinoic acid-resistant HL-60R cells harbor a point mutation in the retinoic acid receptor ligand-binding domain that confers dominant negative activity. *Blood* 1992; **80**: 1885–1888.
- 169 Li Y-P, Said F, Gallagher R. Retinoic acid-resistant HL-60 cells exclusively contain mutant retinoic acid receptor-alpha. *Blood* 1994; **83**: 3298–3302.
- 170 Collins SC, Robertson KA, Mueller L. Retinoic acid-induced granulocytic differentiation of HL-60 myeloid leukemia cells is mediated directly through the retinoic acid receptor (RAR- α). *Mol Cell Biol* 1990; **10**: 2134–2163.
- 171 Brigati C, Nobile L, Fugazza G, Zohouri M, Gallagher R, Cannizzaro L. A unique carboxy-terminus truncation mutant of the retinoic acid receptor alpha gene associated with a variant marker chromosome in a retinoic acid resistant HL-60 subline. *Leukemia Res* 1999; **23**: 105–113.
- 172 Zhang H-S, Gallagher R. Reduced retinoic acid binding to nuclear receptors and association with a high molecular weight complex in retinoic acid resistant HL-60 cells. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1993; **34**: 20.
- 173 Grillier I, Umiel T, Elstner E, Collins SJ, Koeffler HP. Alterations of differentiation, clonal proliferation, cell cycle progression and bcl-2 expression in RAR α -altered sublines of HL-60. *Leukemia* 1997; **11**: 393–400.
- 174 Onodera M, Kunisada T, Nishikawa S, Sakiyama Y, Matsumoto S, Nishikawa S. Overexpression of retinoic acid receptor alpha suppresses myeloid cell differentiation at the promyelocyte stage. *Oncogene* 1995; **11**: 1291–1298.
- 175 Kastner P, Chan S. Function of RAR α during the maturation of neutrophils. *Oncogene* 2001; **20**: 7178–7185.
- 176 Dermime B, Grignani F, Clerici M, Nervi C, Sozzi G, Talamo G, Marchesi E, Formelli F, Parmiani G, Pelicci P, Gambacorti-Passerini C. Occurrence of resistance to retinoic acid in the acute promyelocytic cell line NB4 is associated with altered expression of the pml/RAR α protein. *Blood* 1993; **82**: 1573–1577.
- 177 Dermime S, Grignani F, Rogaia D, Liberatore C, Marchesi E, Gambacorti-Passerini C. Acute promyelocytic leukemia cells resistant to retinoic acid show further perturbation of the RAR α signal transduction system. *Leuk Lymphoma* 1995; **16**: 289–295.
- 178 Fanelli M, Minucci S, Gelmetti V, Nervi C, Gambacorti-Passerini C, Pelicci PG. Constitutive degradation of PML/RAR α through the proteasome pathway mediates retinoic acid resistance. *Blood* 1999; **93**: 1477–1481.
- 179 Kizaki M, Matsushita H, Takayama N, Muto A, Ueno H, Awaya N, Kawai Y, Asou H, Kamada N, Ikeda Y. Establishment and characterization of a novel acute promyelocytic leukemia cell line (UF-1) with retinoic acid-resistant features. *Blood* 1996; **88**: 1824–1833.
- 180 Takayama N, Kizaki M, Hida T, Kinjo K, Ikeda Y. Novel mutation in the PML/RAR α chimeric gene exhibits dramatically decreased ligand-binding activity and confers acquired resistance to retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Exp Hematol* 1998; **29**: 864–872.
- 181 Kim SH, Ding W, Cannizzaro L, Zohouri M, Gebhard D, Paietta E, Warrell Jr RP, Gallagher RE. Cell line AP-1060 with novel phenotypic and genotypic features established from acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) patient clinically-resistant to all-*trans* retinoic acid (RA) and arsenic trioxide (ATO). *Blood* 1998; **92**: 609a.
- 182 Sun Y, Kim SH, Ramesh KH, Cannizzaro L, Paietta E, Warrell Jr RP, Gallagher RE. Immortalization of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) cell culture AP-1060 associated with altered growth factor response. *Blood* 2001; **99**: 173b.
- 183 Morosetti R, Grignani F, Liberatore C, Pelicci PG, Schiller GJ, Kizaki M, Bartram CR, Miller CW, Koeffler HP. Infrequent alterations of the RAR α gene in acute myelogenous leukemias, retinoic acid-resistant acute promyelocytic leukemias, myelodysplastic syndromes, and cell lines. *Blood* 1996; **87**: 4399–4403.
- 184 Imaizumi M, Suzuki H, Yoshinari M, Sato T, Sugawara A, Tsuchiya S, Hatae Y, Fujimoto T, Kakizuka A, Konno T, Inuma K. Mutations in the E-domain of RAR α portion of the PML/RAR α chimeric gene may confer clinical resistance to all-*trans* retinoic

- acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1998; **92**: 374–382.
- 185 Marasca R, Zucchini P, Galimberti S, Leonardi G, Vaccari P, Donelli A, Luppi M, Petrini M, Torelli G. Missense mutations in the PML/RAR α ligand binding domain in ATRA-resistant AS₂O₃ sensitive relapse acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Haematologica* 1999; **84**: 963–968.
- 186 Gallagher R, Ding W, Kim S, Schachter E, Bi W, Livak K, Slack J, Willman C. Late-emerging PML-RAR α mutant subclones in relapse acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL): a protocol INT0129 laboratory study. *Blood* 2001; **98**: 718a.
- 187 Cote S, Zhou D, Bianchini A, Nervi C, Gallagher RE, Miller Jr WH. Altered ligand binding and transcriptional regulation by mutations in the PML/RAR α ligand-binding domain arising in retinoic acid-resistant patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* 2000; **96**: 3200–3208.
- 188 Kopp P, Kitajima K, Jameson JL. Syndrome of resistance to thyroid hormone: insights into thyroid hormone action. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1996; **211**: 49–61.
- 189 Schroeder C, Gibson L, Zenke M, Beug H. Modulation of normal erythroid differentiation by the endogenous thyroid hormone and retinoic acid receptors: a possible target for v-erbA oncogene action. *Oncogene* 1992; **7**: 217–227.
- 190 Graf T. Leukemia as a multistep process: studies with avian retroviruses containing two oncogenes. *Leukemia* 1988; **2**: 127–131.
- 191 Damm K, Thompson C, Evans R. Protein encoded by v-erbA functions as a thyroid-hormone receptor antagonist. *Nature* 1989; **339**: 593–597.
- 192 Privalsky ML, Yoh SM. Resistance to thyroid hormone (RTH) syndrome reveals novel determinants regulating interaction of T3 receptor with corepressor. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2000; **159**: 109–124.
- 193 Grossmann ME, Huang H, Tindall DJ. Androgen receptor signaling in androgen-refractory prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; **93**: 1687–1697.
- 194 Shi X-B, Ma A-H, Xia L, Kung H-J, de Vere White RW. Functional analysis of 44 mutant androgen receptors from human prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2002; **62**: 1496–1502.
- 195 Di Croce L, Raker VA, Corsaro M, Faxi F, Fanelli M, Faretta M, Fuks F, Lo Coco F, Kouzarides T, Nervi C, Minucci S, Pelicci PG. Methyltransferase recruitment and DNA hypermethylation of target promoters by an oncogenic transcription factor. *Science* 2002; **295**: 1079–1082.