This is understandable, since it is often the anaesthetist's responsibility to cope with the lifethreatening complications that can arise.^{5–7} It is now felt that the risks of a patient developing a life-threatening reaction from NRL exposure appear to be lower than originally thought.⁸ Furthermore, this risk may be reducing still as equipment manufacturers continue to phase out NRL from their product line. Most of the case reports of severe reactions due to undiagnosed NRL hypersensitivity appear to be in patients undergoing general anaesthetic procedures and most anaesthetists would prefer that high-risk patients be appropriately screened for true NRL allergy prior to general anaesthetic surgery.^{9,10} Severe anaphylactic reactions have been described in patients undergoing procedures without general anaesthesia.¹¹ To our knowledge, there have yet to be any case reports of severe reactions in patients undergoing local anaesthetic cataract surgery.

We agree that current NRL hypersensitivity practice is probably based more on caution than evidence, and can sympathise with Mr Beare's opinion at what can be interpreted as being overcautious nonevidence-based practice. One can similarly understand why some trusts have adopted such a cautious approach to operating on NRL patients when the medical literature continues to report severe complications^{12–14} and the emergence of litigation claims¹⁵ resulting from NRL hypersensitivity. Ultimately, it is up to the individual eye unit to adopt a policy based on its own interpretation on the risks. It is likely that these policies will change as our understanding of NRL allergy increases.

References

- 1 Cheung D, Gillow T. Cataract surgery for natural rubber latex allergic patients. *Eye* 2003; **17**: 129–138.
- 2 Nicolaou N, Johnston GA. Anaphylaxis following prick testing with natural rubber latex. *Contact Dermatitis* 2002; 47(4): 251–252.
- 3 Parry EJ, Beck MH. Acute anaphylaxis resulting from routine patch testing with latex. *Contact Dermatitis* 1999; **41**(4): 236–237.
- 4 Lieberman P. Anaphylactic reactions during surgical and medical procedures. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; **110**(2 Suppl): S64–9.
- 5 Hepner DL. Sudden bronchospasm on intubation: latex anaphylaxis? *J Clin Anaesth* 2000; **12**(2): 162–166.
- 6 Patterson LJ, Milne B. Latex anaphylaxis causing heart block: role of ranitidine. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46(8): 776–778.
- 7 Hollnberger H, Gruber E, Frank B. Severe anaphylactic shock without exanthema in a case of unknown latex allergy and review of the literature. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2002; **12**(6): 544–551.
- 8 Laxenaire MC, Mertes PM. Groupe d'Etudes des Reactions Anaphylactoides Peranesthesiques. Anaphylaxis during anaesthesia. Results of a two-year survey in France. Br J Anaesth 2001; 87(4): 549–558.

- 9 Mertes PM, Laxenaire MC. Allergic reactions occurring during anaesthesia. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2002; 19: 240–262.
- 10 Howell MD, Weissman DN, Jean Meade B. Latex sensitization by dermal exposure can lead to airway hyperreactivity. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2002; **128**(3): 204–211.
- 11 Shingai Y, Nakagawa K, Kato T, Fujioka T, Matsumoto T, Kihana T *et al.* Severe allergy in a pregnant woman after vaginal examination with a latex glove. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2002; **54**: 183–184.
- 12 Nawa Y, Imaizumi H, Masuda Y, Hazama K, Sato M, Namiki A *et al.* A case of anaphylactic shock due to latex glove used on internal examination and on the probe of intrauterine echogram. *Masui* 2000; **49**(9): 1027–1029.
- 13 Mor Y, Keidan I, Leibovitch I, Ramon J. Latex allergy as a life-threatening danger in children: importance of increased awareness of this phenomenon. *Harefuah* 2000; **138**(6): 498–501.
- 14 Eckhout Jr GV, Ayad S. Anaphylaxis due to airborne exposure to latex in a primigravida. *Anesthesiology* 2001; 95(4): 1034–1035.
- 15 Tyler D. Disability and medical management of natural latex sensitivity claims. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002; 110 (2 Suppl): S129–S136.

D Cheung¹ and T Gillow²

¹Birmingham and Midland Eye Centre City Hospital NHS Trust Dudley Road, Birmingham B18 7QU, UK

²North Staffordshire Royal Infirmary Stoke-on-Trent, UK

Correspondence: D Cheung Tel: +44 121 554 3801 E-mail: dmwc@bigfoot.com

Eye (2004) **18**, 845–846. doi:10.1038/sj.eye.6701322 Published online 23 January 2004

Sir,

Horner's syndrome as manifestation of Wegener's granulomatosis

Wegener's granulomatosis is a systemic inflammatory disease with a broad range of clinical manifestations. The complete form is characterized by necrotizing granulomatous inflammation of the upper and lower respiratory tract, glomerulonephritis, and systemic vasculitis. However, limited disease is not uncommon, and the presenting symptoms and signs may be highly variable.

Ocular disease is the presenting manifestation in 8– 16% of patients, in which necrotizing sclerokeratitis and proptosis, caused by orbital inflammation, are most

84F



common.^{1,2} We describe an unusual ocular manifestation of Wegener's granulomatosis, which illustrates the diversity of the presenting signs of this disease.

Case report

A 45-year-old woman was referred with diplopia since 1 month.

Her medical history featured a superotemporal mass in the right orbit 2 years earlier. At that time, there were no abnormalities on ophthalmic examination, except a diminished tear production. Enlargement of both lacrimal glands was seen on computed tomography. Biopsy showed chronic fibrosing inflammation with areas of 'smudgy' necrosis and focally necrotizing vasculitis (Figure 1), but no granulomas and few eosinophils. Although the histopathologic findings were not characteristic for Wegener's granulomatosis, they were suggestive. Serum analysis for antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) showed a weakly positive p-ANCA, but c-ANCA was negative. Ear-Nose-Throat (ENT) and pulmonary examination revealed no abnormalities. After 5 months, the symptoms had disappeared without any intervention.

The newly developed diplopia appeared to be caused by a sixth nerve palsy of the right eye. Ophthalmic examination also showed miosis and mild ptosis of the right eye (Figure 2a). After cocaine and hydroxyamphetamine testing, the diagnosis of thirdorder neuron Horner syndrome was made. This time, no signs of lacrimal gland enlargement were seen, and biomicroscopy, fundoscopy, and Hertel ophthalmometry revealed no abnormalities. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a



Figure 1 Biopsy of the right lacrimal gland: necrotizing vasculitis (arrow) haematoxylin & eosin (original magnification X400).

retroclival mass lesion extending to the right cavernous sinus (Figure 2b and c). Again, ANCA was weakly positive but aspecific. Biopsy of the tongue and nose septum showed aspecific chronic inflammation. ENT examination was repeated and this time a collapsed nasal bridge, crusts on the nasal mucosa, right-sided hearing loss, and a right vocal cord palsy were found. A working diagnosis of Wegener's granulomatosis was made and therapy with dexamethasone 10 mg daily was initiated. During this therapy, the patient developed a mania, upon which dexamethasone was tapered and azathioprine 75 mg twice daily and co-trimoxazol 180 mg daily were started. Follow-up MRI showed diminishing of the lesion in the cavernous sinus (Figure 2d). The diplopia disappeared, but Horner's syndrome was still present at the last visit, as well as the hearing loss and vocal cord palsy.

Comment

In Wegener's granulomatosis, ocular disease is the presenting manifestation in 8–16% of patients, and eventually develops in 28–87%. Necrotizing sclerokeratitis and proptosis caused by orbital inflammation are most commonly seen.^{1,2} Reported neuro-ophthalmologic manifestations include palsies of the second, third, fourth, and sixth cranial nerves.³ Horner's syndrome as manifestation of Wegener's granulomatosis is extremely rare: only five cases are reported.^{3,4}

Diseases such as Wegener's granulomatosis that have a broad range of clinical manifestations and no known aetiology may be difficult to diagnose. According to the classification system of the American College of Rheumatology (1990, designed for categorization for clinical trials), a patient could be diagnosed with Wegener's granulomatosis if two or more of these criteria are present: (1) nasal or oral inflammation, (2) abnormal chest radiograph, (3) abnormal urine sediment, or (4) granulomatous inflammation on biopsy. The sensitivity of this classification system was 88%.¹ More recently, tests for ANCA became available. The sensitivity of c-ANCA is 85-96% in patients with systemic Wegener's granulomatosis, but is less than 80% in limited disease.¹ It may be negative initially and become positive later.² Wegener's granulomatosis is defined histopathologically by three major findings: parenchymal necrosis, vasculitis, and granulomatous inflammation.¹ However, this triad is not always present on biopsy of the involved tissue and therefore misdiagnosis is not uncommon.^{1,2}

CT and MRI may be helpful in making the diagnosis by detecting orbital masses or involvement of the sinus structures or mastoid.²



Figure 2 (A) right-sided Horner's syndrome and sixth nerve palsy (fixating with left eye); collapsed nasal bridge (printed with patient's permission). (B, C) CT and MRI showing retroclival mass lesion extending to the right cavernous sinus. (D) Follow-up MRI after treatment showing diminishing of the lesion in the cavernous sinus.

Our case illustrates the diversity of clinical manifestations of Wegener's granulomatosis, and also shows that atypical presentation, indiscriminate ANCAtest results, and aspecific histopathology may cause a diagnostic delay. Wegener's granulomatosis may be progressive and even lethal if untreated. Early diagnosis and therapy greatly improve the patient's prognosis. It is therefore important to consider the diagnosis in any patient presenting with ocular symptoms caused by inflammation or mass lesion, especially when signs of upper or lower respiratory tract, ear or kidney disease are present.

References

- 1 Harman LE, Margo CE. Wegener's granulomatosis. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1998; **42**: 458–480.
- 2 Perry SR, Rootman J, White VA. The clinical and pathologic constellation of Wegener granulomatosis of the orbit. *Ophthalmology* 1997; **104**: 683–694.

- 3 Nishino H, Rubino FA, DeRemee RA, Swanson JW, Parisi JE. Neurological involvement in Wegener's granulomatosis: an analysis of 324 consecutive patients at the Mayo Clinic. *Ann Neurol* 1993; **33**: 4–9.
- 4 Nishino H, Rubino FA. Horner's syndrome in Wegener's granulomatosis: report of four cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1993; 56: 897–899.

O Weijtens, N Mooy and D Paridaens

The Rotterdam Eye Hospital PO Box 70030, Rotterdam 3000 LM, The Netherlands

Correspondence: O Weijtens Tel: +31-10-4017777 Fax: +31-10-4017655 E-mail: drjoe403@xs4all.nl

Eye (2004) **18**, 846–848. doi:10.1038/sj.eye.6701324 Published online 20 February 2004

848