http://www.stockton-press.co.uk/ejhg

ARTICLE

Frequency of glycogen storage disease type II in The Netherlands: implications for diagnosis and genetic counselling

MGEM Ausems¹, J Verbiest^{2,3}, MMP Hermans^{2,3}, MA Kroos², FA Beemer¹, JHJ Wokke⁴, LA Sandkuijl^{1,2}, AJJ Reuser² and AT van der Ploeg³

Glycogen storage disease type II (GSD II) is an autosomal recessive myopathy. Early and late-onset phenotypes are distinguished – infantile, juvenile and adult. Three mutations in the acid α -glucosidase gene are common in the Dutch patient population: IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G), 525delT and delexon18. 63% of Dutch GSD II patients carry one or two of these mutations, and the genotype-phenotype correlation is known. To determine the frequency of GSD II, we have screened an unselected sample of neonates for the occurrence of these three mutations. Based on the calculated carrier frequencies, the predicted frequency of the disease is 1 in 40 000 divided by 1 in 138 000 for infantile GSD II and 1 in 57 000 for adult GSD II. This is about two to four times higher than previously suggested, which is a reason to become more familiar with the presentation of GSD II in its different clinical forms and to adjust the risk assessment for genetic counselling.

Keywords: glycogenosis; Pompe; carrier frequency; genotype frequency

Introduction

Glycogen storage disease type II (GSD II), acid maltase deficiency or Pompe disease, is an autosomal recessive disease caused by deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme acid α-glucosidase. There are three major phenotypes (infantile, juvenile and adult). The infantile form is characterised by generalised hypotonia and cardiomyopathy. Death occurs within the first two years of life due to cardiorespiratory failure. Late-onset GSD II is

clinically very heterogeneous, and presents at juvenile age to late adulthood as a slowly progressive proximal myopathy.²

The prospect of enzyme therapy for GSD II highlights the immediate need for accurate figures on the frequency of the disease. The figure of 1 in 100 000 normally quoted is not based on solid data. Given present knowledge of the molecular genetics of GSD II, and the genotype-phenotype correlation, we now have the tools to update the meagre and aging data on the frequency of the disease. In order to determine the frequency of GSD II we screened a random sample of newborns for three frequent mutations in the acid α -glucosidase gene. These mutations are IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G), leading to late-onset GSD II, 7,9,10 and two completely deleterious mutations 525delT and

Correspondence: MGEM Ausems, Department of Medical Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, PO Box 85090, 3508 AB Utrecht, The Netherlands. Tel: 030 2504000; Fax: 030 2503801; E-mail: MG.E.M.Ausems@dmg.azu.nl Received 22 February 1999; revised 27 April 1999; accepted 11 May 1999

¹Department of Medical Genetics, University Medical Center, Utrecht

²Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus University, Academic Hospital, Rotterdam

³Department of Pediatrics, Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam

⁴Department of Neurology, University Medical Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands



delexon18. 11-14 In total these mutations comprise 63% of the disease-related alleles in the Dutch patient population.8 The screening was performed on DNA extracted from over 3000 Guthrie cards from Dutch neonates.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Anonymous Guthrie cards were collected from the central screening laboratory in the Netherlands. The cards were chosen at random from all provinces in proportion to the number of live births in each province.

Mutation Analysis

The extraction of DNA from the blood spots on the Guthrie cards was according to the method of Walsh et al¹⁵ with slight modifications. The PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of $25\,\mu l$ containing $\hat{1}0\,pmol$ of each of the appropriate primers, 250 µm of each dNTP, 1 mm MgCl2, 10% DMSO, 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Gibco-BRL, Rockville, Maryland, USA) in 1 × PCR buffer (Gibco-BRL, Rockville, Maryland, USA). The PCR was accomplished in 40 cycles (94°C, 30 s; 59°C, 1 min; 72°C, 30 s) preceded by 2 min at 94°C and followed by 10 min at 72°C. The primers used for the detection of the IVS1(-13T→G) mutation were: forward 5' TGCTGCAGTGCCAGCCGCGGTTGATGTCT reverse 5' AGTGCAGCGGTTGCAAGGACACGGAGG; of the 525delT mutation forward 5' GAACCT-GAGCTCCTCTGAAATGGGCTAC and CCCACCCTTGTGAGGGTGC; and of the delexon18 mutation forward 5' TGGTTCCTGAGGACACAGCATG and reverse 5' AGTGGCAGGTAGCCATCGGTG. The IVS1(-13T→G) and 525delT mutation were detected by MboII and DdeI digestion of the PCR product, respectively, and electrophoresis on a 3% metaphor-agarose gel. The del exon18 mutation was detected by fragment size analysis on a 1.5% agarose gel.

Statistics

The carrier frequencies of the mild mutation (IVS1 $(-13T\rightarrow G)$) and of the two severe mutations (525delT and delexon18) we screened for were directly calculated from the observed number of carriers and the total number of tested Guthrie cards. The overall carrier frequency of severe mutations was calculated by extrapolation using the allele frequencies of the 525delT and delexon18 mutation in 67 Dutch patients with GSD II as determined in our previous study.8 Genotype frequencies were calculated assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

A support interval (SI) was constructed for the extrapolated carrier frequency of severe mutations (q_{se}), taking into account both the sampling error in the estimated frequency of the two severe mutations tested (525delT and delexon 18) (q_{st}) and the sampling error in the estimated contribution (q_{st}) q_{se}) of these two mutations to the entire group of all severe mutations found in patients with the infantile form of GSD II. This support interval included all values of q_{se} for which -2 times the natural logarithm of the likelihood fell within 3.84 units of the maximum likelihood. It corresponds approximately to a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

We screened 3043 Guthrie cards for all three mutations (IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G), 525delT and delexon18). Analyses of these cards revealed a total number of 31 mutations. Thus the calculated carrier frequency of the three mutations was 1/100 (95% CI: 1/69-1/144). PCR amplification was not always successful for the different gene fragments. In practice, the mild IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G) mutation was detected in 20 of 3075 samples, leading to a carrier frequency of 1/154 (95% CI: 1/100-1/251). The severe mutations, 525delT and del exon18, were detected in 7 of 3123 and 4 of 3128 samples, respectively. The calculated carrier frequency of the known severe mutations together was 1/284 (95% CI: 1/159-1/568). Since the 525delT and del exon18 mutation constitute together 65% of all severe mutations,⁸ the extrapolated overall carrier frequency of severe mutations is 1/187 (95% SI: 1/105–1/368), from which it is assumed that the unknown mutations in patients with

Table 1 A Allele frequency and carrier frequency of mild and severe GSD II mutations

Mutation	Carriers/ Samples tested	Allele frequency	Carrier frequency
IVS1 (-13T→G)	20/3075	$q_m = 0.003252$	1 in 154
525delT delexon 18	7/3123 4/3128	$q_{st} = 0.001761$	1 in 284
extrapolated 'severe'		$q_{se} = 0.002693$	1 in 187
extrapolated 'all'		$q_{\rm e} = 0.005946$	1 in 85

B Predicted frequency of GSD II based on the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

		95% CI	
Infantile GSD II Adult GSD II Infantile plus adult GSD II	1/57 000	1/43 169–1/536 485 1/27 734–1/128 255 1/17 622–1/100 073	$(2\times q_{ss}\times q_{m})$

 q_m : allele frequency of the mild IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G) mutation q_s: allele frequency of the two severe mutations tested (525delT and delexon18)

q_{se}: extrapolated allele frequency of severe mutations q: extrapolated allele frequency of all GSD II mutations (eg the mild IVS1(-13T \rightarrow G) mutation and all severe

^apredicted frequency of homozygosity for the IVS1 $(-13T \rightarrow G)$ mutation (q_m^2) is not included because this genotype probably does not give rise to clinical $symptoms^{\stackrel{-}{9},10,16}.$



Table 2 Risk estimates for having a child affected with infantile or adult GSD II

Status of the parent	Risk of having an affected child		
	Infantile GSD II	Adult GSD II	Total
Carrier of IVS1(-13T→G)	0	1/745	1/745
Adult GSD II patient	1/745	1/337	1/232
Carrier of 525delT or delexon 18	1/745	1/615	1/337

Risk estimates for infantile and adult GSD II in offspring of a carrier and an unrelated individual depend on the mutation status of the carrier parent.

infantile GSD II are always severe. The overall carrier frequency for all GSD II mutations is 1/85 (95% SI: 1/59–1/125). Based on these figures, we calculated the frequency of infantile and adult GSD II separately. The frequency of infantile GSD II is 1/138 000 (95% CI: 1/43 169–1/536 482), and of late-onset, adult GSD II 1/57.000 (95% CI: 1/27 734–1/128 255). The combined frequency of infantile and adult GSD II is 1/40 000 (95% CI: 1/17 622–1/100 073). See also Table 1.

Discussion

The results from this study predict a combined frequency of infantile and adult GSD II of 1/40 000 in the Netherlands. This we consider to be a minimum figure because the unknown mild and severe mutations in adult GSD II patients and the mutations in juvenile GSD II patients are not included in our extrapolations. Therefore the actual frequency of the disease will be higher.

A recent study of randomly selected normal individuals from the New York area of various ethnic origins indicated a carrier frequency of about 1 in 100, based on testing for seven different mutations.¹⁷ These mutations constitute only 29% of the chromosomes from 74 GSD II patients, and therefore the extrapolations are considerably less accurate than ours, but coincidentally the estimated frequency of GSD II in the New York study was also 1 in 40000. Thus, the overall frequency of GSD II is about two to four times higher than previously estimated. This has practical implications for genetic counselling (see Table 2 for risk estimates) and suggests that the disease is underdiagnosed. A reason for this could be that adult GSD II escapes diagnosis when the clinician is not familiar with the various clinical presentations of this rare disease. Alternatively, it is not excluded that individuals with a GSD II genotype may remain asymptomatic. To this end further studies are needed to determine the penetrance of the adult GSD II genotype.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the screening laboratories for the use of the Guthrie cards and to B Elvers from the Government for Public Health and Environment (RIVM) for collecting the Guthrie cards of each province. This study was supported by the Dutch Praeventiefonds (grant no. 28-2813).

References

- 1 Hirschhorn R: Glycogen storage disease type II: acid α-glucosidase (acid maltase) deficiency. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly W, Valle E (eds). The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1994,pp 2443–2464.
- 2 Reuser AJJ, Kroos MA, Hermans MMP et al: Glycogenosis type II (acid maltase deficiency). Muscle Nerve 1995; 3 suppl: S61–69.
- 3 Bijvoet AGA, Kroos MA, Pieper FR *et al*: Recombinant human acid α-glucosidase: high level production in mouse milk, biochemical characteristics, correction of enzyme deficiency in GSD II KO mice. *Hum Mol Genet* 1998; 7: 1815–1824.
- 4 Kikuchi T, Yang HW, Pennybacker M *et al*: Clinical and metabolic correction of Pompe disease by enzyme therapy in acid maltase-deficient quail. *J Clin Invest* 1998; **101**: 827–833
- 5 Chen YT, Burcell A: Glycogen storage diseases. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly W, Valle E (eds). The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1994, pp 935–965.
- 6 Raben N, Nichols RC, Boerkoel C, Plotz P: Genetic defects in patients with glycogenosis type II (acid maltase deficiency). *Muscle Nerve* 1995; **3** suppl: S70–74.
- 7 Wokke JHJ, Ausems MGEM, Van den Boogaard MJH *et al*: Genotype–phenotype correlation in adult-onset acid maltase deficiency. *Ann Neurol* 1995; **38**: 450–454.
- 8 Kroos MA, Van der Kraan M, Van den Boogaard MJ *et al*: Glycogen storage disease type II: frequency of three common mutant alleles and their associated clinical phenotypes studied in 121 patients. *J Med Genet* 1995; **32**: 836–837.
- 9 Huie ML, Chen AS, Tsujino S *et al*: Aberrant splicing in adult onset glycogen storage disease type II (AMD): molecular identification of an IVS1 (-13T→G) mutation in a majority of patients and a novel IVS10(+1GT→CT) mutation. *Hum Mol Genet* 1994; **3**: 2231–2236.



- 10 Boerkoel CF, Exelbert R, Nicastri C *et al*: Leaky splicing mutation in the acid maltase gene is associated with delayed onset of glycogenosis type II. *Am J Hum Genet* 1995; **56**: 887–897.
- 11 Hermans MMP, De Graaff E, Kroos MA *et al*: The effect of a single base pair deletion (ΔT525) and a C1634T missense mutation (Pro545Leu) on the expression of lysosomal α-glucosidase in patients with glycogen storage disease type II. *Hum Mol Genet* 1994; **3**: 2213–2218.
- 12 Huie ML, Chen AS, Sklower Brooks S, Grix A, Hirschhorn R: A de novo 13 nt deletion, a newly identified C647W missense mutation and a deletion of exon 18 in infantile onset glycogen storage disease type II (GSD II). *Hum Mol Genet* 1994; **3**: 1081–1087.
- 13 Van der Kraan M, Kroos MA, Joosse M *et al*: Deletion of exon 18 is a frequent mutation in glycogen storage disease type II. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1994; **203**: 1535–1541.

- 14 Ausems MGEM, Kroos MA, Van der Kraan M *et al*: Homozygous deletion of exon 18 leads to degradation of the lysosomal α-glucosidase precursor and to the infantile form of glycogen storage disease type II. *Clin Genet* 1996; **49**: 325–328.
- 15 Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R: Chelex®100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *BioTechniques* 1991; **10**: 506–513.
- 16 Raben N, Nichols RC, Martiniuk F, Plotz PH: A model of mRNA splicing in adult lysosomal storage disease (glycogenosis type II). Hum Mol Genet 1996; 7: 995–1000.
- 17 Martiniuk F, Chen A, Mack A *et al*: Carrier frequency for glycogen storage disease type II in New York and estimates of affected individuals born with the disease. *Am J Med Genet* 1998; **79**: 69–72.