ARTICLE

Next generation sequencing of SNPs for non-invasive prenatal diagnosis: challenges and feasibility as illustrated by an application to β -thalassaemia

Thessalia Papasavva^{*,1}, Wilfred FJ van IJcken², Christel EM Kockx², Mirjam CGN van den Hout², Petros Kountouris¹, Loukas Kythreotis³, Eleni Kalogirou³, Frank G Grosveld² and Marina Kleanthous¹

 β -Thalassaemia is one of the most common autosomal recessive single-gene disorder worldwide, with a carrier frequency of 12% in Cyprus. Prenatal tests for at risk pregnancies use invasive methods and development of a non-invasive prenatal diagnostic (NIPD) method is of paramount importance to prevent unnecessary risks inherent to invasive methods. Here, we describe such a method by assessing a modified version of next generation sequencing (NGS) using the Illumina platform, called 'targeted sequencing', based on the detection of paternally inherited fetal alleles in maternal plasma. We selected four single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located in the β -globin locus with a high degree of heterozygosity in the Cypriot population. Spiked genomic samples were used to determine the specificity of the platform. We could detect the minor alleles in the expected ratio, showing the specificity of the platform. We then developed a multiplexed format for the selected SNPs and analysed ten maternal plasma samples from pregnancies at risk. The presence or absence of the paternal mutant allele was correctly determined in 27 out of 34 samples analysed. With haplotype analysis, NIPD was possible on eight out of ten families. This is the first study carried out for the NIPD of β -thalassaemia using targeted NGS and haplotype analysis. Preliminary results show that NGS is effective in detecting paternally inherited alleles in the maternal plasma.

Keywords: NIPD; β -thalassaemia; SNPs; next generation sequencing; targeted sequencing; maternal plasma

INTRODUCTION

 β -Thalassaemia is one of the most common autosomal recessive single-gene disorders in Cyprus, where about 12% of the population are carriers.¹ Currently, fetal genetic material for prenatal diagnosis is sampled by invasive procedures, which are associated with a significant risk of induced abortion.^{2,3} The discovery that during pregnancy there is a median of 10% of cell-free fetal DNA in the maternal circulation opened up new avenues in the diagnostics of fetal genetics.^{4–6} However, this poses a technical challenge as fetal DNA represents a minor population in maternal plasma, exacerbated by the fragmentation of fetal DNA.^{7,8}

As the advent of next generation sequencing (NGS), a lot of effort has been concentrated on exploiting this technology for the measurement of aneuploidies in maternal plasma.^{9–14} Using this technology, non-invasive prenatal diagnostic (NIPD) for trisomy 21, 18 and 13 has recently reached the clinical setting by analysing the relative amount of chromosomes in circulating cell-free DNA from maternal plasma.^{15,16}

However, approaches permitting reliable detection of single-gene mutations or single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using cell-free fetal DNA in maternal plasma are still under development. This is considerably more difficult because it concerns fetal genetic changes that differ only slightly from the maternal genome. Recently, a number of different strategies have been investigated to meet the challenges for the non-invasive detection of β -thalassaemia using maternal plasma. Allele-specific real-time PCR is one of the first approaches that have been used to exclude paternal mutations in the maternal circulation.¹⁷ Preferential detection of fetal alleles was achieved through initial enrichment of fetal DNA,^{8,18} while others enhanced the production of the mutated fetal allele by employing either peptide nucleic acid probes¹⁹ or COLD PCR.²⁰ In the specific case of β -thalassaemia, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry has been also investigated.⁸

Moreover, Lun *et al*²¹ employed digital size selection to investigate the relative mutation dosage for NIPD of β -thalassaemia. Our group employed the APEX/thalassochip approach, based on the detection of polymorphic SNPs, in order to successfully identify the paternally inherited allele of the fetus in the maternal plasma^{22,23} while, more recently, Phylipsen *et al*²⁴ employed pyrophosphorolysis activated polymerisation analysis using polymorphic SNPs to detect the paternal allele in maternal plasma of β -thalassaemia carriers. However, more SNPs need to be included in the study to link the paternal allele with minimal risk of misdiagnosis. Hence, despite the advances in technology, NIPD of β -thalassaemia has yet to reach clinical practice.^{8,18,19,22,23,25}

Our approach is based on the detection of the paternally inherited alleles as maternal alleles cannot be differentiated from fetal ones. Therefore, NIPD is possible only in those cases where the fetus

Received 13 June 2012; revised 18 February 2013; accepted 20 February 2013; published online 10 April 2013

npg

¹Molecular Genetics Thalassemia Department, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Nicosia, Cyprus; ²Department of Cell Biology and Erasmus Center for Biomics, Erasmus Medical Centre (EMC), Rotterdam, The Netherlands; ³Thalassemia Center, Makarios III Hospital, Nicosia, Cyprus

^{*}Correspondence: Dr T Papasavva, Moleculara Genetics Thalassaemia Department, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, 6, International Airport Avenue, Agios Dometios, Nicosia 1683, Cyprus. Tel: +357 22 392 664; Fax: +357 22 392 615; E-mail: thesalia@cing.ac.cy

NGS for NIPD of β-thalassaemia T Papasavva et al

1404

inherits the normal allele of the father. The aim of this study is to assess the analytical power and specificity of a modified version of NGS using the Illumina platform, called 'targeted sequencing', for the reliable detection of paternally inherited SNPs in the maternal plasma of at risk pregnancies for β -thalassaemia. Moreover, this study aims to use this platform for the development of a fast and cost-effective noninvasive diagnostic assay for β -thalassaemia. The principle of our targeted sequencing is to selectively amplify or capture targeted regions of a DNA before sequencing. For this purpose, we have developed a method of integrating selectively amplified targeted DNA regions and NGS. The proof of principle results presented in this study show that the detection of paternally inherited SNPs in the maternal plasma is possible and reliable using targeted sequencing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and processing

The study was approved by the Cyprus National Bioethics Committee and all subjects gave informed consent. Blood samples, as well as corresponding chorionic villi samples (CVS), were collected from ten families (including parents and grandparents) at risk for β -thalassaemia in their newborns. Approximately 9 ml maternal blood samples were collected into EDTA-containing tubes between the 10th and 11th week of gestation and before chorionic villus sampling. Plasma was separated from cells by centrifugation at low speed, 2500 g for 10 min without braking. It was transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and subjected to a second centrifugation step at 16000 g for 40 min to remove any residual cells. The two centrifugation steps were performed within 4–8 h of collection.

DNA extraction

Cell-free DNA was extracted from 1 ml of maternal plasma using QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Puregene Blood Core Kit C (Qiagen Sciences, Germantown, MD, USA).

Selection of SNPs and primer design

Four SNPs, rs3834466_II*e*, rs968857_3' $\psi\beta$, rs10768683_AvaII and rs7480526_II74, located on the β -globin gene cluster showing high heterozygosity in the Cypriot population were selected for analysis (Figure 1). SNPs rs968857_3' $\psi\beta$ and rs7480526_II74 were selected after a genotyping analysis carried out in a previous study,²⁶ whereas SNPs rs10768683_AvaII and rs3834466_II*e* are routinely used in Cyprus for prenatal diagnosis.²⁷ Two of the SNPs are located within the β -globin gene and the other two SNPs 5' to the δ -globin gene, therefore, any recombination events would be instantly recognisable.

Primer sequences were designed using the reference sequence of haemoglobin gene locus with accession number NG_000007 from the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NG_000007). The largest PCR-fragment generated was no more than 268 bp (Supplementary Table 1).

PCR amplification

Targeted PCR was performed for the selected SNPs with the corresponding primers. Each reaction was carried out with 5 ng of genomic DNA or 1–5 ng of maternal plasma DNA in a total reaction volume of $25 \,\mu$ l that contained 1 × PCR buffer with 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M dNTPs and one unit of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems by Roche Molecule Systems Inc., Branchburg, NJ, USA). The amplification procedure consisted of an initial

denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min . The PCR products were purified using the MinElute PCR purification kit, Qiagen, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

We used a 'spiking' approach with genomic DNA to determinate the specificity of the assay for each of the four SNPs. We used 100% genomic DNA having a homozygous genotype for the SNP and a sample of 90% homozygous DNA spiked with 10% DNA having a heterozygous genotype. For the confirmation of the reproducibility, four replicates were performed for each sample per SNP.

Nested PCR

New genomic primers for the nested PCR were designed using NCBI primer-Blast software so that the SNP of interest was within 36 bp from the nested primer. All genomic primers contained the sequence for the Illumina adaptors and the reverse primers also contained a 6 bp Illumina index barcode. For rs3834466_IIe, rs968857_3' $\psi\beta$ and rs10768683_Ava the Illumina index barcodes 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 12 were used. For rs7480526_II74, the Illumina index barcodes 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14 were used (Supplementary Table 1).

One microliter of the targeted PCR products was loaded on an Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, CA, USA) using a DNA 1000 assay to determine the concentration and to check for quality. Nested PCR was performed to introduce the Illumina adaptors and the index barcode to the PCR products. Each reaction was carried out with 0.5 ng of targeted PCR products in a total reaction volume of 50 μ l that contained 1 \times physion HF buffer, 200 µM dNTPs and one unit Phusion DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes part of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The nested PCR consisted of an initial denaturation step at 98 °C for 30 s followed by 18 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10s, annealing at 57.4 °C for 30s, extension at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 m. The PCR was performed in a Biometra TProfessional (Goettingen, Germany). The PCR fragments were purified using AMPure XP beads according to the manufacturer's instructions. The products were eluted in $15 \,\mu$ l of elution buffer. One microliter of the product was loaded on an Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer using a DNA 1000 assay to determine the quality and the quantity of the genomic library.

Bridge amplification and sequencing-by-synthesis

Cluster generation was performed according to the Illumina TruSeq SR Cluster kit v2 (cBot) Reagents Preparation Guide (www.illumina.com). Briefly, 40 PCR libraries were pooled together to get a stock of 10 nm. One microliter of the 10 nm stock was denaturated with NaOH, diluted to 6.5 pm and hybridised onto the flowcell. The hybridised products were sequentially amplified, linearised and end-blocked according to the Illumina Single Read Multiplex Sequencing user guide. After hybridisation of the sequencing primer, sequencing-by-synthesis was performed using the HiSeq 2000 with a 36-cycle protocol. The sequenced fragments were denaturated with NaOH using the HiSeq 2000 and the index-primer was hybridised onto the fragments. The index was sequenced with a 7-cycle protocol.

Sequence analysis

We used NARWHAL²⁸ to demultiplex the raw data from the sequencer into FASTQ files per sample. For the spiked genomic samples, we did not perform any alignment, but we filtered the data to keep only reads in which all bases have at least a base-calling phred score of 10 and a mean phred score over the whole read of at least 30. We directly counted the number of times the different



Figure 1 Four SNPs located on the β -globin gene cluster used in targeted NGS analysis.

read sequences occurred. For the maternal plasma samples, we performed demultiplexing and BWA²⁹ alignment against the human reference genome (version hg18) with NARWHAL and counted the occurrence of the reads for both alleles in the BWA aligned SAM files using standard Unix tools *grep* and *sed*. All subsequent calculations were performed in Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Specificity of NGS on spiked samples

In order to develop a reliable method, we selected four SNPs in the β -globin locus, that are common in the Cypriot population, rs3834466_II*ɛ*, rs968857_3' $\psi\beta$, rs10768683_AvaII and rs7480526_II74, each showing high heterozygosity (Figure 1 and Materials and Methods). Next, we designed primers to specifically amplify the DNA containing these SNPs. Homozygous DNA was amplified directly or after spiking with heterozygous containing DNA, followed by Illumina sequencing and more than 1.5 million reads per sample were obtained (Table 1). In all homozygous samples for all SNPs (100%), the sequence reads match the expected homozygous sequence. In the spiked samples (90% + 10%), the two different sequences present were accurately detected and differentiated in the expected (5%) allele concentration. We noted a somewhat higher concentrations for SNP rs7480526_II74 at around 8.2%. For this SNP, we also saw reads with an additional variant 7 bp before the SNP position. These variant reads have not been taken into account. A negligible variation was observed between replicates. We conclude that the analytical power of the platform is sufficiently high and specific to be tested on maternal plasma analysis.

Family study

The maternal and paternal genotypes for 101 families at risk were previously determined by MALDI-TOF MS for a panel of 49 SNPs.²⁶ SNPs were considered informative when the mother was homozygous and the father was heterozygous for the same SNP. Ten families having at least two informative SNPs of the four analysed above were selected for the NGS analysis. SNPs where the mother was homozygous for one allele and the father was homozygous for the other allele were also included for confirmation of the paternal allele, whereas SNPs where both parents are homozygous were used for the determination of the sequencing error rate. SNPs where the mother was heterozygous were not used for the deduction of the paternal allele. The corresponding CVS material was also typed for the selected SNPs for the confirmation of the maternal plasma result.

Efficiency of NGS on maternal plasma

To detect the paternally inherited allele in the maternal plasma, we analysed the selected couples at risk of carrying a β -thalassaemia child for the corresponding informative SNPs. Each sample was analysed in triplicate. About two million reads were generated per sample replicate and the detailed results are shown in Table 2. The exact number of reads was determined for all 40 samples for both alleles (ten samples of four SNPs). The proportion of DNA molecules in the maternal plasma sample that originated from the fetus was determined based on the fractional fetal DNA (*f*) in the maternal plasma, which fetal is given by:

$$f = \frac{2p}{p+q}$$

where *p* is the number of sequenced reads of the fetal specific allele and *q* is the read count of the other allele, which is shared by the maternal and fetal genomes.²⁵ *f* was calculated from the sequencing data for each SNP and replicate (Table 2). Theoretically, a non-present

fetal allele gives a calculated fetal fraction of 0, whereas the fetal fraction DNA in the maternal circulation can be as low as 3% with an average of 10%.^{6,7} We used a cutoff of 2.5%, which is somewhat below the minimum value. We consider the fetal allele detected if *f* is larger than 2.5% for at least two out of three replicates.

All maternal genotypes determined from the sequence data were correct for the four SNP's. Six sites were not used for the deduction of the paternal allele as the mother was heterozygous for those. The results for the fetal genotypes were compared with the results of the CVS analysis previously performed for prenatal diagnosis. From 34 samples analysed, concordance with CVS was observed in 27 cases, where we positively detected and differentiated the paternal allele in the maternal plasma in nine of the cases and in 18 cases no other measurable allele was observed (negative detection of the paternal allele as expected). However, we also observed four false-positive and three false-negative results. SNP rs3834466 IIE was analysed for nine samples as follows: for sample five the mother is heterozygous for the SNP and, therefore, the paternally inherited allele in the maternal background could not be discriminated. Six out of nine samples showed concordance with the CVS result, where we correctly detected the paternally inherited allele (true-positive detection) in three of the cases, whereas in three cases we correctly did not detect the paternal allele (true-negative detection). However, two false negatives and one false positive were observed. For SNP rs968857-3' $\psi\beta$, concordance with the CVS was observed in seven out of ten analysed samples with positive detection of the paternally inherited allele in five cases and negative detection in two cases. Two false positives and one false negative were observed. SNP rs10768683_AvaII β was analysed for eight samples showing correct negative detection of the paternally allele in seven cases, whereas in one case a single false positive detection was found, although this analysis appears suspect due to the very high positive score in one of the samples of the triplicate. For SNP rs7480526_II74, seven out of ten samples were analysed showing concordance with CVS in all samples analysed. We observed truepositive detection of the paternal allele in a single sample and truenegative detection in six of the cases.

Non-invasive fetal haplotyping

To investigate the feasibility of SNPs for the NIPD of β -thalassaemia analysed by Illumina sequencing of the maternal plasma, haplotype analysis was performed. The paternal haplotype was determined from previous family studies in our lab for prenatal diagnostic purposes. Based on the results obtained from NGS of maternal plasma, the haplotypes of the fetus were generated and the alleles of the fetus were correctly linked to the paternal normal or β -thal allele for eight out of ten families (Table 3). The haplotypes were inferred if two out of three or three out of four SNPs had the expected result and given that paternal alleles could be differentiated. More specifically, for families 3, 5, 8 and 10 the paternally inherited allele of the fetus was correctly linked based on the result of all SNPs analysed. For families 1, 6, 7 and 9, the fetal allele was correctly linked to the paternal, even though one of the SNPs analysed gave incorrect result. In these cases, the information obtained from the other SNPs was sufficient to link the fetal allele with the paternal one. However, for families 2 and 4, the fetal allele could not be linked as either more than one SNP showed an unexpected result (family 2) or there was insufficient information from the analysed SNPs (family 4). In these cases, the NIPD was inconclusive.

For families 1, 3, 6, 7 and 10, the fetal allele was correctly linked to the paternal β -thal allele and, consequently, the inheritance of the mutated paternal allele indicated that NIPD for these cases is β -thal

						Number	Number of reads in			
SNP	Read	Allele	Index 1	Index 2	Index 4	Index 5	Index 6	Index 7	Index 9	Index 12
				100	100% T/T			T/T %06	90% T/T+10% T/-	
rs3834466_lle (T/-)	5'-GCATTTAACATTTGCCTTAAGGTGGTGGTGACAGTT-3'ªGA 5'-GCATTTAACATTTGCCTTAAAGGTGGTGACAGT_GAC-3'	T Del (-) (reference) Minor allele (%)	2 280 896 11 0.00048226 Mean	1 989 313 7 0.00035188 0.0011758	2 075 229 21 0.00101193 SD	1 714 959 49 0.00285713 0.00115666	1 734372 75563 4.17490131 Mean	1 992 984 84 364 4.06113949 4.32042391	1816358 80019 4.21957237 SD	2 200 381 111 577 4.82608248 0.34364073
				100	100% C/C			90% C/C	90% C/C + 10% T/C	
rs968857 _3'ψβ (C/T)	5'-GTGAATAATGCATGACATGCTTGCTGGCTGACTAATC-3' 5'-GTGAATAAATGCATGACACATGCTTGTTGACTAATC-3'	C T (reference) Minor allele (%)	1 972 133 1758 0.08906267 Mean	1 582 060 1226 0.077 43389 0.08092152	2 502 668 2079 0.0830024 SD	2 118 741 1573 0.07418713 0.00653513	1 615931 104641 6.08175653 Mean	1 551 738 98015 5.94119241 6.1197589	1 452 447 96 636 6.23827129 SD	1 659819 110 047 6.21781536 0.13782891
				100	100% G/G			90% G/G	90% G/G + 10% G/C	
rs10768683_Ava (G/C)	5'-CCATAGAAAGGAGGGGAAAGAACATCAAG G GTC-3' 5'-CCATAGAAAGGAGGGGAAAGAAACATCAAG C GTC-3'	G C (reference) Minor allele (%)	1 666 708 207 0.01241815 Mean	2 058 647 76 0.00369161 0.00645034	2 196 255 106 0.00482616 SD	2 034 668 99 0.00486542 0.00401561	1 774 046 1 14 245 6.0501 797 7 Mean	1 873 629 118 662 5.95605762 6.05610564	1781723 116282 6.12653813 SD	1 993 217 129 296 6.09164702 0.07364022
SNP	Read	Allele	index 2	index 4	index 5	index 6	index 7	index 12	index GACGAA	index TCGTCT
				100	100% C/C			90% C/C	90% C/C + 10% A/C	
rs7480526_II74 (C/A)	5'-TCCCATTCTAAACTGTACCCTGTTACTTCTCCCCCTT-3' 5'-TCCCATTCTAAACTGTACCGTGTTACTTATCCCCTT-3'	C A (reference) Minor allele (%)	1 738 020 1154 0.06635334 Mean	2 099 298 1848 0.087 952 0.085 76389	1 8197 46 1478 0.08115421 SD	1 757 466 1893 0.10759601 0.0171216	1 185 112 104 165 8.07933439 Mean	1 139 447 103 516 8.32816423 8.24501565	979 076 90399 8.452652 SD	1 081 119 95 544 8.11991199 0.17618905



	sted	adv	t.	1a) CVS			NA	NA	c/c	NA	c/c	c/a	c/c	c/c	a/a	c/c
:	Predicted	genotype	(mat.	plasma)			NA	NA	c/c	NA	c/c	c/a	c/c	c/c	a/a	c/c
rs7480526_1174 (c/a)				Maternal genotype	Fetal allele	detected? ^d	mo:c/a NA	mo:c/a NA	mo:c/c no	mo:c/a NA	mo:c/c No	mo:a/a Yes	mo:c/c No	mo:c/c No	mo:a/a No	mo:c/c No
rs748052		Fetal	fraction	(%) ^a			113.99 95.48 75.25	97.78 122.09 119.91	1.75 1.44 1.24	163.11 135.10 119.38	1.94 1.68 1.39	5.53 3.39 4.05	1.86 1.55 1.31	2.09 1.80 1.53	2.38 2.24 2.16	3.25 2.01
				sads	Fetal	allele	1385449 1214239 815548	1241940 97.78 1519854 122.09 2150903 119.91	16531 16372 12282	1511662 163.11 1342593 135.10 965476 119.38	22757 17684 12425	19252 14683 14476	19675 16864 12393	22228 20870 14216	9537 9846 6544	37611 21045
				No of reads	Maternal	allele	1045459 1329232 1351923	1298378 969808 1436671	1872489 2254514 1963487	341939 644981 651981	2321198 2083631 1774714	676750 851481 701110	2093685 2158817 1884662	2102837 2294171 1839280	793248 871091 598521	2277314 2070000
	_			CVS			B/8	8/8	8/8	<u>8</u> /8	108 108	AN	8/8	8/8	<u>8</u> /8	AN
	Predicted	genotype	(mat.	plasma) CVS			B/B	g/c	B/B	<i>B/B</i>	B/B	NA	8/8	B/B	<i>B/B</i>	NA
rs10768683_Avallß (g/c)				Maternal genotype	Fetal allele	detected? ^d	no:g/g No	mo: <i>g/g</i> Yes	mo:g/g No	mo:g/g No	mo:g/g No	mo:g/c NA	mo: <i>g/g</i> No	mo:g/g No	mo:g/g No	mo:g/a NA
<i>)76868</i>		Fetal	fraction	(%) ^a			0.05 0.06 0.06	0.06 3.75 13.54	0.07 0.07 0.08	0.07 0.08 0.07	0.08 0.09 0.08	79.95 98.21 96.92	0.06 0.08 0.06	0.05 0.06 0.05	0.08 0.08 0.08	90.80 95.71
rs1				ads	Fetal	allele	499 543 563	569 36498 120973	811 680 747	688 698 696	826 777 798	696087 909284 893454	611 759 543	427 503 409	719 784 711	826316 780507
				No. of reads	Maternal	allele	1897149 1694464 1884040	1825846 1910171 1666173	2208515 1894954 1971572	2095634 1832665 1865159	2104022 1796659 1883374	1045257 942509 950240	1934519 2006072 1713765	1652465 1675197 1546598	1792980 1870102 1762583	993793 850463
				CVS			c/c 1 1 1	c/c 1 1	c/t 2 1 1	c/t 2 1 1	c/t 2 1 1	c/t 1	c/c 1 2	c/t 1 1 1	c/t 1 1 1	c/c
	Predicted	genotype	at.	plasma)												
1	Pre	ger	(mat.	pla			c/c	c/t	сЛ	сÆ	c/t	c/t	cA	сЛ	c/c	c/c
rs968857_3'ψβ (c/t)		Fetal	fraction Maternal	(%) ^a genotype	Fetal allele	detected? ^d	1.32 mo:c/c 1.16 No 1.11	5.82 mo:c/c 7.76 Yes 3.64	4.76 mo:tît 9.76 Yes 3.21	1.42 mo:c/c 6.99 Yes 8.14	5.20 mo:c/c 2.17 Yes 4.18	3.50 mo:t/t 4.55 Yes 2.65	6.54 mo:c/c 3.70 Yes 6.62	7.07 mo:c/c 7.96 Yes 8.48	1.73 mo:c/c 1.50 No 1.39	1.56 mo:c/c 1.39 No
			-	ts	Fetal	allele	10277 7582 7757	34820 42483 24515	41536 96027 26390	10218 37577 56647	35877 13578 22627	24017 24517 17539	40848 21212 32842	46185 45367 60658	11531 9361 7763	12349
				No. of reads	Maternal	allele	1548746 1 1295375 1387503	1160722 3 1051814 2 1324234 2	1703340 4 1872737 9 1615333 2	1429699 1 1037324 3 1335824 5	1344138 3 1236671 1 1060075 2	1349070 2 1053813 2 1304525 1	1208208 1124971 959038	1260241 ² 1094286 2 1369524 6	1321919 1241992 1106279	1569485 1430534
				SVS	W		11 12 13 13	tt 11	-/t 17 18 16	-/t 14	NA 13	-/t 13	t/t 12 11	-/t 12	-/t 13 12	t/t 15 12
	Predicted	genotype	(mat.	plasma) CVS			−¥p	t/t	/t	t/t	NAc	-/-	t/t	/t	-/t	t/t
_lle (-/t)				Maternal genotype	FETAL allele	detected? ^d	mo:t/t Yes	mo:t/t No	mo: -/ - Yes	mo:t/t No	mo:/t NA	mo: -/ - No	mo:t/t No	mo:t/t Yes	mo:t/t Yes	mo:t/t No
9		Fetal	fraction	(%) ^a			0.09 5.62 6.73	0.09 1.41 0.08	5.67 2.32 5.98	0.16 0.17 0.14	91.95 96.82 .15.65	0.32 0.29 0.28	0.11 0.09 0.09	2.99 3.20 0.05	3.48 0.12 7.00	0.11
s3834466			-	ads	Fetal	allele	896 56566 63366	1100 12450 666	65379 22796 70803	1792 1636 1491	896692 91.95 878892 96.82 1171199 115.65	3513 3182 2728	1100 955 903	30848 36166 591	36353 1179 83406	1325 939
rs3834466_IIe (-/t)				ų,			484 152 521	2357978 1750844 1728292	2240645 1944017 2296009	2219553 1960695 2103371	1053614 1 936608 1 854173 1	2171601 2200687 1937523	2026011 2190300 2038383	2033758 2225247 2158341	2051763 1944390 2298786	2482264 1886618
rs3834466				No. of reads	Maternal	allele	073, 957 819	756	294 294 294	1 6 5	025	- N O	0 - 0	0 0 -	362	48
rs3834466			Sample Replicate	no No. of i	Maternal	allele	1 2073484 2 1957152 3 1819521	4 235 5 1750 6 1728	7 224 8 194 9 229	10 22: 11 196	13 10 14 93 15 85	16 21 17 22 18 19	19 20 20 21 21 20	22 20 23 22 24 21	25 205 26 194 27 229	28 248 29 186

Table 2 Detailed results of targeted sequencing on the maternal plasma analysis with Illumina platform and comparison with CVS

Abbreviation: CVS, chorionic villus sampling. NA, not applicable. ${}^{\text{F}} = 2^{\text{Tretal}/(\text{mat} + \text{fetal})}$. ^{PH} lightlighted genotypes indicate disconcordance of maternal plasma analysis with CVS analysis. ^CMother heterozygous, father heterozygous for the SNP. ^CFetal allele is detected if $f \ge 2.5$ for at least two out of three replicates.

SNPs
four
the
for
families
ten
the
of
NIPD
and
analysis
Haplotype
ŝ
Table

	Allele PND	phase diagnosis	normal ♀ β-thal trait β-thal ♂	β-thal ♀ β-thal major β-thal ♂	β-thal ♀ β-thal major β-thal ♂	Normal 유 Normal Normal 경	normal ହ normal Normal	Normal ♀ <i>β</i> -thal trait <i>β</i> -thal ♂	Normal ♀ <i>β</i> -thal trait <i>β</i> -thal ♂	Normal 유 Normal Normal 경	Normal 유 Normal Normal 경	β -thal 2 β -thal major
CVS	rs7480	1 526_1174			00		υu	c n	υu	υu	<i>თ</i> თ	U
	rs10768	683_Avallß	ය ය	00 00	00 00	00 00	00 00		යට යට	00 00	00 00	
	rs9688	: 57_3'4ß	υu	υ υ	c t	t c	t c	c t	t C	+ C	+ C	C
	rs3834	466_IIE	+ +		₊₋	+		0	+ +	+-	ا <u>ب</u>	Ŧ
		NIPD diagnosis	eta-thal trait or major	Inconclusive	eta-thal trait or major	Inconclusive	Normal or <i>β</i> -thal trait	eta-thal trait or major	<i>β</i> -thal trait or major	Normal or β -thal trait	Normal or eta -thal trait	β -thal trait or major
	ele	phase ^a	o, to o, to	0+ *0	ND 9 A-thal 3	0+ *0	ND Normal 3	al 4	ND ♀ β-thal ♂	ND Normal 3	ND ♀ Normal ♂	0+
Maternal Plasma	805 Allele		ND <i>β</i> -thal	0N ~:	ND A-th	0N ~.	Norr	ND <i>β</i> -thal	ND A-th	NDN	Norr	ND
Materna	86 rs74805	nış 26_1174			υu		υu	ပအ	υu	υu	<i>თ</i> თ	C
	rs107686	8 83_Avallß	00 00	000	00 00	00 00	00 00		00 00	00 00	00 00	
	t rs9688	57_3'4B	00	ч U	c t	t C	t C	c t	t C	+ C	00	U
	rs38344	99 [_] 11	++	+ +	₊	t t			+ +	+	ا <u>ب</u>	÷
	Allele	phase	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	β-thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	β-thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	<i>β</i> -thal Normal	β-thal
	rs748052	6_1174			ပဖ		ပော	တက	ပာ	00	ပဖ	υ
F ather	rs1076868	3_Aval1ß	ن <i>م</i> ع	00 00	00 00	00 00	ပယ		တ ပ	00 00	00 00	
	rs9688	57_3'4B	t c	t c	t c	c t	c t	t c	t c	t c	t c	υ.
	rs38344	<i>66_II</i> ε	+-	+-	t t	++		t t	+	+	+-	t
	Allele	phase	Q Q	QN	Q Q	QN QN	QN QN	QN QN	Q Q	Q Q	Q Q	QN 1
	rs748052	6_1174			00		υu	ກກ	υv	υu	ຫ ຫ	С
Mother	rs10768	683_Avallß	00 00	00 00	00 00	00 00	00 00		00 00	00 00	00 00	
	rs9688	57_3'4B	υv	00	+ +	00	00	+ +	00	00	υv	υ
	rs3834	466_llc	+ +	+ +		+ +			+ +			÷.
	Haplo-	type	0 1	7 7	7 7	7 7	7 7	7 7	7 1	7 7	1 2	- 1
	Family	no.		2	ς,	4	2J	9	4	00	6	10

European Journal of Human Genetics

NGS for NIPD of β-thalassaemia T Papasavva *et al*

trait or major. Therefore, direct invasive prenatal diagnosis in a fetal sample was recommended to confirm the diagnosis of the fetus. For families 5 and 9, the fetal allele is correctly linked to the normal allele of the father, indicating that NIPD is normal or β -thal trait and, therefore, invasive prenatal procedures may be avoided. We concluded that more than four SNPs and more replicates are needed to develop a reliable assay for haplotype analysis. The above analysis was only used as a model in order to demonstrate the effective linkage of the fetal allele to the paternal based on SNPs. In a diagnostic setting, where more SNPs and more replicates will be included per family, a diagnostic algorithm would have to be included in order to derive the final haplotypes and, in turn, the final diagnosis.

DISCUSSION

Most NIPD studies carried out on β -thalassaemia were based on detection of the paternally inherited mutation and, therefore, limited to the couples sharing different mutations.^{17–20} In view of this limitation, we previously showed that the detection of the paternally inherited SNPs is feasible for the NIPD of β -thalassaemia.^{22,23} SNPs can be used regardless of the mutation of the carrier couples, they provide positive detection of the paternal allele, normal or mutant, the result can be confirmed with more than one SNP and, importantly, the more SNPs used the less diagnostic risk.

In this study, we took advantage of the analytical power of NGS Illumina platform to reliably detect and quantify all the sequences present in a sample to detect the paternally inherited SNPs in the maternal plasma of β -thal carriers.

The specificity of the platform to detect and differentiate the minor allele present in the overwhelming background of the maternal allele was confirmed using spiked genomic samples. This demonstrates the extreme precision and analytical power of the method, as the correct result in all samples was obtained with insignificant variation between the replicates.

The reliability of the method was assessed with a preliminary analysis of maternal plasma samples. The current study showed that the detection of paternally inherited allele in the maternal plasma is possible with the use of targeted sequencing and SNPs.

Haplotype analysis based on the sequence results showed diagnosis was possible for eight out of ten families. The importance of having a high number of SNPs for each family was illustrated in all cases. In four of the cases, the paternal inheritance of the fetus was correctly deduced based on all the SNPs analysed. However, in four cases where one SNP gave unexpected result, the paternally inherited allele was correctly linked based on the information given by the other three SNPs with an insignificant risk of misdiagnosis. In two cases where NIPD was inconclusive, the fetal allele could not be linked to the paternal one as more than one SNP gave a deviating result or there was lack of adequate information from the analysed SNPs. It is important to emphasise that no misdiagnosis was made even in the cases where some SNPs gave an incorrect result. Including a higher number of SNPs will further increase the statistical power to differentiate the maternal from the paternal allele through haplotype analysis with a higher level of accuracy and for a greater proportion of carrier couples. Such an increase can be easily incorporated in the current Illumina technology platform with only a small increase in costs.

However, further studies are needed in order to improve the reliability of the used assay to eliminate the false positives and negatives. Possible reasons for the observed false negatives are the inefficiency in the isolation of fetal material in the maternal plasma and the inefficiency of the DNA amplification due to its very small size and quantity. In some cases, the percentage of the minor paternal allele, as measured with the targeted sequencing approach, might be smaller than the actual percentage causing changes in the ratio of the alleles and, in turn, creating problems in their analysis. This depends on the position of the SNP on the amplicon in terms on where the fetal fragment is cut, as well as on the size of the fetal fragment. Fetal-derived DNA molecules are $<300 \text{ bp}^7$ showing a prominence at 143 bp.²⁵ The amplicon sizes of our fragments were between 170 bp and 268 bp and therefore, fragments longer than 146 bp, in combination with the position of the SNP on the amplification of only a fraction of the fetal molecules present in the maternal plasma resulting in false-negative results.

Cross contamination between samples that might have arisen during the extraction process could be a possible cause of false positives, but highly unlikely here as it would have been observed in other SNPs for the same samples. False-positive results and erroneous base calls have been observed by other teams that have used different platforms for the analysis of cell-free fetal DNA but also the technology of NGS.9,10,15,16 Palomaki et al¹⁶ and Chiu et al¹⁰ reported false-positive rates of 1.4% and 2.1%, respectively, which were improved in a subsequent study.9 False-positive SNP calls could be derived from erroneous alignments of short reads.³⁰ Therefore, different bioinformatics parameters, as well as improvements, in statistical analysis have been investigated by these teams in order to eliminate the erroneous calls and decrease the observed false-positive rate. In order to accomplish that larger scale studies would need to be performed. Moreover, the PCR amplification used in this study might also be a cause of increased error rates by amplifying nonspecific fragments due to high number of cycles used, although the nested PCR partially eliminates unspecific amplified fragments from the first PCR reaction.

We have also observed some variations in the reads of sequenced fragments from sample to sample and from replicate to replicate. It is unclear at this point whether this stems from the quality of the sample, PCR artefacts because of the high number of amplification cycles or during sequencing library preparation or cluster generation.

To avoid the observed discrepancies and to improve the diagnostic efficiency, it is suggested to include a higher number of maternal samples, as well as more SNPs and more replicates per sample with improved conditions for each SNP. This will also aid to derive statistical cutoff values specific for the data of each SNP as opposed to fixed cutoff values. Furthermore, it is suggested to have fragments around 143 bp in size in order to capture and amplify the maximum of the fetal molecules present in the maternal plasma. Furthermore, it is recommended to use less PCR cycles in order to avoid the introduction of erroneous bases. Finally, in future experiments free DNA isolated from plasma of non-pregnant women negative for the SNPs can also be included for a better evaluation of the false positives.

In this study, we have outlined and demonstrated the analytical power of NGS and targeted sequencing for the analysis of fetal DNA sequences in the maternal plasma. The accuracy and precision demonstrated allowed us to detect and differentiate the paternally inherited allele of the fetus in the overwhelming background of the maternal alleles. The linkage of paternally inherited allele was possible based on haplotype analysis, provided that other family members or a previously born child were available for testing. The group of Lam³¹ employed the relative haplotype approach to deduce the paternally inherited allele without the need of other family members. However, their procedure is complicated and costly. Although the NGS method is a complex method, it has been demonstrated that it can be used to

detect maternal mutations with accuracy and precision.^{25,31–33} Moreover, the targeted sequencing protocol using short 36 bp reads is a faster and more cost-effective than whole genome amplification used by Lo *et al*²⁵ for NIPD of β -thalassaemia. However, in order to implement our targeted SNP sequencing assay in diagnostics the method has to be simplified. The continuous announcements of smaller, more personalised NGS platforms promise new low-cost, rapid and less complicated sequencing accessible to more laboratory settings.

Directions for future development would be to improve the diagnostic efficiency, precision, accuracy and reliability by eliminating false positives and false-negative results. Finally, as this approach applies only to the 50% of the cases where the fetus inherits the normal allele of the father, in the future one should look for other approaches using this technology to also deduce the maternally inherited allele.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mrs Elena Kyriacou for her secretarial assistance.

- Kyrri EK, Loizidou D, Ioannou C, Makariou C, Kythreotis LM, Phylactides PK et al: The changing epidemiology of β-thalassaemia in the Greek-Cypriot population. Hemoglobin 2013 (in press).
- 2 Alfirevic Z, Sundberg K, Brigham S: Amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling for prenatal diagnosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; CD003252.
- 3 Tabor A, Philip J, Madsen M, Bang J, Obel EB, Norgaard-Pedersen B: Randomised controlled trial of genetic amniocentesis in 4606 low-risk women. *Lancet* 1986; 1: 1287–1293.
- 4 Lo YM, Corbetta N, Chamberlain PF et al: Presence of fetal DNA in maternal plasma and serum. Lancet 1997; 350: 485–487.
- 5 Lun FM, Chiu RW, Allen Chan KC, Yeung Leung T, Kin Lau T, Dennis LoYM: Microfluidics digital PCR reveals a higher than expected fraction of fetal DNA in maternal plasma. *Clin Chem* 2008; **54**: 1664–1672.
- 6 Lo YM, Tein MS, Lau TK *et al*: Quantitative analysis of fetal DNA in maternal plasma and serum: implications for noninvasive prenatal diagnosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998; 62: 768–775.
- 7 Chan KC, Zhang J, Hui AB *et al*: Size distributions of maternal and fetal DNA in maternal plasma. *Clin Chem* 2004; **50**: 88–92.
- 8 Li Y, Di Naro E, Vitucci A *et al*: Size fractionation of cell-free DNA in maternal plasma improves the detection of a paternally inherited beta-thalassemia point mutation by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2009; 25: 246–249.
- 9 Chen EZ, Chiu RW, Sun H *et al*: Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of fetal trisomy 18 and trisomy 13 by maternal plasma DNA sequencing. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e21791.
- 10 Chiu RW, Akolekar R, Zheng YW et al: Non-invasive prenatal assessment of trisomy 21 by multiplexed maternal plasma DNA sequencing: large scale validity study. BMJ 2011; 342: c7401.
- 11 Chiu RW, Chan KC, Gao Y et al: Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of fetal chromosomal aneuploidy by massively parallel genomic sequencing of DNA in maternal plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 20458–20463.

- 12 Fan HC, Blumenfeld YJ, Chitkara U, Hudgins L, Quake SR: Noninvasive diagnosis of fetal aneuploidy by shotgun sequencing DNA from maternal blood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 2008; 105: 16266–16271.
- 13 Fan HC, Quake SR: Sensitivity of noninvasive prenatal detection of fetal aneuploidy from maternal plasma using shotgun sequencing is limited only by counting statistics. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e10439.
- 14 Chiu RW, Sun H, Akolekar R *et al*: Maternal plasma DNA analysis with massively parallel sequencing by ligation for noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of trisomy 21. *Clinical Chem* 2010; **56**: 459–463.
- 15 Palomaki GE, Deciu C, Kloza EM et al: DNA sequencing of maternal plasma reliably identifies trisomy 18 and trisomy 13 as well as Down syndrome: an international collaborative study. Genet Med 2012; 14: 296–305.
- 16 Palomaki GE, Kloza EM, Lambert-Messerlian GM *et al*: DNA sequencing of maternal plasma to detect Down syndrome: an international clinical validation study. *Genet Med* 2011; **13**: 913–920.
- 17 Chiu RW, Lau TK, Leung TN, Chow KC, Chui DH, Lo YM: Prenatal exclusion of beta thalassaemia major by examination of maternal plasma. *Lancet* 2002; 360: 998–1000.
- 18 Li Y, Di Naro E, Vitucci A, Zimmermann B, Holzgreve W, Hahn S: Detection of paternally inherited fetal point mutations for beta-thalassemia using size-fractionated cell-free DNA in maternal plasma. JAMA 2005; 293: 843–849.
- 19 Galbiati S, Foglieni B, Travi M et al: Peptide-nucleic acid-mediated enriched polymerase chain reaction as a key point for non-invasive prenatal diagnosis of beta-thalassemia. *Haematologica* 2008; **93**: 610–614.
- 20 Galbiati S, Brisci A, Lalatta F et al: Full COLD-PCR protocol for noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of genetic diseases. Clin Chem 2011; 57: 136–138.
- 21 Lun FM, Tsui NB, Chan KC et al: Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of monogenic diseases by digital size selection and relative mutation dosage on DNA in maternal plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 19920–19925.
- 22 Papasawa T, Kalakoutis G, Kalikas I *et al*: Noninvasive prenatal diagnostic assay for the detection of beta-thalassemia. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006; **1075**: 148–153.
- 23 Papasavva T, Kalikas I, Kyrri A, Kleanthous M: Arrayed primer extension for the noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of beta-thalassemia based on detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2008; 1137: 302–308.
- 24 Phylipsen M, Yamsri S, Treffers EE et al: Non-invasive prenatal diagnosis of beta-thalassemia and sickle-cell disease using pyrophosphorolysis-activated polymerization and melting curve analysis. *Prenatal Diagnosis* 2012; **32**: 578–587.
- 25 Lo YM, Chan KC, Sun H et al: Maternal plasma DNA sequencing reveals the genome-wide genetic and mutational profile of the fetus. Sci Transl Med 2010; 2: 61ra91.
- 26 Papasavva TE, Lederer CW, Traeger-Synodinos J et al: A minimal set of SNPs for the noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of beta-thalassaemia. Ann Hum Genet 2013; 77: 115–124.
- 27 Old JM, Petrou M, Modell B, Weatherall DJ: Feasibility of antenatal diagnosis of beta thalassaemia by DNA polymorphisms in Asian Indian and Cypriot populations. *Br J Haematol* 1984; **57**: 255–263.
- 28 Brouwer RW, van den Hout MC, Grosveld FG, van Ijcken WF: NARWHAL, a primary analysis pipeline for NGS data. *Bioinformatics* 2012; 28: 284–285.
- 29 Li H, Durbin R: Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009; 25: 1754–1760.
- 30 Dohm JC, Lottaz C, Borodina T, Himmelbauer H: Substantial biases in ultra-short read data sets from high-throughput DNA sequencing. *Nucleic Acid Res* 2008; 36: e105.
- 31 Lam KW, Jiang P, Liao GJ et al: Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of monogenic diseases by targeted massively parallel sequencing of maternal plasma: application to beta thalassemia. Clin Chem 2012; 58: 1467–1475.
- 32 Fan HC, Gu W, Wang J, Blumenfeld YJ, El-Sayed YY, Quake SR: Non-invasive prenatal measurement of the fetal genome. *Nature* 2012; 487: 320–324.
- 33 Kitzman JO, Snyder MW, Ventura M *et al*: Noninvasive whole-genome sequencing of a human fetus. *Sci Transl Med* 2012; 4: 137ra176.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on European Journal of Human Genetics website (http://www.nature.com/ejhg)

1410