

Sotos syndrome

Sotos syndrome is an autosomal dominant condition characterised by a distinctive facial appearance, learning disability and overgrowth resulting in tall stature and macrocephaly. In 2002, Sotos syndrome was shown to be caused by mutations and deletions of *NSD1*, which encodes a histone methyltransferase implicated in chromatin regulation. More recently, the *NSD1* mutational spectrum has been defined, the phenotype of Sotos syndrome clarified and diagnostic and management guidelines developed.

In brief

- Sotos syndrome is characterised by a distinctive facial appearance, learning disability and childhood overgrowth.
- Sotos syndrome is associated with cardiac anomalies, renal anomalies, seizures and/or scoliosis in ~25% of cases and a broad variety of additional features occur less frequently.
- *NSD1* abnormalities, such as truncating mutations, missense mutations in functional domains, partial gene deletions and 5q35 microdeletions encompassing *NSD1*, are identifiable in the majority (>90%) of Sotos syndrome cases.
- The phenotype is largely independent of the underlying *NSD1* defect, although cases with 5q35 microdeletions tend to have more severe learning disability and less pronounced overgrowth.
- *NSD1* is a histone methyltransferase that acts at H4 K20 and H3 K36.
- *NSD1* has multiple functional domains and may have complex roles in transcriptional regulation.

Introduction

Sotos syndrome was first described in 1964 by Juan Sotos and the major diagnostic criteria of a distinctive facial appearance, childhood overgrowth and learning disability were established in 1994 by Cole and Hughes.^{1,2} In 2002, cloning of the breakpoints of a *de novo* t(5;8)(q35;q24.1) translocation in a child with Sotos syndrome led to the discovery that Sotos syndrome is caused by haploinsufficiency of the Nuclear receptor Set Domain containing protein 1 gene, *NSD1*.³ Subsequently, extensive analyses of overgrowth cases have shown that intragenic *NSD1* mutations and 5q35 microdeletions encompassing *NSD1* cause >90% of Sotos syndrome cases.^{4–10} In addition, *NSD1* abnormalities are only very rarely identified in other overgrowth phenotypes.¹⁰ Thus, identification of an *NSD1* abnormality is essentially diagnostic of Sotos syndrome and provides an objective method of identifying a condition that can be challenging to confidently diagnose clinically. Over the last few years, large-scale analyses of such molecularly confirmed cases of Sotos syndrome has clarified the clinical and molecular spectrum of the condition and provided the basis for diagnostic and management protocols (Figure 1).

Clinical overview

Cardinal features of Sotos syndrome

Three features have been designated the cardinal features of Sotos syndrome, a characteristic facial appearance, learning disability and overgrowth resulting in tall stature and macrocephaly which is evident from birth. These features are each present in over 90% of individuals with Sotos syndrome (Table 1).¹⁰

The facial appearance is most distinctive between 1 and 6 years and consists of a high, broad forehead (the head is said to resemble an inverted pear), fronto-temporal hair sparsity, malar flushing, down-slanting palpebral fissures and a pointed chin (Figure 2a). In some children, there may be atypical features such as up-slanting palpebral fissures or a normal hairline. In adulthood, the appearance remains

Katrina Tatton-Brown¹ and Nazneen Rahman*¹

¹Section of Cancer Genetics, Institute of Cancer Research, 15, Cotswold Road, Sutton, Surrey, SM2 5NG, UK

European Journal of Human Genetics (2007) 15, 264–271. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201686; published online 13 September 2006

Keywords: Sotos; *NSD1*; overgrowth syndrome; histone methyltransferase

*Correspondence: Dr N Rahman, Section of Cancer Genetics, Institute of Cancer Research, 15, Cotswold Road, Sutton, Surrey, SM2 5NG, UK.
Fax: +44 208 722 4359; E-mail: nazneen.rahman@icr.ac.uk

Received 14 February 2006; revised 12 April 2006; accepted 21 April 2006




Figure 1 Diagnostic strategy for individuals in which a diagnosis of Sotos syndrome is suspected.

Table 1 Cardinal and associated features of Sotos syndrome

Cardinal features – present in $\geq 90\%$ of cases	Major features – present in $\geq 15\%$ of cases
Characteristic facial appearance	Advanced bone age
Learning disability	Cranial CT/MRI abnormalities
Childhood overgrowth	Poor feeding in infancy
	Neonatal jaundice
	Neonatal hypotonia
	Seizures
	Scoliosis
	Cardiac anomalies
	Renal anomalies
	Maternal pre-eclampsia
	Joint laxity/pes planus

distinctive but the face is often longer and the chin more prominent (Figure 2b).

The great majority of individuals with Sotos syndrome have some degree of learning disability. Most individuals have mild–moderate intellectual impairment but the degree of impairment is extremely broad, ranging from occasional individuals with normal development to children with profound learning difficulties requiring life-long care.

Many babies with Sotos syndrome have a birth length $>2SD$ above the mean. The birth weight is often not proportionately increased and so babies with Sotos syndrome are usually long and thin. Before puberty the majority of children with Sotos syndrome have height



Figure 2 The classic Sotos gestalt in (a) childhood and (b) adulthood.

and head circumference $>2SD$ above the mean. There is often some ‘normalisation’ of height post-puberty so that the height of adults with Sotos syndrome may not be significantly above the norm. By contrast significant macrocephaly is typical in both children and adults with Sotos syndrome.

Major features of Sotos syndrome

Various medical conditions are described in at least 15% of Sotos syndrome cases and are considered major features of the condition (Table 1).¹⁰

Advanced bone age occurs in ~75% of affected children. However, it should be noted that bone age is influenced by age at assessment and variability in interpretation.^{2,10}

Many individuals with Sotos syndrome have abnormalities on cranial imaging. These are largely nonspecific and no particular abnormality or pattern of abnormalities is specific to Sotos syndrome.¹¹ Ventricular dilatation is the most commonly reported feature.¹⁰

In the neonatal period, ~70% of babies with Sotos syndrome develop jaundice and/or have difficulty with feeding. The latter is in part because neonatal hypotonia is also very common. These neonatal problems are generally self-limiting and do not result in long-term problems.¹⁰

Cardiac and renal anomalies, seizures and scoliosis occur in 15–30% of cases and are very variable in type and severity. The cardiac anomalies range from single, self-limiting anomalies such as ASD to complex anomalies requiring interventional surgery. The most common renal abnormality is vesico-ureteric reflux, but anatomical abnormalities such as duplex kidney, absent kidney, urethral stenosis and pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction are also recognised. Infantile spasms, absence, tonic/clonic and myoclonic seizures are all described in Sotos syndrome. Scoliosis is similarly variable in severity ranging from mild, requiring no intervention, to severe, where bracing or surgery is indicated.^{10,12}

Other features associated with Sotos syndrome

Many other clinical features have been reported in individuals with Sotos syndrome. Some of these are quite common, such as behavioural problems and constipation, and it is possible that future clinical reviews will show that

these or other features occur in >15% of cases and can be considered major features of the condition.

For the many clinical features that have been reported in only one, or a few, individuals it can be difficult to determine whether they signify genuine associations of Sotos syndrome or are coincidental findings (Table 2). It is very likely that further, currently unrecognised, features will be reported in individuals with Sotos syndrome in the future.

Differential diagnosis of Sotos syndrome

Phenotypic overlap exists between Sotos syndrome and several other clinical conditions (Table 3). In our experience, the four conditions most commonly confused with Sotos syndrome are Weaver syndrome, Bannayan–Riley–Ruvalcaba syndrome, Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome and benign familial macrocephaly.

The greatest phenotypic overlap is between Sotos and Weaver syndromes. The facial features of these conditions are similar, particularly in infancy (Table 3). As children get older the conditions are easier to differentiate as classic Weaver syndrome cases have widely spaced palpebral fissures and a rounder face compared to the normally spaced eyes and prominent chin characteristic of Sotos syndrome.^{2,10,13} The two syndromes can also usually be differentiated molecularly as, in our experience, no classic case of Weaver syndrome has an *NSD1* abnormality and we assume that Weaver syndrome is due to a different gene. Our practise is to undertake *NSD1* analysis in all children in whom a diagnosis of Sotos syndrome or Weaver syndrome is being considered. If a mutation is identified the child is managed as other *NSD1*-positive individuals and a diagnosis of Sotos syndrome is given. Identification of the Weaver syndrome gene will facilitate discrimination between these two conditions.

Table 2 Other clinical features reported in Sotos syndrome

<i>Clinical features</i>		
Anal fistula	Haemangioma	Nystagmus
Arthrogyrosis	Hemihypertrophy	Osteoporosis
Astigmatism	Hydrocoele	Ovarian cysts
Behavioural problems	Hypercalcaemia	Pectus carinatum
Brachydactyly	Hypermetropia	Pectus excavatum
Cataract	Hyperpigmentation	Phimosis
Cervical ribs	Hypopigmentation	Pneumothorax
Cholesteatoma	Hypoplastic nails	Postaxial polydactyly
Conductive hearing loss	Hypospadias	Prolapsed rectum
Constipation	Hypothyroid	Renal vein thrombosis
Contractures	Inguinal hernia	Scalp defects
Craniosynostosis	Laryngomalacia	Strabismus
Cryptorchidism	Myopia	2/3 toe syndactyly
Cutis laxa	Neonatal glaucoma	Talipes
Delayed visual maturation	Neonatal hypocalcaemia	Tumours
Eleven rib pairs	Neonatal hypoglycaemia	Umbilical hernia
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	Neonatal thrombocytopenia	Vertebral anomalies
Genu valgum	Neonatal thrombophlebitis	

Table 3 Conditions that may be considered in the differential diagnosis of Sotos syndrome

Condition	OMIM#	Clinical features	Inheritance pattern	Gene
Weaver syndrome	277590	Tall stature, macrocephaly, advanced bone age, learning disability, broad forehead with macrocephaly, hypertelorism, 'stuck-on' chin, horizontal chin crease, metaphyseal changes on X-ray	Autosomal dominant	Unknown
Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome	153480	Macrocephaly, learning disability, lipomatosis, haemangiomas and pigmented macules on the shaft of the penis	Autosomal dominant	PTEN
Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome	130650	Tall stature, abdominal wall defects, macroglossia, ear lobe creases, helical pits, visceromegaly, hemihypertrophy, neonatal hypoglycaemia, renal abnormalities and embryonal tumours	Imprinted	Abnormalities of 11p15 region
Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome	312870	Pre- and post-natal overgrowth, learning disability, hypertelorism, downslanting palpebral fissures, epicanthic folds, short nose, macrostomia, macroglossia, supernumerary nipples	X-linked recessive	GPC3
Benign familial macrocephaly	153470	Macrocephaly in an individual who is otherwise neurologically normal	Autosomal dominant	Unknown
Fragile X syndrome	309550	Tall stature, macrocephaly, learning disability, prominent forehead, prominent mandible, mid-face hypoplasia, large ears, large testes	X-linked recessive	FMR1
Marfan syndrome	154700	Tall stature, arachnodactyly, pectus deformities of the chest, mitral or aortic regurgitation, ectopic lentis and mild joint laxity	Autosomal dominant	FBN1
Marshall-Smith syndrome	602535	Advanced bone age, increased birth length with subsequent failure to thrive, prominent forehead, prominent eyes, micrognathia and anteverted nares, broad proximal and middle phalanges	Unknown	Unknown
Nevo syndrome	601451	Pre-natal overgrowth, advanced bone age, macrocephaly, large extremities, pedal oedema, wrist drop, contractures and learning disability	Autosomal recessive	PLOD1

Bannayan–Riley–Ruvalcaba syndrome is due to *PTEN* mutations in ~60% of cases¹⁴ and, in common with Sotos syndrome, is often associated with learning disability and macrocephaly and may be associated with tall stature. Boys with Bannayan–Riley–Ruvalcaba usually have penile freckling which has not been reported in Sotos syndrome. In later childhood and adulthood lipomatosis, haemangiomas and features of Cowden syndrome may occur.^{15–17} In families with inherited *PTEN* mutations, there is often a family history of macrocephaly and learning difficulties that is unusual in Sotos syndrome. Molecular analysis of *NSD1/PTEN* should help differentiate the two conditions in cases in which there is diagnostic uncertainty clinically.

Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome is due to epigenetic defects of 11p15 and is characterised by overgrowth, abdominal wall defects and macroglossia. Additional features include ear lobe creases and helical pits, visceromegaly, hemihypertrophy, neonatal hypoglycaemia, renal abnormalities and embryonal tumours (Table 3). Most cases should be straightforward to clinically differentiate from Sotos syndrome as macroglossia is very common in Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome but has not been reported in Sotos syndrome, whereas characteristic facial features and learning disability are almost universal in Sotos syndrome, but are rare in Beckwith–Wiedemann

syndrome. For rare cases that are clinically consistent with both conditions (eg the cases reported by Baujat *et al*¹⁸) molecular analyses at 11p15 and *NSD1* should distinguish between the conditions. Individuals with such overlapping phenotypes should be managed according to the underlying molecular defect, that is, individuals with 11p15 imprinting defects should be managed as for Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome and individuals with *NSD1* abnormalities should be managed as for Sotos syndrome.

Benign familial macrocephaly is defined as macrocephaly in an individual with a positive family history of macrocephaly and no neurological deficit.¹⁹ No other clinical features are consistently associated with the condition. Benign familial macrocephaly is likely to represent a heterogeneous group of conditions and the diagnosis is reserved for individuals in whom other conditions have been clinically and/or molecularly excluded.

Diagnostic approaches

Before the identification of *NSD1*, it was recommended that the clinical diagnosis of Sotos syndrome could be supported by bone age assessment and/or cranial imaging.^{2,11} However, the bone age is normal or delayed in

~20% of cases of Sotos syndrome and is a nonspecific test, as advanced bone age also occurs in many other conditions.^{2,10,12} Cranial neuro-imaging is abnormal in ~80% of Sotos syndrome cases but again the observed abnormalities are nonspecific.¹¹ By contrast molecular *NSD1* testing provides a simple, safe, sensitive and specific method of confirming a clinical diagnosis of Sotos syndrome in the great majority of cases.¹⁰ Molecular testing may not be necessary in some classic Sotos syndrome cases where the clinical diagnosis is confidently made by a clinician experienced in the condition. However, in most cases we recommend that the first-line investigation in a child suspected of having Sotos syndrome should be *NSD1* gene testing (Figure 1) and that all individuals in whom an *NSD1* abnormality is identified are managed as outlined below. Individuals who meet all three cardinal criteria but do not have an *NSD1* abnormality should also be managed as Sotos syndrome. However, we strongly recommend that such cases be reviewed by a clinician with expertise in overgrowth conditions before a diagnosis of Sotos syndrome is given to an individual with a normal *NSD1* test result.

Molecular and genetic basis of the disease *NSD1*

NSD1, Nuclear receptor SET domain containing protein-1, contains multiple functional domains including two distinct nuclear receptor interaction domains (NID^{+L} and NID^{-L}), five zinc-finger plant homeodomains (PHD_{1-v}), two proline-tryptophan-tryptophan-proline domains, a SET (Su(var)3-9, Enhancer of Zeste and Trithorax) domain, its neighbouring SET-associated cysteine-rich (SAC) domain and a C5HCH motif (Figure 3).²⁰ Relatively little is known of the functions of *NSD1* or why its abrogation results in the Sotos phenotype. However, it is likely to play a role in transcriptional regulation through the specific methylation of histone lysine residues (H3-K36 and H4-K20, mediated by SET and SAC domains), the differential binding of the two nuclear receptor interacting domains (NID^{+L} and NID^{-L}) and chromatin-chromatin interactions mediated by the PHD and C5HCH domains.^{21,22,23}

NSD1 mutational mechanisms

Various mutational mechanisms abrogate *NSD1* function including truncating mutations, missense mutations, splice-site mutations, partial gene deletions and 5q35

microdeletions.³⁻¹⁰ The truncating mutations result from small nucleotide insertions and/or deletions or splice-site mutations that result in translational frameshifts and premature stop codons or from base substitutions that generate stop codons (nonsense mutations). The truncating mutations occur throughout the gene. Disease-causing missense mutations are caused by base substitutions within *NSD1* functional domains. As these functional domains are primarily located at the 3' end of *NSD1*, missense mutations are clustered towards the 3' end of the gene but there are no mutational hotspots.¹⁰ Partial gene deletions account for ~5% of *NSD1* abnormalities and most commonly involve deletion of exons 1 and 2, probably because of the high density of Alu repeats flanking these exons. Some partial gene deletions are generated through nonallelic homologous recombination between flanking Alu repeats whereas others are more likely generated through nonhomologous end joining.²⁴

The mechanism of generation and size of 5q35 microdeletions differ depending upon the ethnic origin of the affected individual. Outside Japan, 5q35 microdeletions are variable in size and predominantly arise through interchromosomal rearrangements.²⁵ In contrast, a uniform 1.9 Mb microdeletion, arising through intrachromosomal rearrangements, has been identified in the majority of Sotos syndrome cases of Japanese descent.^{26,27} It is likely that the 1.9 Mb deletion identified in both Japanese and non-Japanese Sotos syndrome cases results from nonallelic homologous recombination between low copy repeat elements flanking *NSD1*.²⁵⁻²⁸ An inversion polymorphism that predisposes to the microdeletion is common in Japan and may explain the high 5q35 microdeletion frequency in the Japanese, although the frequency of the inversion polymorphism outside Japan is currently not known.²⁷ A significant proportion of non-Japanese 5q35 microdeletions do not have breakpoints within known flanking elements and so are likely to have been generated through other mechanisms.²⁵ There is a marked bias towards deletion of the paternally derived allele in all 5q35 microdeletion cases, irrespective of ethnic origin.^{25,26} This is likely to reflect, at least in part, the telomeric position of *NSD1* as the recombination rate in males is much greater at the 5q telomere compared with that of females.

Contribution of *NSD1* to Sotos syndrome

NSD1 abnormalities are identifiable in at least 90% of Sotos syndrome cases.³⁻¹⁰ In our experience, *NSD1* abnormal-




Figure 3 Schematic representation of *NSD1*.

ities do not occur in other human overgrowth conditions, although there are occasional individuals with *NSD1* defects that show clinical overlap with Sotos syndrome and other conditions, such as Weaver syndrome and Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome.^{4,10,18} Among European and American Sotos syndrome cases, intragenic mutations cause 80–85% of Sotos syndrome and 5q35 microdeletions are responsible for only 10–15% of cases.^{4–10} In contrast, 5q35 microdeletions are the major mutational mechanism among Japanese Sotos syndrome cases identifiable in >50% of affected individuals.^{26,27}

Contribution of other genes to Sotos syndrome

NSD1 abnormalities have not been identified in ~10% of classic Sotos syndrome cases.¹⁰ The phenotype of these cases does not differ from Sotos syndrome cases with *NSD1* abnormalities and it is likely that they are primarily caused by covert *NSD1* mutations that are not detected with current screening techniques. Rare individuals with a clinical diagnosis of Sotos syndrome or Sotos-like syndrome and defects of 11p15 or *GPC3* have been described and other molecular defects should be considered in Sotos syndrome cases without *NSD1* abnormalities.^{18,29} No classic Sotos syndrome case with an abnormality in another gene has been reported.

Genotype–phenotype associations

There is no correlation between mutation position and clinical phenotype and the very broad clinical variability of Sotos syndrome appears independent of genotype as cases with identical mutations often have different clinical features.¹⁰ 5q35 microdeletion cases are significantly more likely to have severe learning disability than cases with intragenic mutations and also tend to have less pronounced overgrowth.¹⁰ This is likely due to the generic effects of microchromosomal defects that are typically associated with learning disability and short stature throughout the genome.³⁰ There is no evidence that deletion of neighbouring genes in 5q35 microdeletion cases has any specific effect on phenotype and all features observed in microdeletion cases have also been reported in individuals with intragenic *NSD1* mutations.^{10,25}

Inheritance of *NSD1* abnormalities

The great majority of individuals with Sotos syndrome do not have a similarly affected relative. In addition, extensive *NSD1* mutation analyses of unaffected parents demonstrated that none carried the mutation present in their child, indicating that Sotos syndrome is a fully penetrant, primarily sporadic disorder.¹⁰

Rare familial Sotos syndrome pedigrees (<10%) with *NSD1* mutations have been reported.^{10,26,31} Such families often harbour missense mutations, although families with truncating mutations are known. The phenotype within families can be variable. The paucity of familial Sotos

pedigrees indicates that the vertical transmission rate of *NSD1* defects is very low. The reasons for this are not entirely clear.

Management

Genetic counselling

Most individuals with Sotos syndrome are the result of *de novo* mutations. No affected siblings of unaffected parents have been reported indicating that the incidence of germline mosaicism must be low. Therefore, the recurrence risk of unaffected parents approximates to the population risk, which is estimated to be ~1:15 000.

Affected individuals should be counselled with an offspring risk of 50% as for other autosomal dominant conditions. The observed vertical transmission rate in Sotos syndrome is clearly lower than this as familial Sotos syndrome is rare, with less than 10% of cases having an affected relative. However, in our limited experience of adult Sotos syndrome cases that have had children, approximately 50% of the offspring have been affected and there is currently no obvious evidence of impaired fertility, increased fetal loss or increased morbidity/mortality in adulthood.

Initial assessment of individuals with Sotos syndrome

At the initial assessment of the individual with an *NSD1* abnormality or a high clinical suspicion of Sotos syndrome, the history and examination should aim to identify known associations/complications of the condition (Figure 4). Of particular note, we recommend that the clinician perform investigations to identify renal or cardiac anomalies that, if undetected, may lead to significant morbidity. Baseline renal investigations should include dipstick urinalysis, blood pressure measurement and renal ultrasound scan, and we also recommend a baseline cardiac echocardiogram together with cardiac auscultation and blood pressure measurement. If abnormalities are detected on these routine investigations referral to the appropriate specialist should be considered.

Surveillance of individuals with Sotos syndrome

The primary role of the clinical geneticist will be to make the diagnosis and perform the initial evaluation and to discuss recurrence and offspring risks. The clinical geneticist may also be valuable in coordination of the various specialities involved in the care of individuals with complex medical problems.

In general, individuals with Sotos syndrome would benefit from annual review. This review could be performed by the family doctor or general paediatrician depending on the age of the individual and how severely affected they are. The review should involve a thorough history, examination including cardiac auscultation, blood pressure measurement, back examination and a dipstick




Figure 4 Suggested approach to the initial evaluation and management of the individuals with Sotos syndrome.

urinalysis. If abnormalities are detected, the appropriate specialist referral(s) should be made.

Tumour screening is not recommended in individuals with Sotos syndrome. Very few individuals with Sotos syndrome develop tumours.¹⁰ The relative risk of neural crest tumours, sacrococcygeal teratomas and possibly some haematological malignancies is increased, but the absolute risk of tumour development in Sotos syndrome is <3%.¹⁰ Moreover, the spectrum of tumour types reported is broad and does not include tumours for which there are validated screening protocols. Of note, extensive review of hundreds of (molecularly confirmed) Sotos syndrome cases demonstrates that Wilms tumour occurs very rarely and thus Wilms tumour screening is not warranted.

Conclusion

Since haploinsufficiency of *NSD1* was shown to cause Sotos syndrome in 2002, the *NSD1* mutational spectrum has been defined, the phenotype of Sotos syndrome clarified and diagnostic and management guidelines developed. However, several questions remain unresolved. The normal functions of *NSD1* are largely unknown and how functional *NSD1* abrogation results in the diverse clinical features of Sotos syndrome is unclear.

From a clinical perspective, the low vertical transmission rate is unexplained and of considerable importance to the many individuals with Sotos syndrome and mild learning disability who may consider having children in the future.

Long-term prospective follow-up of affected individuals will likely be required to elucidate this. Such studies will also be invaluable in clarifying the phenotype and long-term outcome of Sotos syndrome in adults for which limited information is currently available.

References

- 1 Sotos JF, Dodge PR, Muirhead DD, Crawford JD, Talbot NB: A syndrome of excessively rapid growth and acromegalic features and a nonprogressive neurologic disorder. *N Engl J Med* 1964; **271**: 109–116.
- 2 Cole TR, Hughes H: Sotos syndrome: a study of the diagnostic criteria and natural history. *J Med Genet* 1994; **31**: 20–32.
- 3 Kurotaki N, Imaizumi K, Harada N *et al*: Haploinsufficiency of *NSD1* causes Sotos syndrome. *Nat Genet* 2002; **30**: 365–366.
- 4 Douglas J, Hanks S, Temple IK *et al*: *NSD1* mutations are the major cause of Sotos syndrome and occur in some cases of Weaver syndrome but are rare in other overgrowth phenotypes. *Am J Hum Genet* 2003; **72**: 132–143.
- 5 Rio M, Clech L, Amiel J *et al*: Spectrum of *NSD1* mutations in Sotos and Weaver syndromes. *J Med Genet* 2003; **40**: 436–440.
- 6 Turkmen S, Gillissen-Kaesbach G, Meinecke P *et al*: Mutations in *NSD1* are responsible for Sotos syndrome, but are not a frequent finding in other overgrowth phenotypes. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2003; **11**: 858–865.
- 7 Cecconi M, Forzano F, Milani D *et al*: Mutation analysis of the *NSD1* gene in a group of 59 patients with congenital overgrowth. *Am J Med Genet A* 2005; **134**: 247–253.
- 8 Waggoner DJ, Raca G, Welch K *et al*: *NSD1* analysis for Sotos syndrome – insights and perspectives from the clinical laboratory. *Genet Med* 2006; **8**: 379–382.
- 9 Melchior L, Schwartz M, Duno M: dHPLC screening of the *NSD1* gene identifies nine novel mutations-summary of the first 100 Sotos syndrome mutations. *Ann Hum Genet* 2005; **69**: 222–226.

- 10 Tatton-Brown K, Douglas J, Coleman K *et al*: Genotype-phenotype associations in Sotos syndrome: an analysis of 266 individuals with *NSD1* aberrations. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005; **77**: 193–204.
- 11 Schaefer GB, Bodensteiner JB, Buehler BA, Lin A, Cole TR: The neuroimaging findings in Sotos syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 1997; **68**: 462–465.
- 12 Tatton-Brown K, Rahman N: Clinical features of *NSD1*-positive Sotos syndrome. *Clin Dysmorphol* 2004; **13**: 199–204.
- 13 Weaver DD, Graham CB, Thomas IT, Smith DW: A new overgrowth syndrome with accelerated skeletal maturation, unusual facies, and camptodactyly. *J Pediatr* 1974; **84**: 547–552.
- 14 Marsh DJ, Kum JB, Lunetta KL *et al*: PTEN mutation spectrum and genotype-phenotype correlations in Bannayan–Riley–Ruvalcaba syndrome suggest a single entity with Cowden syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 1999; **8**: 1461–1472.
- 15 Bannayan GA: Lipomatosis, angiomatosis, and macrencephalia. A previously undescribed congenital syndrome. *Arch Pathol* 1971; **92**: 1–5.
- 16 Riley Jr HD, Smith WR: Macrocephaly, pseudopapilledema and multiple hemangiomas: a previously undescribed familial syndrome. *Pediatrics* 1960; **26**: 293–300.
- 17 Ruvalcaba RH, Myhre S, Smith DW: Sotos syndrome with intestinal polyposis and pigmentary changes of the genitalia. *Clin Genet* 1980; **18**: 413–416.
- 18 Baujat G, Rio M, Rossignol S *et al*: Paradoxical *NSD1* mutations in Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome and 11p15 anomalies in Sotos syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **74**: 715–720.
- 19 Cole TR, Hughes HE: Autosomal dominant macrocephaly: benign familial macrocephaly or a new syndrome? *Am J Med Genet* 1991; **41**: 115–124.
- 20 Kurotaki N, Harada N, Yoshiura K, Sugano S, Niikawa N, Matsumoto N: Molecular characterization of *NSD1*, a human homologue of the mouse *Nsd1* gene. *Gene* 2001; **279**: 197–204.
- 21 Rayasam GV, Wendling O, Angrand PO *et al*: *NSD1* is essential for early post-implantation development and has a catalytically active SET domain. *EMBO J* 2003; **22**: 3153–3163.
- 22 Huang N, vom BE, Garnier JM *et al*: Two distinct nuclear receptor interaction domains in *NSD1*, a novel SET protein that exhibits characteristics of both corepressors and coactivators. *EMBO J* 1998; **17**: 3398–3412.
- 23 Stec I, Nagl SB, van Ommen GJ, den Dunnen JT: The PWWP domain: a potential protein-protein interaction domain in nuclear proteins influencing differentiation? *FEBS Lett* 2000; **473**: 1–5.
- 24 Douglas J, Tatton-Brown K, Coleman K *et al*: Partial *NSD1* deletions cause 5% of Sotos syndrome and are readily identifiable by multiplex ligation dependent probe amplification. *J Med Genet* 2005; **42**: e56.
- 25 Tatton-Brown K, Douglas J, Coleman K *et al*: Multiple mechanisms are implicated in the generation of 5q35 microdeletions in Sotos Syndrome. *J Med Genet* 2005; **42**: 307–313.
- 26 Kurotaki N, Harada N, Shimokawa O *et al*: Fifty microdeletions among 112 cases of Sotos syndrome: Low copy repeats possibly mediate the common deletion. *Hum Mutat* 2003; **22**: 378–387.
- 27 Visser R, Shimokawa O, Harada N *et al*: Identification of a 3.0-kb major recombination hotspot in patients with Sotos syndrome who carry a common 1.9-Mb microdeletion. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005; **76**: 52–67.
- 28 Lupski JR: Genomic disorders: structural features of the genome can lead to DNA rearrangements and human disease traits. *Trends Genet* 1998; **14**: 417–422.
- 29 Li M, Shuman C, Fei YL *et al*: GPC3 mutation analysis in a spectrum of patients with overgrowth expands the phenotype of Simpson–Golabi–Behmel syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 2001; **102**: 161–168.
- 30 Devriendt K, Vermeesch JR: Chromosomal phenotypes and submicroscopic abnormalities. *Hum Genomics* 2004; **1**: 126–133.
- 31 Hoglund P, Kurotaki N, Kytola S, Miyake N, Somer M, Matsumoto N: Familial Sotos syndrome is caused by a novel 1 bp deletion of the *NSD1* gene. *J Med Genet* 2003; **40**: 51–54.