



Cover design by Karen Moore

Nature Reports Climate Change

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Editor: Olive Heffernan
 Assistant Editor/Copy Editor: Anna Barnett
 Production Editor: Alexandra Hardy
 Art Editor: Karen Moore
 Web Production Editor: Dennis Chu

MANAGEMENT OFFICE

Managing Director: Steven Inchcombe
 Publishing Director: David Swinbanks
 Publisher: Jason Wilde
 Associate Publisher: Emma Green
 Editor-in-Chief, Nature Publications: Philip Campbell
 Marketing Manager: Katy Dunningham
 Managing Production Editor: Donald McDonald
 Senior Production Editor: Derna Simpson
 Senior Copy Editor: Jane Morris
 Advertising Manager, Physical Sciences: Simon Allardice

The Macmillan Building, 4 Crinan St
 London N1 9XW, UK
 Tel: +44 (0) 20 7833 4000
 e-mail: nature@nature.com

VISIT NATURE REPORTS
 CLIMATE CHANGE ONLINE
 World Wide Web
<http://www.nature.com/reports/climatechange>

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND CUSTOMER SERVICES
 For UK/Europe (excluding Japan):
 Nature Publishing Group, Subscriptions, Brunel Road,
 Basingstoke, Hants, RG21 6XS, UK.
 Tel: +44 (0) 1256 329242.
 Subscriptions and customer services for
 Americas – including Canada, Latin America and the
 Caribbean: Nature Publishing Group,
 Subscription Department, PO Box 5161, Brentwood,
 TN 37024-5161.
 Tel: (800) 524 2688 (US) or 615 850 5315
 (outside the US).



nature publishing group

nature REPORTS climate change

the news behind the science, the science behind the news

KING COAL STILL ON THE THRONE

Even the most radical redesigns of the world's energy supply seem unable to sideline coal. Long vilified as the dirtiest of fossil fuels, coal is plentiful, relatively cheap and easily accessible and looks likely to be around for the long haul.

In the past two years alone, China has developed a startling 170 gigawatts of coal-fired power capacity (*Nature* **454**, 388–392; 2008) — more than twice Britain's entire electricity-generating capacity, installed over a century — and has overtaken the United States as the greatest global emitter of greenhouse gases. In India, Mumbai-based Tata Power is putting US\$4 billion into constructing one of the world's largest coal-fired power plants, intended to come online in 2012.

It's not just emerging economies hungry to develop quickly that are counting on coal for energy. Despite sizeable opposition, the UK government looks likely to approve development of the first new British coal-fired power plant for 30 years at Kingsnorth in Kent.

Although the unabated exploitation of coal threatens to nullify efforts to move the global economy into low-carbon mode, politicians are evidently confident that we can have our carbon cake and eat it — by capturing the carbon dioxide and burying it underground. Last month, leaders from the Group of Eight (G8) countries made a long-awaited, but ultimately disappointing, statement on climate change that does little to address escalating emissions but gives the seal of approval to the holy grail of 'clean coal' technology through carbon capture and storage (CCS).

The rich-nation clique gave the nod by supporting the launch of "20 large-scale demonstration projects globally by 2010, taking into account various national circumstances, with a view to beginning broad deployment of CCS by 2020", and pledged \$10 billion annually in government money over the next few years to the task.

On the face of it, this commitment seems encouraging. A 2005 report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change estimated that once developed, CCS could remove up to 90 per cent of emissions from coal-fired plants. But the G8 statement does little more than pay lip service to the notion of controlling climate change. Reading between the lines, the R&D funds pledged by world leaders in Hokkaido, Japan, seem to come from existing research grants rather than being 'new money'. And given that CCS has yet to be proved to work on electricity-generating plants, much is needed in the way of investment.

Measured against the sequestration capabilities of the world's three existing showcase projects, America alone would need 1,500 such plants to store the emissions generated by its electricity industry. Yet its one serious attempt to make CCS operational, in the form of the FutureGen project, was cancelled this year owing to an unexpected hike in costs from \$830 million to \$1.8 billion. And although the European Commission has called for upwards of a dozen commercial coal plants with CCS to be deployed by 2015, how they will be funded is anyone's guess.

At this rate of progress, the goal of broad deployment of CCS on coal plants by 2020 is a vain hope — and with new plants being deployed by the dozen, CCS can't come quickly enough.

OLIVE HEFFERNAN, EDITOR

Published online: 04 August 2008
 doi:10.1038/climate.2008.77

RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS

97 Biodiversity and ecology Only the lonely

Anna Barnett

Energy Fuelling the future

Olive Heffernan

Biodiversity and ecology Migratory mismatch

Anna Armstrong

Cryosphere To melt Greenland

Alicia Newton

98 Atmospheric science A natural detox

Alicia Newton

Extreme events Causing a stir

Anna Armstrong

NEWS FEATURES

99 The missing greenhouse gas

Hannah Hoag

101 Whole-Earth agency proposed

Anna Barnett

COMMENTARY

102 A new kind of scientist

Gavin Schmidt and
 Elisabeth Moyer

BOOKS & ARTS

104 Climate lessons

Eric J. Steig

NEWS & VIEWS

105 Acid test for marine biodiversity

Ulf Riebesell