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ARTICLE TYPE SPECIFICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARTICLE DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ABSTRACT</th>
<th>WORD LIMIT</th>
<th>TABLES/FIGURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articles</td>
<td>Maximum of 300 words</td>
<td>3,500 words maximum excluding abstract, materials &amp; methods, references, figures and tables</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please see ‘Preparation of Articles’ below for further details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full papers should be as comprehensive as possible and are typically 5-10 published pages in length.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>Maximum of 300 words</td>
<td>4,500 words maximum excluding abstract, references, figures and tables</td>
<td>Minimum of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviews should be as comprehensive as possible, and are typically 5 and 10 published pages in length.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>No abstract</td>
<td>1,200 words maximum excluding abstract, references, figures and tables</td>
<td>Maximum of 1, max 12 references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correspondence</td>
<td>No abstract</td>
<td>600 words maximum excluding references, figures, and tables</td>
<td>Maximum of 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREPARATION OF ARTICLES: INSTRUCTIONS

Please note that original articles must contain the following components. Please see below for further details.

- Cover letter
- Title Page (excluding Acknowledgements)
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Results
- Discussion
- Materials (or Subjects) and Methods
- Acknowledgements (including all funding sources)
- Conflict of Interest
- References
- Figure Legends
- Tables
- Figures
- Supplementary Information

Please note that review articles must contain the following components. Please see below for further details.

- Cover letter
- Title page (excluding acknowledgements)
- Abstract
- Bullet Points
- Main Text
- Acknowledgements
- Conflict of Interest
- References
- Figure Legends
- Tables
- Figures

Cover Letter: The uploaded cover letter must state the material is original research, has not been previously published and is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere. If the manuscript has been previously considered for publication in another journal, you may include the previous reviewer comments, to help expedite the decision by the Editorial team.

Detailed Attribution of Authorship: The Author Contribution Form must be completed upon submission and uploaded as Supplementary Material along with the rest of the files. The corresponding author must sign the form on behalf of all authors.

This section will contain two independent pieces of information.

1. The contributions of each author should be listed in general terms, for example, JS designed experiments and helped write the manuscript.
2. The author contributions to each figure should be detailed. For example, In Figure 1, JS generated the data and prepared panel A, NS generated the immune-histochemistry data and labelled the image, JS assembled the figure.

Reproducibility Checklist: As of March 2016, Cell Death Discovery requires authors of original research papers that are sent for external review to include in their manuscripts relevant details about several elements of experimental and analytical design. This initiative aims to improve the transparency of reporting and the reproducibility of published results, focusing on elements of methodological information that are frequently poorly reported. Authors being asked to resubmit a manuscript will be asked to
confirm that these elements are included by filling out a checklist that will be made available to the editor and reviewers.

**Title Page:** This should NOT be included and uploaded in the first submission. The complete title page should only to be included after acceptance or minor revision. The title page should bear the title of the paper, the full names of all the authors and their affiliations, together with the name, full postal address, telephone and e-mail address of the author to whom correspondence and offprint requests are to be sent (this information is also asked for on the electronic submission form).

- The title should be brief, informative, of 150 characters or less.
- The running title should consist of no more than 50 letters and spaces. It should be as brief as possible, convey the essential message of the paper and contain no abbreviations.
- Authors should disclose in the Acknowledgements the sources of funding, grants and/or equipment and drugs.
- If authors regard it as essential to indicate that two or more co-authors are equal in status, they may be identified by an asterisk symbol with the caption 'These authors contributed equally to this work' immediately under the address list.

**Abstract:** Original Articles must be prepared with an abstract that summarises the essential features of the paper in a logical and concise sequence.

**Bullet Points (Reviews only):** The new format of the reviews include (after the Abstract, before the Introduction), a list of "FACTS" (a list of 3 to 5 bullet points highlighting the major queries, debatable facts, that should/could become a major subject of research in the near future). See for example the recent CDD review in the September 2011 issue (Mellino et al. p63 is a suppressor of tumorigenesis and metastasis interacting with mutant p53. Cell Death Differ. 2011 Sep;18(9):1487-99. doi: 10.1038/cdd2011.81).

**Introduction:** The Introduction should assume that the reader is knowledgeable in the field and should therefore be as brief as possible but can include a short historical review where desirable.

**Results and Discussion:** The Results section should present the experimental data in text, tables or figures. Data in tables and figures should not be repeated extensively in the text. The discussion should focus on the interpretation and the significance of the findings with concise objective comments that describe their relation to other work in the area. If there are several models consistent with data, all plausible models should be mentioned. It should not repeat information in the results. The final paragraph should highlight the main conclusion(s), and provide some indication of the direction future research should take.

**Materials/Subjects and Methods:** This section should contain sufficient detail, so that all experimental procedures can be reproduced. Methods, that have been published in detail elsewhere do not have to be repeated, but must be fully referenced. Authors should provide the name of the manufacturer and their location for any specifically named medical equipment and instruments, and all drugs should be identified by their pharmaceutical names, and by their trade name if relevant.

**Acknowledgements:** These should be brief, and should include all sources of support including sponsorship (e.g. university, charity, government, commercial organisation) and sources of material (e.g. novel drugs) not available commercially.

**Conflict of Interest:** Authors must declare whether or not there are any competing financial interests in relation to the work described. This information must be included at submission and will be published as part of the paper. Conflict of interest should also be included in the online submission form. Please see the Conflict of Interest documentation in the Editorial Policy section for detailed information.

**References:** Only papers directly relevant to the article should be cited. References should follow the Vancouver format. In the text they should appear as numbers starting at one and at the end of the paper they should be listed (double-spaced) in numerical order corresponding to the order of citation in the text. Where a reference is to appear next to a number in the text, for example following an equation, chemical formula or biological acronym, citations should be written as (ref. X) and not as superscript. Example. “detectable levels of endogenous Bcl-2 (ref. 3), as confirmed by western blot”

All authors should be listed for papers with up to six authors; for papers with more than six authors, the first six only should be listed, followed by et al. Abbreviations for titles of medical periodicals should conform to those used in the latest edition of Index Medicus. The first and last page numbers for each reference should be provided. Meeting abstracts and correspondence must be identified as such. Papers in press may be included in the list of references.

Personal communications must be allocated a number and included in the list of references in the usual way or simply referred to in the text; the authors may choose which method to use. In either case authors must obtain permission from the individual concerned to quote his/her unpublished work.

Examples:

*Journal article, up to six authors:*

*Journal article, more than six authors:*

*Journal article, e-pub ahead of print:*

*Journal article, in press:*

*Complete book:*
Abstract:

Correspondence:

Figure Legends: These should be brief, specific and appear on a separate manuscript page after the References section. Where data is presented in a statistical format, N should be indicated and the type of error bars defined in the legends. See Editorial Policies.

Tables: It is imperative that any tables used are computer readable, for example presented in Excel. Each must be uploaded as a separate workbook with a title or caption and be clearly labelled, sequentially. Reference to table footnotes should be made by means of Arabic numerals. Tables should consist of at least two columns; columns should always have headings. Each must be uploaded as a separate workbook with a title or caption and be clearly labelled, sequentially. Please make sure each table is cited within the text and in the correct order, e.g., (Table 3).

Figures: Figures and images should be labelled sequentially and cited in the text. Figures should not be embedded within the text but rather uploaded as separate files. Detailed guidelines for submitting artwork can be found by downloading our Artwork Guidelines. Where Western blot data is presented Mw markers must be indicated. Where micrographs are presented scale bars must be used. Guidelines for preparing figures with scientific accuracy and integrity are provided in the section Image Integrity and Standards. The use of three-dimensional histograms is strongly discouraged when the addition of the third dimension gives no extra information.

Graphs, Histograms and Statistics:
- Plotting individual data points is preferred to just showing means, especially when N<10.
- If error bars are shown, they must be described in the figure legend.
- Axes on graphs should extend to zero, except for log axes.
- Statistical analyses (including error bars and P values) should only be shown for independently repeated experiments, and must not be shown for replicates of a single experiment.
- The number of times an experiment was repeated (N) must be stated in the legend.

Supplementary Information: Supplementary information (SI) is peer-reviewed material directly relevant to the conclusion of an article that cannot be included in the printed version owing to space or format constraints. The article must be complete and self-explanatory without the SI, which is posted on the journal’s website and linked to the article. SI may consist of data files, graphics, movies or extensive tables. Please see our Artwork Guidelines for information on accepted file types.

Authors should submit supplementary information files in the FINAL format as they are not edited, typeset or changed, and will appear online exactly as submitted. When submitting SI, authors are required to:
- Include a text summary (no more than 50 words) to describe the contents of each file.
- Identify the types of files (file formats) submitted.
- Include the text “Supplementary information is available at (journal name)’s website” at the end of the article and before the references.
- Where gels were presented in the main figures, uncropped gels indicating how the figure was prepared should be included.

Availability of Data and Materials: Please see our Editorial Policies for information regarding data, protocols, sequences, or structures.

Subject Ontology: Choosing the most relevant and specific subject terms from our subject ontology will ensure that your article will be more discoverable and will appear on appropriate subject specific pages on nature.com, in addition to the journal’s own pages. Your article should be indexed with at least one, and up to four unique subject terms that describe the key subjects and concepts in your manuscript. Click here for help with this.

House Style
- Text should be double spaced with a wide margin.
- All pages and lines are to be numbered. To add page numbers in MS Word, go to Insert then Page Numbers. To add line numbers go to File, Page Setup, then click the Layout tab. In the Apply to box, select Whole document, click Line Numbers then select the Add line numbering check box, followed by Continuous.
- Do not make rules thinner than 1pt (0.36mm).
- Use a coarse hatching pattern rather than shading for tints in graphs.
- Colour should be distinct when being used as an identifying tool.
- Spaces, not commas should be used to separate thousands.
- At first mention of a manufacturer, the town (and state if USA) and country should be provided.
- Statistical methods: N must be stated. Where N<10 individual data values should be plotted. If summary statistics (e.g. SD, SEM, CI) are used, their nature must be described. Relative risks should be expressed as odds ratios with 95% confidence interval. To compare two methods for measuring a variable the method of Bland & Altman (1986, Lancet 1, 307–310) should be used; for this, calculation of P only is not appropriate.
- Units: Use metric units (SI units) as fully as possible. Preferably give measurements of energy in kilojoules or Megajoules with kilocalories in parentheses (1 kcal = 4.186kJ). Use % throughout.
-Abbreviations: On first using an abbreviation place it in parentheses after the full name. Note these abbreviations: gram g; litre l; milligram mg; kilogram kg; kilojoule kJ.
megajoule MJ; weight wt; seconds s; minutes min; hours h. Do not add s for plural units.

PREPARATION OF ARTICLES: ADVICE

English Language Support
For editors and reviewers to accurately assess the work presented in your manuscript you need to ensure the English language is of sufficient quality to be understood. If you need help with writing in English you should consider:

- Asking a colleague who is a native English speaker to review your manuscript for clarity.
- Visiting the English language tutorial which covers the common mistakes when writing in English.
- Using a professional language editing service where editors will improve the English to ensure that your meaning is clear and identify problems that require your review. Two such services are provided by our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service and American Journal Experts.

Please note that the use of a language editing service is not a requirement for publication in this journal and does not imply or guarantee that the article will be selected for peer review or accepted.

If your manuscript is accepted it will be checked by our copyeditors for spelling and formal style before publication.

HOW TO SUBMIT

Pre-submission Enquiries
Pre-submission enquiries should be submitted via the online submission system. All other pre-submission enquiries should be directed to the editorial office:
Email: CDDiscovery@uniroma2.it

Online Submission
We only accept manuscript submission via our online manuscript submission system. Before submitting a manuscript, authors are encouraged to consult both our Editorial Policies and the Submission Instructions for our online manuscript submission system. If you have not already done so, please register for an account with our online manuscript system. You will be able to monitor the status of your manuscript online throughout the editorial process. By submitting a manuscript authors acknowledge that the editors may request copies of original data before or after publication and reserve the right to retract papers if such data cannot be provided. Should evidence of image manipulation become apparent during the review process, the Journals’ Editorial Policy is to notify all authors and also the host institute(s) in accordance with the COPE guidelines.

Submission of Revisions
Authors submitting a revised manuscript after review are asked to include the following:
(1) A rebuttal letter, indicating point-by-point how you have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers. If you disagree with any of the points raised, please provide adequate justification in your letter.
(2) A marked-up version of the manuscript that highlights changes made in response to the reviewers' comments in order to aid the Editors and reviewers.
(3) A ‘clean’ (non-highlighted) version of the manuscript. If the paper has been accepted or the previous decision was minor revision this should also include the complete Title Page (see page 2 for more details).

POST-ACCEPTANCE: Open access article processing charges (APCs) & License to Publish (LTP)

Cell Death Discovery is an open access journal: authors pay an article processing charge (APC) for their accepted articles to be open access online and freely accessible, immediately upon publication, under a Creative Commons license.

Visit our open research site for further information about licenses, APCs, and our free OA funding support service:
- About Creative Commons licensing
- Creative Commons license options and article processing charges (APCs) for Cell Death & Discovery
- APC payment FAQs
- Help in identifying funding for APCs
- APC waiver policy
- Compliance with funding body requirements

Once a manuscript is accepted the corresponding author must complete an Article Processing Charge (APC) payment form and an open access License to Publish (LTP) form on behalf of all authors, and return these to the editorial office. Forms will be provided upon acceptance of the article. Failure to promptly return forms will result in delay of publication.

Government employees from the United States and UK are required to sign and submit the relevant government open access license to publish form.

Please note with regards to payment that usual credit terms are 30 days from receipt of invoice. Failure to pay your invoice within the stated credit term may result in such penalties as restrictions on your ability to publish with Nature Publishing Group or Cell Death Discovery in the future, involvement of a third Party debt collection agency and legal proceedings.

Manuscript deposition and self-archiving
To facilitate self-archiving NPJ deposits open access articles in PubMed Central and Europe PubMed Central on publication.
Authors are also permitted to post the final, published PDF of their article on a website, institutional repository or other free public server, immediately on publication. Learn more about self-archiving and deposition of papers published OA.

Proofs
The corresponding author will receive an e-mail containing a URL linking to the proofing site. Proof corrections must be returned within 48 hours of receipt. Failure to do so may result in delayed publication. Extensive corrections cannot be made at this stage.

COSTS

Cell Death Discovery levies the following Article-Processing Charge (APC) per article accepted for publication. A payment form will need to be completed and returned alongside the License to Publish form. Failure to promptly return these forms will result in delay of publication. With regards to payment, usual credit terms are 30 days from receipt of invoice. Failure to pay your invoice within the stated credit term may result in restrictions on your ability to publish with Nature Publishing Group or Cell Death Discovery in the future, involvement of a third party debt collection agency and legal proceedings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UK &amp; RoW</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC BY</td>
<td>£1,100</td>
<td>$1,850</td>
<td>€1,350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(VAT or local taxes will be added where applicable)*

Open access funding
Visit Nature Publishing Group's open access funding page for information about research funders and institutions that provide funding for open access.

NPG also offers an APC support service to make it easier for NPG authors to discover and apply for open access funding. For advice on what funding is available to you and help in approaching funders and institutions, please contact us at apcwaivers@springernature.com.

For more information about NPG’s open access publishing options and policies, please see our open access homepage.

EDITORIAL POLICIES

Duplicate Publication
Papers must be original and not published or submitted for publication elsewhere. This rule also applies to non-English language publications. NPG allows and encourages prior publication on recognized community preprint servers for review by other scientists before formal submission to a journal. The details of the preprint server concerned and any accession numbers should be included in the cover letter accompanying manuscript submission. This policy does not extend to preprints available to the media or that are otherwise publicized outside the scientific community before or during the submission and consideration process.

Permissions
If a table or figure has been published before, the authors must obtain written permission to reproduce the material in both print and electronic formats from the copyright owner and submit it with the manuscript. This follows for quotes, illustrations and other materials taken from previously published works not in the public domain. The original source should be cited in the figure caption or table footnote.

Clinical Trials
As defined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), a clinical trial is any research project that prospectively assigns human subjects to intervention and comparison groups to study the cause-and-effect relationship between a medical intervention and a health outcome. A medical intervention is any intervention used to modify a health outcome and includes but is not limited to drugs, surgical procedures, devices, behavioural treatments, and process-of-care changes. A trial must have at least one prospectively assigned concurrent control or comparison group in order to trigger the requirement for registration. Nonrandomized trials are not exempt from the registration requirement if they meet the above criteria.

When reporting experiments on human subjects, please indicate whether the procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional or regional) or with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (as revised in 1983). Include Institutional Review Board or Animal Care and Use Committee approvals.

All clinical trials must be registered in a public registry prior to submission. The journal follows the trials registration policy of the ICMJE (www.icmje.org) and considers only trials that have been appropriately registered before submission, regardless of when the trial closed to enrolment. Acceptable registries must meet the following ICMJE requirements:

- be publicly available, searchable, and open to all prospective registrants
- have a validation mechanism for registration data
• be managed by a not-for-profit organization

Examples of registries that meet these criteria include:

1) the registry sponsored by the United States National Library of Medicine (www.clinicaltrials.gov);
2) the International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number Registry (www.controlled-trials.com);
3) the Cochrane Renal Group Registry (www.cochrane-renal.org);
4) and the European Clinical Trials Database (https://eudract.ema.europa.eu/).

The trial registry number for eligible papers will be collected during the submission process.

Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) must adhere to the CONSORT statement, (CONsolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials) and submissions must be accompanied by a completed CONSORT checklist (uploaded as a related manuscript file). Further information can be found at www.consort-statement.org.

Nature Publishing Group endorses the toolkits and guidelines produced by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): http://publicationethics.org/

Conflict of Interest

In the interests of transparency and to help readers form their own judgments of potential bias, authors must declare whether or not there are any competing financial interests in relation to the work described. This information must be included in their cover letter and on the title page of their manuscript. In cases where the authors declare a competing financial interest, a statement to that effect is published as part of the article. If no such conflict exists, the statement will simply read that the authors have nothing to disclose.

For the purposes of this statement, competing interests are defined as those of a financial nature that, through their potential influence on behaviour or content, or from perception of such potential influences, could undermine the objectivity, integrity or perceived value of a publication. They can include any of the following:

• Funding: Research support (including salaries, equipment, supplies, reimbursement for attending symposia, and other expenses) by organizations that may gain or lose financially through this publication. The role of the funding body in the design of the study, collection and analysis of data and decision to publish should be stated.
• Employment: Recent (while engaged in the research project), present or anticipated employment by any organization that may gain or lose financially through this publication.
• Personal financial interests: Stocks or shares in companies that may gain or lose financially through publication; consultation fees or other forms of remuneration from organizations that may gain or lose financially; patents or patent applications whose value may be affected by publication.

It is difficult to specify a threshold at which a financial interest becomes significant, but note that many US universities require faculty members to disclose interests exceeding $10,000 or 5% equity in a company. Any such figure is arbitrary, so we offer as one possible practical alternative guideline: "Declare all interests that could embarrass you were they to become publicly known after your work was published." We do not consider diversified mutual funds or investment trusts to constitute a competing financial interest.

The statement must contain an explicit and unambiguous statement describing any potential conflict of interest, or lack thereof, for any of the authors as it relates to the subject of the report. Examples include “Dr. Smith receives compensation as a consultant for XYZ Company,” “Dr. Jones and Dr. Smith have financial holdings in ABC Company,” or “Dr. Jones owns a patent on the diagnostic device described in this report.” These statements acknowledging or denying conflicts of interest must be included in the manuscript under the heading Conflict of Interest. The Conflict of Interest disclosure appears in the cover letter, in the manuscript submission process and before the References section in the manuscript.

Following the Conflict of Interest heading, there must be a listing for each author, detailing the professional services relevant to the submission. Neither the precise amount received from each entity nor the aggregate income from these sources needs to be provided. Professional services include any activities for which the individual is, has been, or will be compensated with cash, royalties, fees, stock or stock options in exchange for work performed, advice or counsel provided, or for other services related to the author’s professional knowledge and skills. This would include, but not necessarily be limited to, the identification of organizations from which the author received contracts or in which he or she holds an equity stake if professional services were provided in conjunction with the transaction.

Examples of declarations are:

• Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.
• Conflict of interest. Dr Caron’s work has been funded by the NIH. He has received compensation as a member of the scientific advisory board of Acadia Pharmaceutical and owns stock in the company. He also has consulted for Lundbeck and received compensation. Dr Rothman and Dr Jensen declare no potential conflict of interest.

Authorship

Requirements for all categories of articles should conform to the “Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals,” developed by the ICMJE (www.icmje.org).

Each author must have contributed substantially to the intellectual content of the submission. The corresponding author should list all authors and their contributions to the work. Any changes to the author list after submission, such as a change in the order of the authors, or the deletion or addition of authors, must be approved by a signed letter from every author. The corresponding author must confirm that he or she has had full access to the data in the study and final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. To qualify as a contributing author, one must meet all of the following criteria:

1) Conceived and/or designed the work that led to the submission, acquired data, and/or played an important role in interpreting the results.
2) Drafted or revised the manuscript.
Contributions by individuals who made direct contributions to the work but do not meet all of the above criteria must be noted in the Acknowledgments section of the manuscript. Medical writers and industry employees can be contributors. Their roles, affiliations, and potential conflicts of interest must be included in the author list or noted in the Acknowledgments and/or Contributors section concurrent with their contribution to the work submitted. Signed statements from any medical writers or editors declaring that they have given permission to be named as an author, as a contributor, or in the Acknowledgments section is also required. Failure to acknowledge these contributors is counter to the journal’s editorial policy.

Correspondence with the Journal

One author is designated the contact author for matters arising from the manuscript (materials requests, technical comments and so on). It is this author’s responsibility to inform all co-authors of matters arising and to ensure such matters are dealt with promptly. Before submission, the corresponding author ensures that all authors are included in the author list, its order agreed upon by all authors, and are aware that the manuscript was submitted. After acceptance for publication, proofs are e-mailed to this corresponding author who should circulate the proof to all co-authors and coordinate corrections among them.

Plagiarism and Fabrication

CrossCheck is a multi-publisher initiative to screen published and submitted content for originality. NPG Journals use CrossCheck to detect instances of overlapping and similar text in submitted manuscripts. To find out more about CrossCheck visit www.crossref.org/crosscheck.html.

Plagiarism is when an author attempts to pass off someone else’s work as his or her own. Duplicate publication, sometimes called self-plagiarism, occurs when an author reuses substantial parts of his or her own published work without providing the appropriate references. Minor plagiarism without dishonest intent is relatively frequent, for example, when an author reuses parts of an introduction from an earlier paper.

If plagiarism is found, the journal will contact the author's institute and funding agencies. The paper containing the plagiarism will be marked on each page of the PDF and depending on the extent of the plagiarism, a published article may also be formally retracted.

Image Integrity and Standards

Images submitted with a manuscript for review should be minimally processed (for instance, to add arrows to a micrograph). Authors should retain their unprocessed data and metadata files, as editors may request them to aid in manuscript evaluation. If unprocessed data is unavailable, manuscript evaluation may be stalled until the issue is resolved. Original data (e.g. gels, blots, microscopy) must be kept for three years after publication and shown, upon request to the Editorial Office, pending the possibility of an automatic Editorial Retraction.

A certain degree of image processing is acceptable for publication, but the final image must correctly represent the original data and conform to community standards. The guidelines below will aid in accurate data presentation at the image processing level:

- Authors should list all image acquisition tools and image processing software packages used. Authors should document key image-gathering settings and processing manipulations in the Methods section.
- Images gathered at different times or from different locations should not be combined into a single image, unless it is stated that the resultant image is a product of time-averaged data or a time-lapse sequence. If juxtaposing images is essential, the borders should be clearly demarcated in the figure and described in the legend.
- Touch-up tools, such as cloning and healing tools in Photoshop, or any feature that deliberately obscures manipulations, must not be used.
- Processing (such as changing brightness and contrast) is appropriate only when it is applied equally across the entire image and is applied equally to controls. Contrast should not be adjusted so that data, or the background, disappear. Excessive manipulations, such as processing to emphasize one region in the image at the expense of others (for example, through the use of a biased choice of threshold settings), is unacceptable, as is emphasizing experimental data relative to the control.

For gels and blots, positive and negative controls, as well as molecular size markers, should be included on each gel and blot – either in the main figure or an expanded data supplementary figure. The display of cropped gels and blots in the main paper is discouraged. If cropping is necessary to improve the clarity or conciseness of the presentation, it should be done after the manuscript has been seen by reviewers, and in consultation with the editor. In such cases, the cropping must be mentioned in the figure legend.

- Vertically sliced gels that juxtapose lanes that were not contiguous in the experiment must have a clear separation or a black line delineating the boundary between the gels.
- Cropped gels must retain all bands. Irrelevant or cross reactive bands can be indicated as such by using an asterisk and describing them in the legend.
- High-contrast gels and blots are discouraged, as overexposure may mask additional bands. Authors should strive for exposures with grey backgrounds. Artificial (computer generated) backgrounds must not be added. Immunoblots should be surrounded by a black line to indicate the borders of the blot, if the background is faint. Such borders must appear at all places when the images were truncated or cropped.
- For quantitative comparisons, appropriate reagents, controls and imaging methods with linear signal ranges should be used.
- The resolution must be a minimum of 300dpi for the original film, blot or capture, and it must be maintained during figure assembly. As a guideline, no squares or blocks indicative of jpeg compression should be visible when the final version is shown at 200% printed size.

Microscopy adjustments should be applied to the entire image. Threshold manipulation, expansion or contraction of signal ranges and the altering of high signals should be avoided. If ‘pseudo-colouring’ and nonlinear adjustment (for example ‘gamma changes’) are used, this must be disclosed. Adjustments of individual colour channels are sometimes necessary on ‘merged’ images, but this should be noted in the figure legend. We encourage...
inclusion of the following with the final revised version of the manuscript for publication:

- In the Methods section, specify the type of equipment (microscopes/objective lenses, cameras, detectors, filter model and batch number) and acquisition software used. Although we appreciate that there is some variation between instruments, equipment settings for critical measurements should also be listed.
- The display lookup table (LUT) and the quantitative map between the LUT and the bitmap should be provided, especially when rainbow pseudo-colour is used. It should be stated if the LUT is linear and covers the full range of the data.
- Processing software should be named and manipulations indicated (such as type of deconvolution, three-dimensional reconstructions, surface and volume rendering, ‘gamma changes’, filtering, thresholding and projection).
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