SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 12 July 2016 Accepted: 13 January 2017 Published: 03 March 2017

Higher-order power harmonics of pulsed electrical stimulation modulates corticospinal contribution of peripheral nerve stimulation

Chiun-Fan Chen^{1,2}, Marom Bikson³, Li-Wei Chou⁴, Chunlei Shan^{1,5}, Niranjan Khadka³, Wen-Shiang Chen⁶ & Felipe Fregni¹

It is well established that electrical-stimulation frequency is crucial to determining the scale of induced neuromodulation, particularly when attempting to modulate corticospinal excitability. However, the modulatory effects of stimulation frequency are not only determined by its absolute value but also by other parameters such as power at harmonics. The stimulus pulse shape further influences parameters such as excitation threshold and fiber selectivity. The explicit role of the power in these harmonics in determining the outcome of stimulation has not previously been analyzed. In this study, we adopted an animal model of peripheral electrical stimulation that includes an amplitude-adapted pulse train which induces force enhancements with a corticospinal contribution. We report that the electrical-stimulationinduced force enhancements were correlated with the amplitude of stimulation power harmonics during the amplitude-adapted pulse train. In an exploratory analysis, different levels of correlation were observed between force enhancement and power harmonics of 20–80 Hz (r = 0.4247, p = 0.0243), 100–180 Hz (r = 0.5894, p = 0.0001), 200–280 Hz (r = 0.7002, p < 0.0001), 300–380 Hz (r = 0.7449, p < 0.0001, 400–480 Hz (r = 0.7906, p < 0.0001), 500–600 Hz (r = 0.7717, p < 0.0001), indicating a trend of increasing correlation, specifically at higher order frequency power harmonics. This is a pilot, but important first demonstration that power at high order harmonics in the frequency spectrum of electrical stimulation pulses may contribute to neuromodulation, thus warrant explicit attention in therapy design and analysis.

Peripheral nerve stimulation is broadly applied in clinical research to investigate sensory or motor function and to try to accelerate recovery in neurological conditions and disorders^{1–6}. Stimulation applications include the activation of denervated muscles⁷ and modulation of corticospinal functions⁸. The efficacy of stimulation is determined by waveform parameters that include stimulation pulse frequency, intensity, and duration^{9,10}. Taken together, stimulation parameters determine a power spectrum in the frequency domain, but the power spectrum is conventionally considered secondary in design and interpretation. Here, we aim to explicitly correlate the power at different frequency bandwidths (e.g. power harmonics) with activated force profiles. We adopt a previously validated animal model of peripheral nerve stimulation with an established central nervous system (CNS) contribution¹¹⁻¹⁴.

¹Spaulding Neuromodulation Center, Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital and Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ²Engineering Science, Loyola University Chicago, IL, USA. ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, The City College of the City University of New York, NY, USA. ⁴Department of Physical Therapy and Assistive Technologies, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁵School of Rehabilitation Science, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China. ⁶Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Taiwan University College of Medicine and National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.F. (email: Fregni.Felipe@mgh.harvard.edu)





Functional electrical stimulation uses pulse train waveforms (as opposed to sinusoidal or direct current^{15,16}). Investigation of stimulation waveform optimization confirms that stimulation pulse frequency is central by setting action potential response rates^{13,17–19}; with other parameters such as pulse duration and shape (e.g. charge balanced, biphasic) influencing activation threshold and safety²⁰. Notwithstanding a role for pulse frequency - such as high or low stimulation frequency induced different levels of pain reduction or force enhancement - we posit that the power at higher harmonic frequencies influences neuromodulation. Therefore, instead of considering only the stimulation frequency of the individual stimulation pulses, we analyzed the power spectrum of the train of stimulation pulses, with special attention to power at the harmonic frequencies (integer multiples of the fundamental frequency²¹).

Our specific hypothesis is that during a session of ongoing pulsed electrical stimulation, we expect to observe correlation between power at high order harmonics of the stimulation waveform and neurophysiological responses acquired during the stimulation. We adapted an established animal model of peripheral nerve stimulation that induced corticospinal modulation which appeared to show discernable pain reduction or muscle force enhancement during electrical stimulation^{13,14,22,23}.

Specifically, to test whether harmonics of a stimulation burst have a direct effect on corticospinal modulation, we evaluated the muscle force enhancement induced by a specific stimulation train which comprised a burst of higher stimulation intensity. This animal model was based on several earlier studies^{13,14}, in which additional force, supposedly originated from a central mechanism, was observed when the specialized stimulation train was applied transcutaneously to intact neural pathways. In those studies, the additional force diminished when neural pathways proximal to the stimulation site were blocked, indicating that afferent (sensory) nerve fibers played a major role in generating additional force (i.e., the extent of corticospinal contribution). A correlation between the extent of corticospinal contribution and amplitude at power harmonics of the stimulation train would thus illustrate the concept behind our novel hypothesis.

Methods

Animal Subjects. Eight male New Zealand White rabbits (14–22 months old, weighing 3.0–4.0 kg) were anesthetized with isoflurane (AERRANE, Baxter, Deerfield, IL) at regulated concentrations during the experiments. Up to 5% of isoflurane was provided during induction, approximately 1% during the initial process, followed by an increase of around 3% if the rabbit showed any sign of regaining consciousness. The rabbits under anesthesia were determined to have reached the desired level of unconsciousness once they ceased to have a voluntary reflex response to foot pinch. Surgical drapes were wrapped around the rabbit to maintain physiological temperature. We did not use preanesthetic agents prior to stimulating the target muscle in order to avoid adverse effects associated with their application. Experiments were conducted in accordance with Institution Guidelines and were approved under the Affidavit of Approval of Animal Use Protocol, College of Medicine and College of Public Health, National Taiwan University.

Experimental Setup. Anesthetized rabbits were constrained in a seated position with Velcro straps on a custom-made base as demonstrated in an earlier study²⁴. Stimulation induced muscle force was acquired from a



Figure 2. Frequency spectrums were acquired from the time interval between 2s and 4s of the CTRL and INT stimulation trains for harmonic analysis.

.

force transducer (RX-10, AIKOH Engineering, Osaka, Japan) that was clamped to the custom-made base. Force signals were sampled at 400 Hz by the force transducer and acquired by a data acquisition device (USB-6008, National Instruments, Austin, TX). Data were stored and processed using LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX). Electrical stimulation was applied on prepared skin (fur shave and application of depilatories) by positioning the cathode and anode electrodes over the skin surface closest to the femoral nerve and the quadriceps of the left hind limb respectively. A 1.5×1.5 -cm disposable self-adhesive and reusable disk-shaped (diameter = 5.5 cm) flexible electrode were used as the cathode and anode. The precise nerve stimulation site for cathode (i.e. location closest to the femoral nerve) is determined by referring to a dissection manual²⁵ and using a dissected rabbit hind limb from another study. Twitch stimulations were performed at least 24 hours prior to the actual experiments on each rabbit to pinpoint the exact site of stimulation. The stimulation site is then marked with a tattoo to ensure accurate positioning of the adhesive electrode during the actual experiments. Electrodes were positioned with adequate care to avoid inadvertent stimulation of the sciatic nerve. Stimulation and pulse patterns were transmitted from waveform generators (33220A and 33210A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to a custom-made current-controlled power amplifier. Cathode-first charge-balanced biphasic pulses were administered to each rabbit in order to achieve efficacious action while minimizing tissue damage²⁰. Electric pulses with a predetermined current intensity and waveform were applied in these experiments to generate force profiles that would result in better resolution of force readings. Since we were unable to specify the maximum voluntary contraction of a rabbit, we applied electric stimulations with current intensity that would evoke approximately 10 N of force, which is a fairly large sub-maximal force for a rabbit quadriceps to generate²⁶. By applying burst stimulations to the rabbits at a frequency of 20 Hz using the electrical stimulation setup with a current intensity of 22 mA (determined by increasing the current intensity stepwise from 10 mA to 30 mA), which would induce approximately10 N of force was applied as the reference current intensity. Voltage and current readings of the electrodes were monitored with an oscilloscope (DPO2014, Tektronix, Beaverton, OR) and an instrumentation amplifier (INA128, Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX). The oscilloscope (Tektronix firmware 1.25) was also utilized to generate frequency spectrum in real time (sampling rate: 1 GS/s).

Experimental Procedure and Data Analysis. In this study, two different types of intensity modulated stimulation trains of identical duration (7 s) comprising of biphasic electrical stimulation pulses

Force profile



Figure 3. Force profiles corresponding to CTRL and INT stimulation trains of a single animal. In CTRL trains, force at 6 s (F_{6s}) (13.36 ± 1.55N) was significantly greater (p = 0.0089) than that at 1 s (F_{1s}) (12.11 ± 1.52N). In INT trains, F_{6s} (12.20 ± 1.76) was also significantly greater (p = 0.0035) than F_{1s} (9.37 ± 1.26). The normalized additional force is defined as $\Delta F\% = (F_{6s} - F_{1s})/F_{1s}\%$ and comparison of normalized additional force ($\Delta F\%$) induced by the CTRL and INT trains indicate that $\Delta F\%$ corresponding to INT trains (29.03 ± 7.39%) were significantly greater (p = 0.0107) than that corresponding to CTRL trains (8.31 ± 3.01%). *Indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05).

(cathodic-delay-anodic: 250-62.5-250 µs) were applied at the same stimulation frequency (20 Hz) to each rabbit. As illustrated in the upper panels of Fig. 1, the first type of train is a control stimulation (CTRL) of reference intensity while the second type of train is an intensity-modulated stimulation (INT) that includes a burst of increased intensity between the 2 s and 4 s. The lower panels of Fig. 1 demonstrate the force profiles induced by CTRL and INT stimulation trains before and after blocking corticospinal contribution by injecting 6 ml of 20 mg/ml lidocaine and 0.06 ml of 1 mg/ml adrenaline proximal (region adjacent to the left L5-6 spinous processes) to the electrical stimulation site. The results were a replication of an earlier study¹⁴, demonstrating corticospinal contribution of peripheral nerve stimulation as the significant difference between the additional force acquired before and after nerve blocks ($\Delta F_{\rm CTRL} > \Delta F_{\rm CTRL_blocked}$ and $\Delta F_{\rm INT} > \Delta F_{\rm INT_blocked}$). The more additional force during the presence of increased intensity between 2 s and 4 s in unblocked/intact conditions ($\Delta F_{\rm INT} > \Delta F_{\rm CTRL}$) suggested that a transient increase in stimulation intensity could modulate the amount of corticospinal contribution.

The objective of this study is to determine the correlation between the amount of corticospinal contribution and the power at the harmonics. We acquired the force profiles of the stimulation (lower panels of Fig. 1) and frequency spectrums from harmonic analysis between 2 s and 4 s of the stimulation trains (Fig. 2). Readings of the measured force from transducers were analyzed over 400 ms windows centered at 1 s and 6 s of each stimulation train. The amount of corticospinal contribution is determined by the normalized additional force ($\Delta F\%$), which is defined as "difference between the absolute force at 6 s (F_{6s}) and the absolute force at 1 s (F_{1s}), divided by the absolute force at 1 s (F_{1s}) for normalization and expressed in percentage format ($\Delta F\% = \frac{F_{6s} - F_{1s}}{F_{1s}}\%$). Amplitude at harmonics of the stimulation frequency were extracted from frequency spectrums acquired spontaneously from the oscilloscope, wherein a Hanning Window was applied for better resolution with respect to frequency and magnitude²⁷. The amount of corticospinal contribution (normalized additional force, $\Delta F\%$) and amplitude at the harmonics were correlated in terms of INT/CTRL for normalization (i.e., $\frac{\Delta F_{\rm INT}\%}{\Delta F_{\rm CTRL}}$, where CTRL is considered the baseline of INT) to derive Pearson's correlation coefficient (r). Paired t-tests were applied to the force profiles. Statistics were performed using Stata (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and p < 0.05 after Bonferroni Correction indicates a level of statistical significance. All data were expressed as mean ± SE.

Harmonic analysis



Results

Force Profiles and Corresponding Frequency Spectrums. The force profiles (Fig. 3) of a rabbit and corresponding frequency spectrums of harmonic analysis (Fig. 4) demonstrate the variation of force and harmonics corresponding to CTRL and INT stimulation trains. In both CTRL and INT stimulation trains, F_{6s} was significantly greater than F_{1s} . Hence, additional force (difference of the force at 1 s and 6 s indicated as ΔF) was observed in both CTRL and INT, wherein the stimulation intensity applied between 2 s and 4 s during the duration of the stimulation trains should be accounted for the additional extent of ΔF . The harmonics can be observed at integer multiples of the stimulation frequency (20 Hz) and is expressed in decibel units (dBV) to evaluate a wider range of different amplitudes. The bandwidth of frequency spectrums acquired were approximately 650 Hz, which covered the selective activation of certain afferent fibers that can be triggered either electrically^{28,29} or mechanically³⁰ by sinusoidal signals.

Correlating Additional Force (Corticospinal Contribution) with Power Harmonics. The $\Delta F\%$ corresponding to INT (Fig. 4A) stimulation trains (29.03 \pm 7.39%) were significantly greater (p = 0.0107) than that corresponding to CTRL trains (8.31 \pm 3.01%) under paired-t tests. The dependent variables were continuous while the independent variables were matched pairs. By applying the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality, the results suggests that the data are approximately normally distributed ($P_{INT} = 0.32549$, $P_{CTRL} = 0.12858$; i.e., both failed to reject the null hypothesis that data is normally distributed). Based on an exploratory analysis of correlation between INT/CTRL ratios of $\Delta F\% \left(\frac{\Delta F_{INT}\%}{\Delta F_{CTRL}\%}\right)$ and harmonic amplitude $\left(dB\frac{V_{INT}}{V_{CTRL}}\right)$ at the bandwidths of 20–80 Hz, 100–180 Hz, 200–280 Hz, 300–380 Hz, 400–480 Hz, and 500–600 Hz (Table 1), the $\frac{\Delta F_{INT}\%}{\Delta F_{CTRL}\%}\Delta$ and $dB\frac{V_{INT}}{V_{CTRL}}$ were more correlated at higher order harmonics (Table 2).

Samples (n = 240) of the INT/CTRL ratios $\left(\frac{\Delta F_{\text{INT}}}{\Delta F_{\text{CTRL}}}\right)$ vs. dB $\frac{V_{\text{INT}}}{V_{\text{CTRL}}}$) at the stimulation frequency and harmonics (20–600 Hz) were plotted to fit into a 3-D surface (Fig. 5) derived from a regression model with SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). All coefficients of the regression model were significant (r^2 =0.4224, p < 0.0001). Line 1 indicates that higher correlation occurs at higher frequency whereas line 2 indicates lower correlation at lower frequency.

Discussion

In previous studies that attempted to induce force enhancement by peripheral nerve stimulation, ΔF % was observed only when the central nervous system was substantially communicating with the distal side of the extremity being stimulated^{13,14}. It has been indicated that greater burst intensity, despite only occurring temporarily, resulted in an overall greater ΔF %¹⁴, which is consistent with the findings of this study. Based on the prior results, the increasing correlation between ΔF % and amplitude at high order harmonics indicate that the high order harmonics were probably the main factor that modulated the observed ΔF %. The significantly greater



Figure 5. Samples (n = 240) of the INT/CTRL ratios at the stimulation frequency and harmonics (20-600 Hz) were fitted into a 3-D surface derived from a regression model. All coefficients of the regression model were significant (p < 0.0001). The colors correspond to the $\Delta F_{\text{INT}}\%/\Delta F_{\text{CTRL}}\%$ axis. Line 1 indicates that higher correlation occurs at higher frequency whereas line 2 indicates that lower correlation occurs at lower frequency.

	Correlation Coefficient (r)		
20-80 Hz	$r = 0.4247^{a}$	$(p=0.0243, n=28)^a$	
100-180 Hz	r = 0.5894	(p = 0.0001, n = 40)	
200-280 Hz	r = 0.7002	(p < 0.0001, n = 40)	
300-380 Hz	r=0.7449	(p < 0.0001, n = 40)	
400-480 Hz	r = 0.7906	(p < 0.0001, n = 40)	
500-600 Hz	r=0.7717	(p < 0.0001, n = 48)	

Table 1. The correlation coefficient (*r*) of INT/CTRL ratios of normalized additional force ΔF % (ΔF_{INT} %/ ΔF_{CTRL} %) and harmonic amplitude (dB V_{INT}/V_{CTRL}) in different frequency bands. ^aOutliers have been removed based on postestimation diagnostic⁵³. Sample points that fall in the region of X + Y > 0.06 (Y: Leverage, X: Normalized residual squared) were considered as outliers. Before removing outliers, r = 0.2594 (p = 0.1516, n = 32) in the 20–80 Hz frequency range.

	z score and p value		
20–80 Hz vs. 100–180 Hz	z = -0.863	p=0.1942	
20–80 Hz vs. 200–280 Hz	z = -1.600	p=0.0548	
20-80 Hz vs. 300-380 Hz	z = -1.962	p=0.0249	
20–80 Hz vs. 400–480 Hz	z = -2.393	p=0.0084	
20-80 Hz vs. 500-600 Hz	z = -2.290	p=0.0110	

Table 2. Statistical comparisons^b between the correlation coefficients (20–80 Hz vs. higher frequency bands): A higher z score indicates greater difference between the correlation coefficients and p < 0.05 indicates a level of statistical significance. ^bResults were provided by Stata's cortesti command, one-tailed test was applied.





1 /

correlation between $\frac{\Delta F_{\text{INT}}}{\Delta F_{\text{CTRL}}}$ (normalized ΔF %) and $dB \frac{V_{\text{INT}}}{V_{\text{CTRL}}}$ (normalized amplitude at harmonics) at higher order harmonics of the stimulation frequency supports our hypothesis that the amplitude at high order harmonics of the stimulation pulses proportionately modulates corticospinal contribution that is being indexed by the normalized additional force (ΔF %).

Sinusoidal, square, and pulse functions can demonstrate different distributions of power harmonics in their respective frequency spectrums (Fig. 6). As illustrated by the diagrams in Fig. 6, when the different stimulation waveforms carry the same amount of electrical energy during the same period of time, the pulse function provides additional power at higher frequency bandwidths (i.e., as denoted by the greater amplitudes of power harmonics between 100–600 Hz in Fig. 6), unlike sinusoidal or square functions, which generate no harmonics or only harmonics of decreasing amplitude at higher frequency bandwidths. As the change of ion channel permeability and membrane properties depend on the power at different frequency bandwidths^{31–33}, we speculate that the

change of corticospinal effects may be caused by the change of ion channel permeability or membrane properties of the stimulated afferent neural pathway, which may have a modulation effect on the corticospinal contribution of force enhancements. The results of this study are consistent with several previous studies that focus on the stimulation frequency factor as well as studies that examine the role of pulse duration^{34–38}, likely due to the fact that both stimulation frequency and pulse duration can alter the distribution of harmonics in a frequency spectrum. This underscores the potential for establishing an ideal stimulation frequency pass band for peripheral nerve stimulation applications, with the objective of selectively activating the occurrence of neuroplasticity at the CNS.

Tremendous efforts have been made on the engineering and clinical validation front to advance the science and efficacy of electrical stimulation. Stimulation waveform, along with anatomical target and clinical/demographic factors, are fundamental parameters in such studies. In this study, we expand on the role of waveform by introducing a novel concept: although it is known that stimulation frequency of pulses is important (when the "frequency" of stimulation is reported, this typically indicates the timing of pulses and not the signal frequency content), it is also important to consider high-order power (frequency content in harmonics across the spectrum). Indeed, these results may change our interpretation of low vs. high pulse frequency stimulation, as low-frequency with harmonics may have similar harmonic components as high-frequency stimulation^{39–43}. Conversely, these results may impact very high (kHz) pulse frequency stimulation where sub-harmonics may be important, noting that pulse-shapes influence harmonics^{44–48}. In addition, the novel concept of stimulation by power harmonics may also inform the results of stimulation using varied pulse frequencies, where additional harmonic content is generated^{49–52}.

In the course of this study, we did not attempt to collect additional data to prove that a higher-intensity stimulation train induced more additional force than a lower-intensity stimulation train as this has been demonstrated in an earlier study¹⁴. Although this may limit our ability to measure the extent of priming effects in consecutive electrical stimulation trains and the correlation between the power harmonics and the corticospinal effects specifically relevant to the priming effects, we believe this does not detract from the main objective of this study, which is to find the relationship between the high frequency components (higher order harmonics) and the observed corticospinal effects relevant to the same train of electrical stimulation.

References

- 1. Binder-Macleod, S., Dean, J. & Ding, J. Electrical stimulation factors in potentiation of human quadriceps femoris. *Muscle & nerve* 25, 271–279 (2002).
- Burke, R., Rudomin, P. & Zajac, III, F. The effect of activation history on tension production by individual muscle units. Brain Research 109, 515–529 (1976).
- 3. MacIntosh, B. & Willis, J. Force-frequency relationship and potentiation in mammalian skeletal muscle. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 88, 2088 (2000).
- Chou, L., Kesar, T. & Binder-Macleod, S. Using Customized Rate-Coding and Recruitment Strategies to Maintain Forces During Repetitive Activation of Human Muscles. *Physical Therapy* 88, 363 (2008).
- Kesar, T., Chou, L. & Binder-Macleod, S. Effects of stimulation frequency versus pulse duration modulation on muscle fatigue. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology 18, 662–671 (2008).
- Crago, P., Peckham, P., Mortimer, J. & Van Der Meulen, J. The choice of pulse duration for chronic electrical stimulation via surface, nerve, and intramuscular electrodes. Annals of biomedical engineering 2, 252–264 (1974).
- Schmalbruch, H., Al-Amood, W. & Lewis, D. Morphology of long-term denervated rat soleus muscle and the effect of chronic electrical stimulation. *The Journal of Physiology* 441, 233 (1991).
- Stefan, K., Kunesch, E., Cohen, L. G., Benecke, R. & Classen, J. Induction of plasticity in the human motor cortex by paired associative stimulation. *Brain* 123, 572–584 (2000).
- 9. Binder-Macleod, S. A., Dean, J. C. & Ding, J. Electrical stimulation factors in potentiation of human quadriceps femoris. *Muscle Nerve* 25, 271–279 (2002).
- Crago, P. E., Peckham, P. H., Mortimer, J. T. & Van der Meulen, J. P. The choice of pulse duration for chronic electrical stimulation via surface, nerve, and intramuscular electrodes. Ann Biomed Eng 2, 252–264 (1974).
- Bikson, M., Ghai, R. S., Baraban, S. C. & Durand, D. M. Modulation of burst frequency, duration, and amplitude in the zero-Ca2+model of epileptiform activity. *Journal of neurophysiology* 82, 2262–2270 (1999).
- 12. Durand, D. M. & Bikson, M. Suppression and control of epileptiform activity by electrical stimulation: a review. *Proceedings of the IEEE* **89**, 1065–1082 (2001).
- Collins, D., Burke, D. & Gandevia, S. Large involuntary forces consistent with plateau-like behavior of human motoneurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 21, 4059–4065 (2001).
- Chen, C.-F., Lin, Y.-T., Chen, W.-S. & Fregni, F. Contribution of Corticospinal Modulation and Total Electrical Energy for Peripheral-Nerve-Stimulation-Induced Neuroplasticity as Indexed by Additional Muscular Force. *Brain stimulation* 9, 133–140 (2016).
- Song, W., Truong, D. Q., Bikson, M. & Martin, J. H. Transspinal direct current stimulation immediately modifies motor cortex sensorimotor maps. *Journal of neurophysiology* 113, 2801–2811 (2015).
- Bikson, M. *et al.* Suppression of epileptiform activity by high frequency sinusoidal fields in rat hippocampal slices. *The Journal of Physiology* 531, 181–191 (2001).
- 17. Ward, A. Electrical Stimulation Using Kilohertz-Frequency Alternating Current. Physical Therapy 89, 181 (2009).
- Thomas, C., Bigland-Richie, B. & Johansson, R. Force-frequency relationships of human thenar motor units. Journal of neurophysiology 65, 1509 (1991).
- Johnson, M., Ashton, C., Bousfield, D. & Thompson, J. Analgesic effects of different frequencies of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on cold-induced pain in normal subjects. *Pain* 39, 231–236 (1989).
- Merrill, D., Bikson, M. & Jefferys, J. Electrical stimulation of excitable tissue: design of efficacious and safe protocols. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 141, 171–198 (2005).
- 21. Oppenheim, A., Willsky, A. & Hamid, S. Signals and systems. 2nd edn, (Prentice Hall, 1983).
- 22. Melzack, R. & Wall, P. D. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. Survey of Anesthesiology 11, 89-90 (1967).
- Sluka, K. A. & Walsh, D. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: basic science mechanisms and clinical effectiveness. *The Journal of Pain* 4, 109–121 (2003).
- 24. Chen, C.-F. *et al.* Pulse energy as a reliable reference for twitch forces induced by transcutaneous neuromuscular electrical stimulation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **20**, 574–583 (2012).
- 25. Wingerd, B. Rabbit dissection manual. (The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1985).

- Lewandowski, B., Kilgore, K. & Gustafson, K. In Vivo Demonstration of a Self-Sustaining, Implantable, Stimulated-Muscle-Powered Piezoelectric Generator Prototype. Annals of Biomedical Engineering 37, 2390–2401 (2009).
- 27. Oppenheim, A., Schafer, R. & Buck, J. Discrete-time signal processing. 2nd edn, (Prentice Hall, 1999).
- Scheich, H., Bullock, T. & Hamstra, R. Jr. Coding properties of two classes of afferent nerve fibers: high-frequency electroreceptors in the electric fish, Eigenmannia. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 36, 39 (1973).
- 29. Koga, K. *et al.* Selective activation of primary afferent fibers evaluated by sine-wave electrical stimulation. *Molecular Pain* **1**, 13 (2005).
- Heckman, C. & Binder, M. Analysis of effective synaptic currents generated by homonymous Ia afferent fibers in motoneurons of the cat. Journal of Neurophysiology 60, 1946 (1988).
- Tai, C., de Groat, W. C. & Roppolo, J. R. Simulation of nerve block by high-frequency sinusoidal electrical current based on the Hodgkin-Huxley model. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* 13, 415–422 (2005).
- Howell, B., Medina, L. E. & Grill, W. M. Effects of frequency-dependent membrane capacitance on neural excitability. *Journal of neural engineering* 12, 056015 (2015).
- Jezernik, S. & Morari, M. Energy-optimal electrical excitation of nerve fibers. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 52, 740–743 (2005).
- 34. Neyroud, D. *et al.* Wide-pulse-high-frequency neuromuscular electrical stimulation in cerebral palsy. *Clinical Neurophysiology* (2015).
- Neyroud, D. et al. Wide-pulse-high-frequency neuromuscular stimulation of triceps surae induces greater muscle fatigue compared with conventional stimulation. Journal of Applied Physiology 116, 1281–1289 (2014).
- Bossetti, C. A., Birdno, M. J. & Grill, W. M. Analysis of the quasi-static approximation for calculating potentials generated by neural stimulation. Journal of neural engineering 5, 44 (2007).
- Kesar, T. & Binder-Macleod, S. Effect of frequency and pulse duration on human muscle fatigue during repetitive electrical stimulation. *Exp Physiol* 91, 967–976 (2006).
- McNickle, E. & Carson, R. G. Paired associative transcranial alternating current stimulation increases the excitability of corticospinal projections in humans. *The Journal of Physiology* 593, 1649–1666 (2015).
- Lim, S.-N. et al. Low and High Frequency Hippocampal Stimulation for Drug-Resistant Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy. Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface 19, 365–372, doi: 10.1111/ner.12435 (2016).
- McCracken, C. B. & Kiss, Z. H. Time and frequency-dependent modulation of local field potential synchronization by deep brain stimulation. PLoS One 9, e102576 (2014).
- Liu, L. D. et al. Frequency-dependent effects of electrical stimulation in the globus pallidus of dystonia patients. Journal of neurophysiology 108, 5–17 (2012).
- Angelopoulos, E. et al. Acute microcirculatory effects of medium frequency versus high frequency neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients-a pilot study. Annals of intensive care 3, 39 (2013).
- Xia, W., Mørch, C. D. & Andersen, O. K. Exploration of the conditioning electrical stimulation frequencies for induction of longterm potentiation-like pain amplification in humans. *Experimental brain research* 234, 2479–2489, doi: 10.1007/s00221-016-4653-1 (2016).
- Reddy, C. G. *et al.* Comparison of Conventional and Kilohertz Frequency Epidural Stimulation in Patients Undergoing Trialing for Spinal Cord Stimulation: Clinical Considerations. *World Neurosurgery* 88, 586–591, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. wneu.2015.10.088 (2016).
- Arle, J. E., Mei, L., Carlson, K. W. & Shils, J. L. High-Frequency Stimulation of Dorsal Column Axons: Potential Underlying Mechanism of Paresthesia-Free Neuropathic Pain Relief. *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface* 19, 385–397, doi: 10.1111/ner.12436 (2016).
- Russo, M. et al. High Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation at 10 kHz for the Treatment of Chronic Pain: 6-Month Australian Clinical Experience. Pain physician 19, 267–280 (2016).
- Youn, Y., Smith, H., Morris, B., Argoff, C. & Pilitsis, J. G. The Effect of High-Frequency Stimulation on Sensory Thresholds in Chronic Pain Patients. Stereotactic and functional neurosurgery 93, 355–359 (2015).
- Song, Z., Meyerson, B. A. & Linderoth, B. High-Frequency (1 kHz) Spinal Cord Stimulation—Is Pulse Shape Crucial for the Efficacy? A Pilot Study. Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface 18, 714–720 (2015).
- van der Groen, O. & Wenderoth, N. Transcranial Random Noise Stimulation of Visual Cortex: Stochastic Resonance Enhances Central Mechanisms of Perception. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 36, 5289–5298 (2016).
- Chaieb, L., Antal, A. & Paulus, W. Transcranial random noise stimulation-induced plasticity is NMDA-receptor independent but sodium-channel blocker and benzodiazepines sensitive. Frontiers in neuroscience 9 (2015).
- Palm, U. et al. Effects of transcranial random noise stimulation (tRNS) on affect, pain and attention in multiple sclerosis. Restorative neurology and neuroscience 34, 189–199, doi: 10.3233/rnn-150557 (2016).
- Swan, B. D. *et al.* Short pauses in thalamic deep brain stimulation promote tremor and neuronal bursting. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 127, 1551–1559 (2016).
- 53. StataCorp. In Stata 13 Base Reference Manual 1920-1922 (Stata Press, College Station, TX, 2013).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by a grant from Ministry of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan (103-2917-I-564-059) to C.F.C.

Author Contributions

C.F.C. designed and conducted the experiments, performed data analyses, and prepared the manuscript. F.F. and M.B. interpreted the results, provided critical suggestions, and revised the manuscript. L.W.C. co-designed the experiments and provided critical suggestions. C.S. provided critical suggestions and performed data analyses. N.K. conducted literature review. W.S.C. prepared the rabbits and supervised the experiments. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing Interests: M.B. has equity in Soterix Medical Inc. The City University of New York has patents on brain stimulation with M.B. and N.K. as inventors.

How to cite this article: Chen, C.-F. *et al.* Higher-order power harmonics of pulsed electrical stimulation modulates corticospinal contribution of peripheral nerve stimulation. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43619; doi: 10.1038/srep43619 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017