# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 25 May 2016 Accepted: 01 September 2016 Published: 28 September 2016

## **OPEN** Evidence for Direct Control of **Virulence and Defense Gene Circuits** by the Pseudomonas aeruginosa **Quorum Sensing Regulator, MvfR**

Damien Maura<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ronen Hazan<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Tomoe Kitao<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Alicia E. Ballok<sup>1,2,3</sup> & Laurence G. Rahme<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Pseudomonas aeruginosa defies eradication by antibiotics and is responsible for acute and chronic human infections due to a wide variety of virulence factors. Currently, it is believed that MvfR (PqsR) controls the expression of many of these factors indirectly via the pqs and phnAB operons. Here we provide strong evidence that MvfR may also bind and directly regulate the expression of additional 35 loci across the P. aeruginosa genome, including major regulators and virulence factors, such as the guorum sensing (QS) regulators lasR and rhlR, and genes involved in protein secretion, translation, and response to oxidative stress. We show that these anti-oxidant systems, AhpC-F, AhpB-TrxB2 and Dps, are critical for P. aeruginosa survival to reactive oxygen species and antibiotic tolerance. Considering that MvfR regulated compounds generate reactive oxygen species, this indicates a tightly regulated QS self-defense anti-poisoning system. These findings also challenge the current hierarchical regulation model of *P. aeruginosa* QS systems by revealing new interconnections between them that suggest a circular model. Moreover, they uncover a novel role for MvfR in self-defense that favors antibiotic tolerance and cell survival, further demonstrating MvfR as a highly desirable anti-virulence target.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a major nosocomial pathogen representing a critical threat for human health<sup>1,2</sup> because of its tolerance and rapid development of resistance towards almost all current antimicrobial therapies<sup>3-7</sup>. P. aeruginosa acute and chronic infections are facilitated by a wide array of virulence factors, including toxins, small molecules and secondary metabolites as well as defense systems against host immunity and bacterial competitors. P. aeruginosa interactions with host and bacterial competitors generate environments with high levels of reactive oxygen species  $(ROS)^{8-15}$  that *P. aeruginosa* survives to by virtue of its multiple antioxidant systems<sup>16,17</sup>.

Most of *P. aeruginosa's* virulence factors are controlled via the three major cell density dependent quorum sensing systems: LasR<sup>18</sup>, RhlR<sup>19,20</sup> and MvfR (also known as PqsR)<sup>21-24</sup>. The current view is that these three systems are hierarchically connected with LasR positioned at the top of this hierarchy<sup>25-27</sup>. LasR and RhlR directly control the production of their respective activating inducers, acyl-homoserine lactones (HSL) 3-oxo-C12-HSL and C4-HSL encoded via the synthetases lasI and rhlI respectively<sup>18,28-30</sup>. LasR binds to 34 additional loci in P. aeruginosa genome, including mvfR and rhlR, and directly regulates the expression of multiple genes, including transcriptional regulators which ultimately result in the indirect modulation of more than 300 genes across the genome<sup>31</sup>. RhIR directly controls the expression of *rhIAB* and *rhIC* responsible for the biosynthesis of the rhamnolipid surfactants<sup>32,33</sup> and also indirectly controls the expression of multiple genes<sup>34</sup>. MvfR also controls its own activity by binding and positively regulating the expression of pgsABCDE and phnAB operons that catalyze the biosynthesis of MvfR inducers and of ~60 distinct low-molecular-weight compounds<sup>21-23,35,36</sup>, including hydroxyquinolones (HAQs)<sup>37</sup> and the non-HAQ molecule 2-AA<sup>38-40</sup>. Two of the most abundant HAQs (4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline [HHQ] and 3,4-dihydroxy-2-heptylquinoline [Pseudomonas Quinolone Signal-PQS]) bind and activate MvfR, leading to the induction of the many virulence factors that promote

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston MA 02114, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA 02115, USA. <sup>3</sup>Shriners Hospitals for Children Boston, Boston, 02114, Massachusetts, USA. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Dental Sciences and School of Dental Medicine, Hebrew University, Jerusalem P.O.B 12272, 91120, Israel. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.G.R. (email: rahme@molbio.mgh.harvard.edu)

infection<sup>23,35,41-43</sup>. MvfR activity correlates with HHQ synthesis. Thus, an essential step of MvfR regulon activation by MvfR is the binding of MvfR protein to the *pqsABCDE* and *phnAB* operons<sup>23,35</sup>. So far, these were the only two operons to which MvfR was known to bind<sup>22,35,44</sup> and the fact that MvfR is regulating the expression of 18% of *P. aeruginosa* genome<sup>45</sup> was attributed to indirect effects.

The three QS systems appear to be interconnected in multiple and complex ways. RhlR and LasR QS systems both activate each other<sup>46</sup>. RhlR directly inhibits the expression of *pqsA* and *mvfR* by binding to their respective promoters<sup>35,44</sup>, and the MvfR regulon appears to be interconnected with RhlR via *pqsE*, the last gene of the *pqs* operon controlled by MvfR<sup>47</sup>. On the other hand LasR positively regulates MvfR, as it binds and induces *mvfR* expression during exponential phase<sup>27,35</sup>, with MvfR eventually becoming LasR-independent at the later stages of growth<sup>35</sup>. Another interconnection between the LasR and MvfR systems is that MvfR, via the *pqs* operon, controls the synthesis of the precursors of PQS and of the programmed cell death signal 2-n-heptyl-4 -hydroxyquinoline-N-Oxide (HQNO)<sup>13</sup>, while LasR controls the enzymatic conversion of their precursors into these molecules by controlling the expression of *pqsH* and *pqsL* genes respectively<sup>26,37,48</sup>.

Here, our genome-wide analysis provides strong evidence that in addition to direct control of the *pqsABCDE*, *phnAB* and *mvfR genes*, MvfR may also bind to 34 additional loci across the genome of *P. aeruginosa* and fine-tune the expression of the associated genes. This work provides novel insights into the quorum sensing circuits in *P. aeruginosa* that are crucial for both pathogenesis and cell survival in deleterious environments, and its interconnection to the other *P. aeruginosa* QS systems, as well as its role in self-defense response that favors antibiotic tolerance.

#### Results

**MvfR binds to and regulates the expression of multiple virulence-related loci in** *P. aeruginosa* **genome.** Previous studies reported that as cell density increases MvfR regulates more genes, reaching 18% of the *P. aeruginosa* genome at the onset of stationary phase<sup>45</sup>. To elucidate the mode of action of MvfR on the expression of QS-controlled genes, we utilized a genome-wide approach and performed chromatin immuno-precipitation sequencing (ChIPseq) coupled with RNA sequencing (RNAseq). To fully grasp the MvfR binding dynamics, we performed this analysis at four time points corresponding to different bacterial growth stages. We used cells from early (OD<sub>600nm</sub> 1.0), middle (OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2.0) and late (OD<sub>600nm</sub> 3.0) exponential phase as well as stationary phase (OD<sub>600nm</sub> 4.0) of growth. MvfR interacting DNA was immuno-precipitated and identified by Illumina sequencing. Table 1 and Fig. 1a show that MvfR binds to 37 loci across the PA14 genome. Amongst these 37 loci, we found the expected *pqsA*, *phnA* and *mvfR* promoters, thus validating our approach. MvfR binding was also validated *in vivo* (bacterial cultures) by ChIPqPCR on some of those key loci (Supplementary Figure S1).

To correlate MvfR binding with the targeted gene expression, RNAseq studies were carried out using the parental strain PA14 and the isogenic *mvfR* mutant. Gene expression analysis of the RNAseq studies indicates that MvfR regulates 95% of the genes associated with its binding sites, with 64% being induced and 31% repressed. MvfR action on the regulation of two remaining sites is unclear (Fig. 1b, Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1).

MvfR binding to the 37 loci is either at the promoter region (22%), extends over several genes (57%), within genes (16%), or at the end of genes (5%) (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, MvfR binding sites located within genes harbor a 72% GC content, which is significantly higher than all the other MvfR binding sites (Fig. 2b). These data suggest that MvfR may exhibit different binding patterns, and potentially recognize different DNA binding motifs, consistent with the ability of LysR Type of Transcriptional Regulators (LTTR) to bind at different gene regions<sup>49</sup>. *In silico* analysis using MEME suite<sup>50</sup> indeed shows that the predicted consensus motifs differ based on MvfR binding location (Fig. 2c–e).

Functional categorization of the genes associated in 37 loci to which MvfR binds reveals that they are mainly involved in virulence related functions, including protein secretion, quorum sensing, rhamnolipids biosynthesis and iron acquisition but also in functions related to cell metabolism, transport of small molecules, translation and response to oxidative stress (Table 1 and Fig. 1b). The following sections focus on the MvfR binding and gene expression of some of these key virulence functions.

**MvfR contributes to the induction of both RhlR and LasR QS systems.** RhlR and LasR are the two other *P. aeruginosa* main QS systems, both directly and indirectly controlling multiple virulence genes, including the MvfR QS system. Figures 3a and S1 show that MvfR binds to the *rhlR* – *rhll* locus at  $OD_{600nm}$  2.0. Accordingly, the expression of *rhlR* is significantly reduced in the *mvfR* mutant at  $OD_{600nm}$  2.0 (Fig. 3b,c), suggesting another level of regulation of the RhlR QS system aside from what was previously described via PqsE<sup>24,51,52</sup>. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3d, the expression of *rhlA* – directly regulated by RhlR – is significantly lower in the *mvfR* mutant than in the *pqsE* mutant (p < 0.01) supporting the existence of an additional regulatory role of MvfR on the RhlR QS system independent of PqsE.

The ChIPseq analysis also shows MvfR binding over the region containing *lasR* and *rsaL* genes (Figs 4a and S1). Consistently, *lasI*, *rsaL* and *lasR* expression is reduced in the *mvfR* mutant, indicating that MvfR acts as an activator for this region (Fig. 4b,c). MvfR binding on these genes occurs early ( $OD_{600nm}$  1.0) (Fig. 4a), which correlates with the early effect on the transcription of these genes (Fig. 4c).

Taken together, these data suggest a direct control of MvfR on RhlR and LasR QS systems during early and mid-exponential phase. This finding provides an interesting alternative to our current view of the hierarchical regulation of the QS systems as it rather supports a circular, interconnected regulation of the three systems.

**MvfR promotes a positive feedback loop via induction of the** *phrS* **small RNA**. *phrS* is a small RNA known to positively regulate MvfR at the post-transcriptional level leading to increased PQS and pyocyanin production, ultimately promoting *P. aeruginosa* virulence<sup>53</sup>. As shown in Figs 5a and S1, MvfR binds to *phrS* gene region. Accordingly, *phrS* gene expression is significantly decreased in *mvfR* mutant relative to PA14 (Fig. 5b,c).

				MvfR binding (Input normalized coverage)					Pegulation by
Coordinates		Regulated gene(s)	Function	OD 1	OD 2	OD 3	OD 4	Locus	MvfR
155,548	157,602	ahpC-ahpF	response to oxidative stress		868	467		over.	+
733,577	738,665	16S, 23S, 5S & tRNA	translation (rRNA #1)	748	504	503		over.	-
788,364	789,806	pyochelin operon	siderophore pyochelin		375			ins.	+
1 170 619	1 171 875	PA14_13580-13610 operon	ABC transporter		723			prom.	+
1,170,017	1,171,075	nhaP	Na+/H+ antiporter		725				-
1,651,474	1,652,473	rhlR	rhlR QS system		436			end	+
1,840,873	1,842,123	phrS	small RNA	643				over.	+
1,866,043	1,868,058	PA14_21530	unknown	447	548			over.	+
1,923,884	1,925,012	PA14_22090	unknown	586				prom.	-
1,943,865	1,944,935	PA14_22320	unknown	381				over.	+
2,083,830	2,084,914	T2SS operons	type 2 secretion system	408				over.	+
2 201 076	2 202 455	lexA	SOS response	497				prom	-
2,201,070	2,202,433	psrA	sigma factor	457				prom.	+
2,467,980	2,469,951	infC operon	translation (initiation factor, tRNA and ribosomal proteins)	821			277	over.	-
2,504,998	2,506,157	PA14_28970 & 28980	putative iron transporter				107	over.	+
2,956,881	2,958,001	pyoverdine operon	siderophore pyoverdine				106	ins.	+
3,303,526	3,304,565	crgAC	cupA fimbriae				100	end	-
3,513,100	3,515,095	PA14_39480	unknown	844			232	ins.	+
3,547,291	3,548,339	femIRA	iron transpoter				77	prom.	+
3,830,327	3,831,831	T6SS locus 2 operons	type 6 secretion system	545				prom.	+
4,019,224	4,020,417	PA14_45060	putative urea transporter				94	over.	-
4,084,785	4,086,308	lasR	lasR QS system	490				over.	+
4,104,672	4,106,305	PA14_46160	unknown	536	493			over.	+
4,167,308	4,168,950	PA14_46810-46820	putative iron transporter	293			77	over.	+
4,246,274	4,247,621	cobalamine operon	cobalamine biosynthesis				86	ins.	;
4,263,263	4,264,333	PA14_47910	ABC transporter (arabinose)				99	ins.	-
4,294,736	4,295,982	PA14_48240-48300	putative antibiotic efflux pump				103	ins.	;
4,425,759	4,427,304	PA14_49750 & rhlC	rhamnolipids biosynthesis				119	ins.	+
4,561,261	4,564,718	mvfR	MvfR QS system	6,439	5,101		1,313	over.	+
4,565,212	4,566,614	phnAB operon	MvfR QS system		378			prom.	+
4,570,486	4,572,591	pqsABCDE operon	MvfR QS system	1,083	2,118	647	254	prom.	+
4,602,850	4,604,494	dps	response to oxidative stress	596	526			over.	+
4,698,069	4,699,401	phhABC operon	phenylalanine catabolism	338	376			over.	+
4,722,947	4,725,071	trxB2 & ahpB	response to oxidative stress	497	736			over.	+
4,953,754	4,957,408	5S, 23S, tRNAs, 16S	translation (rRNA #2)	516	606	422		over.	-
5,200,388	5,201,551	psdR	repressor of ABC transporter dppA-F (arginine)		525			prom.	_
5,535,420	5,540,989	5S 23S tRNAs 16S	translation (rRNA #3)	672	702	596		over.	-
6,245,833	6,247,899	dadA	D-alanine metabolism	1,734	2,081	1,043		over.	+
6,312,205	6,316,455	5S 23S tRNAs 16S	translation (rRNA #4)	725		420		over.	-

**Table 1.** List of MvfR binding sites, their associated gene function and regulation. over. = binding site is overlapping several genes, ins. = binding site is inside a gene, prom. = binding site is in a promoter region, end = binding site is at the end of a gene, + = positive regulation, - = negative regulation, ? = unclear regulation. For more details on gene regulation, see Supplementary Table S1.

Consistent with the MvfR binding pattern to *phrS* gene (Fig. 5a and Table 1), *phrS* expression is decreased at  $OD_{600nm}$  2.0 but not  $OD_{600nm}$  3.0 (Fig. 5b). These data indicate that MvfR promotes a positive feedback loop via the direct induction of the *phrS* small RNA.

**MvfR induces type II and type VI protein secretion systems.** *P. aeruginosa* secretes important toxins (i.e. elastase, exotoxins, phospholipases) via the type II secretion system (T2SS) into the extracellular environment<sup>54</sup>. The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is mostly involved in antagonistic interactions with bacterial competitors<sup>55</sup>. We have shown previously that MvfR impacts the transcription of T6SS<sup>42</sup> and T2SS and the level of secreted exoproducts of the T2SS<sup>22,45</sup>. MvfR positively regulated the transcription of T6SS HSI-II genes, while surprisingly they were reported to be unchanged in a *pqsE* mutant<sup>42</sup>, suggesting that MvfR is the major contributor for this



**Figure 1. MvfR binding sites.** (a) Localization of MvfR binding sites in PA14 genome. The first outer black circle represents the PA14 circular genome. Genes encoded on the positive strand (second circle) or negative strand (third circle) are shown in blue. Figure generated with IGV software<sup>102</sup>. MvfR binding sites are represented by the red rectangles (fourth circle). (b) Categorization of genes associated with MvfR binding sites. Positive regulation is indicated by a red arrow and negative regulation by a green flat bar.



**Figure 2.** MvfR binding pattern. (a) Frequency of MvfR binding sites based on location. (b) Percentage of GC content in the DNA sequence of MvfR binding sites according to the site location, either at the promoter region (blue), inside a gene (red) or overlapping several genes (green). Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test. (c-e) MvfR binding motif identified using MEME suite<sup>50</sup> according to the site location, either at the promoter region (d), inside a gene (e) or overlapping several genes (f).

regulation. This notion is supported by the fact that MvfR binds over hsiA2 and hcpD of T6SS HSI-II (Figs 6a and S1). Gene expression studies in the mvfR mutant reveal that MvfR induces the expression of most T6S HSI-II genes at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2.0 but not at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 3.0 (Fig. 6b,c). As such, they are consistent with the impact of MvfR binding during early exponential phase and the absence of MvfR binding later (Fig. 6a and Table 1), and imply a direct role for MvfR in the expression of this locus at the early and mid-exponential stage of growth.









Figures 6d and S1 show that MvfR also binds to T2SS genes xcpQ, xcpP and xcpR, key genes that confer functionality to this system for the secretion of several virulence exoproducts<sup>54,56</sup>. Accordingly, the expression of most T2SS genes is reduced in mvfR mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2.0 but no reduced expression of these genes is observed at OD<sub>600nm</sub> = 3, consistent with the absence of detectable MvfR binding at these later ODs (Fig. 6e,f and Table 1). Together, these data suggest that MvfR directly contributes to the regulation of key genes of T6SS HSI-II and T2SS at the early stages of *P. aeruginosa* growth.

**MvfR binds to five translation-related loci and negatively impacts** *P. aeruginosa* **translation.** We reported previously that the MvfR negatively modulates the expression of *P. aeruginosa* protein translation genes<sup>6</sup>. However, the underlying molecular mechanism of this negative regulation is unclear. Figure 7 shows that MvfR binds to and controls the expression of five translation related loci. Binding of MvfR extends



**Figure 5.** MvfR generates a positive feedback loop by binding to and inducing the small RNA PhrS. (a) ChIPseq analysis reveals that MvfR binds to *phrS* region. The black bar above the binding intensity plots represents the peak identified using SPP peak caller. (b) RNAseq analysis indicates that MvfR induces the expression of *phrS*. Light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2, dark blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 3. (c) qRTPCR analysis validates that MvfR induces the expression of *phrS*. Faint blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2. Data show the average +/- SEM of 3 independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test.

along an entire region comprised of genes encoding 5S, 16S, 23S rRNAs and two tRNAs. MvfR binding is present during  $OD_{600nm}$  1.0–3.0 in all four repeats of this region throughout the PA14 genome (Fig. 7a–d). In addition, MvfR binds to the translation initiation factor IF-3 encoding gene, *infC*, which is the second gene of an operon also encoding the translation-related genes, *thrS*, *rpmL* and *rplT* (Fig. 7f). Expanding on what we previously reported<sup>6</sup>, we show here that the expression of 16S, 23S and 5S rRNAs is increased in the *mvfR* mutant (Fig. 7e). Moreover, the expression of *thrS*, *infC* and *rpmI* genes is also significantly increased (Fig. 7g), indicating that MvfR acts as a negative regulator of this set of translation-related genes. Consistently, *P. aeruginosa* translational activity, measured by the incorporation of L-azidohomoalanine into proteins<sup>57</sup>, is significantly increased in the *mvfR* mutant (Fig. 7h), validating the role of MvfR in translation inhibition. Overall, these findings imply a direct negative fine-tuning action of QS on *P. aeruginosa* translation machinery via MvfR binding to *rRNA* genes and *infC* operon.

**MvfR induction of antioxidant genes contributes to antibiotic tolerance.** Perhaps one the most interesting sets of MvfR binding loci are those related to the oxidative stress response. As shown in Figs 8a,d,g and S1, MvfR binds to *ahpC-ahpF*, *trxB2-ahpB* and *dps* regions. Accordingly, the expression of all those genes is significantly reduced in the *mvfR* mutant (Fig. 8b,c,e–h). In corroboration, our previous microarray expression studies also showed that the expression of these genes is downregulated in the presence of MvfR QS system inhibitors<sup>42</sup>. The alkyl-hydroxyperoxidases AhpC, AhpF and AhpB, as well as the threonine reductase TrxB2, and the Dps protein are involved in the detoxification of hydrogen peroxide and organic peroxides<sup>17,58–60</sup> and iron oxidation and sequestration. These proteins ultimately prevent the generation of lethal Fenton reaction derived hydroxyl radicals<sup>61,62</sup>. Consistently, we observed that AhpC, AhpF, TrxB2, AhpB and Dps allow tolerance to hydrogen peroxide given that mutants in those genes are 1.4 to 17 times more sensitive to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> than PA14 (Fig. 8i), thereby supporting the relevance of MvfR in response to oxidative stress.

Importantly, antioxidant defenses are known to play a protective role against antibiotics via their ROS suppressing activities<sup>63-69</sup>. We previously described the importance of MvfR in antibiotic tolerance<sup>6,43,70</sup>, and here we asked whether the detoxification abilities of AhpC, AhpF, TrxB2, AhpB and Dps may contribute to this phenomenon. As shown in Fig. 8j, *ahpC*, *ahpF*, *trxB2*, *ahpB* and *dps* mutants are 2.7 to 7.7 times more sensitive to the  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic Meropenem than the parental PA14 strain, indicating that direct MvfR control of AhpC-F, TrxB2-AhpB and Dps contributes to antibiotic tolerance.

### Discussion

This study provides novel insights in the understanding of MvfR role in the complex regulation of QS and pathogenesis in *P. aeruginosa*. Indeed, our data strongly suggest that MvfR acts as a direct modulator of key *P. aeruginosa* virulence systems beyond the biosynthetic operons *pqsABCDE* and *phnAB* in line with its original nomenclature as <u>Multiple V</u>irulence Factor Regulator (MvfR)<sup>22</sup>. Moreover, this work permits us to better comprehend the regulation of QS and challenges the current hierarchical view that LasR is upstream of MvfR and that MvfR controls QS virulence only indirectly through the transcriptional control of the *pqsABCDE* operon<sup>24,51,52</sup>.

Previous studies have shown the broad impact of MvfR in the transcriptional regulation of up to 18% of *P. aeruginosa* genes<sup>45</sup>. However, the possibility of MvfR direct regulation for some of these genes has been overshadowed by the role of the HAQs and PqsE in virulence<sup>26,47,51,52,71,72</sup>. It is noteworthy that PQS is dispensable for virulence since *pqsH* mutation does not attenuate virulence in mice<sup>23</sup>. The suggested direct transcriptional regulation of MvfR on *P. aeruginosa* genes described here could account for the already established indirect regulation working via PqsE and HHQ/PQS<sup>26,47,51,52,71,72</sup>. Even though the 34 loci identified represent only a fraction of MvfR regulated genes, they are nonetheless relevant because they contain specific virulence factors as well as global regulators of virulence, including the two other major QS regulators LasR and RhIR. The increased number of



**Figure 6. MvfR binds to and fine tunes the expression of T6SS and T2SS operons. (a,d)** ChIPseq analysis reveals that MvfR binds to *hsiA2-hcpD* (T6SS HSI-II) and *xcpQ-xcpP-xcpR* (T2SS) regions. The black bar above the binding intensity plots represents the peak identified using SPP peak caller. (**b**,**e**) RNAseq analysis indicates that MvfR induces the expression of most T6SS and T2SS genes. Light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2, dark blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 3. (**c**,**f**) qRTPCR analysis validates that MvfR induces the expression of T6SS and T2SS genes. Faint blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 1, light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2. Data show the average +/- SEM of 3 independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test.

genes regulated by MvfR at late exponential and stationary phases of growth most likely stems from direct MvfR regulation of key cellular, metabolic and QS regulatory functions at earlier stages of growth.

We noticed that MvfR exhibits different binding patterns and recognizes different DNA binding motifs. The predicted MvfR consensus binding motifs in both promoter regions and regions overlapping several genes share the classical LTTR TN<sub>x</sub>A palindrome structure<sup>49</sup>. Tomtom analysis<sup>73</sup> indicates that the predicted consensus motif for sites located inside genes resembles the DNA binding motif of RutR, an *E. coli* transcriptional regulator known to bind specifically within genes<sup>74</sup> which is consistent with this group of MvfR binding sites. Such intragenic binding and the ability of MvfR to act as negative and positive regulator have previously been described with other members of the LTTR family<sup>49,75</sup>. It is important to note that the crosslinking step inherent to all ChIP procedures may lead to the capture of protein-protein interactions and potentially to false-positive binding sites. Future in depth mechanistic studies focusing on MvfR binding patterns will allow us to conclusively demonstrate the MvfR direct regulation of the loci identified and obtain a better understanding of how and why MvfR interacts with DNA in this fashion.

The longitudinal interrogation of MvfR binding during *P. aeruginosa* growth supports an interconnected regulation pathway for the three major QS systems, as MvfR is likely involved in the direct modulation of both LasR and RhIR QS systems, which were both previously shown to regulate the MvfR QS system<sup>27,35,44</sup>. The data presented here challenge the hierarchical regulation model of P. aeruginosa QS systems and introduce a circular regulation model (see proposed model in Fig. 9). Intriguingly, MvfR binding to and modulation of *lasR* and *rhlR* occurs at early and mid-exponential phase ( $OD_{600nm}$  1.0 and 2.0) raising the question of why this timing is important. At late exponential and stationary phase rhlR expression and C4-HSL levels max out<sup>45,46</sup> and RhlR binds to mvfR and pqsA promoters acting as a direct repressor of the MvfR QS system<sup>35,44</sup>, promoting a tight negative auto-regulatory loop. In contrast, MvfR binding to lasR likely feeds back into the MvfR QS circuitry by inducing mvfR expression<sup>27,35</sup>, and the PqsH-mediated conversion of HHQ into PQS increases MvfR activity<sup>43,48</sup>. Two other suggested positive feedback loops occurring at the earlier stages of growth result from MvfR binding to itself and phrS small RNA, which was previously described as a post-transcriptional activator of MvfR<sup>53</sup>. Until now, phrS induction was reported to take place at the stationary growth phase as a result of its control by the transcriptional regulator ANR under hypoxic conditions<sup>53</sup>. Here, our data indicate that MvfR could directly induce phrS expression during early and mid-exponential phase, before ANR and hypoxia come into play. These two suggested positive feedback loops may benefit the MvfR QS system by rapidly increasing MvfR levels and generating functional intracellular levels of MvfR ligands before quorum levels are reached in the global cell population.

Another interesting regulation identified in this study is related to iron uptake and homeostasis. Indeed, we notice MvfR binding to and inducing the two siderophores, pyochelin and pyoverdin, and the putative iron



**Figure 7. MvfR slows down translation activity by binding to and negatively regulating translation related genes.** (**a**-**d**,**f**) ChIPseq analysis reveals that MvfR binds to the 4 rRNA regions – *rRNA* #1 (**a**), *rRNA* #2 (**b**), *rRNA* #3 (**c**) and *rRNA* #4 (**d**) – as well as the *infC* operon (**f**). The black bar above the binding intensity plots represents the peak identified using SPP peak caller. (**e**,**g**) qRTPCR analysis indicates that MvfR represses the expression of 16S, 23S and 5S rRNAs as well as *thrS*, *infC*, and *rpmI*. Faint blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 1, light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2. Data show the average +/– SEM of 3 independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired T test for *rRNA* genes and one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test for *infC* operon genes. (**h**) MvfR inhibits the translation activity of *P. aeruginosa* as reflected by the measurement of newly synthetized proteins using the labeled amino-acid alanine AHA (L-azidohomoalanine). The translation inhibitor chloramphenicol (15 mg/L) was used as a control. Data show the average +/– SEM of three independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired to the second as a control. Data show the average +/– SEM of three independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test.

.....

transporters PA14\_28970-28980 and PA14\_46810-46820 (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). Iron is an essential cofactor for a wide variety of cellular processes but is especially scarce during host infection due to nutritional immunity<sup>76-78</sup>. However, excessive iron levels can lead to cellular damage and ultimately cell death as iron catalyzes ROS production via the Fenton reaction<sup>62,79</sup>. Therefore, iron homeostasis is critical. Once intracellular iron levels are high, uptake systems and their regulators, including MvfR, are repressed by the Fur transcriptional regulator<sup>80</sup>. This negative feedback loop also turns down the production of all other ROS-inducing systems under MvfR control (i.e. Pyocyanin, HQNO)<sup>8,13</sup>.

Even though the iron uptake and ROS producing systems can be deleterious for *P. aeruginosa*, they are nonetheless critical for survival, colonization and competition with other bacterial species<sup>8–10,81,82</sup>. Therefore, the ability of *P. aeruginosa* to survive when these systems are active offers an important selective advantage. Here we show that MvfR enhances protection against ROS that can be produced by these systems via binding to and inducing the expression of the anti-oxidant defense systems AhpC-F, AhpB-TrxB2 and Dps. These antioxidant proteins are known to limit ROS production by reducing the levels of hydrogen peroxide and iron available as substrates for the Fenton reaction<sup>59,61,62,83</sup>. As such MvfR modulation of these antioxidant genes may allow cells to survive the production of oxidative toxins (see proposed model in Fig. 9). This self-protective "toxin/anti-toxin" system is reminiscent of antibiotic producing bacteria that require a self-resistance mechanism to avoid committing suicide<sup>84,85</sup>. Protection against self-poisoning is not the only role of these antioxidants, as we show here that they contribute to antibiotic tolerance. MvfR's ability to induce their expression provides an explanation for the previously unknown molecular mechanism of MvfR-mediated antibiotic tolerance. In addition to inducing antioxidant systems, we also show that MvfR binds to translation-related loci and represses translation. Since antibiotic tolerant cells are known to exhibit reduced protein synthesis and metabolic rates<sup>4,5,86,87</sup>, MvfR action on translation may also contribute to antibiotic tolerance (see proposed model in Fig. 9).

Overall, our data suggest that MvfR directly controls the expression of multiple virulence factors and plays a central role in the *P. aeruginosa* QS interplay as well as in antibiotic tolerance via the regulation of multiple antioxidant systems. This highlights the importance of MvfR as a critical virulence determinant and reinforces its potential as a highly desirable drug target candidate <sup>42,43,88-91</sup>.



Figure 8. MvfR binds to and positively regulates genes involved in response to oxidative stress which leads to tolerance of  $H_2O_2$  and antibiotic. (a,d,g) ChIPseq analysis reveals that MvfR binds to *ahpC-ahpF*, *trxB2-ahpB and dps regions*. The black bar above the binding intensity plots represents the peak identified using SPP peak caller. (b,e,h) RNAseq analysis indicates that MvfR induces the expression of *ahpC*, *ahpF*, *trxB2, ahpB and dps*. Light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at  $OD_{600nm} 2$ , dark blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at  $OD_{600nm} 3$ . (c,f,g) qRTPCR analysis validates that MvfR induces the expression of *ahpC*, *ahpF*, *trxB2, ahpB* and *dps*. Faint blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at  $OD_{600nm} 1$ , light blue bar = *mvfR* mutant at  $OD_{600nm} 2$ . Data show the average +/- SEM of 3 independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's posttest. (i,j) *mvfR* mutant (red) as well as *ahpC* and *ahpF* mutants (purple), *trxB2* and *ahpB* mutants (green), and *dps* mutant (grey) are more sensitive than PA14 to hydrogen peroxide (i) or the  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic Meropenem (j). The survival fraction of PA14 control after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or Meropenem treatment is of  $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$  or  $7.1 \times 10^{-6}$  respectively. Data show the average +/- SEM of at least 3 independent replicates. Statistical significance was assessed using one way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test.



**Figure 9. Proposed model of the role of MvfR direct regulation on QS interplay, virulence and defense.** This proposed model figure focuses on MvfR direct regulation and the systems that feedback to it either positively (arrow) or negatively (bar). Dark blue arrows/bars represent connections previously described in the literature whereas light blue arrows/bars represent new connections based on this study.

.....

### Methods

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, growth conditions.** UCBPP-PA14 (PA14) is a *P. aeruginosa* human clinical isolate<sup>92</sup>. All mutant strains including  $mvfR^{-22}$ ,  $pqsA^{-21}$  and  $pqsE^{-47}$  are isogenic to UCBPP-PA14. pECP60 plasmid containing the *rhlA-lacZ* reporter system was described previously<sup>45,93</sup>. Unless noted otherwise, all bacterial strains were grown in 5 mL LB Lenox medium (Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C under 200 rpm orbital shaking using glass tubes (VWR). To generate pJN-mvfR-FLAG plasmid expressing C-terminally-FLAG tagged MvfR, the 657-bp upstream region and mvfR coding region with C-terminal FLAG was amplified by PCR and then cloned into pJN105 treated with EcoR1 and Xho1 as described in<sup>94</sup>. The resulting plasmid was transformed into mvfR- cells. The mvfR- strain carrying pJN-mvfR-FLAG was grown in the presence of  $15 \mu g/mL$  Gentamycin.

**ChIPseq.** 5 ml cultures were inoculated at  $OD_{600nm}$  0.1, and grown at 37 °C 200 rpm in LB Lenox + 15µg/mL Gentamycin. At  $OD_{600nm}$  1, 2, 3 and 4, cells were washed in fresh LB Lenox then pelleted and stored at -80 °C. The ChIP experiment was performed as described in<sup>43,95</sup> using anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma). Library construction and Illumina DNA sequencing was performed by htSEQ (Seattle, WA). The sequence aligner BWA<sup>96</sup> was used to map sequencing reads to a UCBPP-PA14 fasta reference genome file (RefSeq May 24, 2010). Peaks for samples at  $OD_{600nm}$  1, 2, 3 and 4 were identified using the ChipSeq peak caller SPP<sup>97</sup> with OD3\_input as the reference input. Peaks were filtered at a Z\_score = 9 in order to limit the probability of false positives. It is noteworthy that pH changes over time during growth have the potential to affect iron bioavailability, and may also drive changes in the physiology of the microbe that could affect binding.

**ChIP qPCR.** We validated the ChIPseq findings via ChIP qPCR and not via EMSA studies because this approach allows us to interrogate MvfR binding in live cells where all biologically relevant components are present, and also to bypass the known difficulties to consistently purify full-length MvfR protein<sup>88,98</sup>. Samples were prepared as described in the ChIPseq section above. qPCR was then performed using primers designed to be in the middle of MvfR binding sites using the Primer 3 tool (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/). The primer sequences for each site are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Quantitative PCR was performed using Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene) as in<sup>43,47</sup> with a Mx3005P qPCR machine (Stratagene). Data were analyzed using the percent input method and using *rpoD* as a negative control as in ref. 43.

**RNAseq.** PA14 and *mvfR*- cells were grown in LB Lenox at 37 °C 200 rpm until  $OD_{600nm}$  2 or  $OD_{600nm}$  3. Duplicates of each culture were processed for RNA extraction using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) and DNAse treatment was performed with the TURBO DNA-free kit (Thermo-Fisher). RNA samples were then subjected to rRNA depletion using RiboZero (Epicentre) followed by the construction of next-generation sequencing libraries using NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs). These libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument resulting in approximately 8.8 million reads per sample on average. Subsequent to alignment using BWA<sup>96</sup>, read counts for individual transcripts were produced with HTSEQ<sup>99</sup>, based on UCBPP-PA14 transcriptome annotation (NC\_002516). The estimation of expression values and detection of differentially expressed transcripts was performed using EdgeR<sup>100</sup>. Statistical significance was assessed using false discovery rate.

**qRT-PCR.** RNA samples were prepared as described above for RNAseq. cDNA was generated by RT-PCR using Superscript III First-Strand kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Specific primers were

designed using Primer3. Primers used are described in Supplementary Table S2. RpoD expression was used as a reference gene as described in<sup>47,101</sup>. Quantitative PCR was performed using Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene) as in<sup>43,47</sup> with a Mx3005P qPCR machine (Stratagene).

**Translation activity assay.** Translation activity was determined using Click chemistry as described in<sup>57</sup> with modifications. PA14 and *mvfR*- cells were grown in LB Lenox at 37 °C 200 rpm overnight from a frozen stock then washed and resuspended in M9 media to  $OD_{600nm}$  0.1. Cells were grown for 5 h at 37 °C 200 rpm then 15 µg/mL chloramphenicol (or 10% ethanol vehicle) together with 1 µM Click-iT AHA L-azidohomoalanine (Life Technologies) were added for 45 minutes to the growing cultures. Cells were then pelleted and fixed in 4% PFA for 1 hour then washed in PBS. Cells were then lysed by sonication in 1% SDS 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8. Labelled solubilized proteins were subjected to reaction with Click-iT Protein Reaction Buffer kit (Life Technologies) containing 4 mM tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) alkyne (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions. Proteins were then extracted by the methanol-chloroform method and washed twice in methanol as described in ref. 57. 2µL of each extracted protein sample was finally dotted on a nitrocellulose membrane and imaged using 534 nm excitation (green)/607 nm emission (orange) filter in a FluorChem M imaging device (Protein Simple). Signal was then processed using ImageJ software in order to quantify AHA labeled proteins, representing nascent protein synthesis.

β-galactosidase gene reporter assay. PA14 and *mvf*R- cells containing pECP60 plasmid were grown in presence of 300 μg/mL Carbenicillin to maintain the plasmid. At OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2, 20 μL of cells were collected and incubated with 80 μL of permeabilization solution (100 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.8 mg/mL CTAB, 0.4 mg/mL sodium deoxycholate and 5.4 μL/mL β-mercaptoethanol) for 30 min at 37 °C. Then 600 μL of substrate solution was added (60 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mg/mL ONPG and 2.7 μL/mL β-mercaptoethanol) and incubated at 37 °C until yellow color was detected. 700 μL of Stop solution (1M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) was finally added to block the reaction and OD<sub>420nm</sub> was measured. Miller units were calculated as follows: 1000 × OD<sub>420nm</sub>/(OD<sub>600nm</sub> × 0.02 mL × reaction time). More details on this protocol can be found at (http://openwetware.org/wiki/Beta-Galactosidase\_Assay\_%28A\_better\_Miller%29).

**Tolerance to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or Meropenem.** PA14, *mvfR-*, *aphC-*, *ahpF-*, *trxB2-*, *ahpB-* and *dps-* cells were grown at 37 °C 200 rpm in LB Lenox media until mid-exponential phase (OD<sub>600nm</sub> 2) then exposed to 300 mM hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) for 1 hour under the same incubating conditions. Before (t = 0) and after (t = 1 h) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> addition, a 100 µL sample of each culture was collected, diluted and plated on LB agar plates to quantify the total number of bacteria (t = 0) and the surviving bacteria (t = 1 h). Colony forming units (CFUs) were counted after 24 h incubation at 37 °C. Tolerance to Meropenem was assessed the same way except the cells were grown in 1% TSB media and the killing was performed for 24 hours in presence of 10 µg/mL Meropenem (Sandoz, USA).

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired T test or One Way ANOVA + Dunnett's post-test in the case of multiple comparisons, as appropriate and indicated in the figure legends.

#### References

- 1. Gellatly, S. L. & Hancock, R. E. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: new insights into pathogenesis and host defenses. *Pathog Dis* 67, 159–173 (2013).
- 2. Kerr, K. G. & Snelling, A. M. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a formidable and ever-present adversary. J Hosp Infect 73, 338-344 (2009).
- Lister, P. D., Wolter, D. J. & Hanson, N. D. Antibacterial-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 22, 582–610 (2009).
- 4. Lewis, K. Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nat Rev Microbiol 5, 48-56 (2007).
- 5. Lewis, K. Persister Cells. Annual review of microbiology 64, 357-372 (2010).
- 6. Que, Y. A. et al. A quorum sensing small volatile molecule promotes antibiotic tolerance in bacteria. PLoS One 8, e80140 (2013).
- 7. Livermore, D. M. Current epidemiology and growing resistance of gram-negative pathogens. *Korean J Intern Med* 27, 128–142 (2012).
- 8. Lau, G. W., Hassett, D. J., Ran, H. & Kong, F. The role of pyocyanin in Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. *Trends Mol Med* 10, 599–606 (2004).
- 9. Hassan, H. M. & Fridovich, I. Mechanism of the antibiotic action pyocyanine. J Bacteriol 141, 156–163 (1980).
- 10. Baron, S. S. & Rowe, J. J. Antibiotic action of pyocyanin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 20, 814-820 (1981).
- Machan, Z. A., Taylor, G. W., Pitt, T. L., Cole, P. J. & Wilson, R. 2-Heptyl-4-hydroxyquinoline N-oxide, an antistaphylococcal agent produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother 30, 615–623 (1992).
- 12. Van Ark, G. & Berden, J. A. Binding of HQNO to beef-heart sub-mitochondrial particles. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **459**, 119–127 (1977).
- Hazan, R. et al. Auto Poisoning of the Respiratory Chain by a Quorum-Sensing-Regulated Molecule Favors Biofilm Formation and Antibiotic Tolerance. Curr Biol 26, 195–206 (2016).
- Paiva, C. N. & Bozza, M. T. Are reactive oxygen species always detrimental to pathogens? Antioxid Redox Signal 20, 1000–1037 (2014).
- Spooner, R. & Yilmaz, O. The role of reactive-oxygen-species in microbial persistence and inflammation. Int J Mol Sci 12, 334–352 (2011).
- Hassett, D. J., Charniga, L., Bean, K., Ohman, D. E. & Cohen, M. S. Response of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to pyocyanin: mechanisms of resistance, antioxidant defenses, and demonstration of a manganese-cofactored superoxide dismutase. *Infect Immun* 60, 328–336 (1992).
- Ochsner, U. A., Vasil, M. L., Alsabbagh, E., Parvatiyar, K. & Hassett, D. J. Role of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa oxyR-recG operon in oxidative stress defense and DNA repair: OxyR-dependent regulation of katB-ankB, ahpB, and ahpC-ahpF. J Bacteriol 182, 4533–4544 (2000).
- Gambello, M. J. & Iglewski, B. H. Cloning and characterization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR gene, a transcriptional activator of elastase expression. J Bacteriol 173, 3000–3009 (1991).

- Schuster, M. & Greenberg, E. P. A network of networks: quorum-sensing gene regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. International journal of medical microbiology: IJMM 296, 73–81 (2006).
- 20. Venturi, V. Regulation of quorum sensing in Pseudomonas. FEMS microbiology reviews 30, 274-291 (2006).
- 21. Deziel, E. *et al.* Analysis of Pseudomonas aeruginosa 4-hydroxy-2-alkylquinolines (HAQs) reveals a role for 4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline in cell-to-cell communication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 1339–1344 (2004).
- Cao, H. et al. A quorum sensing-associated virulence gene of Pseudomonas aeruginosa encodes a LysR-like transcription regulator with a unique self-regulatory mechanism. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 14613 (2001).
- Xiao, G. et al. MvfR, a key Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenicity LTTR-class regulatory protein, has dual ligands. Mol Microbiol 62, 1689–1699 (2006).
- Williams, P. & Cámara, M. Quorum sensing and environmental adaptation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a tale of regulatory networks and multifunctional signal molecules. *Current opinion in microbiology* 12, 182–191 (2009).
- Heeb, S., Fletcher, M. P., Chhabra, S. R., Diggle, S. P., Williams, P. & Camara, M. Quinolones: from antibiotics to autoinducers. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 35, 247–274 (2010).
- Pesci, E. C. et al. Quinolone signaling in the cell-to-cell communication system of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96, 11229–11234 (1999).
- McGrath, S., Wade, D. S. & Pesci, E. C. Dueling quorum sensing systems in Pseudomonas aeruginosa control the production of the Pseudomonas quinolone signal (PQS). *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 230, 27–34 (2004).
- Passador, L., Cook, J. M., Gambello, M. J., Rust, L. & Iglewski, B. H. Expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence genes requires cell-to-cell communication. *Science* 260, 1127–1130 (1993).
- Ochsner, U. A., Koch, A. K., Fiechter, A. & Reiser, J. Isolation and characterization of a regulatory gene affecting rhamnolipid biosurfactant synthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 176, 2044–2054 (1994).
- Latifi, A. et al. Multiple homologues of LuxR and LuxI control expression of virulence determinants and secondary metabolites through quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. Mol Microbiol 17, 333–343 (1995).
- Gilbert, K. B., Kim, T. H., Gupta, R., Greenberg, E. P. & Schuster, M. Global position analysis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing transcription factor LasR. Mol Microbiol 73, 1072–1085 (2009).
- Åbdel-Mawgoud, A. M., Lepine, F. & Deziel, E. Rhamnolipids: diversity of structures, microbial origins and roles. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 86, 1323–1336 (2010).
- Reis, R. S., Pereira, A. G., Neves, B. C. & Freire, D. M. Gene regulation of rhamnolipid production in Pseudomonas aeruginosa-a review. *Bioresour Technol* 102, 6377–6384 (2011).
- Brint, J. M. & Ohman, D. E. Synthesis of multiple exoproducts in Pseudomonas aeruginosa is under the control of RhlR-RhlI, another set of regulators in strain PAO1 with homology to the autoinducer-responsive LuxR-LuxI family. J Bacteriol 177, 7155–7163 (1995).
- Xiao, G., He, J. & Rahme, L. G. Mutation analysis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa mvfR and pqsABCDE gene promoters demonstrates complex quorum-sensing circuitry. Microbiology 152, 1679–1686 (2006).
- Lepine, F. et al. PqsA is required for the biosynthesis of 2,4-dihydroxyquinoline (DHQ), a newly identified metabolite produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Burkholderia thailandensis. Biol Chem 388, 839–845 (2007).
- Lepine, F., Milot, S., Deziel, E., He, J. & Rahme, L. G. Electrospray/mass spectrometric identification and analysis of 4-hydroxy-2alkylquinolines (HAQs) produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 15, 862–869 (2004).
- Scott-Thomas, A. J. et al. 2-Aminoacetophenone as a potential breath biomarker for Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the cystic fibrosis lung. BMC Pulm Med 10, 56 (2010).
- Kesarwani, M. et al. A Quorum Sensing Regulated Small Volatile Molecule Reduces Acute Virulence and Promotes Chronic Infection Phenotypes. PLoS Pathogens 7, e1002192 (2011).
- Bandyopadhaya, A. et al. The quorum sensing volatile molecule 2-amino acetophenon modulates host immune responses in a manner that promotes life with unwanted guests. PLoS Pathog 8, e1003024 (2012).
- Déziel, E. et al. The contribution of MvfR to Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenesis and quorum sensing circuitry regulation: multiple quorum sensing-regulated genes are modulated without affecting lasRI, rhlRI or the production of N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones. Mol Microbiol 55, 998–1014 (2005).
- 42. Lesic, B. et al. Inhibitors of pathogen intercellular signals as selective anti-infective compounds. PLoS Pathog 3, 1229-1239 (2007).
- Starkey, M. et al. Identification of anti-virulence compounds that disrupt quorum-sensing regulated acute and persistent pathogenicity. PLoS Pathog 10, e1004321 (2014).
- Wade, D. S. et al. Regulation of Pseudomonas quinolone signal synthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 187, 4372–4380 (2005).
- 45. Déziel, E. et al. The contribution of MvfR to Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenesis and quorum sensing circuitry regulation: multiple quorum sensing-regulated genes are modulated without affecting lasRI, rhlRI or the production of N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones. Molecular microbiology 55, 998–1014 (2005).
- Dekimpe, V. & Deziel, E. Revisiting the quorum-sensing hierarchy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the transcriptional regulator RhlR regulates *LasR*-specific factors. *Microbiology* 155, 712 (2009).
- 47. Hazan, R. *et al.* Homeostatic interplay between bacterial cell-cell signaling and iron in virulence. *PLoS Pathogens* **6**, e1000810 (2010).
- Gallagher, L. A., McKnight, S. L., Kuznetsova, M. S., Pesci, E. C. & Manoil, C. Functions required for extracellular quinolone signaling by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Journal of bacteriology 184, 6472 (2002).
- Maddocks, S. E. & Oyston, P. C. Structure and function of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) family proteins. Microbiology 154, 3609-3623 (2008).
- Bailey, T. L. & Elkan, C. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 2, 28–36 (1994).
- McKnight, S. L., Iglewski, B. H. & Pesci, E. C. The Pseudomonas quinolone signal regulates rhl quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 182, 2702–2708 (2000).
- Farrow, J. M. et al. PqsE functions independently of PqsR-Pseudomonas quinolone signal and enhances the rhl quorum-sensing system. Journal of bacteriology 190, 7043–7051 (2008).
- 53. Sonnleitner, E. *et al.* The small RNA PhrS stimulates synthesis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa quinolone signal. *Mol Microbiol* **80**, 868–885 (2011).
- 54. Cianciotto, N. P. Type II secretion: a protein secretion system for all seasons. Trends Microbiol 13, 581-588 (2005).
- Russell, A. B., Peterson, S. B. & Mougous, J. D. Type VI secretion system effectors: poisons with a purpose. Nat Rev Microbiol 12, 137–148 (2014).
- Douzi, B., Filloux, A. & Voulhoux, R. On the path to uncover the bacterial type II secretion system. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 367, 1059–1072 (2012).
- Hatzenpichler, R. et al. In situ visualization of newly synthesized proteins in environmental microbes using amino acid tagging and click chemistry. Environ Microbiol 16, 2568–2590 (2014).
- 58. Lu, J. & Holmgren, A. The thioredoxin antioxidant system. Free Radic Biol Med 66, 75-87 (2014).
- 59. Dubbs, J. M. & Mongkolsuk, S. Peroxiredoxins in bacterial antioxidant defense. Subcell Biochem 44, 143-193 (2007).

- Wei, Q. et al. Global regulation of gene expression by OxyR in an important human opportunistic pathogen. Nucleic Acids Res 40, 4320–4333 (2012).
- Chiancone, E. & Ceci, P. The multifaceted capacity of Dps proteins to combat bacterial stress conditions: Detoxification of iron and hydrogen peroxide and DNA binding. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1800, 798–805 (2010).
- Calhoun, L. N. & Kwon, Y. M. Structure, function and regulation of the DNA-binding protein Dps and its role in acid and oxidative stress resistance in Escherichia coli: a review. J Appl Microbiol 110, 375–386 (2011).
- Wu, N. et al. Ranking of persister genes in the same Escherichia coli genetic background demonstrates varying importance of individual persister genes in tolerance to different antibiotics. Front Microbiol 6, 1003 (2015).
- Vega, N. M., Allison, K. R., Khalil, A. S. & Collins, J. J. Signaling-mediated bacterial persister formation. Nat Chem Biol 8, 431–433 (2012).
- Goswami, M., Mangoli, S. H. & Jawali, N. Involvement of reactive oxygen species in the action of ciprofloxacin against Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50, 949–954 (2006).
- Yeom, J., Imlay, J. A. & Park, W. Iron homeostasis affects antibiotic-mediated cell death in Pseudomonas species. J Biol Chem 285, 22689–22695 (2010).
- Calhoun, L. N. & Kwon, Y. M. The ferritin-like protein Dps protects Salmonella enterica serotype Enteritidis from the Fentonmediated killing mechanism of bactericidal antibiotics. Int J Antimicrob Agents 37, 261–265 (2011).
- Dwyer, D. J., Collins, J. J. & Walker, G. C. Unraveling the physiological complexities of antibiotic lethality. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 55, 313–332 (2015).
- Khakimova, M., Ahlgren, H. G., Harrison, J. J., English, A. M. & Nguyen, D. The stringent response controls catalases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and is required for hydrogen peroxide and antibiotic tolerance. J Bacteriol 195, 2011–2020 (2013).
- Hazan, R., Que, Y. A., Maura, D. & Rahme, L. G. A method for high throughput determination of viable bacteria cell counts in 96well plates. BMC Microbiol 12, 259 (2012).
- Mashburn, L. M. & Whiteley, M. Membrane vesicles traffic signals and facilitate group activities in a prokaryote. Nature 437, 422–425 (2005).
- 72. Häussler, S. & Becker, T. The *pseudomonas* quinolone signal (PQS) balances life and death in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations. *PLoS pathogens* **4**, e1000166 (2008).
- 73. Gupta, S., Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A., Bailey, T. L. & Noble, W. S. Quantifying similarity between motifs. *Genome Biol* 8, R24 (2007).
- Shimada, T., Ishihama, A., Busby, S. J. & Grainger, D. C. The Escherichia coli RutR transcription factor binds at targets within genes as well as intergenic regions. Nucleic Acids Res 36, 3950–3955 (2008).
- Viswanathan, P., Ueki, T., Inouye, S. & Kroos, L. Combinatorial regulation of genes essential for Myxococcus xanthus development involves a response regulator and a LysR-type regulator. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104, 7969–7974 (2007).
- 76. Cornelis, P. Iron uptake and metabolism in pseudomonads. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 86, 1637-1645 (2010).
- 77. Cornelis, P., Matthijs, S. & Van Oeffelen, L. Iron uptake regulation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Biometals 22, 15–22 (2009).
- 78. Soares, M. P. & Weiss, G. The Iron age of host-microbe interactions. EMBO Rep 16, 1482–1500 (2015).
- Imlay, J. A., Chin, S. M. & Linn, S. Toxic DNA damage by hydrogen peroxide through the Fenton reaction *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Science 240, 640–642 (1988).
- Troxell, B. & Hassan, H. M. Transcriptional regulation by Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) in pathogenic bacteria. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 3, 59 (2013).
- Machan, Z., Taylor, G., Pitt, T., Coke, P. & Wilson, R. 2-Heptyl-4-hydroxyquinoline N-oxide, an antistaphylococcal agent produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 30, 615–623 (1992).
- Van Ark, G. & Berden, J. A. Binding of HQNO to Beef-Heart sub mitochondrial particles. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 459, 119–137 (1977).
- Poole, L. B. Bacterial defenses against oxidants: mechanistic features of cysteine-based peroxidases and their flavoprotein reductases. Arch Biochem Biophys 433, 240–254 (2005).
- Cundliffe, E. & Demain, A. L. Avoidance of suicide in antibiotic-producing microbes. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 37, 643–672 (2010).
- 85. Hopwood, D. A. How do antibiotic-producing bacteria ensure their self-resistance before antibiotic biosynthesis incapacitates them? *Mol Microbiol* **63**, 937–940 (2007).
- 86. Shah, D. et al. Persisters: a distinct physiological state of E. coli. BMC Microbiol 6, 53 (2006).
- Cho, J. et al. Escherichia coli persister cells suppress translation by selectively disassembling and degrading their ribosomes. Mol Microbiol 95, 352–364 (2015).
- Ilangovan, A. et al. Structural basis for native agonist and synthetic inhibitor recognition by the Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing regulator PqsR (MvfR). PLoS Pathog 9, e1003508 (2013).
- Storz, M. P. et al. Validation of PqsD as an anti-biofilm target in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by development of small-molecule inhibitors. J Am Chem Soc 134, 16143–16146 (2012).
- Lu, C. et al. Discovery of antagonists of PqsR, a key player in 2-alkyl-4-quinolone-dependent quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Chem Biol 19, 381–390 (2012).
- Klein, T. et al. Identification of small-molecule antagonists of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa transcriptional regulator PqsR: biophysically guided hit discovery and optimization. ACS Chem Biol 7, 1496–1501 (2012).
- Rahme, L. G. et al. Common virulence factors for bacterial pathogenicity in plants and animals. Science (New York, NY) 268, 1899–1902 (1995).
- Pesci, E. C., Pearson, J. P., Seed, P. C. & Iglewski, B. H. Regulation of las and rhl quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* 179, 3127–3132 (1997).
- 94. Wilder, C. N., Diggle, S. P. & Schuster, M. Cooperation and cheating in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: the roles of the las, rhl and pqs quorum-sensing systems. *ISME J* **5**, 1332–1343 (2011).
- Castang, S., McManus, H. R., Turner, K. H. & Dove, S. L. H-NS family members function coordinately in an opportunistic pathogen. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 18947–18952 (2008).
- 96. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- Kharchenko, P. V., Tolstorukov, M. Y. & Park, P. J. Design and analysis of ChIP-seq experiments for DNA-binding proteins. Nat Biotechnol 26, 1351–1359 (2008).
- Kefala, K. et al. Purification, crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of the C-terminal fragment of the MvfR protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun 68, 695–697 (2012).
- 99. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31, 166-169 (2015).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140 (2010).
- Savli, H. Expression stability of six housekeeping genes: a proposal for resistance gene quantification studies of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa by real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Journal of Medical Microbiology 52, 403–408 (2003).
- 102. Robinson, J. T. et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 29, 24-26 (2011).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Anthony Anselmo and Ruslan Sadreyev from the MGH Department of Molecular Biology for their help on ChIPseq and RNAseq analysis. This work was supported by Shriners Hospital Postdoctoral Fellowship #84206 to D.M., Cystic Fibrosis Foundation fellowship #BALLOK15F0 to A.B. and by the research grants, Shriners #8770, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation #11P0, NIAID R33AI105902 and R56AI063433 to L.G.R.

#### Author Contributions

D.M., R.H. and L.G.R. designed experiments. D.M., R.H., T.K. and A.B. performed experiments. D.M. and L.G.R. wrote the manuscript and prepared figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

**Competing financial interests:** LGR is the scientific founder and scientific advisory board member of Spero Therapeutics LLC. No funding from Spero Therapeutics was received.

How to cite this article: Maura, D. *et al.* Evidence for Direct Control of Virulence and Defense Gene Circuits by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Quorum Sensing Regulator, MvfR. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34083; doi: 10.1038/srep34083 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016