SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 26 January 2016 Accepted: 20 May 2016 Published: 09 June 2016

OPEN Small RNA transcriptomes of mangroves evolve adaptively in extreme environments

Ming Wen¹, Xinggin Lin¹, Munan Xie¹, Yushuai Wang¹, Xu Shen¹, Zhonggi Liufu¹, Chung-I Wu^{1,2}, Suhua Shi¹ & Tian Tang¹

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and endogenous small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are key players in plant stress responses. Here, we present the sRNA transcriptomes of mangroves Bruquiera gymnorrhiza and Kandelia candel. Comparative computational analyses and target predictions revealed that mangroves exhibit distinct sRNA regulatory networks that differ from those of glycophytes. A total of 32 known and three novel miRNA families were identified. Conserved and mangrove-specific miRNA targets were predicted; the latter were widely involved in stress responses. The known miRNAs showed differential expression between the mangroves and glycophytes, reminiscent of the adaptive stress-responsive changes in Arabidopsis. B. gymnorrhiza possessed highly abundant but less conserved TAS3 trans-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs) in addition to tasiR-ARFs, with expanded potential targets. Our results indicate that the evolutionary alteration of sRNA expression levels and the rewiring of sRNA-regulatory networks are important mechanisms underlying stress adaptation. We also identified sRNAs that are involved in salt and/or drought tolerance and nutrient homeostasis as possible contributors to mangrove success in stressful environments.

Plants have evolved remarkable ways to sense and cope with various environmental stresses. Being adapted to challenging environments, extremophile plants represent a valuable resource for understanding the evolutionary processes of stress adaptation and its underlying mechanisms^{1,2}. Whereas the mechanisms of stress adaptation have been largely studied at the genomic and transcriptional levels, the importance of posttranscriptional regulation has been emphasized with the identification of stress-responsive small RNAs (sRNAs) in plants^{3,4}.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are two major groups of sRNAs in plants³⁻⁵. MiRNAs are generated from RNA polymerase II (Pol II)-transcribed single-stranded primary miRNAs (Pri-miRNAs) and are then processed by DICER-LIKE 1 (DCL1) into mature products that guide target mRNA cleavage and/or translation inhibition. Unlike miRNAs, siRNAs are derived from RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP)-generated double-stranded RNAs and mediate DNA methylation or trigger target mRNA cleavage⁵. Plant miRNAs preferentially target transcripts encoding transcriptional factors and play pivotal roles in developmental and phase transitions, nutrient homeostasis and stress responses^{5,6}. Plant miRNAs can also initiate the biogenesis of *trans*-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs) through cleavage of *TAS* transcripts⁷⁻⁹. The vast majority of miRNAs that are involved in plant growth and development show altered expression profiles in response to biotic and abiotic stresses, indicating that miRNAs mediate stress-responsive developmental modulation^{3,4}. Despite the importance of miRNAs in plant stress tolerance, the miRNA repertoire has only been reported for limited extremophiles, such as Thellungiella salsuginea¹⁰.

Mangroves are a group of woody plants that grow in tropical and sub-tropical intertidal zones and estuaries¹¹. As extremophiles, mangroves have evolved various morphological, physiological and reproductive adaptations to cope with abiotic stresses, such as high salinity, anoxia and nutrient-poor substrates¹¹. There has been increasing interest in studying mangroves to better understand plant adaptations to extreme environments¹¹⁻¹³. A recent study demonstrated that the two mangroves Heritiera littoralis and Rhizophora mangle share distinct transcriptome profiles, differing from those of stress-sensitive plants¹³. This finding makes us wonder whether

¹State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Resources and Key Laboratory of Biodiversity Dynamics and Conservation of Guangdong Higher Education Institutes, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, Guangdong, China. ²Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, 1101 E. 57th Street, Chicago, IL, 60637, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.T. (email: lsstt@mail.sysu.edu.cn)

sRNA profiles have been embodied in the unique mangrove "lifestyle". If so, how could the distinct mangrove sRNA profiles shed light on the molecular mechanisms underlying plant stress adaptations? Currently, only red sea mangrove (*Avicennia marina*) miRNAs have been identified by high-throughput sequencing¹⁴. Information on sRNA expression profiles is scarce for most mangrove species. No attempt has been made to compare the mangrove sRNA repertoire with that of stress-sensitive plants.

Here, we deep sequenced the sRNA libraries from the leaf and flower tissues of *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* and *Kandelia candel*, two viviparous and salt-excluding mangrove species. We demonstrated the differential expression of miRNAs between mangroves and glycophytes, which resembled the adaptive responses of these miRNAs under various abiotic stresses. MiRNA target prediction and the expanded features of putative tasiRNAs reveal the rewiring of the sRNA regulatory networks in mangroves.

Results

Deep sequencing analysis of small RNA libraries from *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel.* Small RNA libraries were constructed from the leaves and flowers of *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel*, each with two biological replicates. The workflow of the sRNA annotation is shown in Fig. 1a. Approximately 180 million clean reads were obtained from eight libraries, corresponding to 27.5 million unique reads (Table S1). After excluding reads matching the structural non-coding RNAs and repetitive sequences, the remaining 89.2% of total reads were used to evaluate known miRNAs and to predict novel miRNAs. As shown in Fig. 1b, the 21-nt sRNAs, accounting for 41.4–71.2% of the total reads, were the most abundant in all of the libraries, followed by 24- and 22-nt sRNAs (5.5–24.8% and 7.2–11.9%, respectively). In plants, 21-nt sRNAs are usually canonical miRNAs, whereas 24-nt sRNAs consist mainly of sRNAs that are associated with repeats and TEs⁵. *K. candel* had more 24-nt sRNAs in the flower buds (21.3%) than in the leaves (5.5%) and had a greater proportion of 21-nt sRNAs than *B. gymnorrhiza* (66.5% vs. 51.0%, Fig. 1b), indicating differential expression of sRNAs between tissues and species of these mangroves.

Identification of the known and novel miRNAs in mangroves. We refer to the known miRNAs from 22 families that are conserved in both monocots and eudicots as conserved miRNAs¹⁵, whereas those from miRNA families reported in either monocot or eudicot lineages are referred to as less-conserved miRNAs. By mapping short reads to miRNA precursors registered in miRBase (Release 18), we identified 32 known miRNA families collectively from *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel*, including 255 distinct mature miRNAs from 21 conserved and 11 less-conserved families (Fig. 2). MiR827 was the only undetectable conserved miRNA in both species. The expression level of each mature miRNA for each miRNA family was then used for further analyses (see Materials and Methods). As shown in Fig. 2, the conserved miRNAs were generally more abundant than the less-conserved miRNAs, with miR165/166 the most abundant in all libraries (on average, 139,200 RPM), followed by miR168, miR156, miR167 and miR164 (>9,000 RPM per library. Tissue-preferential expression was also observed for miR156 and miR530 in the leaves and miR390 in the flowers of mangroves (Fig. 2).

After removing the short reads matching known miRNAs, the remaining sRNAs were used to predict novel miRNAs by a modified miRDeep2 algorithm¹⁶ with the genome of *P. trichocarpa*¹⁷ as a mapping reference. According to the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group III system¹⁸, *P. trichocarpa* is most closely related to Rhizophoraceae among the species with available whole genomes. The predicted novel miRNAs exhibited a canonical stem-loop structure (Fig. S2a), and the presence of the homologous transcripts of these predicted novel miRNA precursors was detected by homology search (blastn, $e < 6 \times 10^{-9}$) against the *R. apiculata* transcriptome (Fig. S2b). Two of the novel miRNAs were further supported by the presence of miRNA^{*} (Table 1) and PCR cloning of the genomic loci in *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel* (Fig. S2b). Moreover, the averaged MFEI of the novel miRNAs was 1.73 ± 0.34 , consistent with a previous observation that miRNAs exhibit a higher MFEI value than tRNAs (0.64), rRNAs (0.59), and mRNAs (0.65)¹⁹. The predicted novel miRNAs were expressed at much lower levels than the known miRNAs and often exhibited species-preferential expression. As shown in Table 1, bgy-miR1001 is more abundant in *B. gymnorrhiza* than in *K. candel* (146 vs. 50 reads per library), whereas the expression of bgy-miR1002 is eight times higher in *K. candel* than in *B. gymnorrhiza* (197 vs. 24 reads pre library).

Conserved and specific targets of mangrove miRNAs. Plant miRNAs recognize their target mRNAs through perfect or near perfect base-pairing, mainly in the coding region⁵. Based on a set of 10,938 unigenes of *B. gymnorrhiza*¹², we predicted 81 target genes for 98 mature miRNAs from 22 known families and one novel miRNA family using psRNAtarget²⁰. The lack of known predicted targets for some conserved miRNAs is likely due to the incomplete transcriptome information available for *B. gymnorrhiza*. The predicted targets of the known miRNAs were frequently involved in metabolism, membrane transport and response to stimuli (Fig. S3), suggesting diverse roles of miRNA regulation in mangrove development and stress responses. The novel bgy-miR1001 was predicted to target BP940488, a casein kinase II subunit gene (*CKB*) that is well-conserved in regulating eukaryotic circadian clocks²¹.

To assess the conserved and specific miRNA regulations among phylogenetically diverse mangrove species, we predicted targets for the same collection of known miRNAs in two additional mangroves — *R. mangle* and *H. littoralis* — based on their transcriptome data²² and using *Arabidopsis* as a reference. The predicted miRNA targets had different levels of sequence conservation, of which twenty-four miRNA-target pairs were shared by *Arabidopsis* and at least one mangrove species (Fig. 3a). These conserved miRNA targets were enriched for genes encoding transcriptional factors, such as *SBP* (miR156), *NAC* domain protein and *UXS* gene (miR164), *ARF* (miR167), *AP2* (miR172), *TCP2* (miR319), *AFB* (miR393), F-box gene (miR394), *APS* (miR395), *bHLH* (miR396) and *MYBs* (miR828/miR858, Fig. 3b), consistent with the results of the miRNA target prediction in red sea mangrove¹⁴. In addition to transcriptional factors, conserved miRNA targets also include genes with

a Eight small RNA libraries of mangroves, removing adaptors, low-quality and <18- or >30-nt reads.

Filtering non-coding sRNAs: Excluding

sRNAs mapped to r/t/sn/snoRNAs and TEs,

allowing 2 mismatches.

Total: 160,123,986 Unique: 26,080,624

Evaluating known miRNAs: mapping sRNAs

to mature sequences of plant miRNAs

(miRBase v18.0), allowing 2 mismatches:

32 families of known miRNAs

Total: 110,397,309 Unique: 25,366,392

Predicting novel miRNAs: Mapping sRNAs to

P. trichocarpa genome, allowing 2 mismatches,

predicted by miREvo:

3 novel miRNAs

Total: 110,394,410 Unique: 25,366,034

Predicting tasiRNAs: Mapping sRNAs to homo-

logous TAS genes, allowing 1 mismatch:

Putatitive TAS3 and TAS4 tasiRNAs



Figure 1. The characterization of small RNA (sRNA) populations in *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* and *Kandelia candel*. (a) Pipeline for the identification of miRNAs and tasiRNAs from mangrove sRNA libraries. The number of candidates and the resultant sRNAs after each step are indicated. (b) The sRNA size distributions from the libraries of the flower (F) and leaf (L) tissues of *B. gymnorrhiza* (Bgy) and *K. candel* (Kca). The error bar indicates the SD (n = 2).

	Brugu	iera į	gymnorrhiza		Kandelia		candel							
ID	Flower		Leaf		Flower		Leaf		Ptc	Gma	Ath	Vvi	Sbi	Osa
miR156	23809	19493	50844	62103	3133	4105	9517	19041	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR159	118	76	145	493	75	127	169	55	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR160	57	55	66	188	52	17	26	44	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR162	1046	648	1398	2070	695	720	972	626	++	++	++	++	++	+
miR164	6824	3097	25040	15883	4272	7908	20023	10297	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR165/166	96697	72358	237352	161933	120044	108248	190725	131600	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR167	9334	13467	14227	17079	8900	11015	16389	13307	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR168	38047	18914	35711	35089	29398	30809	68025	75223	++	++	++	++	+	+
miR169	403	212	18	39	79	79	50	81	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR171	492	588	338	396	618	485	304	189	++	++	++	++	++	+
miR172	93	38	72	74	132	129	245	98	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR319	566	47	3	29	125	208	3	1	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR390	6913	3013	469	363	2465	4277	241	256	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR393	31	26	16	24	15	15	74	59	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR394	128	126	117	1210	20	10	10	36	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR395	120	61	296	4437	17	45	62	24	++	++	++	++	+	+
miR396	1207	938	3465	2320	502	600	2153	1302	++	++	++	++	++	++
miR397	5204	2103	9220	1060	370	210	986	891	++	++	++	++	+	++
miR398	58	54	76	25	8	6	9	9	++	++	++	++	+	++
miR399	6	7	4	5	14	3	43	5	++		++	+	++	+
miR408	203	441	440	310	18	16	33	27	++	++	++	++	++	+
miR170	491	587	338	396	618	485	303	189			+			
miR403	1159	1006	784	694	31	37	133	104	++	+	++	++	++	
miR482	79	104	28	43	0	0	0	0	+	+		+		
miR528	0	74	0	1	1	0	95	4					+	+
miR530	6	6	83	94	3	3	46	45	+	+				+
miR535	46533	34980	77525	51796	19569	29175	85072	46572					++	++
miR828	1	1	2	1	2	4	6	11			+	+		
miR858	7431	2897	25152	33012	16612	21419	58242	16129			+			
miR1507	10	1	4	17	3	0	0	2		+				
miR2111	502	305	772	652	6	2	16	16		+	+	+		

Figure 2. Read abundance and sequence conservation of known miRNA families in the flower and leaf tissues of *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel*. Numbers indicate the RPM (reads per million) of the representative mature miRNAs for the individual miRNA families in each sample. The sequence conservation of the 32 known miRNA families in *B. gymnorrhiza* and/or *K. candel* was checked in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Ath), *Glycine max* (Gma), *Populus trichocarpa* (Ptc), *Vitis vinifera* (Vvi), *Sorghum bicolor* (Sbi), and *Oryza sativa* (Osa). The symbols indicate the following: ++, at least one mature sequence in the mangrove miRNA families is identical to a sequence in another species; +, miRNA sequences are present with mismatches in other species; and -, miRNA sequences are absent in other species. The conserved and less-conserved miRNA families are indicated in red and black, respectively.

well-known functions in stress responses and nutrient deprivation, such as nuclear factor Y (*NF-Ys*, miR169) in drought stress²³, Cu/Zn *SODs* (miR398) in copper deprivation and oxidative stress²⁴ and ATP sulfurylase (*APS*, miR395) in sulfate deprivation²⁵. However, we failed to identify some well-characterized miRNA targets — such as the miR399 target phosphate 2 (*PHO2*), which maintains plant phosphate (Pi) homeostasis²⁶ — due to the lack of homologous sequences in mangroves.

Ten predicted targets common in at least two mangrove species but absent in *Arabidopsis* were classified as mangrove-specific miRNA targets (Fig. 3a and Table S4). These targets included rhodanese/cell cycle control phosphatase (miR396, Fig. 3c), which is involved in leaf senescence and defense responses²⁷; *LETM1*-like protein (miR162), photosystem II reaction center protein L (*PSBL*, miR171), ATP synthase gamma chain 1 (*ATPC1*, miR172), and NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein (*UXE*, miR172), which are involved in photosynthesis and/or photorespiration²⁸; transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein (miR156) and P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase superfamily protein (NTPase, miR172), which are involved in diverse developmental processes and stress signaling²⁹; and the heat shock protein *ARG1*-like 1 (*ARL1*, miR156), TCP-1/cpn60 chaperonin family protein (miR172) and Nudix hydrolase homolog gene (*NUDT*, miR528), which act as key regulators of stress responses³⁰. The conserved miRNAs acquired new targets involved in stress tolerance in mangroves.

In addition to mangrove-specific miRNA targets, conserved miRNAs also acquired species-specific regulatory relationships. For example, miR394 regulates the auxin signaling F-box protein in diverse plants⁴ but was predicted to target UDP-glucosyltransferase (*UGT*)-encoding gene in *B. gymnorrhiza* (Fig. 3d). The loss of function of the *Arabidopsis UGT71C1* gene is known to improve resistance to oxidative damage³¹. Using 5' RACE, we validated the conserved miRNA target Cu/Zn *SOD1* of miR398 and the mangrove-specific miRNA target rhodanese/ cell cycle control phosphatase of miR396 (Fig. 3c) in *B. gymnorrhiza* (Fig. S4).

			Count									
miRNA	Mature (5'-3')	Length (nt)	Bgy.F.1	Bgy.F.2	Bgy.L.1	Bgy.L.2	Kca.F.1	Kca.F.2	Kca.L.1	Kca. L.2	MFE/MFEI	Star
bgy-miR1001	TATCGAGTAGTAATTCAGGCA	21	179	156	134	116	42	48	46	66	-21.8/1.82	No
bgy-miR1002	TGTGCTTATGGACGGTCTTAT	21	20	15	27	33	171	135	275	207	-23.2/1.36	Yes
bgy-miR1003	TGAGATCCGATAGTATGGTAG	21	33	53	48	46	37	53	12	15	-22.3/2.03	Yes

Table 1. Predicted novel miRNAs in *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* and *Kandelia candel*. The abbreviations are defined as follows: Bgy, *B. gymnorrhiza*; Kca, *K. candel*; F, flower; L, leaf; 1/2, the number of biological repeats; MFE, the minimum free energy of hybridization between the mature miRNA and star sequences; and MFEI, minimal folding free energy index.

Differential expression of known miRNAs between mangroves and glycophytes. We now address whether mangroves exhibit differential miRNA expression from stress-sensitive plants. The abundance of known miRNAs was compared between the two mangroves (*B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel*) and four glycophyte species (*Arabidopsis, Populus*, grape and sorghum), for which sRNA sequencing data are available for leaves and/ or flowers (Table S2). We did not include *A. marina* in this analysis because sRNAs were sequenced from pooled tissues in this species¹⁴.

Hierarchical clustering analysis clustered known miRNAs from mangroves and glycophytes into two distinct clades (Fig. 4). Nine of the 32 known miRNAs were found by edgeR³² to be differently expressed between mangroves and glycophytes, with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 and a fold change >2 in at least one tissue (Fig. 4). The differentially expressed miRNAs were further classified as mangrove-excessive miRNAs (miR530, miR535, miR858 and miR2111) or mangrove-deficient miRNAs (miR399, miR169, miR396, miR172 and miR394) according to their expression levels relative to those of glycophytes (Fig. 4). The excess of miR535 and miR858 in mangroves was remarkable in both tissues (Fig. 4). miR535 is upregulated by low temperature in the Phalaenopsis orchid³³, whereas miR858 together with miR828 triggers the production of *TAS4* tasiRNAs by repressing *MYBs*³⁴. The remaining differentially expressed miRNAs are responsive to either salt/drought stress (miR169, miR394 and miR396) or nutrient deprivation (miR399, miR2111 and miR530), except miR172, which regulates flowering time and flowering patterning⁶.

miR169, miR394 and miR396, which act as negative regulators of plant drought or salt stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*^{23,35,36}, showed deficient expression (30- to 215-fold decrease on average) in the flowers and leaves of mangroves (Fig. 4). The downregulation of miR169 upon drought stress confers enhanced drought tolerance through the de-repression of *NY-F* in *Arabidopsis*²³, whereas miR169 is upregulated by drought and salt in rice^{37,38}. MiR394 suppresses salt tolerance while simultaneously promoting drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis* through the repression of *LCR*³⁵. Similarly, the overexpression of miR396 decreases salt tolerance but confers drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*³⁶. Among miRNAs that participate in nutrient homeostasis, miR399 was mangrove-deficient, whereas miR2111 and miR530 were mangrove-excessive (Fig. 4). MiR399, miR2111 and the absent miR827 in mangroves (Fig. 2) are specifically induced in response to phosphate deprivation in *Arabidopsis*^{26,39,40}. MiR399 represses *PHO2* — a repressor for nitrate-dependent Pi uptake^{26,39} — and is repressed upon N starvation in *Arabidopsis*^{40,41} owing to the antagonistic interaction between Pi and nitrate⁴⁰. In contrast, miR399 is induced by N starvation, whereas miR530 is repressed by N starvation in rice⁴². Taken together, the differential expression of miRNAs between mangroves and glycophytes is consistent with their adaptive responses upon various stresses in *Arabidopsis*, although the stress-responsive miRNA profiles can vary under different stresses and between different species.

We further examined the tissue-preferential expression of miRNAs in mangroves using a generalized linear model. Ten miRNAs were differentially expressed between tissues in mangroves (adjusted p-value < 0.05 and fold change >2 in at least one species), including eight leaf-biased miRNAs (miR156, miR164, miR165/166, miR395, miR396, miR530, miR535 and miR858) and two flower-biased miRNAs (miR319 and miR390) (Fig. S5). The majority of these miRNAs exhibited divergent tissue-preferential expression between mangroves and glycophytes, such as miR165/166 with leaf-biased expression in *B. gymnorrhiza* but flower-biased expression in *Arabidopsis* and grape (Fig. S5). In contrast, the tissue-preferential expression of miR535, miR319 and miR390 was conserved among mangroves and glycophytes (Fig. S5). To confirm the results of RNA-seq, we conducted qRT-PCR of 12 miRNAs and validated the differential expression patterns between mangroves and glycophytes and/or between the tissues of mangroves in three-fourths of the comparisons (Fig. S6).

Trans-acting siRNAs and their targets in mangroves. In plants, three miRNAs are known to participate in the biogenesis of tasiRNAs. The miR173-*TAS1/TAS2* pathway has only been reported in *Arabidopsis*⁷, whereas the miR390-*TAS3* and miR828-*TAS4* pathways are conserved in the plant kingdom and eudicots, respectively^{8,9}. To identify tasiRNAs in mangroves, we first identified *BgTAS3* (BP947370) and *BgTAS4* (BP945347, *MYB*) by BLASTx against *B. gymnorrhiza* unigenes (e < 0.001) and then mapped short reads from *B. gymnorrhiza* to *BgTAS3* or *BgTAS4* using Bowtie⁴³, allowing one mismatch. Approximately 85% of the short reads matching *BgTAS3* were 21 nt in length, which is the canonical length for tasiRNAs, whereas the short reads matching *BgTAS4* had an even distribution, with 21-nt reads accounting for only 49% of the matched reads (Figs S7 and S8).

We detected 21-nt phased siRNAs (phasiRNAs) — 1.6-fold more abundant in leaves than in flowers — derived from *BgTAS3* (Figs 5 and S7), which were considered as putative *TAS3* tasiRNAs. In *Arabidopsis*, the generation of *TAS3* tasiRNAs is triggered by a "two-hit" model of miR390-directed cleavage⁸. The two target sites of miR390



Figure 3. Conserved and unconserved targets of mangrove miRNAs. (a) Venn diagram describing the overlap of the predicted miRNA targets among *B. gymnorrhiza* (Bgy), *R. mangle* (Rma), *H. littoralis* (Hli) and *A. thaliana* (Ath). (b) A target of miR858 that is conserved among mangroves and *Arabidopsis*. (c) A target of miR396 that is conserved among mangroves. (d) A target of miR394 that is unique to *B. gymnorrhiza*. Numbers indicate the complementarity score between the miRNAs and their target transcript. The higher the scores, the more detrimental the mismatches for miRNA function. In the alignments, the vertical lines indicate matches between the miRNA and target, the missing lines indicate mismatches, and the dots indicate G:U wobble pairs. The asterisks indicate identical residues among all four species.

.....

were both conserved in the *BgTAS3* transcripts, from which Bgy-tasiRNA1 and Bgy-tasiRNA2 were derived (Fig. 5). Two unconserved regions of *BgTAS3* produced three-fold more abundant phased-tasiRNA registers, Bgy-tasiRNA3 and Bgy-tasiRNA4 (Fig. 5), indicating that the *TAS3* tasiRNAs biogenesis pathway has evolved unique features in *B. gymnorrhiza*.

Both Bgy-tasiRNA1 and Bgy-tasiRNA2 were predicted to target *ARF* genes (*ARF2* and *ARF4*). The miR390-*TAS3-ARF* pathway is conserved in higher plants⁴⁴, in which tasiRNA-*ARFs* function collectively with miR165/166 in the specification of organ polarity and morphogenesis⁴⁵. In contrast, Bgy-tasiRNA3 and Bgy-tasiRNA4 were predicted to target the TATA-binding protein-associated factor 5 (*TAF5*) and a gene encoding a hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein (*HRGP*). *TAF5* is involved in Pol II transcription initiation, histone acetylation and chromatin modification⁴⁶, whereas *HRGP* transcripts accumulate in response to various biotic and abiotic stresses as a defense mechanism because hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins are important structural components of plant cell walls⁴⁷.



Figure 4. The differential expression of known miRNAs between mangroves and glycophytes. The heatmap is log2 (RPM) of 32 known miRNAs in the flower (F) and leaf (L) tissues of mangroves (*B. gymnorrhiza* (Bgy) and *K. candel* (Kca)) and glycophytes (*A. thaliana* (Ath), *P. trichocarpa* (Ptc), *V. vinifera* (Vvi), and *S. bicolor* (Sbi)). The conserved and less-conserved miRNA families are indicated in red and black, respectively. MiR827 was included in the differential expression analysis despite its absence in mangroves. The mangrove-excessive and mangrove-deficient miRNAs are indicated with upward and downward arrows in red and blue, respectively. The function and differential expression of these miRNAs under salt, drought, (–)N and (–)P stress are indicated as +, positive regulators; –, negative regulators; \uparrow , upregulation; and \downarrow , downregulation. The data were adapted from studies in *Arabidopsis* combined with two data points from rice, which are indicated with an asterisk. For each miRNA, its function or expression under a certain stress that matched its differential expression between mangroves and glycophytes is indicated in the same color as for the excessive or deficient expression in mangroves.

The putative *TAS4* tasiRNAs, i.e., 21-nt phasiRNAs derived from *BgTAS4*, were in low abundance and had low reproducibility (Fig. S8). Considering the low miR828 level and high miR858 level in *B. gymnorrhiza* (Fig. 2), the biogenesis of *TAS4* tasiRNA in this species is likely triggered by miR828. Moreover, 21-nt sRNAs were barely mapped to the annotated *MYB* genes in *B. gymnorrhiza* (less than ten reads per library per site), suggesting that the biogenesis of miR828-mediated *TAS4* tasiRNAs is not as active as it is in other plants, such as *Arabidopsis*³⁴ and apple⁴⁴.

Discussion

The convergent evolution of mangroves under common extreme environments occurs at the transcriptome level¹³. Here, we demonstrate that the mangroves *B. gymnorrhiza* and *K. candel* differ substantially from glycophytes in their miRNA profiles (Fig. 4). Two-thirds of the mangrove-excessive and mangrove-deficient miRNAs respond to salt (drought) stress and nutrient deprivation (Fig. 4). Most importantly, altered expression of these miRNAs largely resembles the miRNA expression changes that confer enhanced stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 4), suggesting that evolutionary changes in miRNA expression may contribute to mangrove adaptations to high-salinity and low-fertility environments. Convergent evolution of miRNA expression may have also occurred in mangroves, as evidenced by the absence of miR827 consistently observed in this study and in *A. marina*¹⁴.

Mangrove soils are characterized by high salinity and extreme deficiencies in N and P⁴⁸. High salinity prevents arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi from living in mangrove soils⁴⁹, which hinders the uptake of nutrients such as P⁵⁰. The anoxic conditions and high organic matter content result in a high denitrification rate, depleting the



Figure 5. Putative *TAS3* **tasiRNAs** in *B. gymnorrhiza*. (a) Schematic diagram of siRNA biogenesis in the *BgTAS3* transcripts (BP947370) in a flower bud library of *B. gymnorrhiza*. Plots for the other libraries are given in Fig. S5. The vertical axis of the box indicates the averaged abundance of the 21-nt read counts. The horizontal axis of the box is plotted with dashed lines with a scale of 21 nt. The target site of miR390 is indicated with a bold arrow, and the four most abundant tasiRNAs are indicated with narrow arrows. Below the box is the sequence conservation for each type of tasiRNA among plant species, including *A. thaliana* (AT3G17185), *B. gymnorrhiza* (BP947370), *Curio articulatus* (JN692259), *Cicer arietinum* (XR_189283), *Cucumis sativus* (XR_181103), *Glycine max* (XR_418344), *Lotus japonicus* (AK338955), *Medicago truncatula* (AC186679), *Nicotiana tabacum* (FJ804751), *P. trichocarpa* (XM_006378492), *Solanum lycopersicum* (JX047545) and *V. vinifera* (XM_002273850). The asterisks indicate identical residues among all species. (b) Sequence pairings between the tasiRNAs and their target sites. The vertical lines indicate matches, the missing lines indicate mismatches, and the dots indicate G:U wobble pairs.

nitrate and nitrite pools in mangrove soils^{11,48}. It is therefore not surprising that mangroves have reprogrammed expression profiles of the miRNAs that are associated with salt tolerance and nutrient uptake. Consistent with our findings, a recent study proposed that the differential expression of miRNAs might contribute to the survival of the intertidal snail *Littorina littoralis* during natural freezing or anoxia exposure⁵¹, indicating that alteration of miRNA expression is a prevalent phenomenon in the adaptation to environmental stresses.

The adverse interactions of multiple environmental factors in mangrove swamps may have shaped the mangrove miRNA profiles in a complex manner through the crosstalk of overlapping pathways^{3,4}. The contrasting expression patterns of miR399 (deficiency) and miR2111 (excess) in mangroves are a result of crosstalk that reconciles the negative interactions between Pi and nitrate. In *Arabidopsis*, miR399 and miR827 maintain nitrate-dependent phosphate homeostasis^{26,39}, whereas miR2111 responds exclusively to Pi starvation⁴⁰. The deficiency in miR399, coincident with the absence of miR827 in mangroves, is consistent with a scenario of severe N starvation overwhelming Pi starvation. To cope with multiple stresses, the miRNAs in mangroves may play the role of canalization in buffering gene expression against fluctuating intertidal environments⁵². Moreover, the tissue-specific differential expression and the divergent tissue-preferential expression of miRNAs between mangroves and glycophytes (Figs 4 and S5) suggest that attenuation of miRNA expression is important for developmental modulation during mangrove adaptation to extreme environments.

In addition to expressional changes, miRNAs in mangroves have evolved an expanded target repertoire (Fig. 3). For example, miR396 and miR394 were predicted to recruit the new targets *RHOD* and *UGT* (Fig. 3c,d), which are involved in defense responses and oxidative resistance, respectively^{27,31}. MiR396 recently gained the

target *HaWRKY6*, which confers high-temperature protection in the sunflower *Helianthus annuus*⁵³, indicating that acquiring novel miRNA regulation is an important mechanism underlying stress resistance in extremophiles. It is therefore likely that the predicted novel miRNA regulations in mangroves play a role in adaptive development and metabolism responses to environmental stresses.

The rewiring of tasiRNA pathways may also contribute to mangrove stress adaptations. *B. gymnorrhiza* possesses two highly abundant *TAS3* tasiRNAs with potential new targets *TAF5* and *HRGP*, which participate in essential cellular process (Fig. 5). We suspect that the novel tasiRNA regulations unique to mangroves might enable the stability of gene expression and/or chromatin structure, reconciling the conflicting demands of growth and stress resistance in the avoidance of overreaction to long-term stress exposure. In support of this hypothesis, the mangrove *Ceriops tagal* maintains transcriptional homeostasis in saline environments⁵⁴. In the future, it will be interesting to validate the potentially rewired sRNA regulatory relationships and to elucidate their biological roles in adaptive stress responses.

In summary, mangroves differ from glycophytes with respect to their expression profiles and the regulatory relationships of miRNAs and tasiRNAs. Optimization of miRNA expression and rewiring of sRNA regulatory networks are important mechanisms underlying adaptation to extreme environments.

Methods

Plant material. Plant material from *K. candel* and *B. gymnorrhiza* was collected in a field near Dongzhai Harbor, Hainan, China. Samples of the leaves and flower buds were harvested and immediately stored in RNAlater (Applied Biosystems/Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) until RNA extraction. Two biological replicates were prepared for each tissue per species, resulting in eight samples in total.

RNA isolation and small RNA sequencing. Total RNA was extracted using a modified CTAB method⁵⁵ and was evaluated using an Agilent 21100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Small RNA libraries were prepared using the standard protocols of the Illumina Small RNA Sample Prep Kit and were sequenced using an Illumina Genome Analyzer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at BGI (Shenzhen, China).

Computational analysis of the sequencing data. The pipeline of sRNA sequencing data processing is illustrated in Fig. 1a. After trimming off adaptor sequences and removing the low-quality and low-complexity reads, clean reads ranging from 19 to 30 nt were aligned against Rfam (Rfam $11.0)^{56}$ and RepeatMasker database (RepBase $12.0)^{57}$ using Bowtie (options: -f -v 2 -a –best –strata)⁴³. Reads matching structural non-coding RNAs, such as rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, snoRNA, and repetitive sequences, were removed from further analysis.

Identification of known and novel miRNAs. Plant mature miRNAs and their precursors were retrieved from miRBase (Release 18)⁵⁸. Short reads from each sRNA library were mapped to known miRNA hairpins using Bowtie⁴³, allowing up to two mismatches. Reads matching each of the mature miRNA sequences registered in miRBase were counted. Mature miRNAs mapped with more than 10 raw reads in both biological replicates were retained for further analysis. The abundance of each mature miRNA was normalized by the total number of miRNA reads in a given library and was scaled to reads per million (RPM). After excluding reads matching known miRNAs, the remaining reads were mapped to the genome of *Populus trichocarpa* (v1.1, ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih. gov/genomes/Populus_trichocarpa/) using Bowtie⁴³ to predict novel miRNAs. miREvo was also used to predict novel miRNAs¹⁶.

MiRNA target prediction and sequence analysis. A total of 11,997 ESTs of *B. gymnorrhiza* were retrieved from a previous microarray analysis (Supplemental Table 5 of ref. 13) and clustered into 10,938 unigenes using USEARCH⁵⁹, requiring more than 85% identity. The transcriptomes of *H. littoralis* and *R. mangle* were downloaded from http://mangrove.illinois.edu22. Based on these data, psRNAtarget²⁰ was used to predict the miRNA targets in each mangrove species using the default settings. Sequence conservation of the predicted miRNA targets was compared across the three mangrove species and *Arabidopsis* using the *Arabidopsis* gene models as references. The between-species overlaps of conserved targets were analyzed using a 4-way Venn diagram web tool (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/). Gene ontology (GO) annotations were conducted using the AgBase GOanna package⁶⁰ with a BLASTx search against the "Plant" database.

MiRNA differential expression analysis. The sRNA sequencing data from the leaves and/or flowers of *Arabidopsis thaliana, Populus trichocarpa, Vitis vinifera* and *Sorghum bicolor* were retrieved from a public database (Table S2) and were processed as illustrated in Fig. 1a. The expression levels of the known miRNAs in each library were calculated and normalized as RPM, as described above for the mangrove data. To conduct the cross-species differential expression analysis, the most conserved mature miRNA across all of the surveyed species was identified for each miRNA family (Table S3) and was used as the representative mature miRNA for further analysis. When more than one mature miRNA exhibited the same degree of conservation, the most abundant was chosen if it was on average >1.5-fold more abundant than the other(s), or an arbitrary one was selected if all of the conserved mature miRNAs were conducted 1) between mangroves and glycophytes for each tissue separately and 2) between different tissues of mangroves using edgeR³². For the former, a one-way layout design was used to construct the generalized linear model (GLM); for the latter, a nested design (eight samples for two species and two tissues) was used. In all of the comparisons, miRNAs with a fold-change ≥ 2 and an adjusted p-value ≤ 0.01 were considered differentially expressed.

Identification and target prediction of tasiRNAs. To identify putative tasiRNAs, *TAS* transcripts were identified by $BLASTx^{61}$ search against the *B. gymnorrhiza* unigenes with a cut-off of e < 0.001; short reads from

the *B. gymnorrhiza* sRNA libraries were then mapped to the EST sequences of *BgTAS3* (BP947370) and *BgTAS4* (BP945347) using Bowtie⁴³, allowing one mismatch. The targets of *TAS3* tasiRNAs in *B. gymnorrhiza* were predicted using psRNAtarget²⁰ with the default settings.

Quantitative real-time PCR of miRNAs. Stem-loop real-time PCR⁶² was used for miRNA quantification as described previously⁶³. Briefly, total RNA was reverse-transcribed using TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Invitrogen) and stem loop primers. The first-strand cDNA was then used as a template for real-time PCR in a 20 μ L reaction mixture containing 0.1 μ M Universal ProbeLibrary Probe #21 (Roche), 0.4 μ L of 1 μ M miRNA-specific forward and universal reverse primer. All reactions were run in triplicate on a LightCycler 480 instrument system (Roche, Germany). miRNA expression was normalized against that of 5.8S rRNA. The primers used for the reverse transcription and real-time PCR reactions are listed in Table S5.

Detection of miRNA cleavage products using 5' RACE. The total RNA $(1 \mu g)$ from leaves of *B. gymnorrhiza* was used to synthesize 5' RACE-ready cDNA with universal primer mix (UPM) and the SMARTer[®] RACE 5'/3' Kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The gene-specific primers were designed based on the ESTs of the potential miRNA target genes in *B. gymnorrhiza* (Table S5). Amplicons corresponding to the size of the expected cleavage products were gel-purified, cloned into PMD18-T vector (Takara, Otsu, Japan) and sequenced.

References

- 1. Dittami, S. M. & Tonon, T. Genomes of extremophile crucifers: new platforms for comparative genomics and beyond. *Genome Biol* **13**, 166, doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-8-166 (2012).
- 2. Oh, D. H., Dassanayake, M., Bohnert, H. J. & Cheeseman, J. M. Life at the extreme: lessons from the genome. *Genome Biol* 13, 241, doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-3-241 (2012).
- Shukla, L. I., Chinnusamy, V. & Sunkar, R. The role of microRNAs and other endogenous small RNAs in plant stress responses. Biochim Biophys Acta 1779, 743–748, doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2008.04.004 (2008).
- Khraiwesh, B., Zhu, J. K. & Zhu, J. H. Role of miRNAs and siRNAs in biotic and abiotic stress responses of plants. *Biochim Biophys Acta-Gene Regul Mech* 1819, 137–148, doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.05.001 (2012).
- Axtell, M. J. Classification and Comparison of Small RNAs from Plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol, doi: 10.1146/annurevarplant-050312-120043 (2013).
- 6. Huijser, P. & Schmid, M. The control of developmental phase transitions in plants. *Development* **138**, 4117–4129, doi: 10.1242/ Dev.063511 (2011).
- Felippes, F. F. & Weigel, D. Triggering the formation of tasiRNAs in Arabidopsis thaliana: the role of microRNA miR173. EMBO Rep 10, 264–270, doi: 10.1038/embor.2008.247 (2009).
- Axtell, M. J., Jan, C., Rajagopalan, R. & Bartel, D. P. A two-hit trigger for siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell* 127, 565–577, doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.032 (2006).
- 9. Luo, Q. J., Mittal, A., Jia, F. & Rock, C. D. An autoregulatory feedback loop involving PAP1 and TAS4 in response to sugars in Arabidopsis. *Plant Mol Biol* 80, 117–129, doi: 10.1007/s11103-011-9778-9 (2012).
- Zhang, Q. et al. Genome-wide identification of Thellungiella salsuginea microRNAs with putative roles in the salt stress response. BMC Plant Biol 13, 180, doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-180 (2013).
- 11. Tomlinson, P. B. The Botany of Mangroves. (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- Miyama, M. & Tada, Y. Transcriptional and physiological study of the response of Burma mangrove (Bruguiera gymnorhiza) to salt and osmotic stress. *Plant Mol Biol* 68, 119–129, doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9356-y (2008).
- Dassanayake, M., Haas, J. S., Bohnert, H. J. & Cheeseman, J. M. Shedding light on an extremophile lifestyle through transcriptomics. New Phytol 183, 764–775, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02913.x (2009).
- Khraiwesh, B., Pugalenthi, G. & Fedoroff, N. V. Identification and analysis of red sea mangrove (Avicennia marina) microRNAs by high-throughput sequencing and their association with stress responses. *PLoS One* 8, e60774, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060774 (2013).
- Cuperus, J. T., Fahlgren, N. & Carrington, J. C. Evolution and functional diversification of MIRNA genes. *Plant Cell* 23, 431–442, doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.082784 (2011).
- 16. Wen, M., Shen, Y., Shi, S. & Tang, T. miREvo: an integrative microRNA evolutionary analysis platform for next-generation sequencing experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **13**, 140 (2012).
- 17. Tuskan, G. et al. The genome of black cottonwood, Populus trichocarpa (Torr. & Gray). Science **313**, 1596–1604 (2006).
- Bremer, B. *et al.* An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Bot J Linn Soc* 161, 105–121 (2009).
- Zhang, B. H., Pan, X. P., Cox, S. B., Cobb, G. P. & Anderson, T. A. Evidence that miRNAs are different from other RNAs. Cell Mol Life Sci 63, 246–254, doi: 10.1007/s00018-005-5467-7 (2006).
- Dai, X. & Zhao, P. X. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server. Nucleic Acids Res 39, W155–159, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr319 (2011).
- 21. Maier, B. et al. A large-scale functional RNAi screen reveals a role for CK2 in the mammalian circadian clock. Genes Dev 23, 708–718, doi: 10.1101/gad.512209 (2009).
- Dassanayake, M., Haas, J. S., Bohnert, H. J. & Cheeseman, J. M. Comparative transcriptomics for mangrove species: an expanding resource. Funct Integr Genomics 10, 523–532, doi: 10.1007/s10142-009-0156-5 (2010).
- Li, W. X. et al. The Arabidopsis NFYA5 transcription factor is regulated transcriptionally and posttranscriptionally to promote drought resistance. Plant Cell 20, 2238–2251, doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.059444 (2008).
- Dugas, D. V. & Bartel, B. Sucrose induction of Arabidopsis miR398 represses two Cu/Zn superoxide dismutases. *Plant Mol Biol* 67, 403–417, doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9329-1 (2008).
- 25. Jagadeeswaran, G., Li, Y. F. & Sunkar, R. Redox signaling mediates the expression of a sulfate-deprivation-inducible miR395 in Arabidopsis. *Plant J*, doi: 10.1111/tpj.12364 (2013).
- Park, B. S., Seo, J. S. & Chua, N. H. NITROGEN LIMITATION ADAPTATION Recruits PHOSPHATE2 to Target the Phosphate Transporter PT2 for Degradation during the Regulation of Arabidopsis Phosphate Homeostasis. *Plant Cell* 26, 454–464, doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.120311 (2014).
- Schenk, P. M., Kazan, K., Rusu, A. G., Manners, J. M. & Maclean, D. J. The SEN1 gene of Arabidopsis is regulated by signals that link plant defence responses and senescence. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 43, 997–1005, doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2005.09.002 (2005).
- Bottoms, C. A., Smith, P. E. & Tanner, J. J. A structurally conserved water molecule in Rossmann dinucleotide-binding domains. Protein Sci 11, 2125–2137, doi: 10.1110/ps.0213502 (2002).

- Verslues, P. E., Lasky, J. R., Juenger, T. E., Liu, T. W. & Kumar, M. N. Genome-wide association mapping combined with reverse genetics identifies new effectors of low water potential-induced proline accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 164, 144–159, doi: 10.1104/pp.113.224014 (2014).
- Ishikawa, K., Yoshimura, K., Ogawa, T. & Shigeoka, S. Distinct regulation of Arabidopsis ADP-ribose/NADH pyrophosphohydrolases, AtNUDX6 and 7, in biotic and abiotic stress responses. *Plant Signal Behav* 5, 839–841, doi: 10.1104/pp.109.140442 (2010).
- Lim, C. E. et al. Improved resistance to oxidative stress by a loss-of-function mutation in the Arabidopsis UGT71C1 gene. Mol Cells 25, 368–375 (2008).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616 (2010).
- An, F. M., Hsiao, S. R. & Chan, M. T. Sequencing-based approaches reveal low ambient temperature-responsive and tissue-specific microRNAs in phalaenopsis orchid. *PLoS One* 6, e18937, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018937 (2011).
- Guan, X. et al. miR828 and miR858 regulate homoeologous MYB2 gene functions in Arabidopsis trichome and cotton fibre development. Nat Commun 5, 3050, doi: 10.1038/ncomms4050 (2014).
- Song, J. B. et al. miR394 and LCR are involved in Arabidopsis salt and drought stress responses in an abscisic acid-dependent manner. BMC Plant Biol 13, 210, doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-210 (2013).
- Gao, P. et al. Over-expression of osa-MIR396c decreases salt and alkali stress tolerance. Planta 231, 991–1001, doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1104-2 (2010).
- Zhao, B. T. et al. Identification of drought-induced microRNAs in rice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 354, 585–590, doi: 10.1016/j. bbrc.2007.01.022 (2007).
- Zhao, B. T. *et al.* Members of miR-169 family are induced by high salinity and transiently inhibit the NF-YA transcription factor. BMC Mol Biol 10, doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-29 (2009).
- Kant, S., Peng, M. & Rothstein, S. J. Genetic regulation by NLA and microRNA827 for maintaining nitrate-dependent phosphate homeostasis in arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet* 7, e1002021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002021 (2011).
- Hsieh, L. C. et al. Uncovering Small RNA-Mediated Responses to Phosphate Deficiency in Arabidopsis by Deep Sequencing. Plant Physiol 151, 2120–2132, doi: 10.1104/pp.109.147280 (2009).
- Liang, G., He, H. & Yu, D. Identification of nitrogen starvation-responsive microRNAs in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS One 7, e48951, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0048951 (2012).
- 42. Cai, H., Lu, Y., Xie, W., Zhu, T. & Lian, X. Transcriptome response to nitrogen starvation in rice. J Biosci 37, 731–747 (2012).
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* 10, R25, doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25 (2009).
- 44. Xia, R., Zhu, H., An, Y., Beers, E. P. & Liu, Z. Apple miRNAs and tasiRNAs with novel regulatory networks. *Genome Biol* 13, R47, doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r47 (2012).
- Jung, J. H. & Park, C. M. MIR166/165 genes exhibit dynamic expression patterns in regulating shoot apical meristem and floral development in Arabidopsis. *Planta* 225, 1327–1338, doi: 10.1007/s00425-006-0439-1 (2007).
- Hardy, S. et al. TATA-binding protein-free TAF-containing complex (TFTC) and p300 are both required for efficient transcriptional activation. J Biol Chem 277, 32875–32882, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M205860200 (2002).
- Showalter, A. M. et al. Accumulation of hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein mRNAs in response to fungal elicitor and infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82, 6551–6555 (1985).
- Krauss, K. W. et al. Environmental drivers in mangrove establishment and early development: A review. Aquat Bot 89, 105–127, doi: 10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.014 (2008).
- Sengupta, A. & Chaudhuri, S. Arbuscular mycorrhizal relations of mangrove plant community at the Ganges river estuary in India. Mycorrhiza 12, 169–174, doi: 10.1007/s00572-002-0164-y (2002).
- 50. Bradley, R., Burt, A. J. & Read, D. J. The Biology Of Mycorrhiza In The Ericaceae. *New Phytol* **91**, 197–209, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1982.tb03306.x (1982).
- Biggar, K. K., Kornfeld, S. F., Maistrovski, Y. & Storey, K. B. MicroRNA regulation in extreme environments: differential expression of microRNAs in the intertidal snail Littorina littorea during extended periods of freezing and anoxia. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* 10, 302–309, doi: 10.1016/j.gpb.2012.09.002 (2012).
- 52. Wu, C. I., Shen, Y. & Tang, T. Evolution under canalization and the dual roles of microRNAs: a hypothesis. *Genome Res* **19**, 734–743 (2009).
- Giacomelli, J. I., Weigel, D., Chan, R. L. & Manavella, P. A. Role of recently evolved miRNA regulation of sunflower HaWRKY6 in response to temperature damage. New Phytol 195, 766–773, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04259.x (2012).
- Liang, S. et al. Transcriptional homeostasis of a mangrove species, Ceriops tagal, in saline environments, as revealed by microarray analysis. PLoS One 7, e36499, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036499 (2012).
- Fu, X., Deng, S., Su, G., Zeng, Q. & Shi, S. Isolating high-quality RNA from mangroves without liquid nitrogen. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 22, 197–197, doi: 10.1007/bf02772728 (2004).
- 56. Griffiths-Jones, S., Bateman, A., Marshall, M., Khanna, A. & Eddy, S. R. Rfam: an RNA family database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, 439–441 (2003).
- 57. Jurka, J. et al. Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res 110, 462-467, doi: 10.1159/000084979 (2005).
- Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H. K., van Dongen, S. & Enright, A. J. miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 36, D154–158, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm952 (2008).
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C. & Knight, R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194–2200, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381 (2011).
- 60. McCarthy, F. M. *et al.* AgBase: a functional genomics resource for agriculture. *BMC Genomics* 7, 229, doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-7-229 (2006).
- Gish, W. & States, D. J. Identification of protein coding regions by database similarity search. Nat Genet 3, 266–272, doi: 10.1038/ ng0393-266 (1993).
- Chen, C. et al. Real-time quantification of microRNAs by stem-loop RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 33, e179, doi: 10.1093/nar/gni178 (2005).
- 63. He, L. *et al.* Efficient and specific inhibition of plant microRNA function by anti-microRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) *in vitro* and *in vivo. Plant Cell Rep* 35, 933–945, doi: 10.1007/s00299-016-1933-y (2016).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (31170308, 31130069, 91231117, 41130208 and 31301010), the Science Foundation for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education of Guangdong (Yq2013005) and the General Financial Grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2013M531981). We thank Cairong Zhong for help with sample collection and Yang Shen for useful discussions.

Author Contributions

M.W., C.-I.W., S.S. and T.T. designed the experiment. X.L., M.X., Y.W., X.S. and Z.L. collected the samples and conducted the laboratory analyses. M.W., Y.W., Z.L. and T.T. analyzed the data. M.W. and T.T. wrote the manuscript. C.-I.W., S.S. and T.T. supervised the laboratory work. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Accession Codes: The sequencing data were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under the accession number GSE56442.

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wen, M. *et al.* Small RNA transcriptomes of mangroves evolve adaptively in extreme environments. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 27551; doi: 10.1038/srep27551 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/