SCIENTIFIC **REPORTS**

Received: 18 February 2015 Accepted: 30 July 2015 Published: 01 September 2015

OPEN Silica bioreplication preserves three-dimensional spheroid structures of human pluripotent stem cells and HepG2 cells

Yan-Ru Lou^{1,*}, Liisa Kanninen^{1,*}, Bryan Kaehr^{2,3}, Jason L. Townson^{4,5}, Johanna Niklander¹, Riina Harjumäki¹, C. Jeffrey Brinker^{2,3} & Marjo Yliperttula¹

Three-dimensional (3D) cell cultures produce more in vivo-like multicellular structures such as spheroids that cannot be obtained in two-dimensional (2D) cell cultures. Thus, they are increasingly employed as models for cancer and drug research, as well as tissue engineering. It has proven challenging to stabilize spheroid architectures for detailed morphological examination. Here we overcome this issue using a silica bioreplication (SBR) process employed on spheroids formed from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) and hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells cultured in the nanofibrillar cellulose (NFC) hydrogel. The cells in the spheroids are more round and tightly interacting with each other than those in 2D cultures, and they develop microvilli-like structures on the cell membranes as seen in 2D cultures. Furthermore, SBR preserves extracellular matrix-like materials and cellular proteins. These findings provide the first evidence of intact hPSC spheroid architectures and similar fine structures to 2D-cultured cells, providing a pathway to enable our understanding of morphogenesis in 3D cultures.

Human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs), including human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), show potential for drug research, tissue engineering, and regenerative medicine. Since the first hESC lines were established in 1998¹, much research has focused on the development of *in vitro* culture systems to maintain cell pluripotency and to minimize the spontaneous differentiation of hPSCs. For clinical applications, cells cannot come into contact with animal-derived components. One of the reasons is unwanted immune responses². Therefore, a number of synthetic biomaterials³⁻⁹ have been produced to replace traditionally used feeder cells and Matrigel as substrata in hESC and hiPSC cultures. However, all of the above-mentioned cultures³⁻⁹ are two-dimensional (2D) cell cultures, which do not mimic the in vivo three-dimensional (3D) stem cell niche.

To date, there are only a handful of studies demonstrating successful 3D cultures of hPSCs in hydrogels¹⁰⁻¹³. We recently developed a 3D cell culture system using a plant-derived nanofibrillar cellulose (NFC) hydrogel¹⁴. The hPSCs form pluripotent 3D spheroids in the NFC hydrogel. The unique feature of the NFC hydrogel-based 3D culture system is that intact 3D spheroids can be recovered from the hydrogel by a cellulase enzyme for downstream applications. We have studied the phenotypic features of

¹Centre for Drug Research, Division of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, the University of Helsinki, Helsinki ooo14, Finland. ²Advanced Materials Laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA. ³Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA. 4Division of Molecular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA. ⁵Center for Micro-Engineered Materials, the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-R.L. (email: yan-ru.lou@helsinki.fi) or M.Y. (email: marjo.yliperttula@helsinki.fi)

the hPSCs in the NFC hydrogel at molecular and functional levels¹⁴. However, little is known about the detailed cellular morphology and the organization of the cells inside the spheroids. The morphology of the hESCs cultured in 2D environments was previously studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which revealed tight cell-cell contact, microvilli-covered cell surfaces, and matrix-like materials between cells^{15,16}. By contrast, the morphology of the hPSCs cultured in 3D environments has not been studied in great detail. To our knowledge, there is only one morphological study which showed the spherical shape of the hESCs grown within a porous chitosan-alginate scaffold¹¹. To gain insights into the morphology of 3D hPSC spheroids, we employed the silica bioreplication (SBR) method^{17,18} to stabilize the spheroids for examination by SEM.

The first *in vitro* biomimetic synthesis of silica was reported more than a decade ago¹⁹. Later this biomimetic approach was used in producing silica nanomaterials^{20–22} and cell-directed silica biocomposites^{17,23–27}. SBR is a self-limiting biomolecular surface-directed silica assembly process that results in nearly an exact replica of external and internal cellular^{17,27}, tissue, and organism-scale¹⁸ features in nanometre (<10 nm) thick silica layers. Specimens are incubated in a dilute (100 mM) solution of silicic acid (Si(OH)₄) that is mildly acidic to suppress self-condensation of silica precursors (\equiv Si-OH + HO-Si \equiv $\rightarrow \equiv$ Si-O-Si \equiv + H₂O) which would lead to bulk gel formation. Only in close proximity to proteinaceous biomolecular surfaces, which serve as silica condensation catalysts, does silica deposition occur. Once the catalytic sites are occluded, deposition is terminated, resulting in precise replication of biomolecular features. Silica replication causes the entirety of hierarchical features displayed by multicellular structures to be mechanically stabilized allowing simple drying of the specimen without significant dimensional changes.

In this study, we looked at the structures of the cells in 3D spheroids and 2D surfaces after SBR. Moreover, we show that molecular-scale antigen presentation is preserved under SBR conditions.

Results

The phenotypic features of the cells in 2D and 3D cultures. We cultured both the hPSCs and HepG2 cells in the NFC hydrogel, which has recently been shown to be a suitable hydrogel for 3D cell culturing^{14,28,29}, and in the ExtraCelTM hydrogel, a hyaluronan-gelatine-based hydrogel. Phase contrast microscopy images reveal that both iPS(IMR90)-4 and WA07 cells form round 3D spheroids with diameters between 100 µm to 350 µm during 8-day culture in the NFC hydrogel, but not in the ExtraCelTM hydrogel (Fig. 1a). We observed a large degree of variation in the sizes of individual WA07 spheroids, which is expected given that they are formed from individual colonies containing a variable number of stem cells. Indeed, the number of cells counted (via dissociation into individual cells) from three individual spheroids showed a wide range (1056–6720 cells). The cell viability estimated by trypan blue exclusion is over 97%. The pluripotent markers of hPSCs were studied by immunofluorescence and flow cytometry. WA07 cells expressed the pluripotent markers OCT4 and SSEA-4 at similar levels in both the standard 2D culture and 3D NFC hydrogel culture (Fig. 1b–d). HepG2 cells formed 3D spheroids on day 8 with diameters at $73 \pm 21 \,\mu$ m (n = 71) in the NFC hydrogel and $66 \pm 19 \,\mu$ m (n = 47) in the ExtraCelTM hydrogel, respectively.

SBR preserves detailed cellular structures. To study the detailed cellular structures of the cells cultured in 2D and 3D, we prepared the cell samples and cell-silica composites for SEM. We observed dramatic differences between non-silicified spheroids and silicified spheroids. The non-silicified spheroids deformed considerably during sample preparation, presumably during the drying procedure, resulting in obscuration of surface features (Fig. 2a). In contrast, the cell spheroid-silica composites stabilized using SBR retained their spherical morphology and were well preserved (Fig. 2b). Both the hPSCs and HepG2 cells developed tight cell-cell interactions during 8-day 3D culture in the NFC hydrogel (Fig. 2b). Cells in 3D spheroids appeared more round than those in 2D culture (Fig. 2b). We observed protrusions in some elongated HepG2 cells and also in some hPSCs at the edge of the colonies, but not in the cells in 3D spheroids (Fig. 2b). Some small cracks were observed in the hPSC colonies in 2D culture (Fig. 2b), which was presumably caused by dehydration during sample preparation for SEM.

For comparison with the NFC hydrogel-based 3D culture, we obtained HepG2 cell spheroids in the ExtraCel[™] hydrogel. However, we were not able to recover the intact HepG2 cell spheroids from the ExtraCel[™] hydrogel because the enzyme mixture used to degrade the hydrogel was observed to dissociate the cell spheroids into single cells. Therefore, we could only study the silicified HepG2 cell spheroids inside the ExtraCel[™] hydrogel; however, the SEM images provide little information on the cells due to the presence of the hydrogel (Supplementary Fig. 1).

At higher magnification we observed fine cellular structures on the cell membrane of the cell-silica composites. Dense microvilli-like structures were observed in the hPSCs and HepG2 cells cultured in both 2D and 3D (Fig. 3a). Such structures were observed on the surface of hESCs in an earlier study¹⁵. Surprisingly, we observed abundant extracellular materials on the surfaces of HepG2 cells cultured in the NFC hydrogel (Fig. 3b). These extracellular materials are likely produced by HepG2 cells since the NFC hydrogel has been degraded by cellulase and the silicified nanofiber bundles of the NFC hydrogel appear different (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We removed organic cellular materials from WA07 spheroid-silica composites via calcination (500C in air for 16-24 hrs) and produced 3D silica-replicas of WA07 spheroids with well-preserved spheroid



Figure 1. The morphology of hiPSCs iPS(IMR90)-4, hESCs WA07, and human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells cultured in 3D hydrogels and the pluripotency of WA07 cells. (a) WA07 and iPS(IMR90)-4 cell spheroids in the NFC hydrogel (NFC) but not in the ExtraCelTM hydrogel (EC). HepG2 cell spheroids in both NFC and EC hydrogels. Images are representative of eight biological samples from NFC hydrogels and three biological samples from EC hydrogels. (b,c) Immunostaining of the pluripotency marker OCT4 in WA07 cells cultured in standard 2D culture system (b) and in the NFC hydrogel for 7 days (5µm paraffin section) (c). (d) Flow cytometry analysis of the pluripotency marker SSEA-4 in WA07 cells after being cultured in 2D and in 3D NFC hydrogel for 7 days. Scale bars = 100µm.

.....

architectures and cell surface structures (Fig. 3c). The cross-sectional image of a quarter calcined spheroid shows that the interior was completely silicified with some cavities near the centre of the spheroid (Fig. 3c).

Cellular antigens are partially preserved during SBR. Cellular antigens are commonly used as a means of identifying and characterizing specific cell populations. As such, here we examined the extent to which specific cellular antigens are preserved during SBR by immunofluorescence. Before immunofluorescence imaging, silica was etched from spheroid-silica composites by a dilute, buffered hydrofluoric acid. The resulting spheroids were stained by either an F-actin probe or specific antibodies. HepG2 cells cultured in the NFC hydrogel formed multiple bile canaliculus-like structures, which were revealed by the polarised distribution of F-actin and apical localisation of the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2) (Fig. 4a). After SBR, MRP2 antigens in HepG2 cells were still detectable by immunofluorescence. However, the antigens had diffused throughout the cytoplasm and even spread to other cells in the spheroids, as the protein was not detected at the apical domain of the cell membrane (Fig. 4b). Similarly, the polarised distribution of F-actin was lost during SBR, though it was still detectable in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4b). In contrast, a stem cell marker, OCT4, a nuclear protein, was detected in the cell nuclei of WA07 spheroids cultured in the NFC hydrogel both before and after SBR (Fig. 4). Negative controls in immunofluorescence show no positive signal (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Discussion

The use of various 3D culture systems has become an increasingly common method of cell culture in different areas, including cancer research, drug research, and tissue engineering. Studying the cell morphology and spatial organization within a 3D environment helps better understand how cells migrate and organize into defined patterns during tissue formation, which is an important part of developmental biology and cancer biology, and this kind of studies could potentially lead to improved methods of regulating cellular behaviour. In the present study, we showed the formation of 3D spheroids of hPSCs and HepG2 cells in the NFC hydrogel. By using the SBR method we were able to retain the spheroid architecture and



Figure 2. Morphology of human pluripotent stem cells and hepatocellular carcinoma cells with and without silica bioreplication. (a) SEM images of HepG2, iPS(IMR90)-4, and WA07 cell spheroids show deformation of 3D spheroids. (b) SEM images of HepG2, iPS(IMR90)-4, and WA07 cell spheroids after silica bioreplication show well-preserved spheroid architecture and tight cell-cell contact in the NFC hydrogel-based 3D cultures. Images are representative of eight biological samples.

reveal the detailed cell membrane features and cell organization within the spheroids at various stages of spheroid development. The hPSCs and HepG2 cells in the spheroids are more round than those in 2D cultures, and they develop tight cell-cell interactions and microvilli-like structure-coated membranes as seen in 2D cultures. Such microvilli-like structures were earlier observed on the surface of hESCs cultured on the surface of microcarriers¹⁵. To our knowledge, no such microvilli-like structures have been shown on 3D hESC spheroids by SEM¹¹. Furthermore, the HepG2 cells cultured in the NFC hydrogel produced a greater amount of extracellular matrix-like materials than those in 2D culture. The HepG2 cell spheroids observed under SEM appear similar to those reported earlier^{30,31}. Extracellular matrix was previously observed on the HepG2 cell spheroids under SEM, but there was no comparison with 2D cultured cells³⁰. These findings presented here provide detailed visual evidence of the hPSC and HepG2 cell spheroid architecture, and will enable further studies of morphogenesis in 3D cultures.

In contrast to the handful of biomaterial-based 3D culture systems for hPSCs, the NFC hydrogel-based 3D culture used here enables the recovery of intact 3D cell spheroids, allowing ready compatibility with various downstream applications and analyses. In comparison with the NFC hydrogel, the intact spheroids cannot be recovered from the ExtraCelTM hydrogel. Cell spheroids can also be generated in biomaterial-free culture systems, such as suspension culture and hanging drops. Best to our knowledge, hPSCs have been cultured in suspension, but not in hanging drop system. Karyotypic abnormalities and necrosis were found in hPSC aggregated in suspension culture^{32,33}. HepG2 spheroids were previously generated in hanging drops³⁴.

Earlier studies on hESC spheroids¹¹ and hESC-derived hepatocyte spheroids³⁵ using SEM showed very little subcellular information on dehydrated samples. Though SEM of SBR spheroids does not approach the level of detail that could be attained using thin sectioning and imaging via transmission electron microscopy (TEM), it leaves the global structure intact, and potentially addressable using other 3D imaging techniques such as confocal microscopy, as shown in Fig. 4. This compatibility with fluorescence imaging should prove enabling for colocalisation studies that can employ advanced fluorescence imaging (e.g., super resolution microscopy) provided that structures of interest (for post labelling) and/or fluorophores (for pre-labelling) can survive the SBR process—a question to be addressed in future work.

We found that SBR proved essential for obtaining intact 3D architectures of cell spheroids observable using SEM. Silica serves as a supporting scaffold in maintaining the shape of spheroids during the dehydration procedure. To compare sample stability in the absence of silica treatment, we prepared spheroids for SEM imaging using a well-established serial dehydration approach and drying from HMDS (see methods for details). This sample preparation method has been shown to preserve cellular features



Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy of silicified cells. (a) Microvilli-like structures on the surface of HepG2, iPS(IMR90)-4, and WA07 cells in 2D culture and in 3D NFC hydrogel culture. (b) Extracellular matrix-like material on a HepG2 cell spheroid in the NFC hydrogel for 8 days. (c) Silica-replicas of WA07 spheroids (5 days in the NFC hydrogel) after calcination. Images are representative of eight biological samples.



Figure 4. Detection of cellular proteins in desilicified cells. (a) Staining of filamentous actin (F-actin) and multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2) in HepG2 spheroids after 8 day-culture in the NFC hydrogel. Immunostaining of the pluripotency marker OCT4 in WA07 cells after 5 day-culture in the NFC hydrogel. (b) Detection of F-actin, MRP2, and OCT4 after desilicification of spheroid-silica composites. Scale bars = $100 \mu m$. Images are representative of eight biological samples.

as well as critical point drying³⁶. However, we observed substantial structural deformation/collapse following drying and significant obscuration of surface features compared to silica stabilised spheroids (Fig. 2a). SBR has previously been used to create complex biomaterials with hierarchical features^{22,37} and to preserve single cell suspension and attached cells^{17,27}. To our knowledge, this is the first time that SBR is used to preserve the 3D architecture of cell spheroids. This enables us to study the spheroids at high resolution and broaden our knowledge on hPSCs cultured in 3D, as has recently been demonstrated at the tissue, organ, and organism levels. This would be a convenient way of preserving biological samples and creating novel biomaterials, as suggested earlier³⁸. It may be possible to study silicified spheroids by TEM, cryo-TEM, and super resolution microscopy to obtain more detailed information on the cellular structures. In this study, we chose SEM because it provides rapid analysis of the global architecture of a biological sample versus the arduous 2D sectioning necessary for TEM analysis. Indeed using cryo-TEM it should be possible to study the cellular structures in greater detail. However, this technique remains highly specialized involving preparing micro-slice samples (100 micrometer) for TEM and cryo-TEM and virtual reconstruction into a 3D picture. In addition, thin sectioning for cross-sectional imaging in TEM destroys the 3D structures of cell spheroids.

We also discovered that the nuclear protein OCT4 was well preserved during SBR (Fig. 4). However, the localization of a cell membrane-bound protein MRP2 and F-actin was lost during SBR. We postulate that the cell membrane might be disrupted during silica deposition, and subsequently the membrane-bound antigen and F-actin diffused throughout the cytoplasm. Indeed, delocalization of membrane lipid following silica deposition was reported earlier¹⁷.

In conclusion, by using SBR, we demonstrate here that hPSCs and HepG2 cells cultured in the 3D NFC hydrogel display similar cellular features to those cultured in 2D. The spheroid shape and nuclear antigen was preserved during SBR, which enables sample analyses by different methods including SEM and immunofluorescence.

Methods

Cell maintenance. The hESC line WA07¹ and iPSC line iPS(IMR90)-4³⁹ were purchased from WiCell. Stem cells were maintained on Matrigel-coated 6-well plates in mTeSRTM1 medium (05850, STEMCELLTM Technologies) which was changed daily. Matrigel coatings were produced by incubating Matrigel (Matrigel basement membrane matrix growth factor reduced, BD Biociences, 356230) dilution (0.5 mg per one 6-well plate) in wells for one hour at room temperature. Stem cells were passaged at a ratio of 1:6 every four days after manual removal of differentiated cells. Versene 1:5000 (Invitrogen, 15040033) was used to detach the stem cell colonies. The human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells from ATCC (HB-8065) were maintained in 75 cm²-cell culture flasks in DMEM with high glucose, GlutaMAXTM, and pyruvate (Gibco, 31966) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 ug/

ml streptomycin. The medium was renewed three times per week. HepG2 cells were passaged at a ratio of 1:6 every 3–4 days using TrypLETM Express (Gibco, 12604-021). All cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO_2 .

2D and 3D cell cultures. Before SBR, all the cells were cultured in standard 2D culture and in 3D culture using either the NFC hydrogel (GrowDexTM, UPM-Kymmene, Espoo, Finland) or a commercial ExtraCelTM (EC) hydrogel, a hyaluronan-gelatin based hydrogel (Glycosan biosystems, GS208). 2D cultures were performed in 35 mm glass bottom dishes (MatTek Corporation, P35G-1.510-C). For 2D cultures, stem cells were passaged at a ratio of 1:6 on Matrigel coating as described above. The seeding density for HepG2 cells was 40,000 cells/cm². 3D cultures were performed in 8-well Lab-Tek[®] Chamber SlideTM systems (Nunc, 177445). 3D cultures of stem cells and HepG2 cells in the NFC hydrogel were performed as described earlier by us^{14,28}. Briefly, the detached stem cell colonies or HepG2 cells were mixed with 0.5 w.t% or 1.0 wt.% NFC hydrogel, respectively. The EC hydrogel formation and cell encapsulation were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. An equal medium volume to hydrogel volume was added on top of the NFC and EC hydrogels. The stem cell colony density was five times higher than that in 2D cultures, and the HepG2 cell density in the hydrogels was 1×10^6 cells/ml. The media were renewed daily for all the stem cell cultures and every 3–4 days for all the HepG2 cell cultures. To count cell number in hPSC spheroids, WA07 spheroids were dissociated by Trypsin, and then the single cells were counted by trypan blue exclusion.

Enzymatic removal of the hydrogels. The NFC hydrogel was degraded with a cellulase enzyme (VTT, Turku, Finland) and the EC hydrogel with $1 \times$ collagenase/hyalurodinase (StemCell Technologies, 07912). Cellulase treatment was performed as described earlier by us¹⁴. Briefly, 300µg of cellulase per 1 mg NFC was incubated for 24 hours at 38 °C on a shaker. Spheroids were subsequently washed with $1 \times$ DPBS(-) to remove cellulase enzyme. The EC hydrogel was removed according to the manufacturer's instructions. However, intact spheroids could not be recovered from the EC hydrogel; instead, enzymatic digestion resulted in single cells.

Flow cytometry. 3D WA07 spheroids were first recovered from the NFC hydrogel with cellulase enzyme as described above. Next, the spheroids were disintegrated to single cells with a Cell Dissociation Buffer (Gibco, 13151-014) followed by Accutase (Merck Millipore, SCR005). The cells were first incubated with anti-SSEA-4 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, MC-813-70, 1:400 in 2% FBS) on ice for 60 min. After washing, the cells were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L), conjugated with APC (SouthernBiotech, 1031-11S, 1:300 in 2% FBS) on ice for 40 min. The negative control sample was stained with only the secondary antibody. The cells were analysed on a BD LSR II flow cytometer (633 nm laser, 660/20 BP filter detector) using BD FACSDiva software. The overlay histograms were created with FlowLogic software.

Silica bioreplication (SBR) and silica replica fabrication by calcination. Prior to SBR, 2D cultured cells and recovered 3D spheroids were fixed. Both stem cells and HepG2 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min after four days in 2D cultures, 15 min (3D HepG2 spheroids), or 30 min (3D stem cell spheroids) after two, five, and eight days in 3D cultures. SBR of 2D cultured cells was performed as described previously¹⁷. Spheroids were incubated in a 100 mM tetraethyl orthosilicate (TMOS) solution in 1 mM HCl at 38 °C for 24–72 hours on a shaker. HepG2 3D spheroids in the intact EC hydrogel culture were silicified in Lab-Tek[®] Chamber SlideTM systems in the TMOS solution at 38 °C for 72 hours. Silicified cells were sequentially washed with nano-pure water at pH 3, 1:1 water-methanol solution, and finally 100% methanol and subsequently dried in air. To fabricate 3D silica replica without organic material, 3D WA07 spheroid-silica composites were calcined in air at 500 °C for 16–24 hrs.

Fixation and dehydration of non-silicified spheroids. Samples were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 2 hrs followed by rinsing in PBS and H_2O . Samples were subsequently dehydrated using 10-min duration sequential washes of 1:10, 1:2, 1:1: 2:1 (ethanol:water), 100% ethanol (2X), 1:1 (ethanol:hexamethyldisilazane [HMDS]), 100% HMDS, and dried overnight in air.

Scanning electron microscopy. Samples were deposited onto either borosilicate cover glasses or silicon substrates and sputter-coated with Au/Pd to an approximate thickness of 10 nm. SEM images were recorded using an FEI Quanta series scanning electron microscope.

Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry. Silicified hPSC and HepG2 3D spheroids were first treated with a dilute, buffered hydrofluoric acid (Transene, TIMETCH) to remove silica. The spheroids were incubated with the acid for 4 minutes and washed extensively with 1xDPBS(-). The resulting desilicified 3D stem cell and HepG2 spheroids were permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes. After overnight blocking with normal goat serum (Millipore), spheroids were incubated with either anti-Oct-3/4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-9081, 1:500) or anti-MRP2 (abcam, ab3373, 1:50), and negative control spheroids with either rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-2025, 1:80) overnight at 4°C. The secondary antibody either anti-rabbit

or anti-mouse conjugated with Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen, 1:400) was incubated for 5 hours at room temperature. Filamentous actin (F-actin) was stained with Alexa Fluor 594 phalloidin (Invitrogen, A12381, 1:50) overnight. Nuclei were stained with either DAPI (Sigma, D8417) for 5 minutes or SYTOX Green (Invitrogen; S7020) for 30 minutes. For confocal imaging, spheroids were placed in a black glass bottom optical imagining 96-well microplate (Matrical Bioscience, MGB096-1-2-L-G-L) and mounted with either ProLong[®] Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen, P36934) or SlowFade[®] Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen, S36937). The samples were analysed with a Leica TCS SP5II HCS A confocal microscope using UV for DAPI, Argon 488 nm laser for SYTOX Green, and DPSS 561 nm laser for Alexa Fluor 594. The slice displays or 3D projections of the confocal images were created with Imaris software (Bitplane AG).

To analyse the cells inside the spheroids, histological paraffin sections were generated. After fixed in 4% PFA, the spheroids were embedded in HistoGel (Thermo Scientific) and thereafter in paraffin. Five-micrometre thick sections were cut at the Finnish Centre for Laboratory Animal Pathology and used for immunohistochemistry.

References

- 1. Thomson, J. A. et al. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science 282, 1145–1147 (1998).
- Martin, M. J., Muotri, A., Gage, F. & Varki, A. Human embryonic stem cells express an immunogenic nonhuman sialic acid. Nat. Med. 11, 228–232 (2005).
- 3. Brafman, D. A. et al. Long-term human pluripotent stem cell self-renewal on synthetic polymer surfaces. Biomaterials 31, 9135-9144 (2010).
- 4. Irwin, E. F., Gupta, R., Dashti, D. C. & Healy, K. E. Engineered polymer-media interfaces for the long-term self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells. *Biomaterials* 32, 6912–6919 (2011).
- 5. Villa-Diaz, L. G. et al. Synthetic polymer coatings for long-term growth of human embryonic stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol. 28, 581–583 (2010).
- Derda, R. *et al.* High-throughput discovery of synthetic surfaces that support proliferation of pluripotent cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 1289–1295 (2010).
- Rodin, S. et al. Long-term self-renewal of human pluripotent stem cells on human recombinant laminin-511. Nat. Biotechnol. 28, 611–615 (2010).
- 8. Melkoumian, Z. *et al.* Synthetic peptide-acrylate surfaces for long-term self-renewal and cardiomyocyte differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 606–610 (2010).
- 9. Braam, S. R. et al. Recombinant vitronectin is a functionally defined substrate that supports human embryonic stem cell self-renewal via alphavbeta5 integrin. Stem Cells 26, 2257–2265 (2008).
- Gerecht, S. et al. Hyaluronic acid hydrogel for controlled self-renewal and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 11298–11303 (2007).
- 11. Li, Z., Leung, M., Hopper, R., Ellenbogen, R. & Zhang, M. Feeder-free self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells in 3D porous natural polymer scaffolds. *Biomaterials* **31**, 404–412 (2010).
- 12. Lu, H. F. et al. A 3D microfibrous scaffold for long-term human pluripotent stem cell self-renewal under chemically defined conditions. *Biomaterials* 33, 2419–2430 (2012).
- 13. Siti-Ismail, N., Bishop, A. E., Polak, J. M. & Mantalaris, A. The benefit of human embryonic stem cell encapsulation for prolonged feeder-free maintenance. *Biomaterials* 29, 3946–3952 (2008).
- 14. Lou, Y. R. et al. The use of nanofibrillar cellulose hydrogel as a flexible three-dimensional model to culture human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cells Dev. 23, 380-392 (2014).
- 15. Marinho, P. A. *et al.* Xeno-free production of human embryonic stem cells in stirred microcarrier systems using a novel animal/ human-component-free medium. *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods* **19**, 146–155 (2013).
- 16. Beier, A. F. et al. Effective surface-based cryopreservation of human embryonic stem cells by vitrification. Cryobiology 63, 175-185 (2011).
- 17. Kaehr, B. et al. Cellular complexity captured in durable silica biocomposites. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 17336-17341 (2012).
- 18. Townson, J. L. et al. Synthetic fossilization of soft biological tissues and their shape-preserving transformation into silica or electron-conductive replicas. Nat. Commun. 5, 5665 (2014).
- 19. Cha, J. N., Stucky, G. D., Morse, D. E. & Deming, T. J. Biomimetic synthesis of ordered silica structures mediated by block copolypeptides. *Nature* 403, 289–292 (2000).
- Coffman, E. A., Melechko, A. V., Allison, D. P., Simpson, M. L. & Doktycz, M. J. Surface patterning of silica nanostructures using bio-inspired templates and directed synthesis. *Langmuir.* 20, 8431–8436 (2004).
- 21. Pouget, E. *et al.* Hierarchical architectures by synergy between dynamical template self-assembly and biomineralization. *Nat. Mater.* **6**, 434–439 (2007).
- 22. Khripin, C. Y., Pristinski, D., Dunphy, D. R., Brinker, C. J. & Kaehr, B. Protein-directed assembly of arbitrary three-dimensional nanoporous silica architectures. ACS Nano 5, 1401–1409 (2011).
- Baca, H. K. et al. Cell-directed assembly of lipid-silica nanostructures providing extended cell viability. Science 313, 337–341 (2006).
- 24. Baca, H. K. et al. Cell-directed assembly of bio/nano interfaces-a new scheme for cell immobilization. Acc. Chem. Res. 40, 836-845 (2007).
- Carnes, E. C. et al. Cell-directed localization and orientation of a functional foreign transmembrane protein within a silica nanostructure. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 14255–14257 (2009).
- Harper, J. C. et al. Cell-directed integration into three-dimensional lipid-silica nanostructured matrices. ACS Nano 4, 5539–5550 (2010).
- Meyer, K. C., Coker, E. N., Bolintineanu, D. S. & Kaehr, B. Mechanically encoded cellular shapes for synthesis of anisotropic mesoporous particles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 13138–13141 (2014).
- 28. Bhattacharya, M. *et al.* Nanofibrillar cellulose hydrogel promotes three-dimensional liver cell culture. *J. Control. Release* **164**, 291–298 (2012).
- 29. Malinen, M. M. *et al.* Differentiation of liver progenitor cell line to functional organotypic cultures in 3D nanofibrillar cellulose and hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogels. *Biomaterials* **35**, 5110–5121 (2014).
- Lee, J., Cuddihy, M. J., Cater, G. M. & Kotov, N. A. Engineering liver tissue spheroids with inverted colloidal crystal scaffolds. *Biomaterials* 30, 4687–4694 (2009).

- 31. Li, X. *et al.* Micro-scaffold array chip for upgrading cell-based high-throughput drug testing to 3D using benchtop equipment. *Lab Chip* **14**, 471-481 (2014).
- 32. Amit, M. et al. Suspension culture of undifferentiated human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Rev. 6, 248–259 (2010).
- Steiner, D. et al. Derivation, propagation and controlled differentiation of human embryonic stem cells in suspension. Nat. Biotechnol. 28, 361–364 (2010).
- Kelm, J. M., Timmins, N. E., Brown, C. J., Fussenegger, M. & Nielsen, L. K. Method for generation of homogeneous multicellular tumor spheroids applicable to a wide variety of cell types. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 83, 173–180 (2003).
- 35. Subramanian, K. *et al.* Spheroid culture for enhanced differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to hepatocyte-like cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* **23**, 124–131 (2014).
- Braet, F., De Zanger, R. & Wisse, E. Drying cells for SEM, AFM and TEM by hexamethyldisilazane: a study on hepatic endothelial cells. J. Microsc. 186, 84–87 (1997).
- 37. Niu, L. N. *et al.* Biomimetic silicification of demineralized hierarchical collagenous tissues. *Biomacromolecules* **14**, 1661–1668 (2013).
- 38. Ying, J. Y. Biocomposites. Cells made of silica. Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 777-778 (2012).
- 39. Yu, J. et al. Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. Science 318, 1917-1920 (2007).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded and supported by the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation – industry-driven GrowDex II project. L.K. acknowledges the Doctoral Programme in Materials Research and Nanosciences and the National Doctoral Programme in Nanoscience, Finland. B.K. and C.J.B. acknowledge support from the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. J.L.T. acknowledges support from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant #FA9550-14-1-0066 and NSF INSPIRE (CBET-1344298). We thank Dr. Kimmo Tanhuanpää and Mr. Mika Molin from the Light Microscope Unit, Institute of Biotechnology, the University of Helsinki, Finland for a technical guidance with confocal microscopy and image analysis. Ms. Maria Aatonen and Maria Semenova from the Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland are gratefully thanked for their help with flow cytometry analysis. We also would like to thank Erja Piitulainen and Leena Pietilä for their kind laboratory assistance.

Author Contributions

M.Y. and Y.-R.L. conceived the study; Y.-R.L., L.K., B.K., J.L.T., C.J.B. and M.Y. designed the research; Y.-R.L., L.K., B.K., J.L.T., J.N. and R.H. carried out the experiments; Y.-R.L., L.K., B.K., J.L.T., C.J.B. and M.Y. analysed the data; Y.-R.L. and L.K. wrote the paper; all the authors commented on and edited the final version of the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Lou, Y.-R. *et al.* Silica bioreplication preserves three-dimensional spheroid structures of human pluripotent stem cells and HepG2 cells. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13635; doi: 10.1038/srep13635 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/