SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS: AUDITORY SYSTEM TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS

> Received 1 October 2013

Accepted 14 January 2014

Published 29 January 2014

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.O. (hokamoto@nips. ac.jp)

Constraint-induced sound therapy for sudden sensorineural hearing loss – behavioral and neurophysiological outcomes

Hidehiko Okamoto^{1,2}, Munehisa Fukushima³, Henning Teismann^{2,4}, Lothar Lagemann², Tadashi Kitahara^{3,5}, Hidenori Inohara⁵, Ryusuke Kakigi¹ & Christo Pantev²

¹Department of Integrative Physiology, National Institute for Physiological Sciences, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan, ²Institute for Biomagnetism and Biosignalanalysis, University of Muenster, 48149 Muenster, Germany, ³Department of Otolaryngology, Osaka Rosai Hospital, Sakai, Osaka 591-8025, Japan, ⁴Institute for Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Muenster, 48149 Muenster, Germany, ⁵Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

Sudden sensorineural hearing loss is characterized by acute, idiopathic hearing deterioration. We report here the development and evaluation of "constraint-induced sound therapy", which is based on a well-established neuro-rehabilitation approach, and which is characterized by the plugging of the intact ear ("constraint") and the simultaneous, extensive stimulation of the affected ear with music. The sudden sensorineural hearing loss patients who received the constraint-induced sound therapy in addition to the standard corticosteroid therapy showed significantly better recovery of hearing function compared to those who had only received corticosteroid treatments. Additionally, the brain activity obtained in a subgroup of patients suggested that the constraint-induced sound therapy could have prevented maladaptive auditory cortex reorganization. Constraint-induced sound therapy thus appears to be an effective, practical, and safe treatment option for sudden sensorineural hearing loss.

The US National Institute for Deafness and Communication Disorders defines sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSHL) as an idiopathic condition characterized by acute hearing loss of at least 30 dB at three or more adjacent frequencies on a standard pure tone audiogram¹. By definition, SSHL either occurs instantaneously or develops over the course of up to three days. Based on several national surveys²⁻⁵, estimates of SSHL incidence rates range from 5–30 cases per 100,000 people per year. A recent study⁶, however, reported an incidence rate as high as 160. Notably, the actual incidence rate is probably even higher, because many SSHL patients do not seek medical treatment.

The likelihood of hearing recovery strongly depends on both the severity of hearing loss at presentation and the time between SSHL incidence and initial audiogram. An 8-year prospective study² of 225 SSHL patients showed that normal or complete recovery occurred in 45% of patients, and a 5-year prospective study⁷ of 166 SSHL patients demonstrated that 65% of patients recovered to functional hearing levels spontaneously and independently of any type of medical treatment. Even though causes and mechanisms have been investigated intensively, knowledge and understanding of SSHL remains to be limited.

In around 10% of SSHL patients⁸ an identifiable cause can be defined, such as Ménière's disease⁹, head trauma, autoimmune inner ear disease^{10,11}, Cogan's syndrome^{12,13}, genetic diseases¹⁴, ototoxic drugs¹⁵, retrocochlear disorders related to vestibular schwannoma¹⁶, auditory neuropathy¹⁷, or stroke¹⁸. The remaining 90% of cases are often classified as suffering from idiopathic SSHL. While many potential causes of idiopathic SSHL have been proposed, the two most common hypotheses are: 1) circulatory disturbance to the end artery of the cochlea¹⁹, and 2) viral infection (such as Epstein-Barr²⁰, mumps²¹, or varicella-zoster²²). Based on these hypotheses, several treatment strategies have been proposed and applied, among them vasodilators, antiviral agents, vitamins, and, most commonly, corticosteroids^{23–26}. It is, however, still unknown whether circulatory disturbances^{27–29} and viral infections^{30–32} actually are common causes of SSHL. Moreover, the efficacy of the corticosteroid treatment approach is fiercely disputed, since patients who had received corticosteroids did not recover better than non-

treated patients^{3,33–36}. Specifically, also a recent randomized tripleblind placebo-controlled clinical trial of 103 SSHL patients³⁶ demonstrated that corticosteroids given in customary dosage did not influence hearing recovery. New strategies to treat SSHL are therefore strongly desired.

The occurrence of SSHL changes not only cochlear activity, but also neural activity in the central auditory system. Several neuroimaging studies in humans indicated that SSHL could induce cortical plasticity in the auditory cortex. By means of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), Scheffler et al.³⁷ revealed that monaural sound stimulation of the healthy ear of unilaterally hearing impaired subjects elicited similar neural activity in both hemispheres, whereas monaural stimulation caused neural activity to be strongly lateralized to the contralateral hemisphere in normal-hearing volunteers. In SSHL patients, magnetoencephalography^{38,39} and fMRI⁴⁰ studies demonstrated that reorganization of the auditory cortex developed within a few days after the onset of hearing loss. Moreover, the degree of cortical reorganization in the acute SSHL phase correlated negatively with recovery rate from the hearing loss⁴¹. It has therefore been assumed that the prevention of maladaptive cortical reorganization associated with SSHL may be a promising treatment strategy.

In order to prevent or reduce maladaptive cortical reorganization, we adopted the concept of "constraint-induced therapy", which is currently used most often in stroke rehabilitation: there, constraint-induced movement therapy urges hemiplegic patients to use their affected limbs and at the same time prohibits their use of the healthy counterpart with physical constraints^{42–46}. Constraint-induced movement therapy thus prevents or reduces maladaptive cortical reorganization and promotes the recovery of the affected body parts^{47–50}.

In the present study, we plugged (i.e. constrained) the canal of the unaffected ear of acute idiopathic SSHL patients and urged them to actively use the affected ear (Figure 1) by listening to music through a headphone over the affected ear for 6 hours per day. Treatment outcome was evaluated by comparing the pure tone audiograms of two groups of SSHL patients: the control group (N = 31) received only the standard corticosteroid therapy (SCT), while the target group (N = 22) received the constraint-induced sound therapy (CIST) in addition to SCT. Moreover, by means of magnetoencephalography (MEG) we measured brain activity in a subgroup (N = 6) of target patients who agreed to participate in an MEG measurement and for whom we could arrange MEG measurements before the treatment was started. The goal of these MEG measurements was to investigate the degree of maladaptive cortical reorganization before, after, and three months after the SCT + CIST combination therapy.

Results

No adverse events associated with CIST were observed. The patients in each of the two groups (SCT + CIST vs. SCT) did not differ regarding their age (mean [95% confidence interval (CI) acquired by bootstrap re-sampling tests (iteration = 10,000)]; SCT + CIST: 46.5 [40.3-52.5] years, SCT: 48.9 [44.0-53.5] years) and time between SSHL incidence and initial audiogram (SCT + CIST: 3.09 [2.50-3.68] days, SCT: 3.19 [2.61-3.74] days). We measured the hearing thresholds of all patients before (1st examination) and after (2nd examination) treatment (time interval between 1st and 2nd measurements: SCT + CIST: 9.41 [8.14-10.68] days, SCT: 10.42 [9.29-11.52] days) by means of a pure tone audiometer. A 3rd audiometric examination took place after discharge from hospital (time interval between 2nd and 3rd measurements: SCT + CIST: 63.45 [51.65–76.05] days, SCT: 84.64 [71.11-99.57] days). Two patients from the SCT + CIST group and three patients from the SCT group refused to participate in the 3rd examination. The reason was that all of these five patients had experienced almost complete hearing recovery (mean hearing level difference between ears: 0.6 [-1.0-2.9] dB) and were



Figure 1 | Schematic illustration of the constraint-induced sound therapy (CIST). The canal of the intact ear was plugged. Music was only presented to the affected ear; the other channel of the headphone was silent. (Drawing courtesy of Lothar Lagemann.).

thus satisfied with their hearing levels at the stage of the 2^{nd} examination. For these patients, we did not expect further hearing improvement. Therefore, in order to avoid bias from systematically missing values, the data of the 2^{nd} examination was used in lieu of the 3^{rd} examination data.

Figure 2 displays the mean audiograms (range: 125 to 8000 Hz; one octave steps) with 95% CIs of the SCT + CIST and SCT groups in both the affected and intact ears as obtained in the 1st (Figure 2 A), 2nd (Figure 2 B), and 3rd (Figure 2 C) audiometric examinations. Before treatment, at all frequencies in the affected ears, hearing thresholds were similar between groups (mean hearing level (dB) with 95% CI in the SCT + CIST group: 125 Hz 30.2 [23.2-37.7], 250 Hz 35.0 [27.5-43.2], 500 Hz 44.3 [36.4-53.0], 1000 Hz 43.6 [35.5-51.8], 2000 Hz 49.8 [43.9-55.5], 4000 Hz 46.4 [38.4-54.3], 8000 Hz 46.1 [37.0-56.1]; mean hearing level (dB) with 95% CI in the SCT group: 125 Hz 33.1 [27.6-38.9], 250 Hz 35.8 [30.2-41.6], 500 Hz 39.2 [33.1-45.3], 1000 Hz 40.2 [32.9-47.7], 2000 Hz 43.5 [36.0-51.6], 4000 Hz 51.4 [42.6-60.8], 8000 Hz 54.5 [46.6-62.6]). The thresholds of the affected ears had improved in all frequencies in both the SCT + CIST and SCT groups after treatment measured in the 2nd examination (SCT + CIST group: 125 Hz 23.2 [16.8-30.2], 250 Hz 25.0 [18.2-33.2], 500 Hz 27.0 [20.2-35.5], 1000 Hz 22.3 [16.1-29.5], 2000 Hz 23.4 [18.6-28.4], 4000 Hz 28.4 [21.4-36.4], 8000 Hz 28.2 [20.2-36.8], SCT group: 125 Hz 27.3 [21.5-33.4], 250 Hz 30.0 [23.2-37.3], 500 Hz 29.2 [22.4-36.8], 1000 Hz 25.8 [18.7-33.7], 2000 Hz 31.5 [23.4-40.0], 4000 Hz 40.2 [31.3-49.2], 8000 Hz 47.4 [38.2-56.6]) and in the 3rd examination (SCT + CIST group: 125 Hz 17.7 [13.9-21.6], 250 Hz 19.3 [15.0-23.9], 500 Hz 19.3 [16.4-22.5], 1000 Hz 16.6 [12.7-20.7], 2000 Hz 18.6 [15.5-21.8], 4000 Hz 25.7 [18.6-33.4], 8000 Hz 25.9 [17.7-34.8], SCT group: 125 Hz 24.5 [19.0-30.6], 250 Hz 27.3 [20.6-34.4], 500 Hz 26.5 [20.3-33.4], 1000 Hz 22.3 [16.3-28.9], 2000 Hz 27.7 [20.8-35.2], 4000 Hz 36.8 [29.0-45.0], 8000 Hz 42.4 [34.0-50.8]). The mean thresholds of the intact ears did not differ between groups at any of the three examinations (Figure 2). Moreover, the mean thresholds of the intact ears were similar within groups between the three examinations. The observation that the threshold of the intact ear did not change over time in the SCT + CIST group indicates that the plugging of the ear canal did not have an apparent negative effect on the intact ear.

The mean threshold differences across all frequencies between the affected and intact ears were similar between the SCT + CIST and SCT groups before treatment (1st examination, Figure 3 A; SCT + CIST: 25.7 [21.7–29.9] dB, SCT: 24.8 [20.7–29.0] dB). However, there were significant differences between the two groups after treatment (2nd examination, Figure 3 B: U = 474, p < 0.05 (Bonferroni-cor-



Figure 2 | Pure tone audiograms from sudden sensorineural hearing loss patients obtained at the entrance examination (A), at discharge from hospital (B), and at the first outpatient visit after discharge (C). Triangles and squares denote the mean hearing thresholds of the affected and intact ears, respectively. Filled and open symbols indicate the data from the standard corticosteroid therapy + constraint-induced sound therapy (SCT + CIST) and the standard corticosteroid therapy alone (SCT) groups, respectively. The error bars denote 95% confidence intervals.

Difference (Affected – Intact)

rected); SCT + CIST: 7.1 [2.9–11.7] dB, SCT: 15.6 [10.5–21.2] dB) and at the 3rd examination (Figure 3 C: U = 547, p < 0.001 (Bonferroni-corrected); SCT + CIST: 2.7 [0.5–5.2] dB, SCT: 13.6 [9.3–18.4] dB). The mean threshold differences were significantly

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

Hearing Level Difference (dB)

smaller in the SCT + CIST group compared to the SCT group in both the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} examinations.

The 46 patients (SCT + CIST: N = 18; SCT: N = 28) from whom we could obtain informed consent regarding the risks and possible

SCT+CIMT

SCT



side effects of MRI underwent MRI examinations in order to exclude diseases such as vestibular schwannoma, subdural hematoma, and cerebral infarction. No patient showed pathological remarks. In a follow-up examination more than one year after SSHL onset, no patient reported repetition of hearing loss in the affected or healthy ear; re-occurrence of hearing loss would have been indicative of diseases such as Ménière's disease, vestibular schwannoma, autoimmune inner ear diseases, Cogan's syndrome.

Figure 4 displays the laterality indices (LIs) of auditory steady state (ASSR) and N1m responses for those SCT + CIST group patients who had received MEG measurements (N = 6). The LIs of both ASSR and N1m significantly increased over time (LI of ASSR: F(2,10) = 5.64, p < 0.03; LI of N1m: F(2,10) = 6.72, p < 0.02). In the 1st examination (prior to SCT + CIST treatment), the LIs of ASSR and N1m were close to 0, meaning that monaural stimulation elicited similar neural activity in the contralateral and ipsilateral hemispheres. However, in the 2nd and 3rd examinations, which took place after SCT + CIST treatment, the LIs of both ASSR and N1m became more positive, indicating that the SSHL patients now showed a dominance of the contralateral hemisphere in response to monaural stimulation, similar to healthy, normal hearing subjects^{51,52}.

Discussion

In the target group, which had received the CIST in addition to the SCT, we observed a significantly greater improvement in hearing thresholds compared to the control group, which had received only the SCT. We did not observe any apparent side effects of CIST. Moreover, the neuroimaging results suggest that complementation of SCT with CIST might contribute to the reversal of SSHL-related maladaptive reorganization in primary and non-primary auditory cortex. It therefore appears that the addition of our safe, easy, and cost-effective CIST to the routinely-used corticosteroid treatment is beneficial for SSHL patients.

Crucially, the degree of recovery of hearing thresholds in our control group (SCT) is similar to that reported in an epidemiological study². This study compared the initial audiogram with the best post-SSHL audiogram to give the following criteria for describing hearing

recovery: normal = return to ≤ 25 dB hearing level in all tested frequencies; complete = return to level of pre-SSHL audiogram (if available), or return to a level within 10 dB of the non-affected ear; *partial* = improvement in mean pure tone audiogram of ≥ 10 dB; *no recovery* = improvement in pure tone audiogram of ≤ 9 dB; *worse* = deterioration of mean pure tone audiogram of ≥ 5 dB. The results showed that 50% of the patients with intermediate severity of initial hearing loss (i.e. 35-54 dB hearing level in the affected ear) showed normal and complete recovery, 20% showed partial recovery, and 30% showed no change. In our study, the mean hearing levels of the SCT + CIST (42.2 dB) and SCT (42.5 dB) groups after SSHL occurrence were equivalent to the intermediate severity of initial hearing loss category (Figure 2 A). We found that, in accordance with the criteria used in the previous study², 58% of the patients in the SCT group showed normal and complete recovery (18/31), 19% showed partial recovery (6/31), 19% showed no change (6/31), and in 3% there was deterioration (1/31). Conversely, 86% of the patients in the SCT + CIST group showed normal and complete recovery (19/ 22), 14% showed partial recovery (3/22), and 0% showed no change or deterioration (0/22). The significant difference between the SCT + CIST and SCT groups observed here can therefore not be attributed to the low recovery rate in the SCT group, but reflects the good recovery rate in the SCT + CIST group. Our results indicate that the sound stimulation of the affected ear and the temporary artificial hearing loss induced in the intact ear were beneficial for the recovery of hearing thresholds in the affected ear.

It has been clearly demonstrated that unilateral hearing loss can lead to auditory cortex reorganization^{38,53,54}. For instance, cortical neurons, which were originally activated by the affected cochlea, lose their responsiveness to the acoustic stimulation of the affected ear and instead become responsive to stimulation of the intact ear. The ability of the human cortex to reorganize itself has a 'light' and a 'dark' side⁵⁵. Positive implications of cortical reorganization in the auditory system include training-induced enlargements of cortical areas corresponding to the preeminent auditory performance of musicians⁵⁶, and improved low frequency discrimination abilities of subjects with high frequency hearing loss⁵⁷. On the other hand, there are several disorders which seem to originate from maladaptive



Figure 4 | Laterality indices (LIs) of the auditory steady state (ASSR: white bars) and the N1m (gray bars) responses in the standard corticosteroid therapy + constraint-induced sound therapy group (SCT + CIST: N = 6) at the 1st (left: entering hospital), 2nd (centre: leaving hospital), and 3rd (right: three months after discharge) examinations. The error bars denote the 95% confidence intervals. LI = 0 means that the monaural ear stimulation elicited neural activity of equal strength in the contralateral and ipsilateral hemispheres. LI = 1 or LI = -1 means that the neural activity was elicited exclusively in the contralateral or the ipsilateral hemisphere, respectively.

cortical reorganization, e.g. focal hand dystonia⁵⁸, phantom limb pain⁵⁹, or tinnitus⁶⁰. Li et al.⁴¹ demonstrated that a high degree of reorganization was associated with poor recovery from hearing loss in SSHL patients. It is possible that the action of cortical reorganization, in the form of neural recruitment induced by the deprivation of neural activity from the affected ear to the auditory cortex, may compromise hearing recovery in this situation. As a consequence of the SSHL, the auditory neurons that originally corresponded to the affected ear may lose their afferent neural input and may establish new neural connections originating from the intact cochlea. In a normal hearing subject, the cochlea projects afferent neural inputs mainly to the contralateral auditory cortex; therefore, SSHL-related cortical reorganization would primarily occur in the auditory cortex contralateral to the affected ear. The results of the present MEG measurements also support this hypothesis; the amplitude of cortical activity elicited by monaural sound stimulation was similar between the contralateral and ipsilateral hemispheres prior to the SCT + CIST treatment (Figure 4). This kind of cortical reorganization could be maladaptive, because the newly-established connections between the auditory cortex and the intact cochlea would lead to enhanced afferent stimulation of the cortical structure, against which the lower firing rate originating from the affected cochlea could not compete. This more compelling stimulation from the unaffected cochlea would induce more efferent neural inputs from the auditory cortex to the unaffected ear. Over time, the neural signals from the recovering affected ear would lose their salience and would become ignored. As a consequence, maladaptive cortical reorganization would prevent the reestablishment of the affected ear as the primary input source to the contralateral auditory cortex.

Behavioral training which intends to reverse maladaptive cortical reorganization is effective in alleviating symptoms such as focal hand dystonia⁶¹, phantom limb pain⁶², and tinnitus⁶³, and the present results suggest that the prevention of maladaptive auditory cortical reorganization by means of CIST could be an effective, safe, and inexpensive treatment approach for SSHL. In the present study, the SSHL patients of the SCT + CIST group wore an ear plug in the intact ear, while the affected ear was extensively stimulated with music, leading to increased neural activity corresponding to the affected cochlea and reduced neural activity corresponding to the intact cochlea. The present MEG results (Figure 4) demonstrated that the neural activity elicited by monaural sound stimulation was dominant in the contralateral hemisphere after SCT + CIST treatment. This was the case for both primary auditory cortex (ASSR) and auditory belt areas (N1m). Such contralateral dominance is also found in healthy, normal hearing subjects. At the 3rd examination, the LI of the N1m response was about 0.2, a value almost equal to the LI found in healthy controls in a previous study⁴¹. Therefore, SCT + CIST would both promote neural reconnection between the auditory cortex and the affected cochlea and reverse maladaptive cortical reorganization, which was caused by imbalanced neural activities from the affected and intact cochleae. However, it is also plausible to assume that the SCT and/or natural hearing recovery might have contributed to the improvements in contralateral-side dominances of the ASSR and N1m responses obtained in the present study. At the moment, we cannot precisely estimate the contribution that CIST made to the reversion of the maladaptive cortical reorganization. In an animal study⁶⁴, cats were exposed to traumatizing noise and, immediately thereafter, were stimulated with moderate level sounds for a few weeks ("enriched acoustic environment"). The results showed that the cats that were housed in the enriched acoustic environment had much lower levels of hearing loss and better-preserved tonotopic maps in the primary auditory cortex than cats that were housed in a quiet environment after the traumatizing noise exposure. The authors concluded that the enriched acoustic environment had minimized auditory cortical reorganization. Several studies support the efficacy of sound therapy in humans with hearing loss^{65–67}. In the present study, we used classical music as an enriched acoustic environment. We cannot conclude what kind of sound would be best for CIST; however, listening to music is much less distressing than listening to pure tones or noise, and the enjoyment of a stimulus is an important factor in the initiation of cortical reorganization⁶⁸. The use of enjoyable music in our study as an enriched acoustic environment for the affected ear might result in the improved recovery of hearing function, as observed in the SCT + CIST compared to the SCT group.

In the present study, the patients in the SCT + CIST group individually adjusted the spectral properties of the training music via equalizers in order to make it sound as similar as possible to the sound before SSHL emergence. This adjustment resulted in an increase of sound energy in frequency regions affected by hearing loss as well as a decrease of sound energy at unaffected frequencies. Previous studies reported that partial deprivation of neural input from the cochlea to the auditory cortex leads to both the expansion of neural groups corresponding to non-deprived frequencies and the shrinkage of neural groups corresponding to deprived frequencies^{69,70}. Despite the significant hearing loss caused by SSHL, we assume that the auditory cortex neural activity elicited by the equalized music (i.e., following individuals' adjustment of the frequency spectrum as described above) would be similar to that elicited by normal music via an intact ear. The spectrally balanced neural activity patterns in the auditory cortex may prevent long-term reorganization induced by the spectrally imbalanced afferent inputs consequent to SSHL.

Several studies have reported that sound stimulation dilates blood vessels and increases red blood cell velocity in the cochlea71-74. Improving the microcirculation of the cochlea could be effective in limiting noise-induced hearing loss⁷⁵. In the present study, the exposure to music, which has complex spectral and temporal properties, might have effectively and specifically increased the blood flow in the affected cochlea, and therefore may have dissolved the oxygen deficiency, which is a potential SSHL cause. Even if there was no hypoxia in the affected cochlea, by supplying oxygen and substances necessary for restoration and by removing toxic substances, the increased blood flow may support the recovery of damaged cochlear tissues. Moreover, activating the functional inner hair cells in the affected ear by music may have promoted the release of neurotrophins, which are necessary for the survival of the auditory nerve fibers, and may have facilitated the repair of damaged auditory nerve fibers⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸. Electrical stimulation in the cochlea of deafened guinea-pigs has also been shown to promote survival of spiral ganglion neurons79-81, and the combination of electric stimulation and neurotrophin infusion appears to further improve and maintain hearing recovery^{82,83}. The music stimulation applied in the present study may also have facilitated both the neurotrophin release and the auditory nerve fiber activation, leading to better hearing recovery in the SCT + CIST group. The functional recovery of the cochlea and the auditory nerve fibers of the affected side may be able to compete against the newly establishing neural connections between the auditory cortex and the intact cochlea. Thereby CIST may affect both the peripheral and the central structures, and most importantly the interactions between them. Without the peripheral improvement, afferent firing would still be reduced, and the central structure would always seek supplementary stimulation from the intact cochlea. Without the central improvement (i.e. undoing the maladaptive reorganization), the improved peripheral firing would still be ignored and would not have its original destination in the cortex anymore. CIST would contribute to breaking through this vicious cycle of peripheral damage and maladaptive cortical reorganization.

SSHL patients who had interaural differences of greater than 50 dB mean hearing level across 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz were excluded from participation in the present study. The reason for this is that such patients may have been able to hear both the music and environmental sounds in the affected ear despite the presence of the plug in the canal of the intact ear. Due to this so-called "cross-hearing⁸⁴", such patients might mainly use the intact ear to listen to the training music and to environmental sounds, and this would be in direct conflict with the aim of CIST, which is to enforce the use of the affected ear. Nonetheless, CIST might still be effective because of the enriched acoustic environment effect even when SSHL patients make use of cross-hearing. Further studies are needed to investigate the efficacy of CIST in SSHL patients with severe and profound hearing losses.

Even though potential corticosteroid effects are still under debate, all patients in the present study received the SCT, which is currently the gold standard treatment. We cannot therefore conclude beyond doubt from our results that the superior improvement of the SCT + CIST group was solely caused by the CIST. It is possible that the CIST strengthened the corticosteroid effect, or that the corticosteroids might have enhanced the CIST effect, or both. Moreover, in the present study the participants decided by themselves whether they received CIST or not, and thus the results could theoretically be biased by motivational differences between groups. We are planning to conduct a randomized controlled multicenter trial by means of which the effects of isolated CIST will be compared to SCT effects. Compared to the SCT, which can induce severe and potentially lethal side effects such as infections, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension, CIST might constitute an inexpensive and safe alternative for SSHL treatment.

Methods

Subject. 54 SSHL patients matching the following criteria were included into the study: i) days since SSHL onset \leq 5, ii) mean hearing threshold difference across 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz between ears \leq 50 dB, iii) age \geq 20 and \leq 70 years, iv) unilateral idiopathic hearing loss according to the criteria established by the Sudden Deafness Research Committee of the Ministry of Health and Welfare in Japan (1973), v) no previous self or family history of SSHL, and vi) no neurological or psychiatric complications. These inclusion criteria were used in order to minimize potential complications of interpretation. On admission, eligible patients were asked whether they wished to receive the CIST in addition to the SCT. Those patients who agreed were assigned to the SCT + CIST group; the remaining patients received merely the SCT and constituted a control group.

One patient from the SCT + CIST group dropped out during the first day of treatment due to underestimation of the effort required by participation. In the aftermath, he or she continued to receive the SCT, but his or her data was excluded from subsequent analyses. In total, 53 SSHL patients (SCT + CIST: N = 22, 13 females, 9 males; SCT: N = 31; 14 females, 17 males) were included into the data evaluation. Six SSHL patients (SCT + CIST: 5 females 1 male, 40.8 [34.3–47.0] years) received MEG measurements. All patients were fully informed about the execution and goals of the study and gave written informed consent in accordance with procedures approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty, University of Muenster, the Ethics Committee of the Osaka University Hospital, and by the Ethics Committee of the Osaka Rosai Hospital. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study description. During the 1st entrance examination, hearing threshold levels (air and bone conduction) were measured using a step size of 5 dB in accordance with the modified Hughson-Westlake procedure85, by means of a pure tone audiometer (AA-78, RION Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan, or Orbiter 922DH, GN Otometrics Corporation, Taastrup, Denmark). Thereafter, all patients were admitted to hospital and received a course of corticosteroids tapered over a period of 5 to 14 days (e.g., starting from 3 mg of betamethasone daily for 3 days, followed by 2 mg daily for 3 days, 1 mg daily for 2 days, and 0.5 mg daily for 2 days). The outer canal of the unaffected ear of patients in the SCT + CIST group was plugged all day-long by an ear mold (EM-59, RION Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) for the entire period of their hospitalization. During hospitalization, the SCT + CIST patients listened to classical music for 6 hours per day with the affected ear using a portable music player, equalizer (Equalizer GE-7, Roland Corporation, Hamamatsu, Japan), headphone amplifier (Headphone Amplifier E11, FiiO Electronics Technology Co. Ltd, Guangzhou, China) and closedtype headphone (HD280pro, SENNHEISER electronic GmbH & Co. KG, Hannover, Germany). Patients were instructed to adjust the sound level and equalizer settings by themselves in such a way that the music sounded as similar as possible to the sound before SSHL emergence. This adjustment led to an increase in sound power in the range of the affected frequencies. The hearing threshold levels of the affected ear were measured every two days in order to monitor recovery and to adjust the taper of corticosteroids. When the patients were discharged from hospital (2nd measurement) and when they visited us as outpatients 1 to 6 months after discharge (3rd measurement), hearing thresholds of both the affected and intact ears were measured.

Brain activity measurements. Auditory evoked neural responses were measured by MEG in a magnetically shielded, silent room. Since MEG systems were not installed in all facilities and since it was difficult to perform unscheduled MEG measurement before starting the SSHL treatment, we could obtain MEG measurements only from six patients of the target (SCT + CIST) group. These patients participated in three MEG sessions (before SCT + CIST treatment, at the end of hospitalization, and 3 months after discharge). During the MEG measurements, we used a monaurally presented test stimulus, which was randomly delivered to the affected or the unaffected (healthy) ear. The carrier frequency of the test stimulus corresponded to a patient's worst hearing frequency between 125 and 4000 Hz in the affected ear. The test stimulus had duration of 1 s and was fully amplitude-modulated with a modulation rate of 40 Hz. The utilization of amplitude-modulated sounds enabled us to investigate N1m86 (a major deflection of the auditory evoked response originating from auditory belt area) and ASSR⁸⁷ (generated in primary auditory cortex) responses simultaneously⁸⁸. Before each MEG session, the hearing threshold for the test stimulus was determined for the unaffected (healthy) ear. During the actual measurements, the test stimulus was presented with intensity of 45 dB above individual sensation threshold in the unaffected (healthy) ear; a loudness (not intensity) matched test stimulus was presented in the affected ear. The silent interval between two successive test stimuli was randomized between 1 and 2 s. In order to keep participants in a stable alert state, they were instructed to ignore the sound stimuli and to watch a silent movie of their choice during the MEG recordings.

To increase the signal to noise ratio of the evoked responses, we calculated the grand averaged auditory evoked fields elicited by all test sounds across affected and unaffected ears for each MEG session. The obtained ASSR and N1m responses demonstrated clear dipolar patterns. Thus, we used an equivalent current dipole model for source analysis. For the ASSR analysis, the source location and orientation in each hemisphere was estimated to the averaged magnetic field data (band-pass filtered between 32 and 48 Hz) within the 0.7 s time interval starting 0.3 s after test stimulus onset until the end of the stimulus duration. Thereafter, we used the mean source locations and orientations of three MEG sessions as a spatial filter and obtained the neural activity in the left and right hemispheres in each MEG session⁸⁹. In order to investigate the degree of cortical reorganization, we calculated the laterality indices (LIs) of the neural activity in the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres. LI was calculated as follows: (A + B - C - D)/(A + B + C + D), A = source strength elicited by the affected ear stimulation in the contralateral hemisphere, B source strength elicited by the healthy ear stimulation in the contralateral hemisphere, C = source strength elicited by the affected ear stimulation in the ipsilateral hemisphere, D = source strength elicited by the healthy ear stimulation in the ipsilateral hemisphere. For the N1m analysis, the grand-averaged magnetic fields were 30 Hz low-pass filtered and baseline-corrected based on the 0.3 s pre-stimulus silent interval. A 0.01 s time window around the N1m peak was used for dipole source estimation. We estimated the source location and orientation of the equivalent current dipole in each hemisphere and calculated the LI in a manner similar to the ASSR analysis.

Statistics. Assuming that the audiogram of the intact ear was similar to the pre-SSHL audiogram of the affected ear, we calculated the mean hearing threshold differences across all frequencies between ears in order to estimate the degree of hearing recovery under treatment. These differences were statistically compared between the two groups (SCT + CIST and SCT) at the 2nd and 3rd audiometric examinations. Given that the data was not normally distributed, Mann-Whitney U tests were calculated. The Bonferroni multiple comparison correction was used to control the family-wise error rate. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (PASW Statistics 18, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). The LIs of ASSR and N1m responses were evaluated separately via repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) using TIME as factor (before SCT + CIST, after SCT + CIST, three months after SCT + CIST). Mauchly's test showed that the sphericity assumption was not violated.

- 1. National Institute of Health. *Sudden Deafness*. No. 00-4757, NIH publication (2000).
- 2. Byl, F. M., Jr. Sudden hearing loss: eight years' experience and suggested prognostic table. *Laryngoscope* **94**, 647–661 (1984).
- Nosrati-Zarenoe, R., Arlinger, S. & Hultcrantz, E. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: results drawn from the Swedish national database. *Acta Oto-Laryngol.* 127, 1168–1175 (2007).
- Teranishi, M., Katayama, N., Uchida, Y., Tominaga, M. & Nakashima, T. Thirtyyear trends in sudden deafness from four nationwide epidemiological surveys in Japan. Acta Oto-Laryngol. 127, 1259–1265 (2007).
- Wu, C. S., Lin, H. C. & Chao, P. Z. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: Evidence from Taiwan. Audiol. Neuro-Otol. 11, 151–156 (2006).
- Klemm, E., Deutscher, A. & Mosges, R. A Present Investigation of the Epidemiology in Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Laryngo-Rhino-Otologie* 88, 524–527 (2009).
- Mattox, D. E. & Simmons, F. B. Natural-History of Sudden Sensorineural Hearing-Loss. Ann. Oto. Rhinol. Laryn. 86, 463–480 (1977).
- Penido, N. O., Cruz, O. L. M., Zanoni, A. & Inoue, D. P. Classification and hearing evolution of patients with sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 42, 712–716 (2009).
- Hallpike, C. S. & Cairns, H. Observations on the Pathology of Ménière's Syndrome. J. Laryngol. Otol. 53, 625–655 (1938).

- nature.com/**scientificreports**

- Mathews, J. & Kumar, B. N. Autoimmune sensorineural hearing loss. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* 28, 479–488 (2003).
 Lunardi, C. *et al.* Autoantibodies to inner ear and endothelial antigens in Cogan's syndrome. *Lancet* 360, 915–921 (2002).
 Greco, A. *et al.* Cogan's syndrome: An autoimmune inner ear disease. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 12, 396–400 (2013).
 Janecke, A. B. *et al.* Progressive hearing loss and recurrent suddan experimental.
- 14. Janecke, A. R. et al. Progressive hearing loss, and recurrent sudden sensorineural hearing loss associated with GJB2 mutations - phenotypic spectrum and frequencies of GJB2 mutations in Austria. *Hum. Genet.* **111**, 145–153 (2002).

10. Mccabe, B. F. Auto-Immune Sensorineural Hearing-Loss. Ann. Oto. Rhinol.

Laryn. 88, 585-589 (1979).

- 15. Guthrie, O. W. Aminoglycoside induced ototoxicity. *Toxicology* 249, 91–96 (2008).
 16. Matthias C. & Samii M. Magazawart of 1000 and the second seco
- Matthies, C. & Samii, M. Management of 1000 vestibular schwannomas (acoustic neuromas): Clinical presentation. *Neurosurgery* 40, 1–9 (1997).
- Starr, A., Picton, T. W., Sininger, Y., Hood, L. J. & Berlin, C. I. Auditory neuropathy. *Brain* 119, 741–753 (1996).
- Shaia, F. T. & Sheehy, J. L. Sudden Sensori-Neural Hearing Impairment Report of 1,220 Cases. *Laryngoscope* 86, 389–398 (1976).
- 19. Rasmussen, H. Sudden deafness. Acta Otolaryngol. 37, 65-70 (1949).
- Xenellis, J. et al. Simultaneous and sequential bilateral sudden sensorineural hearing loss: Are they different from unilateral sudden sensorineural hearing loss? ORL-J. Oto-Rhino-Laryngol. Relat. Spec. 69, 306–310 (2007).
- Fukuda, S., Chida, E., Kuroda, T., Kashiwamura, M. & Inuyama, Y. An antimumps IgM antibody level in the serum of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 28, S3–S5 (2001).
- Wackym, P. A. Molecular temporal bone pathology: II. Ramsay Hunt syndrome (herpes zoster oticus). *Laryngoscope* 107, 1165–1175 (1997).
- Fetterman, B. L., Saunders, J. E. & Luxford, W. M. Prognosis and treatment of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Am. J. Otol. 17, 529–536 (1996).
- 24. Rauch, S. D. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. N. Engl. J. Med. 359, 833-840 (2008).
- Schreiber, B. E., Agrup, C., Haskard, D. O. & Luxon, L. M. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Lancet* 375, 1203–1211 (2010).
- Wilson, W. R., Byl, F. M. & Laird, N. The efficacy of steroids in the treatment of idiopathic sudden hearing loss. A double-blind clinical study. *Arch. Otolaryngol.* 106, 772–776 (1980).
- Aimoni, C. *et al.* Diabetes, cardiovascular risk factors and idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a case-control study. *Audiol. Neuro-Otol.* 15, 111–115 (2010).
- Merchant, S. N., Adams, J. C. & Nadol, J. B. Pathology and pathophysiology of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol. Neurotol.* 26, 151–160 (2005).
- 29. Rudack, C., Langer, C., Stoll, W., Rust, S. & Walter, M. Vascular risk factors in sudden hearing loss. *Thromb. Haemost.* **95**, 454–461 (2006).
- Gross, M., Wolf, D. G., Elidan, J. & Eliashar, R. Enterovirus, cytomegalovirus, and Epstein-Barr virus infection screening in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Audiol. Neuro-Otol.* 12, 179–182 (2007).
- Merchant, S. N., Durand, M. L. & Adams, J. C. Sudden deafness: Is it viral? ORL-J. Oto-Rhino-Laryngol. Relat. Spec. 70, 52–60 (2008).
- Pitkaranta, A. & Julkunen, I. Sudden deafness: Lack of evidence for systemic viral infection. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 118, 397–399 (1998).
- Cinamon, U., Bendet, E. & Kronenberg, J. Steroids, carbogen or placebo for sudden hearing loss: a prospective double-blind study. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 258, 477–480 (2001).
- Conlin, A. E. & Parnes, L. S. Treatment of sudden sensorineural hearing loss II. A meta-analysis. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 133, 582–586 (2007).
- Conlin, A. E. & Parnes, L. S. Treatment of sudden sensorineural hearing loss I. A systematic review. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 133, 573–581 (2007).
- Nosrati-Zarenoe, R. & Hultcrantz, E. Corticosteroid treatment of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: randomized triple-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Otol. Neurotol.* 33, 523–531 (2012).
- Scheffler, K., Bilecen, D., Schmid, N., Tschopp, K. & Seelig, J. Auditory cortical responses in hearing subjects and unilateral deaf patients as detected by functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Cereb. Cortex* 8, 156–163 (1998).
- Li, L. P. H. *et al.* Healthy-side dominance of cortical neuromagnetic responses in sudden hearing loss. *Ann. Neurol.* 53, 810–815 (2003).
- Morita, T. *et al.* A recovery from enhancement of activation in auditory cortex of patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Neurosci. Res.* 58, 6–11 (2007).
- 40. Suzuki, M. *et al.* Cortical representation of hearing restoration in patients with sudden deafness. *Neuroreport* **13**, 1829–1832 (2002).
- 41. Li, L. P. H. *et al.* Neuromagnetic Index of Hemispheric Asymmetry Prognosticating the Outcome of Sudden Hearing Loss. *PLoS ONE* 7, e35055 (2012).
- Blanton, S. & Wolf, S. L. An application of upper-extremity constraint-induced movement therapy in a patient with subacute stroke. *Phys. Ther.* 79, 847–853 (1999).
- Dromerick, A. W., Edwards, D. F. & Hahn, M. Does the application of constraintinduced movement therapy during acute rehabilitation reduce arm impairment after ischemic stroke? *Stroke* 31, 2984–2988 (2000).

- 44. Taub, E., Uswatte, G. & Pidikiti, R. Constraint-induced Movement Therapy: A new family of techniques with broad application to physical rehabilitation - A clinical review. J. Rehabil. Res. Dev. 36, 237–251 (1999).
- 45. Wolf, S. L. *et al.* Effect of constraint-induced movement therapy on upper extremity function 3 to 9 months after stroke The EXCITE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. **296**, 2095–2104 (2006).
- Taub, E. *et al.* A placebo-controlled trial of constraint-induced movement therapy for upper extremity after stroke. *Stroke* 37, 1045–1049 (2006).
- Elbert, T. & Rockstroh, B. Reorganization of human cerebral cortex: the range of changes following use and injury. *Neuroscientist* 10, 129–141 (2004).
- Elbert, T., Rockstroh, B., Bulach, D., Meinzer, M. & Taub, E. New developments in stroke rehabilitation based on behavioral and neuroscientific principles: constraint-induced therapy. *Nervenarzt* 74, 334–342 (2003).
- 49. Liepert, J. *et al.* Motor cortex plasticity during constraint-induced movement therapy in stroke patients. *Neurosci. Lett.* **250**, 5–8 (1998).
- 50. Nelles, G. Cortical reorganization effects of intensive therapy Results from prospective functional imaging studies. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* **22**, 239–244 (2004).
- Pantev, C., Lutkenhoner, B., Hoke, M. & Lehnertz, K. Comparison between simultaneously recorded auditory-evoked magnetic fields and potentials elicited by ipsilateral, contralateral and binaural tone burst stimulation. *Audiology* 25, 54–61 (1986).
- Tiihonen, J., Hari, R., Kaukoranta, E. & Kajola, M. Interaural interaction in the human auditory-cortex. *Audiology* 28, 37–48 (1989).
- 53. Bilecen, D. *et al*. Cortical reorganization after acute unilateral hearing loss traced by fMRI. *Neurology* 54, 765–767 (2000).
- 54. Burton, H., Firszt, J. B., Holden, T., Agato, A. & Uchanski, R. M. Activation lateralization in human core, belt, and parabelt auditory fields with unilateral deafness compared to normal hearing. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 1454, 33–47 (2012).
- 55. Elbert, T. & Heim, S. A light and a dark side. Nature 411, 139 (2001).
- Pantev, C. *et al.* Increased auditory cortical representation in musicians. *Nature* 392, 811–814 (1998).
- Moore, B. C. J. & Vinay, S. N. Enhanced discrimination of low-frequency sounds for subjects with high-frequency dead regions. *Brain* 132, 524–536 (2009).
- Elbert, T. *et al.* Alteration of digital representations in somatosensory cortex in focal hand dystonia. *Neuroreport* 9, 3571–3575 (1998).
- Flor, H. *et al.* Phantom-limb pain as a perceptual correlate of cortical reorganization following arm amputation. *Nature* 375, 482–484 (1995).
- Eggermont, J. J. & Roberts, L. E. The neuroscience of tinnitus. *Trends Neurosci.* 27, 676–682 (2004).
- 61. Candia, V. *et al.* Constraint-induced movement therapy for focal hand dystonia in musicians. *Lancet* **353**, 42–42 (1999).
- 62. Weiss, T., Miltner, W. H. R., Adler, T., Bruckner, L. & Taub, E. Decrease in phantom limb pain associated with prosthesis-induced increased use of an amputation stump in humans. *Neurosci. Lett.* **272**, 131–134 (1999).
- Okamoto, H., Stracke, H., Stoll, W. & Pantev, C. Listening to tailor-made notched music reduces tinnitus loudness and tinnitus-related auditory cortex activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 1207–1210 (2010).
- Norena, A. J. & Eggermont, J. J. Enriched acoustic environment after noise trauma reduces hearing loss and prevents cortical map reorganization. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 699–705 (2005).
- Canlon, B., Borg, E. & Flock, A. Protection against Noise Trauma by Pre-Exposure to a Low-Level Acoustic Stimulus. *Hear. Res.* 34, 197–200 (1988).
- Niu, X. Z., Tahera, Y. & Canlon, B. Protection against acoustic trauma by forward and backward sound conditioning. *Audiol. Neuro-Otol.* 9, 265–273 (2004).
- 67. Tanaka, C. *et al.* The effects of acoustic environment after traumatic noise exposure on hearing and outer hair cells. *Hear. Res.* **250**, 10–18 (2009).
- Blood, A. J. & Zatorre, R. J. Intensely pleasurable responses to music correlate with activity in brain regions implicated in reward and emotion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 98, 11818–11823 (2001).
- Irvine, D. R. F., Rajan, R. & Smith, S. Effects of restricted cochlear lesions in adult cats on the frequency organization of the inferior colliculus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 467, 354–374 (2003).
- Rajan, R. & Irvine, D. R. F. Neuronal responses across cortical field A1 in plasticity induced by peripheral auditory organ damage. *Audiol. Neuro-Otol.* 3, 123–144 (1998).
- Quirk, W. S., Shapiro, B. D., Miller, J. M. & Nuttall, A. L. Noise-Induced Changes in Red-Blood-Cell Velocity in Lateral Wall Vessels of the Rat Cochlea. *Hear. Res.* 52, 217–223 (1991).
- Ryan, A. F., Axelsson, A., Myers, R. & Woolf, N. K. Changes in cochlear blood flow during acoustic stimulation as determined by 14C-iodoantipyrine autoradiography. *Acta Oto-Laryngol.* 105, 232–241 (1988).
- Scheibe, F., Haupt, H. & Ludwig, C. Intensity-Dependent Changes in Oxygenation of Cochlear Perilymph during Acoustic Exposure. *Hear. Res.* 63, 19–25 (1992).
- 74. Scheibe, F., Haupt, H. & Ludwig, C. Intensity-related changes in cochlear blood flow in the guinea pig during and following acoustic exposure. *Eur. Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryn.* **250**, 281–285 (1993).
- Duan, M. L. et al. Protection and treatment of sensorineural hearing disorders caused by exogenous factors: experimental findings and potential clinical application. *Hear. Res.* 169, 169–178 (2002).

- 76. Duan, M. L., Agerman, K., Ernfors, P. & Canlon, B. Complementary roles of neurotrophin 3 and a N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in the protection of noise and aminoglycoside-induced ototoxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 7597-7602 (2000).
- 77. Oestreicher, E., Knipper, M., Arnold, A., Zenner, H. P. & Felix, D. Neurotrophin 3 potentiates glutamatergic responses of IHC afferents in the cochlea in vivo. Eur. J. Neurosci. 12, 1584-1590 (2000).
- 78. Ramekers, D., Versnel, H., Grolman, W. & Klis, S. F. L. Neurotrophins and their role in the cochlea. Hear. Res. 288, 19-33 (2012).
- 79. Hartshorn, D. O., Miller, J. M. & Altschuler, R. A. Protective effect of electricalstimulation in the deafened guinea-pig cochlea. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 104, 311-319 (1991).
- 80. Lousteau, R. J. Increased spiral ganglion-cell survival in electrically stimulated, deafened guinea-pig cochleae. Laryngoscope 97, 836-842 (1987).
- 81. Miller, J. M. & Altschuler, R. A. Effectiveness of different electrical stimulation conditions in preservation of spiral ganglion cells following deafness. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. Suppl. 166, 57-60 (1995).
- 82. Leake, P., Stakhovskaya, O., Hetherington, A., Rebscher, S. & Bonham, B. Effects of Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) and Electrical Stimulation on Survival and Function of Cochlear Spiral Ganglion Neurons in Deafened, Developing Cats. JARO. 14, 187-211 (2013).
- 83. Shepherd, R. K., Coco, A. & Epp, S. B. Neurotrophins and electrical stimulation for protection and repair of spiral ganglion neurons following sensorineural hearing loss, Hear, Res. 242, 100-109 (2008).
- 84. Zwislocki, J. Acoustic Attenuation between the Ears. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 25, 752-759 (1953).
- 85. Carhart, R. & Jerger, J. F. Preferred Method For Clinical Determination Of Pure-Tone Thresholds. J. Speech Hear. Disord. 24, 330-345 (1959).
- 86. Näätänen, R. & Picton, T. The N1 wave of the human electric and magnetic response to sound: a review and an analysis of the component structure. Psychophysiology 24, 375-425 (1987).
- 87. Pantev, C., Roberts, L. E., Elbert, T., Ross, B. & Wienbruch, C. Tonotopic organization of the sources of human auditory steady-state responses. Hear. Res. 101, 62-74 (1996).

- 88. Engelien, A., Schulz, M., Ross, B., Arolt, V. & Pantev, C. A combined functional in vivo measure for primary and secondary auditory cortices. Hear. Res. 148, 153-160 (2000).
- 89. Tesche, C. D. et al. Signal-space projections of meg data characterize both distributed and well-localized neuronal sources. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. Suppl. 95, 189-200 (1995).

Acknowledaments

We thank Ross M. Parfitt for his careful review of the manuscript. This work has been supported by the "Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists (23689070)", "Strategic Research Program for Brain Sciences (Development of biomarker candidates for social behavior)", and "Tinnitus Research Initiative (TRI)".

Author contributions

H.O. conceived of the study and designed the experimental setup. H.O., M.F., H.T., L.L. and T.K. collected the data. H.O., M.F., H.T. and L.L. performed the data & statistical analyses. H.O. and H.T. wrote the article. H.I., R.K. and C.P. edited the article. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Okamoto, H. et al. Constraint-induced sound therapy for sudden sensorineural hearing loss - behavioral and neurophysiological outcomes. Sci. Rep. 4, 3927; DOI:10.1038/srep03927 (2014).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0