# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



SUBJECT AREAS: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES PHYSICS APPLIED PHYSICS MATERIALS SCIENCE

> Received 17 August 2012

Accepted 18 October 2012 Published

14 January 2013

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.W. (wangh@ece. tamu.edu)

# Direct observation of Lomer-Cottrell Locks during strain hardening in nanocrystalline nickel by *in situ* TEM

Joon Hwan Lee<sup>1</sup>, Troy B. Holland<sup>2</sup>, Amiya K. Mukherjee<sup>2</sup>, Xinghang Zhang<sup>3</sup> & Haiyan Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Materials Science and Engineering Program, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX 77843-3128, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA, <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX 77843-3123, USA.

Strain hardening capability is critical for metallic materials to achieve high ductility during plastic deformation. A majority of nanocrystalline metals, however, have inherently low work hardening capability with few exceptions. Interpretations on work hardening mechanisms in nanocrystalline metals are still controversial due to the lack of *in situ* experimental evidence. Here we report, by using an *in situ* transmission electron microscope nanoindentation tool, the direct observation of dynamic work hardening event in nanocrystalline nickel. During strain hardening stage, abundant Lomer-Cottrell (L-C) locks formed both within nanograins and against twin boundaries. Two major mechanisms were identified during interactions between L-C locks and twin boundaries. Quantitative nanoindentation experiments recorded show an increase of yield strength from 1.64 to 2.29 GPa during multiple loading-unloading cycles. This study provides both the evidence to explain the roots of work hardening at small length scales and the insight for future design of ductile nanocrystalline metals.

n polycrystalline metals with coarse grain size, strain hardening is typically described by Taylor relation, where the increases in flow stress is tied to increased dislocation densities<sup>1,2</sup>. During plastic deformation, dislocation networks (or forest dislocations) may form in grain interior and thus become barriers to the propagation of successive mobile dislocations. Additionally strain hardening can also be described in terms of the decreasing mean free path of a dislocation and the reduced number of active slip systems for dislocations adjacent to barriers, such as grain boundaries or twin boundaries<sup>3,4</sup>. Therefore control of slip distance by microstructural refinement can provide a feasible hardening mechanism.

Ultrafine grained and nanocrystalline (nc) materials have a large population of grain boundaries which are considered natural barriers to the propagation of dislocations. Indeed ultra-high mechanical strength has been achieved in nc and recently nanotwinned (nt) metals<sup>5-11</sup>. The size-dependent hardening has been explained by the Hall-Petch relationship, where the decrease of dislocation pile-ups in fine nc and nt metals leads to strengthening<sup>5,6,12</sup>. A recent study has suggested dislocation multi-junction formation in single crystal bcc molybdenum measurably increases the strength during a uniaxial compression testing<sup>13</sup>.

Although nc metals can have much greater mechanical strength than their bulk counterparts, their ductility is typically low (less than a few percent of true strain) with a handful of exceptions. Strain hardening, which is crucial to achieve high ductility, is typically diminished in nc metals, or very often absent in many cases. There are various mechanisms that explain the lack of strain hardening in nc metals. Grain boundaries are effective sources and sinks for dislocations. Dislocations once emitted from grain boundaries may be absorbed rapidly by opposite grain boundaries in nc metals. Thus there may be less sustainable dislocation networks within the grains to provide the necessary strain hardening. *In situ* X-ray experiments evidenced rapid dislocation recovery events during unloading of plastically deformed nc Ni<sup>14</sup>. On the other hand, L-C locks associated with stair-rod dislocations have been observed near grain and twin boundaries after rolling of nc Ni, and were proposed to be effective barriers to mobile dislocations and result in work hardening<sup>15</sup>. There is no *in situ* evidence to explain the origin of such controversy. Additionally stacking fault energy (SFE) appears to play an important role on work hardening capability of nc metals. Stacking faults appear to inject work hardening capability in low SFE metals<sup>16</sup>.

Despite extensive studies on work hardening in metallic materials, most of the previous works focused on postdeformation microstructural analysis. Meanwhile, to directly probe the structure-property relationships, various real time phenomena have recently been recorded with *in situ* TEM mechanical testing of different materials systems. Among these studies, several are noteworthy, including evidence of mechanical annealing and dislocation source starvation in nickel pillar<sup>17</sup>, increment of dislocation nucleation rate at higher strain rate within single crystal Al<sup>18</sup>, dislocation slip in TiN thin film<sup>19</sup>, grain rotation and coarsening in polycrystalline Al thin film<sup>20</sup>, dislocation climb in Al/Nb multilayers<sup>21</sup> and grain boundary sliding and grain rotation in ceramic nanocomposite<sup>22</sup>. However there is little *in situ* TEM evidence on strain hardening in nc metals.

In this study, *in situ* nanoindentation in a transmission electron microscope was conducted on nc Ni. During multiple loadingunloading cycles, prominent work hardening was observed from quantitative nanoindentation experiment. In parallel, work hardening was found to arise from the formation of abundant L-C Locks both within the grains and at twin boundaries. L-C Locks interacted with twin boundaries through the formation of active partial dislocations. Numerous deformation mechanisms were identified. (See experimental details in methods section).

The nc Ni powders were mixed by roller milling and consolidated by Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) process. Details on the sample processing can be found elsewhere<sup>23,24</sup>. TEM specimens were prepared through a conventional procedure including mechanical thinning, polishing and ion milling polishing. This typical set of Ni samples has nanocrystalline grains with a bimodal grain size distribution (see Supplementary Fig. S1 in supplementary information). The nanograins accompanied by the bimodal grain size distribution in nc Ni allow enhanced ductility and high mechanical strength<sup>25</sup>. Growth twins with an average twin spacing of ~30 nm were observed in numerous grains.

#### Results

Figure 1a shows the TEM image of two major grains, delineated as G1 and G2 in a typical area selected for *in situ* nanoindentation study. G1 contains three twin boundaries (TBs), labelled as TB1-TB3. Figures 1b–d show the selected area diffraction (SAD) patterns corresponding to matrix and twin (T1) in G1, and G2. Comparison of Figure 1b and 1d shows the formation of a  $30^{\circ}$  high angle grain boundary between G1 and G2.

To examine dislocation activity during deformation, *in situ* nanoindentation has been performed. Three indentation (complete loading-unloading experiments) cycles were conducted at exactly the same location as shown in Figure 1a. Figure 2a1–a2 are the video snap shots captured during the first loading cycle before (at 18.48 s) and after the yield point (at 25.29 s) as revealed from the force–displacement (F–D) plot in Figure 3a. Similarly, microstructure evolutions (before and after yielding) for the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> loading cycles



Figure 1 | a) TEM image shows the area where *in situ* nanoindentation experiments were conducted. It shows very obvious grain and twin structures. From the top to bottom, grains, G2 and G1, and twin, T1, are marked. The arrows point at the boundaries between G1, G2 and T1. The SAD patterns were taken from the area of b) grain, G1, c) twin, T1, and d) grain, G2.



Figure 2 | Three sets of movie frames during indentation on nc nickel are shown in a1) before and a2) after yield point during the first cycle; b1) before and b2) after yield point during the second cycle; and c1) before and c2) after yield point during the third cycle.

were recorded in Figure 2b1-b2 and 2c1-c2. The corresponding F–D plots are shown in Figure 3b and c. The yield point was determined from the corresponding F–D plots, in which a clear non-linear deformation can be identified. During these experiments, the nanoindenter tip was positioned at the upper right corner, and the samples were moving towards the tip with the loading direction marked as white arrows as shown in Figure 2.

During the first loading cycle before yielding (at 18.48s), groups of dislocations on the  $(\bar{1}1\bar{1})$  and  $(11\bar{1})$  slip planes in G1 are observed in the circled area in Figure 2a1. Many of these dislocations form V- shape junctions, which are typical signature of L-C locks. Under indentation these L-C locks are immobile before yielding (up to 22.96 sec). At the yield point, the L-C locks appear to interact with succeeding dislocations, and then become unlocked. The unlocked dislocations migrate towards the TB1 during continuous deformation after yielding (25.29 sec in Fig. 2a2). When numerous dislocations approach TB1, new L-C locks form at the twin boundary (Fig. 2b). And the density of dislocations at TB1 is  $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{15}/m^2$ .

During the second loading cycle, dislocation density at TB1 rises continuously to  $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{16}/m^2$  by 21.96 sec as shown in Figure 2b1 (for details see supplemental information). By 28.47 sec a majority of dislocations including L-C Locks piled up against TB1 have transmitted through TB1. Correspondingly the F-D plot in Figure 3b shows a clear non-linear deformation, indicating the occurrence of considerable plastic deformation. Additionally the force at the onset of plastic yielding approaches 6.6  $\mu$ N, greater than that in the first cycle ( $\sim$ 4.9  $\mu$ N).

Finally, in the third cycle, at 22.17 sec, high density dislocations including L-C locks emerge underneath TB1 in T1 (the twinned crystal). The newly formed L-C locks become barriers to succeeding dislocations and applied load continues to increase. At the yield point,  $\sim$ 28 sec as shown in Figure 3c, L-C locks begin to unlock. By 38.29 sec near the maximum load in Figure 3c, L-C Locks are nearly completely annihilated, and the TEM image shows dark contrast surrounding a band of forest dislocations resulting from dislocation-L-C Lock interactions in T1 (Fig. 2c2). Prominent load drop is identified in Figure 3c during continuous deformation.

Comparison of multiple consecutive F-D plots in Figure 4 shows that the force at the yield point increases sequentially from  $\sim$ 4.9 to 7.0  $\mu$ N during the three loading cycles. Consequently given the measured foil thickness and loading depths, we estimate that the yield



**Figure 3** | a) force-displacement plot was measured during the first *in situ* nanoindentation cycle. During the second cycle, b) force-displacement plot show the yield point increases compared to that in the first cycle. Finally, c) force-displacement plot was measured during the third cycle.

strength increases from  ${\sim}1.6$  to 2.3 GPa by using a relation described in supplemental information.

#### Discussions

Work hardening is a complicated plastic deformation phenomenon. Cottrell stated that work hardening is the first phenomenon



Figure 4 | Three force-displacement plots with only the loading segment show the nature of work hardening after sequential indentation. Increment of the force at the yield point from  $\sim$ 4.9 to 7.0  $\mu$ N during the three loading cycles is shown. A small regime of softening during the third cycle is observed.

discovered in studying plasticity of metals, and may be the last problem to be solved<sup>26</sup>. Work hardening deemed necessary for the achievement of ductility, however, is largely absent in a majority of nc metallic materials. But foregoing *in situ* nanoindentation studies strongly suggest that significant work hardening takes place in nc Ni.



Figure 5 | During the first indentation cycle, evident activity of dislocations (mainly screw or mixed dislocations) at the twin boundary was observed with formation of L-C lock. a) A snap shot shows the area of interest marked by a white box near TB1. And the enlarged series of movie frames show the interaction between dislocations and twin boundary b) at yield point and c-h) after yield point, with the corresponding i) force-displacement plot.



Figure 6 | Schematic diagrams illustrate the interaction of L-C locks with twin boundaries from the series of movie snap shots in Figure 5. a) Two full dislocations (possibly screw or mixed dislocations),  $A_0$  and B, on different set of {111} planes intercept at TB1. b) As dislocation  $A_0$  glides toward dislocation B, an L-C lock is formed at the twin boundary. c) Dislocation  $A_0$  dissociates into two partial dislocations,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  separated by ~15 nm. d) As the separation is constricted to ~4.5 nm, another dislocation  $A_3$  is emitted from the dislocation  $A_1$ .

Several salient characteristics can be derived from the in situ studies. First, work hardening may arise directly from the formation of L-Clocks in grain interior. L-Clocks form the back-bone of dislocation networks and resist the propagation of mobile dislocations. This mechanism has long been postulated and recently received some support from ex situ rolling studies of nc Ni15. The formation of L-C locks arises from the interaction of dislocations on two sets of inclined {111} planes. Second, L-C locks formed within grains were removed at higher stress by mobile dislocations (many of which maybe mobile partials). Recent MD simulations show that mobile Shockley partials can engage stair-rod dislocation in stacking fault tetrahedra (SFTs), and the interaction leads to new mobile partials which then glide on the surfaces of SFTs and lead to decomposition of SFTs<sup>27</sup>. Hence it is likely that abundant partials emitted from grain boundaries may lead to the removal of L-C locks in grain interior. Third, L-C locks when encountered twin boundaries can lead to even greater work hardening (as indicated by the necessity of higher stress for plastic yield shown in the second loading cycle). The details of interaction of L-C locks with twin boundaries are complicated, and at least two scenarios are identified.

We begin by first examining the work hardening mechanism that arises from the interaction of L-C locks with twin boundaries. Figure 5 shows a set of snap shots captured from 22 to 45 sec (after yielding) during the first loading cycle. The area of interest marked by a white box in Figure 5a is near TB1, and the box is enlarged in Figure 5b. By 28.30 sec two dislocations (possibly mobile screw or mixed dislocations),  $A_0$  and B from different set of {111} plane intercept at TB1. During continuous indentation at 30.28 sec, the two dislocations interact and an L-C lock forms. At 34.65 sec,  $A_0$ vanishes and two new dislocations,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , emerge. By 36.87 sec, a new dislocation  $A_3$  appears at TB1. By 44.43 sec, these dislocations appear absorbed by TB1.

The interaction mechanism of these dislocations with twin boundaries is shown in a schematic diagram in Figure 6. As shown in Figure 6a–b, two full dislocations,  $A_0$  and B, from differently oriented {111} planes form an L-C lock at the twin boundary. Based on the equation  $a/2[101] + a/2[01\overline{1}] = a/2[110]$ , the formation of Lomer dislocation (at the intercept of L-C locks) can reduce the overall elastic energy of the dislocations (see Supplementary Fig. S5 in supplementary information). The Lomer dislocation formed has a Burgers vector parallel to the electron beam, and hence appears as a dot during numerous interaction events.

 $A_0$  then dissociates into two partial dislocations marked with  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , separated by ~15 nm. The dissociation event occurs at a rate of ~19 nm s<sup>-1</sup> (dividing the separation distance by the time). The force decreases by ~4.8% during the dissociation event. Since the

self-stress (line tension) of the dislocation is proportional to its curvature<sup>28</sup>, the back stress from the forest dislocations in addition to the line tension in dislocation  $A_0$  causes it to unbow which allows the perfect dislocation to then separate into leading and trailing partials.



**Figure** 7 | a) A snap shot taken during the second indentation cycle shows the area of interest marked by a white box near TB1. And enlarged series of movie frames show interaction between two specific dislocations,  $A_0$  and B (likely to be screw or mixed dislocations), resulting in the transmission from L-C locks across twin boundaries, with a detailed analysis as shown in b), c) and d) before yield point and e), f), g) and h) after yield point, with the corresponding i) force-displacement plot.





Figure 8 | Schematic diagrams illustrate the process of dislocation transmission across TB1 from the series of movie snap shots in Figure 7. a) Two full dislocations,  $A_0$  and B, on different sets of {111} planes form an L-C lock at the twin boundary. b) Dislocation  $A_0$  dissociates into two partial dislocations,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Meanwhile, c) dislocation B penetrates through the twin boundary and glides on the (100) plane in T1 as the dislocation B' as released from the back stress at the L-C lock. d) Dislocation B completely penetrates through the twin boundary. Then, dislocation  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  also pass through the twin boundary.

After dissociation, the partial dislocation  $A_2$  is still associated with the dislocation B. The other partial dislocation  $A_1$  appears to be the source for emission of another dislocation,  $A_3$ . The emission of  $A_3$ , very likely a screw dislocation, occurs at a rate of 116 nm<sup>•</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. If  $A_3$ was to have non-screw dislocation nature, then its emission and migration shall be a type of climb, which typically occurs at a very low rate at room temperature. During the aforementioned events, continuous increase of stress is necessary in general to promote the interaction of L-C locks with twin boundaries, and consequently work hardening is achieved.

Next we consider a mechanism that may lead to the transmission of dislocations from L-C locks across twin boundaries. In the second indentation cycle, several snap shots captured from 21 to 33 sec are shown in Figure 7. This period corresponds to the deformation right before the maximum load. Figure 7a shows a box that outlines the area of interest, which is then magnified in Figure 7b (at 21.65 sec). An L-C lock due to interception of A<sub>0</sub> and B (likely to be screw or mixed dislocations) is identified. During continuous deformation from 25.71 to 28.23 sec, the contrast of B decays rapidly; meanwhile, a dislocation labelled as B' emerges from underneath the twin boundary and resides on a (100) plane in the twinned crystal T1. By increasing the applied stress to 2.4 GPa (near 28.56 sec), dislocation A<sub>0</sub> vanishes, and consequently A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> appear as shown in Figure 7g. Then dislocation B rapidly passes through the twin boundary and becomes B' (Fig. 7h). By 32.98 sec, dislocation  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  also transmit through twin boundary.

Figure 8 shows the schematics that illustrate the series of interaction events. Basically the L-C lock forms at twin boundary due to interactions of  $A_0$ -B dislocations. B gradually transmits through twin boundary and becomes B' on lower (100) plane, whereas  $A_0$  remains intact. The transmission of full dislocation in Ni has been modeled by MD simulation. The simulation shows that under high resolved shear stress, ~3 GPa, a full dislocation will transmit across twin boundary onto the lower {100} plane in the twinned crystal<sup>29</sup>. At higher stress,  $A_0$  dissociates into  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  as shown in Figure 8b at a velocity of ~12.3 nm·s<sup>-1</sup>. The dissociation is likely to relieve some of the back stress at the L-C lock, and consequently making it easier for B to rapidly transmit across twin boundary as shown in Figure 8c.

Twin boundaries are effective barriers to the transmission of dislocations. It has been reported that the interaction of partials with twin boundary may lead to stair rod dislocations, which could resist the transmission of dislocations across twin boundary<sup>30</sup>. Additionally, the density of residual dislocations stored at the twin boundary increases during indentation (from  $6.96 \times 10^{15}$ /m<sup>2</sup> to  $1.22 \times 10^{16}$ /m<sup>2</sup>). Significant increase in dislocation density has been observed in rolled nt Cu, wherein dislocation density approaches  $10^{16}$ /m<sup>2</sup> at a true strain level of 50% or greater<sup>31</sup>. Consequently high density dislocations may increase the barrier strength of twin boundary to the transmission of dislocations and lead to enhanced work hardening. This in situ nanoindentation study reveals certain mechanisms related to local work hardening events. Further work, such as mesoscale modeling is needed to integrate the observed local work hardening events to global scale. It will necessitate statistically averaging the number of events of such L-C Lock formation per unit volume, the amount of stored dislocations (or slippage - for strain softening) and the frequency at which such unit process takes place. Work is ongoing to make the correlation between the global and local work hardening events.

In summary *in situ* nanoindentation experiment shows solid evidence for significant work hardening in nc Ni based on sequential loading-unloading cycles. During work hardening, the dislocation density along the TB increases, and the yield strength increases gradually by  $\sim$ 40%. Frequent formation of L-C locks was identified in grain interior and along twin boundaries. L-C locks are effective barriers to dislocations and lead to work hardening. Several mechanisms of interaction between L-C locks and twin boundaries were identified. These studies provide important insight to the understanding of plasticity in nc metals.

#### Methods

**Experimental setup.** *In situ* nanoindentation was conducted using an *in situ* nanoindentation holder (manufactured by NanoFactory, Inc.). *In situ* TEM analyses were conducted within an analytical electron microscope (JEOL2010) with a point-to-point resolution of 0.23 nm. Images and movies during indentation

events were captured using a built-in high resolution CCD camera in the microscope. While the indentation under the TEM column, the sample was controlled in three dimensions by a piezoelectric actuator. To avoid the slip between tip and sample surface, wedge diamond tip (tip angle  $\sim$  50.5°) for standard loaddisplacement measurements is used. In situ movies and images were taken during the loading and unloading processes using the experimental setup described in Supplementary Figure S2 from supplementary information. During in situ indentation experiment, the nanoindentation tip was fixed while the sample was moved toward the tip by a piezoelectric stage in a precision movement as fine as 0.1 nm/step. To examine more precise motion of dislocations during work hardening, a maximum depth of 50 nm was used with step length of 0.1 nm/step and holding time of 10 ms. Each loading-unloading cycle spans across 100 seconds, e.g., the loading process occurred at a constant displacement rate continues up to 50 sec, followed by an unloading process at the same rate of 1 nm/sec (the estimated strain rate is  $3.6 \times 10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> for the first cycle,  $4.1 \times 10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> for the second cycle and  $4.5{\times}10^{-2}~\text{s}^{-1}$  for the third cycle). While the force was measured along displacement and time, the estimated measurement error was  $\pm$  5%.

- Mecking, H. & Kocks, U. F. Kinetics of flow and strain-hardening. Acta metall. 29, 1865–1875 (1981).
- Franciosi, P., Berveiller, M. & Zaoui, A. Latent Hardening in copper and aluminum single crystals. *Acta Metall.* 28, 273–283 (1980).
- Devincre, B., Hoc, T. & Kubin, L. Dislocation mean free paths and strain hardening of crystals. *Science* 320, 1745–1748 (2008).
- Kocks, U. F. & Mecking, H. Physics and phenomenology of strain hardening: the FCC case. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 48, 171–273 (2003).
- Hall, E. O. The deformation and ageing of mild steel: III. Discussion of results. Proc. Phys. Soc. B 64, 747–753 (1951).
- Petch, N. J. The cleavage strength of polycrystals. J. Iron Steel Inst. 174, 25–28 (1953).
- Lomer, W. M. A dislocation reaction in the face-centered cubic lattice. *Phil. Mag.* 42, 1327–1331 (1951).
- Cottrell, A. H. The formation of immobile dislocations during slip. *Phil. Mag.* 43, 645–647 (1952).
- Zhang, X. et al. Nanoscale-twinning-induced strengthening in austenitic stainless steel thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 1096–1098 (2004).
- Bouaziz, O., Allain, S. & Scott, C. Effect of grain and twin boundaries on the hardening mechanisms of twinning-induced plasticity steels. *Scripta Mater.* 58, 484–487 (2008).
- Afanasyev, K. A. & Sansoz, F. Strengthening in Gold Nanopillars with Nanoscale Twins. Nano Lett. 7, 2056–2062 (2007).
- Lu, L., Chen, X., Huang, X. & Lu, K. Revealing the maximum strength in nanotwinned copper. *Science* 323, 607–610 (2009).
- Bulatov, V. V. et al. Dislocation multi-junctions and strain hardening. Nature 440, 1174–1178 (2006).
- Budrovic, Z., Swygenhoven, H. V., Derlet, P. M., Petegem, S. V. & Schmitt, B. Plastic deformation with reversible peak broadening in nanocrystalline nickel. *Science* **304**, 273–276 (2004).
- Wu, X. L., Zhu, Y. T., Wei, Y. G. & Wei, Q. Strong strain hardening in nanocrystalline nickel. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 205504-1-4 (2009).
- Yamakov, V., Wolf, D., Phillpot, S. R., Mukherjee, A. K. & Gleiter, H. Deformation-mechanism map for nanocrystalline metals by molecular-dynamics simulation. *Nature Mater.* 3, 43–47 (2004).
- Shan, Z. W., Mishra, R. K., Syed Asif, S. A., Warren, O. L. & Minor, A. M. Mechanical annealing and source-limited deformation in submicrometrediameter Ni crystals. *Nat. Mater.* 7, 115–119 (2008).
- Oh, S. H., Legros, M., Kiener, D. & Dehm, G. In situ observation of dislocation nucleation and escape in a submicrometre aluminium single crystal. *Nat. Mater.* 8, 95–100 (2009).
- Minor, A. M., Stach, E. A., Morris, J. W. & Petrov, I. In-situ nanoindentation of epitaxial TiN/MgO (001) in a transmission electron microscope. *J. Electron Mater.* 32, 1023–1027 (2003).

- Jin, M., Minor, A. M., Stach, E. A. & Morris, J. W. Direct observation of deformation-induced grain growth during the nanoindentation of ultrafinegrained Al at room temperature. *Acta Mater.* 52, 5381–5387 (2004).
- Li, N., Wang, J., Huang, J. Y., Misra, A. & Zhang, X. In situ TEM observations of room temperature dislocation climb at interfaces in nanolayered Al/Nb composites. Scripta Mater 63, 363–366 (2010).
- Lee, J. H. et al. Grain and grain boundary activities observed in alumina-zirconiamagnesia spinel nanocomposites by in situ nanoindentation using transmission electron microscopy. Acta Mater. 58, 4891–4899 (2010).
- Munir, Z. A., Anselmi-Tamburini, U. & Ohyanagi, M. The effect of electric field and pressure on the synthesis and consolidation of materials: A review of the spark plasma sintering method. J. Mater. Sci. 41, 763–777 (2006).
- Holland, T. B., Ovid'ko, I. A., Wang, H. & Mukherjee, A. K. Elevated temperature deformation behavior of spark plasma sintered nanometric nickel with varied grain size distributions. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 528, 663–671 (2010).
- Zhao, Y. H. *et al.* High Tensile Ductility and Strength in Bulk Nanostructured Nickel. *Adv. Mater.* 20, 3028–3033 (2008).
- Cottrell, A. H. Dislocations and plastic flow in crystals. (Oxford University Press, London, 1953.)
- 27. Niewczas, M. & Hoagland, R. G. Molecular dynamics studies of the interaction of a/6 <112> Shockely dislocations with stacking fault tetrahedral in copper. Part II: intersection of stacking fault tetrahedra by moving twin boundaries. *Phil. Mag.* 89, 727–746 (2009).
- Hirth, J. P. & Lothe, J. *Theory of Dislocations* (Krieger, Malabar, ed. 2, Florida, 1982).
- Zhang, X. *et al.* Effects of deposition parameters on residual stresses, hardness and electrical resistivity of nanoscale twinned 330 stainless steel thin films. *J. Appl. Phys.* 97, 094302 (2005).
- Zhu, Y. T. et al. Dislocation-twin interactions in nanocrystalline fcc metals. Acta Mater.59, 812–821 (2011).
- Anderoglu, O. *et al.* Plastic flow stability of nanotwinned Cu foils. *Int. J. Plasticity* 26, 875–886 (2010).

# Acknowledgment

This work is funded by the Office of Naval Research (under Dr Lawrence Kabacoff; Contract number: N00014-08-0510). Mukherjee thanks the funding support from National Science Foundation (NSF-DMR-0703884). Zhang acknowledges funding support from National Science Foundation (NSF-0644835).

# **Author contributions**

J.H.L. prepared the TEM sample, conducted *in situ* TEM nanoindentation and analyzed the data. T. B. H. and A. K. M. prepared the bulk nc nickel sample with SPS system. J. H. L., X. Z. and H.W. planned the experiments and prepared the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to revising the paper.

# Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ scientificreports

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

How to cite this article: Lee, J.H., Holland, T.B., Mukherjee, A.K., Zhang, X. & Wang, H. Direct observation of Lomer-Cottrell Locks during strain hardening in nanocrystalline nickel by *in situ* TEM. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1061; DOI:10.1038/srep01061 (2013).