

Brexit and dentistry

E. Sinclair,*¹ S. Stagnell² and S. Shah³

IN BRIEF

- Gives a brief history of the EU and the policies affecting dentistry.
- Provides insight into the recent trends of dental professional migration to the UK.
- Informs of the implications of professional migration on dental workforce planning in the UK.
- Reflects on the impact of both remaining in and exiting from the EU.

On 23 June 2016, eligible UK voters will be asked to decide whether to vote in the EU referendum. The EU impacts on our daily lives in more ways than many people realise. Dentistry is affected by EU legislation. Examples include the movement of dental professionals, the import of dental equipment and materials, as well as health and safety legislation. Many more EU dentists and DCPs come to the UK to work than *vice versa*. These numbers have increased markedly since 2004. The result of the vote may affect how dentistry operates in the UK in future years. In addition, a vote to stay would not necessarily prevent change. There are attempts underway to increase the ease by which professionals can work in other member states, especially on a temporary basis. This too is likely affect dentistry at some point. Workforce planners and policy makers should factor in the impact of the EU in future dental policy.

INTRODUCTION

To say that discussions of the forthcoming EU referendum are *au courant* would be an understatement. Now that there is a definitive date set for the referendum¹ of 23 June 2016, the concept of 'Brexit' is one that consumes both many column inches and personal conversation. Beyond the wider implications on day-to-day life, it is worth considering the potential impact on the dental profession. Like anything concerning withdrawal from the EU, the situation is multifaceted and more complex than at first glance. There are potential implications for both the existing and future dental workforce of the country. Whatever the outcome, there must be a greater focus on workforce planning in the UK which will take into account movements of professionals across international borders. An apolitical view is presented here, examining the current arrangements for dentistry and the scenarios that could materialise in the short to medium term following any vote.

¹Dental Core Trainee in Dental Public Health, Health Education England (HEE); ²Clinical Fellow in Leadership and Management, NHS England and HEE; ³Consultant in Dental Public Health and Training Programme Director
*Correspondence to: E. Sinclair
Email: esinclair@kss.hee.nhs.uk

Refereed Paper

Accepted 4 May 2016

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2016.371

©British Dental Journal 2016; 220: 509–512

BACKGROUND

The United Kingdom originally joined the early incarnation of the EU (the European Community or Common Market) in 1973.² This was confirmed by popular consent in the referendum of 1975.³ At the time, the organisation consisted only of Western European countries. Greece joined in 1981, followed by Portugal and Spain in 1986. The 1990s saw the addition of Austria, Sweden and Finland. Finally, many of the countries of Eastern Europe joined in two batches – 2004 (8 countries) and 2007 (Bulgaria and Romania). The last country to join was Croatia in 2013.

LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

The EU directive (PQD) 2005/36 EC⁴ (recently incorporated into UK law⁵) on the recognition of professional qualifications for dentists, allows dentists to freely work in other member states subject to approval by the local competent authority; in the case of the UK, that is the GDC. The term EEA (European Economic Area) includes the countries of Norway, Iceland and Lichtenstein who have similar rights of access but are not EU members. The terms EU and EEA are used interchangeably here.

CURRENT TRENDS

EU dentists working in the UK is nothing new,⁶ but has increased markedly since 2004.

Data from the GDC (Table 1) demonstrate notable increases that occurred in the five years prior to 2011, with 2015 figures for comparison. It indicates that the six member states which are providing the largest numbers of dentists in descending order are: Poland, Sweden, Spain, Greece, Ireland, and Romania. Some key points to note are that Malta has 20% of its dentists already registered with the GDC. The large numbers of Swedish trained dentists arrived in the period approximately between 1995–2004.

PHASES OF DENTAL MIGRATION

The following are rough estimates of the periods of dental migration that have affected the UK market.

Phase 1 (pre-1995)

Very small numbers of EU dentists came to the UK, mainly from other Western European countries. The main overseas trained workforce originated from new and old Commonwealth countries (for example, India, Australia and South Africa).

Phase 2 (1995–2004)

A few hundred Swedish and Danish trained dentists, many of Middle Eastern origin registered and began practising in the UK. Automatic recognition of Commonwealth BDS degrees ended for dentists qualifying after 1 January 2001.

Table 1 Non-UK EEA qualified dentists by country and year of first GDC registration (as at 31 December of registration year). 2015 GDC figures courtesy of Professor K. A. Eaton (personal communication)⁷

Country	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2015
Austria	3	5	4	5	4	3
Belgium	53	51	51	49	46	33
Bulgaria	45	116	167	243	300	319
Czech Republic	48	62	84	90	104	164
Denmark	131	128	127	127	131	115
Estonia	17	16	16	14	15	15
Finland	40	34	36	36	33	22
France	82	82	78	82	78	92
Germany	474	477	475	466	439	317
Greece	419	449	466	509	579	671
Hungary	146	187	202	249	264	340
Iceland	3	2	4	2	2	1
Ireland	661	652	625	684	721	661
Italy	117	148	158	182	192	275
Latvia	22	28	38	57	66	63
Lithuania	105	102	127	148	162	191
Malta	31	37	33	34	35	28
Netherlands	49	52	50	51	49	37
Norway	37	36	40	37	36	27
Poland	848	872	849	847	849	803
Portugal	216	272	338	407	447	507
Romania	155	255	344	460	554	625
Slovakia	39	46	46	45	43	46
Slovenia	0	1	0	1	3	2
Spain	281	288	390	538	640	683
Sweden	996	988	980	968	925	770
Switzerland	12	15	10	7	5	6

Phase 3 (2004–2007)

Eight eastern European countries join the EU. The main countries from which dentists migrated to the UK were Poland and the Baltic states.

Phase 4 (2007–2014)

Romania and Bulgaria provided the main source of dentists migrating to the UK. The Eurozone crisis may have contributed to a large increase in dentists from Spain and Greece seeking work in the UK.

SCENARIO 1: THE UK VOTES TO REMAIN

Should the outcome of a vote be to remain in the EU, things would probably stay pretty much as they are now:

- Dentists would be free to move around the EU with minimal difficulties in registering
- The UK would retain the flexibility to recruit healthcare staff from other EU member states to fill shortages where required. There is a large labour pool which, due to the absence of an EU wide ‘numerus clausus’ means that many member states will remain net exporters of healthcare professionals such as dentists
- UK graduates will potentially have the opportunity to take advantage of initiatives such as the European Professional Card.⁸ Whilst this has not yet extended to dentistry, it is an electronic scheme aimed at helping

professionals move country more easily, especially for short periods. Notably it has an alert system, which is aimed at alerting competent authorities about individuals for whom there may be patient safety concerns

- Safety initiatives (originating from EU directives) such as the Sharp Instruments in Healthcare Regulations 2013 (UK) which have banned recapping of sharps would continue to be implemented
- Europe wide sustainability initiatives such as the phasing down of amalgam and recycling of waste electricals would continue
- The UK would continue to benefit from cross border patient safety initiatives such as the ability to use other EU dental laboratories and the knowledge that equipment will have been certified safe due to the presence of CE marking.

The EU requires the UK to implement public health initiatives⁹ such as the Tobacco Products Directive which helps in the fight against smoking - a serious concern for the dental profession. There is no suggestion that any of the above features will necessarily disappear after withdrawal from the EU, but this will clearly depend on negotiations.

SCENARIO 2: THE UK VOTES TO LEAVE THE EU

Assuming that the UK votes to leave the European Union in June 2016, there would be a two year period of negotiation under Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty.¹⁰ By definition, it is impossible to be certain of what would follow. Here we explore hypothetical scenarios and outcomes.

One aspect that colours this whole argument is the fate of the existing EU directive on mutual recognition of qualifications and freedom of movement. The underlying question revolves around whether they would be scrapped entirely or kept in some limited form? The likelihood is, that if some form of freedom of movement remains for workers in a post Brexit economy, then logically some form of mutual recognition would have to stay also. Below are some possible scenarios that the UK could adopt. They are based on arrangements that currently exist between non-EU counties (Table 2) and may provide a basis for a future framework.

It is likely that those EU dentists already here will be unaffected. Under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT),¹¹ previous treaty rights must be respected. Therefore, in terms of immigration status, they are likely to be given permanent residency and their previously recognised qualifications will continue to be eligible for

registration in the same way Commonwealth dentists pre-2001 are. In addition, the UK is likely to keep existing arrangements in place until the end of the second year (or more) of the negotiation period. However, one source of uncertainty would be dentists who registered before, but whose registration has since lapsed. Would they be able to re-register without exams post Brexit? One assumption is that post Brexit, assuming there is no longer freedom of movement, qualifications that previously were eligible for registration would now be subject to ORE and pose complicated circumstances to navigate: For example, a Polish dentist obtains a visa and wants to work as a dentist here. Would their qualification be honoured or would they need to sit the ORE?

Irish dentists pose a particular challenge. They would be EU dentists but also free to travel and work here as part of the Common Travel Area. This arrangement predated the EU. The assumption would be that special provision would have to be made to treat them effectively as UK graduates for the purpose of regulation of dentistry. Irish nationals have never been considered foreign under UK law. The Republic of Ireland is likely to have many UK dentists working there. Their future status would have to be resolved. In the context of the above, the chances are the

freedom of movement/practice would remain straightforward.

A more pertinent question would be regarding the status of UK nationals who have chosen to study dentistry in European universities. The last decade has seen this activity increase in the light of competition for places in UK universities and changes to fee structures. For example, Hungary, Czech Republic, Bulgaria and Spain all run dentistry courses in English. Those students have elected to pay premium fees and study there, but could encounter more difficulty in coming back to the UK to practice should there be a requirement for the ORE.

Another aspect to consider would be the impact on dentists currently working in the EEA. Whilst the numbers of UK dentists in active practice is very difficult to accurately measure, it can be reasonably assumed that there will be a handful of them in continental Europe. Anecdotally, it is known, for example, that there are UK trained dentists serving expat communities in Spain. The livelihood of these individuals would depend on them being able to continue practice without disruption. Along with other British workers in Europe, presumably any post-referendum negotiations would encourage reciprocity in terms of how British workers were treated relative to EU nationals in the UK. The most

likely outcome is minimal disturbance, but a 'nightmare scenario' would be that these individuals would have to cease practice and return to the UK. As with many EU directives, the onus is on the member state to implement it locally. If one member state decided to place complex bureaucratic process in the way of existing UK dentists practising, this would cause difficulty.

Dentists from the EU may no longer be eligible for the waiver on Foundation Training and this could potentially pose a further barrier to working in the NHS. They would need to undergo equivalence training to obtain a performer number. This is a more difficult route given the shortage of places and lack of defined schemes.

EU directives may no longer need to be implemented. As small businesses, this could potentially reduce administrative burden on dental practice. For example, the Health and Safety at Work Framework Directive (89/391/EEC)¹² requires risk assessments to be kept in writing regardless of the risk.

Beyond the impact on dentists we must also consider the impact on the wider dental team and whether DCP recruitment (for example, dental nurses) could be affected if mutual recognition of qualifications were scrapped. Information from the GDC (Table 3) would suggest this is unlikely, given the comparatively low numbers of those registered with qualifications from outside the UK.

IMPLICATIONS FOR WORKFORCE PLANNING

Up to this point, there has been the option of EU labour to supplement the workforce strategy. For several years, new entrants to the GDC register from outside the UK (mostly EU) have been greater in number than those from UK dental schools.¹⁴ In the event of Brexit, this could result in EU dentists finding it much more difficult to work in the UK market. Essentially, this would mean UK graduates would once again form the vast majority of the future dental workforce. However, assuming EU dentists already here choose to remain, there is already a considerable oversupply in many areas of the country. They may then choose to leave or relocate to underserved areas such as Wales and the South West of England. In broad terms, Brexit could potentially make workforce planning more straightforward in terms of undergraduate numbers. It could also result in a shift in the dentist job market, with fewer potential dentists, this could impact on the supply curve for dentists available.

Another change would be that UK graduates, forming the majority of the new incoming workforce would all be fluent in English

Table 2 Non-EU countries and existing arrangements in workforce management

Arrangement	None	Legacy arrangement	Trans-Tasman (Aus/NZ agreement)	EEA	North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)	Swiss-EU agreement
Example	India → UK	Australia → UK	Australia → New Zealand	Norway → UK	Canada → US	EU → Switzerland
Mutual recognition of BDS/DDS?	No, ORE required	Yes, pre 2001 graduates. Otherwise ORE required	Yes	Yes	Partial-depending on state	Yes
Work visa required?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No, if professional with job offer	No, but some restrictions possible from 2017

Table 3 Numbers of DCPs and where they qualified. Table reproduced from ref. 13, General Dental Council

Title	UK qualified	Qualified outside UK
Clinical dental technician	234	0
Dental hygienist	6,013	320
Nurse	50,496	151
Dental technician	6,131	189
Dental therapist	2,215	15
Orthodontic therapist	322	1
Total	65,411	676

and would have studied dentistry within a GDC curriculum. One of the difficulties of EU movement is the language barrier, cultural differences in dealing with patients, as well as difference in clinical skills. On the other hand, ensuring that future applicants to the register from outside the UK complete a GDC approved examination could assist in achieving uniformity of standards.

However, there could be potential disadvantages to this new strategy. UK graduates may still gravitate towards urban areas which could pose a problem in recruiting dentists to remote rural locations. As a result, we could experience the labour shortages that occurred in the late 1990s and early 2000s. Ultimately, regardless of whether EU dentists remain able to come and go freely, incentives will still be necessary to encourage clinicians to work and remain in less desirable locations.

CONCLUSION

The decision to vote for UK exit from the EU ('Brexit') is matter for each individual voter. However, whether or not the UK leaves or remains, the decision will affect the dental profession in a number of ways; should

we face leaving the EU, there will be many important aspects to consider. Government policy makers need to factor any decision into future workforce planning and in particular the number of training places for dental professionals.

1. HM Government. European Union Referendum Act 2015. London: United Kingdom Parliament, 2015. Available online at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2015/36/pdfs/ukpga_20150036_en.pdf (accessed May 2016)
2. HM Government. European Communities Act 1972. United Kingdom: Parliament, 1972. Available online at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1972/68/pdfs/ukpga_19720068_en.pdf (accessed May 2016).
3. House of Lords. Referendums in the United Kingdom Report with Evidence. HL Paper 9. Clerk of the Select Committee on the Constitution, 2009. Available from: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200910/ldselect/ldconst/99/99.pdf> (accessed May 2016).
4. European Commission. Evaluation of the Professional Qualifications Directive. pp 309–317. Directorate General Internal Market and Services Brussels: European Commission, 2011.
5. HM Government. European Union (Recognition of Professional Qualifications) Regulations 2015. 2015. Available online at https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/417461/bis-15-253-draft-statutory-instrument-eu-recognition-of-professional-qualifications-regulations-2015.pdf (accessed May 2016).
6. Patel R, Eaton K A, Garcia A, Rincon V, Brooks J. An investigation into the numbers of dentists from 19 European Economic Area (EEA) member states currently registered to work in the United Kingdom and key differences between the practise of dentistry in the UK and their member states of origin. *Br Dent J* 2011; **211**: 133–137.
7. Eaton K, Angela Garcia R P and V R. A practical resource to help in the support of non-UK, EEA qualified dental practitioners practising in the UK. 2012.
8. European Commission. European Professional Card- European Commission. Single Market and Standards. 2016. Available online at http://ec.europa.eu/growth/single-market/services/free-movement-professionals/policy/european-professional-card/index_en.htm.
9. McKee M, Galsworthy M J. Brexit: a confused concept that threatens public health. *J Pub Health* 2016; **38**: 3–5.
10. Official Journal of the European Union. Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community. 2007/C 306/01 2007.
11. United Nations. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. *United Nations Treaty Series* 1980; **1155**: 331–512.
12. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. Directive 89/391/EEC- OSH 'Framework Directive'- Safety and health at work- EU-OSHA. 1989. Available online at <https://osha.europa.eu/en/legislation/directives/the-osh-framework-directive/1> (accessed May 2016).
13. General Dental Council. Annual report and accounts 2013 [Internet]. London; 2013. Available online at <https://www.gdc-uk.org/Newsandpublications/Publications/Publications/GDC AR 2013 FINAL WEB.pdf> (accessed May 2016).
14. Batchelor P. Oral health and the EU referendum. *Br Dent J* 2015; **219**: 513.