

- lower third molars after the age of 20. A four-year clinical follow-up. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1989; **18**: 277–280.
16. *Wisdom teeth* [pamphlet]. Rosemont, Ill: American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery; 2005.
  17. Falci S G M, de Castro C R, Santos R C *et al*. Association between the presence of a partially erupted mandibular third molar and the existence of caries in the distal of the second molars. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012; **4**: 1270–1274.
  18. Kruger E, Thomson W M, Konthasinghe P. Third molar outcomes from age 18 to 26: Findings from a population-based New Zealand longitudinal study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2001; **92**: 150–155.
  19. Allen R T, Witherow H, Collyer J *et al*. The mesio-angular third molar to extract or not to extract? Analysis of 776 consecutive third molars. *Br Dent J* 2009; **206**: E23.
  20. Department of Health and the British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry. *Delivering better Oral Health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention*. London: DH, 2007. Gateway reference **8**: 504.
  21. Pendlebury M E, Horner K, Eaton K A. *Selection criteria for dental radiography*. Vol. 1. Faculty of General Dental Practitioners (UK) and the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2004.
  22. Curran A E, Damm D D, Drummond J F. Pathologically Significant Pericoronar Lesions in Adults: Histopathologic Evaluation. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002; **60**: 613–617.
  23. McArdle L W, Renton T. The effects of NICE guidelines on the management of third molar teeth. *Br Dent J* 2012; **213**: E8. Erratum in: *Br Dent J* 2012; **213**: 394.
  24. Office for National Statistics. *Adult Dental Health Survey, 2009*. London: HMSO, 2011.
  25. Mettes T G, Ghaemina H, Nienhuijs M E L, Perry J, van der Sanden W J M, Plasschaert A. Surgical removal versus retention for the management of asymptomatic impacted wisdom teeth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; Issue 6. Art. No.: CD003879. DOI: 10.1002/14651-858.CD003879.pub3.

## Corrigendum

Practice article (*BDJ* 2012; **215**: 115–120)

*‘Practitioner evaluation of a novel online integrated oral health and risk assessment tool: a practice pilot’*

In the above practice article, in Table 1 entitled ‘Scoring method and descriptors for DEPPA ‘Oral Health Scores’ the ‘Tooth health’ score descriptors should have read as follows:

- 0 = severe problems (>30% of teeth need restoring)
- 6 = moderate problems (10–30% of teeth need restoring)
- 12 = mild problems (up to 10% of teeth need restoring)
- 18 = no caries and sound restorations
- 24 = no caries and no previous restorations

The authors apologise for any confusion caused.