## **FURTHER READING**

Bernardo M, Luis H, Martin M D *et al.* Survival and reasons for failure of amalgam *versus* composite posterior restorations placed in a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007; **138**: 775–783.

Elderton R J. Longitudinal study of dental treatment in the general dental service in Scotland. *Br Dent J* 1983; **155**: 91–96.

Elderton R J. Cavo-surface angles, amalgam margin angles and occlusal cavity preparations. *Br Dent J* 1984; **156**: 319–324.

Fernández E M, Martin J A, Angel P A, Mjör I A, Gordan V V, Moncada G A. Survival rate of sealed, refurbished and repaired defective restorations: 4-year follow-up. *Braz Dent J* 2011; **22**: 134–139.

Friedl K H, Hiller K A, Schmalz G. Placement and replacement of composite restorations in Germany. *Oper Dent* 1995; **20**: 34–38.

Gordon V V, Riley J L 3rd, Blaser P K, Mondragon E, Garvan C W, Mjör I A. Alternative treatments to replacement of defective amalgam restorations: results of a seven-year clinical study. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2011; **142**: 842–849.

Hickel R, Peschke A, Tyas M et al. FDI World Dental Federation: clinical criteria for the evaluation of direct and indirect restorations – update and clinical examples.

Clin Oral Investig 2010; 14: 349-366.

Kidd E A. Caries diagnosis within restored teeth. *Oper Dent* 1989: **14**: 149-158.

Merrett M C, Elderton R J. An *in vitro* study of restorative dental treatment decisions and dental caries. *Br Dent J* 1984; **157**: 128–133.

Mjör I A. Repair *versus* replacement of failed restorations. *Int Dent J* 1993; **43**: 466–472.

Mjör I A, Moorhead J E, Dahl J E. Reasons for replacement of restorations in permanent teeth in general dental practice. *Int Dent J* 2000; **50**: 361–366.

Mjör I A, Toffenetti F. Secondary caries: a literature review with case reports. *Quintessence Int* 2000; **31**: 165–179.

Murray J J. *The prevention of dental disease*. Oxford: Oxford Medical Publications, 1989.

Ryge G. Clinical criteria. Int Dent J 1980; 30: 347-358.

Simonsen R J. Conservation of tooth structure in restorative dentistry. *Quintessence Int* 1985; 1: 15–24.

Smales R J, Hawthorne W S. Long-term survivals of repaired amalgams, recemented crowns and gold castings. *Oper Dent* 2004; **29**: 249–253.

Smales R J, Webster D A. Restoration deterioration related to later failure. *Oper Dent* 1993; **18**: 130–137.

Soncini J A, Maserejian N N, Trachtenberg F, Tavares M, Hayes C. The longevity of amalgam *versus* compomer/composite restorations in posterior primary and permanent teeth: findings from the New England Children's Amalgam Trial. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007; 138: 763–772.

Wilson N H, Burke F J, Mjör I A. Reasons for placement and replacement of restorations of direct restorative materials by a selected group of practitioners in the United Kingdom. *Quintessence Int* 1997; **28**: 245–258.

- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Dental recall: recall interval between routine dental examinations. Clinical Guideline 19. London: NICE, 2004.
- Anusavice K J (Ed). Quality evaluation of dental restorations: criteria for placement and replacement.
  Chicago: Quintessence Publishing, 1989.

\*\*Figures 2-4 are based on data from: Wilson N H, Burke F J, Mjör IA. Reasons for placement and replacement of restorations of direct restorative materials by a selected group of practitioners in the United Kingdom. Quintessence International 1997; 28: 245–248. Adapted 2008 with permission. Also, Anusavice K J (ed). Quality evaluation of dental restorations. Criteria for placement and replacement. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing, 1989. Material quoted with permission

## Corrigendum

Research article (BDJ 2012; 213: E8)

'The effects of NICE guidelines on the management of third molar teeth'

In the above research article, an error appears in the text relating to the age range of patients. The actual mean age range throughout is between 25 and 32 for the years from 1990 to 2010.