ORIGINAL ARTICLE Vegetable dishes, dairy products and fruits are key items mediating adequate dietary intake for Japanese adults with spinal cord injury

N Tsunoda^{1,2}, T Inayama², K Hata² and J Oka³

Study design: This is a cross-sectional study.

Objectives: The objective of this study was to ascertain the essential items mediating adequate dietary intake based on the Japanese Food Guide in common among the transtheoretical model (TTM), self-efficacy (SE) and outcome expectancy (OE).

Setting: Members of the organization Spinal Injuries Japan.

Methods: We posted a questionnaire survey to 2731 community-dwelling Japanese adults with spinal cord injury (SCI), and responses from 841 individuals were analyzed. Food intake was assessed as the frequency scores of 10 food items eaten in a daily diet in Japan. The correlations between the frequency scores of food intake and TTM, SE and OE were determined by binominal logistic regression analysis.

Results: The frequency scores of food intake were significantly associated with 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat green/yellow vegetables not less than twice a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day' in TTM. 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day' in TTM. 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day' were significantly associated with the frequency scores of food intake in SE. In OE, no differences were shown.

Conclusion: This study finds that vegetable dishes, dairy products and fruits are the key items mediating adequate dietary intake. Dietary guidelines promoting the intake of these dishes for SCI individuals are needed.

Spinal Cord (2015) 53, 786–790; doi:10.1038/sc.2015.78; published online 12 May 2015

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 100 000 Japanese currently live with spinal cord injury (SCI), and SCIs occur at a frequency of 5000 every year.¹ Many patients with SCI are discharged to private residence,^{2,3} and they live a long life. Although they have to take care of their own health for all that time, it is well known that the physiologic and metabolic changes that accompany SCI result in an increased risk of chronic diseases at rates higher than those for the able bodied.⁴ Adequate diet must be essential for people with SCI to minimize chronic disease risks. However, dietary guidelines for these individuals are absent.

The Japanese diet has attracted considerable attention because of the long life expectancy in Japan. The diet has a unique pattern that consists of grain dishes (mainly rice), main dishes (such as fish, soybeans, egg and meat), side dishes (such as seaweed, vegetables and potatoes), dairy products and fruits. This Japanese dietary pattern is different from the Western dietary pattern based on wheat and meat, and the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top⁵ is based on the Japanese dietary pattern. In this food guide, the categories of grain dishes, fish and meat dishes (main dishes), vegetable dishes (side dishes), fruits and dairy products were adopted. In comparison with the Western dietary pattern, the Japanese dietary pattern is found to be lower in

total energy, total fat and omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio,⁶ and it was associated with a decreased risk of chronic diseses.^{7,8} Diets based on the Japanese Food Guide have also produced the benefit of reducing future mortality in Japanese women.⁹

The purpose of this study was to ascertain the key items or categories based on the Japanese Food Guide mediating adequate dietary intake. Adequate dietary intake has been conceptualized as involving a progression through five phases of change, which are precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action and maintenance based on the transtheoretical model (TTM).¹⁰ These behavioral changes are predicted by self-efficacy (SE) and outcome expectancy (OE). SE and OE are major elements of the social contextual theory,¹¹ and SE represents the subjects' level of confidence in maintaining adequate dietary intake across a number of tempting situations. Therefore, we examined the essential items or categories that are common among TTM, SE and OE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and procedures

We used a cross-sectional design. The subjects were community-dwelling Japanese adults with chronic SCI, who were registered members of the

E-mail: tinayama@tmu.ac.jp

¹Institute of Regional Vitalization Studies, Toyo University, Gunma, Japan; ²Department of Health Promotion Sciences, Graduate School of Human Health Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan and ³Faculty of Home Economics, Tokyo Kasei University, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Dr T Inayama, Department of Health Promotion Sciences, Graduate School of Human Health Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji 192-0297, Tokyo, Japan.

Received 2 November 2014; revised 23 February 2015; accepted 3 April 2015; published online 12 May 2015

organization Spinal Injuries Japan (Tokyo, Japan). With permission from the organization director, we posted a study information sheet with a questionnaire to 2731 members in September 2011. The study information sheet explained the aims and purpose of the study, methods, advantages and disadvantages of participating in the study and the management and publication of data, including that the survey was anonymous and to regard a returned questionnaire as a consent form. We received responses from 1000 individuals, but excluded those responses missing crucial data such as sex, age and lesion type. Finally, the responses from 841 individuals were analyzed. The response rate was 30.8%. We did not collect the race of surveyed persons with SCI. However, foreign residents in Japan are only 1.6% of the Japanese population.¹² It is considered that the surveyed SCI population is mirroring the Japanese population and that the rate of foreign residents with SCI also is low. In fact, the rate of female individuals with SCI was 15% in this study, almost mirroring the Japanese SCI population (20%).¹³

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was based on a framework of the modified PRECEDE– PROCEED model.^{14,15} The original questionnaire included eight items on quality of life, health status, food intake, dietary and health behavior, TTM, preparation factor (knowledge, attitude, skill, SE and OE), dietary environment and attributes. In this study, we analyzed the association between food intake, TTM and preparation factor: SE and OE. Before using this questionnaire, it was evaluated whether the questions and answers were adequate for the assessment of one's dietary life by two registered dietitians, the director of the organization Spinal Injuries Japan, a former staff member of the Tokyo metropolitan facility for the handicapped persons and an administration officer of the association for the handicapped persons. Furthermore, it was checked for suitability and clarity by the former staff member of the Tokyo metropolitan facility for the handicapped persons and two community-dwelling SCI individuals who were registered members of a sports club.

Food intake

Food intake was assessed as the frequency of intake in 1 day or 1 week of 10 food items. The 10 food items were rice, meat, fish, egg, soybeans/soybean products, dairy products, green/yellow vegetables, other vegetables, potatoes and fruits. These foods are eaten in a daily diet in Japan and are major components of the Japanese diet, which consists of grain dishes, fish and meat dishes, vegetable dishes, dairy products and fruits. The frequency of intake in 1 day was assessed for rice, green/yellow vegetables and other vegetables, and that in 1 week was for other food items. Response choices comprised a fouritem Likert scale scored in decreasing order of frequency (score 0-3). The frequency score of food intake was calculated as the sum of each score for the 10 food items (total scores ranged from 0 to 30). These frequency scorings were previously confirmed to relate to the nutritional intakes calculated from the dietary records of middle-aged and elderly persons.¹⁶

TTM, SE and OE

In the TTM, SE and OE, participants were asked about seven items: 'To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, fish and meat dishes, and vegetable dishes not less than twice a day', 'To eat rice (rice or dishes using a rice) not less than twice a day', 'To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher frequency than meat dishes', 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat green/yellow vegetables not less than once a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day'. These questions were based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top, which consists of five categories.⁵ Although vegetables and fruits are often combined into a single category in Western countries, in Japan fruits are often consumed as an after-meal dessert or as a snack rather than as part of the meal. Therefore, fruits were categorized separately from vegetable dishes. Participants were asked to answer the following items in the TTM: 'I continue to eat for more than 6 months', 'I continue to eat for less than 6 months', 'I eat sometimes or consider to eat within the next 30 days', 'Although I do not eat, I consider to start eating within the next 6 months' or 'I do not eat and I do not consider to start eating within the next 6 months'; in OE 'I think it to be very important', 'I think it to be quite important', 'I think it to be a little important', 'I do not think it to be very important', 'I think it to be little important' or 'I do not think it to be important at all'; and in SE 'I have a lot of confidence in eating', 'I have quite confidence in eating', 'I have a little confidence in eating', 'I do not have a lot of confidence in eating', 'I have little confidence in eating' or 'I do not have any confidence in eating'.

Statistical analysis

Nominal scales were expressed as the number of subjects (rate). Interval scales were expressed as the mean (s.d.) or median. The associations between the attributes and the frequency scores of food intake were analyzed by the χ^2 -test. The correlations between the frequency score of food intake and TTM, SE and OE were determined by binominal logistic regression analysis. The dependent variables were the frequency scores of food intake, and the independent variables were TTM (model 1), SE (model 2) and OE (model 3). On the basis of the median, the frequency scores of food intake were divided into subgroups, which were the superior group (>16) and the subordinate group (≤ 16). The former was scored as 1 and the latter was scored as 0. In addition, the positive answers in TTM, SE and OE were scored as 1 and the negative answers were scored as 0 in consideration with the distribution. Variables were applied by compulsive injection to the calculation of univariate and multivariate analyses. These analyses were adjusted by sex, classification of age, time after injury, lesion type, living alone or with other persons, having a job or not, receiving of public nursing care services or not and social participation. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.21 (IBM Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 for two-tailed tests.

Statement of ethics

This study was approved by the ethical committee of Tokyo Metropolitan University.

RESULTS

The subjects' mean age was 61.6 (s.d. 11.5) years in men and 57.8 (s.d. 13.1) years in women. Mean time after injury was 27.6 (s.d. 12.8) years in men and 25.9 (s.d. 14.4) years in women. Other subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. About one half of the subjects (51.6%) had thoracic cord injury, and 29.1% had cervical cord injury.

The mean and median frequency scores of food intake were 16.3 (s.d. 5.1) and 16.0, respectively. The frequency scores of food intake between the superior group and the subordinate group were significantly different in sex, classification of age, living alone or with other persons and receiving public nursing care services or not. The mean frequency scores were 16.0 (s.d. 5.2) among men and 17.6 (s.d. 4.8) among women; 15.2 (s.d. 5.5) in subjects up to 49 years old, 15.2 (s.d. 4.9) in subjects aged 50-59 years, 16.1 (s.d. 5.0) in subjects aged 60-69 years and 18.1 (s.d. 4.9) in subjects aged 70 years or older; 14.2 (s.d. 5.5) among subjects living alone and 16.6 (s.d. 5.0) among subjects living with other persons; and 16.9 (s.d. 5.2) among subjects receiving public nursing care services and 15.8 (s.d. 5.2) among subjects not receiving public nursing care services.

The correlations between the frequency score of food intake and TTM, SE and OE were determined by binominal logistic regression analysis (Table 2). In the univariate analysis, the associations of all the variables were significant and all odds ratios were >1. On the other hand, in the multivariate analysis, the frequency scores of food intake were significantly associated with 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat green/yellow vegetables not less than twice a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day' in TTM and 'To eat vegetable dishes (dishes made mainly from vegetables or potatoes) not less than twice a day', 'To eat dairy products not less than once a day' and 'To eat fruits not less than once a day' in SE. There were no differences with regard to OE.

788

Table 1	Characteristics of Ja	apanese individuals	with spinal cord	njuries as a whole a	nd by frequency	scores of food intake subgroups

N Tsunoda et al

Adequate dietary intake and spinal cord injury

Variables ^a	Groups			Frequency scores of food intak			subgroups	P-value ^b
		Whole sample n = 841		Superior group ^c n = 413		<i>Subordinate</i> group ^c n = 428		
		n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Sex	Men Women	718 123	85.4 14.6	337 76	81.6 18.4	381 47	89.0 11.0	0.002
Classification of age	≤ 49 Years 50 – 59 Years 60 – 69 Years ≥ 70 Years	150 176 301 214	17.8 20.9 35.8 25.4	66 62 144 141	16.0 15.0 34.9 34.1	84 114 157 73	19.6 26.6 36.7 17.1	<0.001
Lesion type	Cervical cord injury Thoracic cord injury Lumbar cord injury	245 434 162	29.1 51.6 19.3	121 211 81	29.3 51.1 19.6	124 223 81	29.0 52.1 18.9	0.951
Time after injury	\leq 9 Years 10 – 19 Years 20 – 29 Years 30 – 39 Years ≥40 Years	78 162 178 219 163	9.8 20.2 22.2 27.4 20.4	43 71 79 112 85	11.0 18.2 20.3 28.7 21.8	35 91 99 107 78	8.5 22.2 24.1 26.1 19.0	0.244
Living alone or with other persons	Alone With other persons	99 742	11.8 88.2	34 379	8.2 91.8	65 363	15.2 84.8	0.002
Social participation	Presence Absence	536 242	68.9 31.1	267 105	71.8 28.2	269 137	66.3 33.7	0.104
Having a job or not	Yes No	224 566	28.4 71.6	98 282	25.8 74.2	126 284	30.7 69.3	0.134
Receiving public nursing care services or not	Receiving Not receiving	287 472	37.8 62.2	158 212	42.7 57.3	129 260	33.2 66.8	0.007

^aMissing answers were excluded.

^bThe associations between the attributes and the frequency score of food intake were analyzed by the χ^2 -test.

cResponse choices of 10 food items (rice, meat, fish, egg, soybeans/soybean products, dairy products, green/yellow vegetables, other vegetables, potatoes and fruits) comprised a four-item Likert scale and were scored in decreasing order of frequency (score 0–3). The frequency score of food intake was calculated as the sum of each score for the 10 food items (total score ranged from 0 to

30). On the basis of the median, the frequency score of food intake was divided into subgroups, which were the superior group (>16) and the subordinate group (<16).

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that vegetable dishes (containing green/yellow vegetables), dairy products and fruits are the key items or categories based on the Japanese Food Guide mediating favorable dietary intake. There are still few reports defining the items or categories mediated with adequate food intake. Therefore, our results can support an attempt to make a dietary guide for individuals with SCI.

Vegetable dishes and fruits are shown to be important items in this study. The focus area for 'Nutrition and Diet' in Health Japan 21 (the second term)¹⁷ shows that increasing the consumption of these items is important to prevent chronic diseases and to maintain health, and also to control weight in Japan or other countries.^{18,19} However, few longitudinal studies have shown these results for individuals with SCI. Only a few surveys of dietary intake have been performed for individuals with SCI. In a study conducted in 75 men and women with SCI in Canada (mean age: 42.4 ± 11.8 years), one-quarter (26.7%) of participants were adherent to the vegetables and fruit, and grain products recommendations of Eating Well with Canada's Food Guide. One-third (34.7%) were adherent to the milk and alternative recommendations and two-thirds (65.3%) were adherent to the meat and alternative recommendations.²⁰ In a study of 100 adults aged 38-55 years with SCI and 100 age-matched able-bodied adults in USA, participants with SCI consumed fewer servings of dairy

products, fruits and vegetables and whole-grain foods compared with able-bodied persons.²¹ In addition, the SCI and able-bodied participants who met the 2010 Dietary Guideline recommendations were as follows: 22% versus 54% for dairy, 39% versus 70% for fruits and vegetables and 8% versus 69.6% for whole-grain foods, respectively. In a study of 95 community-dwelling men with paraplegia aged 20-59 years in USA, <35% of the participants met the recommendations of the 1995 Dietary Guidelines for fruit servings and vegetable servings, and only 16% were within the guideline for dairy servings.²² In these studies, vegetables, dairy products and fruits intakes were less adherent to recommendations. Although the dietary guidelines are food based in Canada and USA, the Japanese Food Guide is dish based. Consequently, vegetables are the similar components as vegetable dishes (side dishes) in Japan. The key items in this study are consistent with the foods that had shown dietary inadequacy in the previous studies in Canada and USA. This fact supports the validity of our results.

The frequency score of food intake was significantly related to TTM and SE; however, there was no significant association with OE. In OE, about 50% of the participants in our study answered 'I think it to be very important' and 80-90% answered 'I think it to be very/quite important'. On the other hand, 'I have a lot of/quite confidence in eating' was answered by 40-70% of participants in SE. These results

789

Table 2 Correlations between frequency score of food intake subgroups and the TTM, SE and OE among Japanese adults with spinal cord injuries

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Variablesª	Groups			Frequency scores of food intake subgroups				Univariate analysis ^b		Multivariate analysis ^b	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<i>Whole</i> <i>sample</i> n = <i>841</i>		Superior group ^c n = 413		Subordinate group ^c n = 428		OR (95% CI) ^d	P-value	OR (95% CI) ^d	P-value
$ Tr d (model 1) \\ To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Maintenance* 562 69.4 336 84.4 226 54.9 3.84 (2.59-5.69) < 0.001 1.00 (0.57-1.77) 1.00 (55 + 0.17) 1.00 (55 $			n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)				
To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Maintenance [®] 562 6.4 33.8 24.8 26 4.1 3.8 2.9 3.8 2.8 6.8 2.5 1.6 1 1 To eat rice 0000 1.7 2.1 8.8 2.8 6.8 2.55 1.6.8 3.8 2.85 1.8 2.8 6.8 2.55 1.6.8 3.8 2.9 0.001 1.31 10.07.2-2.5 0.33 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher Maintenance 566 2.5 2.98 65.9 2.55 1.6.9 0.001 1.27 0.94 To eat green/yellow vegetable Maintenance 56 6.6 3.33 1.7 7.2 1	 TTM (model 1)											
	To have a meal consisting of grain dishes.	Maintenance ^e	562	69.4	336	84.4	226	54.9	3.84 (2.59–5.69)	< 0.001	1.00 (0.57–1.77)	1.00
To eat rice Maintenance 639 78.2 281 88.9 2.85 6.89 2.55 (16.8-3.86) <0.001	fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes	Other	248	30.6	62	15.6	186	45.1	1		1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	To eat rice	Maintenance	639	78.2	351	88.0	288	68.9	2.55 (1.68-3.86)	< 0.001	1.31 (0.77-2.25)	0.33
To eat light distings at ongoing of higher frequency than meat dishes Maintenance 598 7.3 8.0 8.12 8.12 7.1 1<		Other	178	21.8	48	12.0	130	31.1	1		1	
frequency than meat dishes Other 211 26.1 59 14.8 15.2 71.1 1 <th< td=""><td>To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher</td><td>Maintenance</td><td>598</td><td>73.9</td><td>340</td><td>85.2</td><td>258</td><td>62.9</td><td>3.06 (2.06-4.55)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>1.02 (0.61-1.72)</td><td>0.94</td></th<>	To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher	Maintenance	598	73.9	340	85.2	258	62.9	3.06 (2.06-4.55)	< 0.001	1.02 (0.61-1.72)	0.94
To eat vegetable dishes Maintenance 506 62.5 29 83 17 42.8 6.89 4.06-8.59 <0.001 2.76 (1.57-4.85) <0.001 To eat green/yellow vegetables Maintenance 526 64.9 334 83.9 192 46.5 5.71 3.09-8.37) <0.001	frequency than meat dishes	Other	211	26.1	59	14.8	152	37.1	1		1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	To eat vegetable dishes	Maintenance	506	62.5	329	83.3	177	42.8	5.89 (4.05-8.59)	< 0.001	2.76 (1.57–4.85)	< 0.001
To eat greenyellow vegetables Maintenance Other 285 35.1 64.9 91.2 45.5 5.71 (3.90-8.3) (-0.00) 2.29 (1.33-3.94) (0.03) To eat dairy products Maintenance 285 35.1 64 10 23 3.84 (2.73-5.40) <0.001		Other	303	37.5	66	16.7	237	57.2	1		1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	To eat green/vellow vegetables	Maintenance	526	64.9	334	83.9	192	46.5	5 71 (3 90-8 37)	< 0.001	2 29 (1 33-3 94)	0.003
To eat dairy products Maintenance 485 58.9 305 57.7 180 42.9 3.84 (2.73-5.40) <0.001 2.75 (1.85-4.08) <0.001 Other 338 41.1 98 24.3 240 57.1 1 1 1 To eat fruits Maintenance 454 55.4 294 75.1 160 38.2 3.76 (2.65-5.33) <0.001		Other	285	35.1	64	16.1	221	53.5	1	0.001	1	0.000
Other 338 41.1 98 24.3 240 57.1 1 1 1 1 1 To eat fruits Maintenance 454 55.4 294 73.5 160 38.2 3.76 (2.65-5.3) <0.001 1.87 (1.24-2.83) 0.003 SE (model 2) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, A lot of/quite confidence 457 55.8 289 71.5 168 40.5 3.25 (2.31-4.56) <0.001 0.28 (0.56-1.71) 0.95 To eat rice A lot of outfree 437 19.2 0.56 141 33.4 13.1 (1.39-2.68) <0.001 1.26 (0.56-1.71) 0.95 To eat rish dishes at an equal or higher A lot of outfree 437 19.2 0.56 21.1 0.44 0.66 1 1 1 0.25 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher A lot of/quite confidence 430 450.4 228 24.2 243 246 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	To eat dairy products	Maintenance	485	58.9	305	75.7	180	42.9	3 84 (2 73-5 40)	< 0.001	2 75 (1 85-4 08)	< 0.001
To eat fruits Maintenance Other 454 554 294 73.5 160 38.2 3.76 (2.65-5.33) <0.001 1.87 (1.24-2.83) 0.003 SE (model 2) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes A lot of/quite confidence 457 55.8 289 71.5 168 40.5 3.25 (2.31-4.56) <0.001 0.98 (0.56-1.71) 0.95 To eat meat dishes and vegetable dishes Other 462 44.2 115 28.5 247 59.5 1 1 1 0.95 To eat rise A lot of confidence 471 92.06 50.6 141 33.4 1.93 (1.39-2.68) <0.001 1.04 (0.65-1.64) 0.88 To eat rise A lot of/quite confidence 436 63.8 120 75.5 218 44.6 1<		Other	338	41.1	98	24.3	240	57.1	1	0.001	1	0.001
Other 365 44.6 106 26.5 259 61.8 1 1 SE (model 2) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes. A lot of/quite confidence 457 55.8 289 71.5 168 40.5 3.25 (2.31-4.56) <0.001	To eat fruits	Maintenance	454	55.4	294	73.5	160	38.2	3,76 (2,65-5,33)	< 0.001	1 87 (1 24-2 83)	0.003
		Other	365	44.6	106	26.5	259	61.8	1	01001	1	0.000
To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, A lot of/quite confidence 457 55.8 289 71.5 168 40.5 3.25 (2.31-4.56) <0.001 0.98 (0.56-1.71) 0.95 To eat rice A lot of confidence 347 41.9 20 50.6 141 33.4 1.93 (1.39-2.68) <0.001	SE (model 2)											
fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes To eat riceOther36244.211528.524759.5111To eat riceA lot of confidence34741.920650.614133.41.93(1.39-2.68)<0.001	To have a meal consisting of grain dishes.	A lot of/quite confidence	457	55.8	289	71.5	168	40.5	3.25 (2.31-4.56)	< 0.001	0.98 (0.56-1.71)	0.95
To eat rice A lot of confidence 347 41.9 206 50.6 141 33.4 1.93 (1.39-2.68) <0.001 1.26 (0.85-1.87) 0.25 To eat rice Other 482 58.1 201 49.4 281 66.6 1 1 1 To eat rish dishes at an equal or higher A lot of/quite confidence 482 34.2 96 23.5 186 44.6 1 1 0.001 1.04 (0.65-1.64) 0.88 To eat vegetable dishes A lot of/quite confidence 49.6 278 68.3 130 31.3 4.08 (2.90-5.74) <0.001	fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes	Other	362	44.2	115	28.5	247	59.5	1		1	
Other 482 58.1 201 49.4 281 66.6 1 1 1 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher A lot of/quite confidence 543 65.8 312 76.5 23.5 1.55 2.55 1.79-3.64 <0.01	To eat rice	A lot of confidence	347	41.9	206	50.6	141	33.4	1.93 (1.39–2.68)	< 0.001	1.26 (0.85–1.87)	0.25
To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher A lot of/quite confidence 543 65.8 312 76.5 231 55.4 2.55 (1.79-3.64) <0.001 1.04 (0.65-1.64) 0.088 To eat vegetable dishes A lot of/quite confidence 408 49.6 278 68.3 10 3.03 4.08 (2.90-5.74) <0.001		Other	482	58.1	201	49.4	281	66.6	1		1	
frequency than meat dishes Other 282 34.2 96 23.5 186 44.6 1 1 To eat vegetable dishes A lot of/quite confidence 408 49.6 278 68.3 1.30 31.3 4.08 (2.90-5.74) <0.001	To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher	A lot of/auite confidence	543	65.8	312	76.5	231	55.4	2.55 (1.79-3.64)	< 0.001	1.04 (0.65–1.64)	0.88
To eat vegetable dishes A lot of/quite confidence 408 49.6 27.8 68.3 13.0 31.3 4.08 (2.90-5.74) <0.001 2.12 (1.22-3.68) 0.008 To eat green/yellow vegetables A lot of/quite confidence 449 54.9 287 70.7 162 39.3 3.63 (2.58-5.11) <0.001	frequency than meat dishes	Other	282	34.2	96	23.5	186	44.6	1		1	
Other 414 50.4 129 31.7 285 68.7 1 1 1 To eat green/yellow vegetables A lot of/quite confidence 449 54.9 287 70.7 162 39.3 3.63 (2.58-5.11) <0.001	To eat vegetable dishes	A lot of/quite confidence	408	49.6	278	68.3	130	31.3	4.08 (2.90-5.74)	< 0.001	2.12 (1.22-3.68)	0.008
To eat green/yellow vegetables A lot of/quite confidence 449 54.9 287 70.7 162 39.3 3.63 (2.58-5.11) <0.001 1.48 (0.91-2.40) 0.12 To eat dairy products A lot of/quite confidence 473 57.5 222 72.1 181 43.3 3.22 (2.38-4.64) <0.001		Other	414	50.4	129	31.7	285	68.7	1		1	
Other 369 45.1 119 29.3 250 60.7 1 1 To eat dairy products A lot of/quite confidence 473 57.5 292 72.1 181 43.3 3.32 (2.38-4.64) <0.001	To eat green/vellow vegetables	A lot of/quite confidence	449	54.9	287	70.7	162	39.3	3.63 (2.58-5.11)	< 0.001	1.48 (0.91-2.40)	0.12
To eat dairy products A lot of/quite confidence 473 57.5 292 72.1 181 43.3 3.32 (2.38-4.64) <0.001 1.91 (1.30-2.79) 0.001 To eat fruits A lot of/quite confidence 465 56.2 297 72.8 168 40.1 3.74 (2.66-5.24) <0.001		Other	369	45.1	119	29.3	250	60.7	1		1	
Other 350 42.5 113 27.9 237 56.7 1 1 To eat fruits A lot of/quite confidence Other 465 56.2 297 72.8 168 40.1 3.74 (2.66-5.24) <0.001	To eat dairy products	A lot of/quite confidence	473	57.5	292	72.1	181	43.3	3.32 (2.38-4.64)	< 0.001	1.91 (1.30-2.79)	0.001
To eat fruits A lot of/quite confidence 455 56.2 297 72.8 168 40.1 3.74 (2.66-5.24) <0.001 1.97 (1.31-2.95) 0.001 OE (model 3) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Very important 441 54.1 267 67.1 174 41.7 2.71 (1.95-3.75) <0.001		Other	350	42.5	113	27.9	237	56.7	1		1	
Other 362 43.8 111 27.2 251 59.9 1 1 OE (model 3) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Very important 441 54.1 267 67.1 174 41.7 2.71 (1.95–3.75) <0.001	To eat fruits	A lot of/quite confidence	465	56.2	297	72.8	168	40.1	3.74 (2.66–5.24)	< 0.001	1.97 (1.31-2.95)	0.001
OE (model 3) To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Very important fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes Very important 441 54.1 267 67.1 174 41.7 2.71 (1.95–3.75) <0.00		Other	362	43.8	111	27.2	251	59.9	1		1	
To have a meal consisting of grain dishes, Very important fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes Very important Other 374 45.9 131 32.9 243 58.3 1 1 1 To eat rice Very important Other 374 45.9 131 32.9 243 58.3 1 1 1 0.47 To eat rice Very important Other 425 51.8 239 59.8 186 44.3 1.61 (1.17-2.22) 0.004 0.86 (0.56-1.31) 0.47 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49-2.83) <0.001	OE (model 3)											
fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes Other 374 45.9 131 32.9 243 58.3 1 1 To eat rice Very important 425 51.8 239 59.8 186 44.3 1.61 (1.17-2.22) 0.004 0.866 (0.56-1.31) 0.47 To eat rice Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49-2.83) <0.001 0.96 (0.59-1.56) 0.86 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49-2.83) <0.001 0.96 (0.59-1.56) 0.86 frequency than meat dishes Other 403 49.4 158 39.6 245 58.8 1 1 To eat vegetable dishes Other 403 49.4 158 39.6 245 58.8 1 1 121 (0.69-2.13) 0.50 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important 374 45.7 230 57.5 144 34.4 2.58 (1.86-3.58) <0.001 1.47 (0.86-2.53) 0.50 To eat gr	To have a meal consisting of grain dishes,	Very important	441	54.1	267	67.1	174	41.7	2.71 (1.95–3.75)	< 0.001	1.62 (0.88–2.96)	0.12
To eat rice Very important Other 425 51.8 239 59.8 186 44.3 1.61 (1.17–2.22) 0.004 0.86 (0.56–1.31) 0.47 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher frequency than meat dishes Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49–2.83) <0.001	fish and meat dishes and vegetable dishes	Other	374	45.9	131	32.9	243	58.3	1		1	
Other 395 48.2 161 40.2 234 55.7 1 1 To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49–2.83) <0.001	To eat rice	Very important	425	51.8	239	59.8	186	44.3	1.61 (1.17–2.22)	0.004	0.86 (0.56–1.31)	0.47
To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher Very important 413 50.6 241 60.4 172 41.2 2.05 (1.49–2.83) <0.001 0.96 (0.59–1.56) 0.86 frequency than meat dishes Other 403 49.4 158 39.6 245 58.8 1 1 To eat vegetable dishes Very important 374 45.7 230 57.5 144 34.4 2.58 (1.86–3.58) <0.001 1.21 (0.69–2.13) 0.50 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important 463 56.7 273 68.6 190 45.5 2.76 (1.98–3.58) <0.001 1.47 (0.86–2.53) 0.16 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important 463 56.7 273 68.6 190 45.5 2.76 (1.98–3.85) <0.001 1.47 (0.86–2.53) 0.16 Other 353 43.3 125 31.4 228 54.5 1 1 To eat dairy products Very important 408 50.1 245 61.7 163 39.1 2.28 (1.65–3.14) <0.001 1.46 (0.96–2.23) 0.08 Other </td <td></td> <td>Other</td> <td>395</td> <td>48.2</td> <td>161</td> <td>40.2</td> <td>234</td> <td>55.7</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		Other	395	48.2	161	40.2	234	55.7	1		1	
frequency than meat dishes Other 403 49.4 158 39.6 245 58.8 1 1 To eat vegetable dishes Very important Other 374 45.7 230 57.5 144 34.4 2.58 (1.86–3.58) <0.001	To eat fish dishes at an equal or higher	Very important	413	50.6	241	60.4	172	41.2	2.05 (1.49–2.83)	< 0.001	0.96 (0.59–1.56)	0.86
To eat vegetable dishes Very important Other 374 45.7 230 57.5 144 34.4 2.58 (1.86–3.58) <0.001 1.21 (0.69–2.13) 0.50 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important Other 444 54.3 170 42.5 274 65.6 1 1 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important Other 453 56.7 273 68.6 190 45.5 2.76 (1.98–3.85) <0.001	frequency than meat dishes	Other	403	49.4	158	39.6	245	58.8	1		1	
Other 444 54.3 170 42.5 274 65.6 1 1 To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important 463 56.7 273 68.6 190 45.5 2.76 (1.98–3.85) <0.001	To eat vegetable dishes	Very important	374	45.7	230	57.5	144	34.4	2.58 (1.86-3.58)	< 0.001	1.21 (0.69–2.13)	0.50
To eat green/yellow vegetables Very important 463 56.7 273 68.6 190 45.5 2.76 (1.98–3.85) <0.001 1.47 (0.86–2.53) 0.16 Other 353 43.3 125 31.4 228 54.5 1 1 To eat dairy products Very important 408 50.1 245 61.7 163 39.1 2.28 (1.65–3.14) <0.001	-	Other	444	54.3	170	42.5	274	65.6	1		1	
Other 353 43.3 125 31.4 228 54.5 1 1 To eat dairy products Very important Other 408 50.1 245 61.7 163 39.1 2.28 (1.65–3.14) <0.001	To eat green/yellow vegetables	Very important	463	56.7	273	68.6	190	45.5	2.76 (1.98–3.85)	< 0.001	1.47 (0.86–2.53)	0.16
To eat dairy products Very important 408 50.1 245 61.7 163 39.1 2.28 (1.65–3.14) <0.001 1.46 (0.96–2.23) 0.08 Other 406 49.9 152 38.3 254 60.9 1 1 To eat fruits Very important 368 44.9 224 56.0 144 34.4 2.14 (1.55–2.96) <0.001		Other	353	43.3	125	31.4	228	54.5	1		1	
Other 406 49.9 152 38.3 254 60.9 1 1 To eat fruits Very important 368 44.9 224 56.0 144 34.4 2.14 (1.55–2.96) <0.001	To eat dairy products	Very important	408	50.1	245	61.7	163	39.1	2.28 (1.65–3.14)	< 0.001	1.46 (0.96-2.23)	0.08
To eat fruits Very important 368 44.9 224 56.0 144 34.4 2.14 (1.55–2.96) <0.001 1.06 (0.67–1.67) 0.81 Other 451 55.1 176 44.0 275 65.6 1 1		Other	406	49.9	152	38.3	254	60.9	1		1	
Other 451 55.1 176 44.0 275 65.6 1 1	To eat fruits	Very important	368	44.9	224	56.0	144	34.4	2.14 (1.55–2.96)	< 0.001	1.06 (0.67–1.67)	0.81
		Other	451	55.1	176	44.0	275	65.6	1		1	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OE, outcome expectancy; OR, odds ratio; SE, self-efficacy; TTM, transtheoretical model.

^aMissing answers were excluded.

^bBinominal logistic regression analysis was performed. The dependent variables were the frequency scores of food intake, and the independent variables were TTM (model 1), SE (model 2) and OE (model 3). Variables were applied by compulsive injection to the calculation of univariate and multivariate analyses. These analyses were adjusted by sex, classification of age, time after injury, lesion type, living alone or with other persons, having a job or not, receiving of public nursing care services or not and social participation.

The solution type, initial participation. The persons, having a job of hot, receiving of public hursing care services of hot and social participation. Response choices of 10 food items (rice, meat, fish, egg, soybean/soybean products, dairy products, green/yellow vegetables, other vegetables, potatoes and fruits) comprised a four-item Likert scale and were scored in decreasing order of frequency (score 0 - 3). The frequency score of food intake was calculated as the sum of each score for the 10 food items (total score ranged from 0 to 30). On the basis of the median, the frequency score of food intake was divergency, which were the superior group (>16) and the subordinate group (<16). The former was scored as 1 and the latter was scored as 0. In addition, the positive answers in TTM, SE and OE were scored as 1, and the negative answers were scored as 0 in consideration with their distribution. ^dOther is a reference. An odds ratio >1 indicates a higher frequency score of food intake.

e'Maintenance' means continuing to eat for more than 6 months.

may possibly suggest that the individuals in our study do not have enough confidence to recognize the importance of adequately patterned food intake. Therefore, enhancing their SE is thought to be a key approach in dietary education to encourage desirable food intake.

This study has several limitations. First, it should be noted that we did not obtain data for the levels of injury defined by the America Spinal Injury Association classification A or B (motor complete SCI). It is not clear whether the level of injury would influence the correlations between the frequency score of food intake and TTM, SE and OE, and more research is needed. Second, SCI participants were recruited from members of the organization Spinal injuries Japan. Therefore, our findings may not be representative of the overall chronic SCI population in Japan. Third, the frequency scores of food intake used in this study cannot estimate the quantities of food intake. Even though the food pattern is adequate, an excessive quantity of food intake can cause obesity, dyslipidemia and other conditions. The quantity of categories based on the Japanese food pattern to prevent the chronic diseases and to maintain health for individuals with SCI needs to be confirmed in further studies. Fourth, the response rate in our study was low (30.8%). In the surveys carried out in a single center, the high response (more than 60%) was reported.^{23,24} However, response rate was 31.8% in Lavelle's study,²⁵ or 35.8% in FluB's study²⁶ using a similar method to our study. Considering these results, the response rate in our study was not necessarily low. Edwards et al.27 found out several ways to increase response: prenotification, follow-up contact, shorter questionnaires and so on. Further study to which these ways will be applied may achieve higher response rate.

In conclusion, this study finds that vegetable dishes, dairy products and fruits are the key items or categories based on the Japanese Food Guide leading to adequate dietary intake for community-dwelling Japanese people with SCI. Dietary guidelines promoting the intake of these dishes for individuals with SCI should be produced immediately to support health maintenance.

DATA ARCHIVING

There were no data to deposit.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all of the SCI individuals who participated in this study. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23500962.

- 3 Annual Report for the spinal cord injury model systems, 2013 Public resources for National spinal cord injury statistical center. Available from https://www.nscisc.uab.edu/ (cited 15 October 2014).
- 4 Garshick E, Kelley A, Cohen SA, Garrison A, Tun CG, Gagnon D et al. A prospective assessment of mortality in chronic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2005; **43**: 408–416.
- 5 Yoshiike N, Hayashi F, Takemi Y, Mizoguchi K, Seino F. A new food guide in Japan: the Japanese food guide Spinning Top. Nutr Rev 2007; 65: 149–154.
- 6 Tokudome S, Nagaya T, Okuyama H, Tokudome Y, Imaeda N, Kitagawa I et al. Japanese versus Mediterranean Diets and Cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2000; 1: 61–66.
- 7 Shimazu T, Kuriyama S, Hozawa A, Ohmori K, Sato Y, Nakaya N et al. Dietary patterns and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japan: a prospective cohort study. Int J Epidemiol 2007; 36: 600–609.
- 8 Fulgoni III, Fulgoni S, Upton J, Moon M. Diet quality and markers for human health in rice eaters versus non-rice eaters. *Nutrition Today* 2010; **45**: 262–272.
- 9 Oba S, Nagata C, Nakamura K, Fujii K, Kawachi T, Takatsuka N et al. Diet based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top and subsequent mortality among men and women in a general Japanese population. J Am Diet Assoc 2009; 109: 1540–1547.
- 10 Prochaska JO, Johnson S, Lee P. The transtheoretical model of behavior change. In: Shumaker SA, Schron EB, Ockene JK, McBee WL (eds). *The Handbook of Health Behavior Change*, 2nd edn. Springer: NY, USA, pp 59–841998.
- 11 Bandura A. Social Foundations of Thought and Action: a Social Cognitive Theory. Prentice-Hall: Engle-wood Cliffs, NJ, USA. 1986.
- 12 The ministry of justice Immigration control of Japanese and foreign nationals. Available from http://www.moj.go.jp/ENGLISH/IB/ib-01.html (cited 16 February 2015).
- 13 Shingu H, Ohama M, Itaka T, Katoh S, Akatsu T. A nationwide epidemiological survey of spinal cord injuries in Japan from January 1990 to December 1992. *Paraplegia* 1995; 33: 183–188.
- 14 Green LW, Kreuter MW. Health Program Planning: an Educational and Ecological Approach, 4th edn. Mc Graw Hill: Boston, MA, USA, pp 7–172005.
- 15 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare A Basic Direction for Comprehensive Implementation of National Health Promotion. 2012 Available from http://www. mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10900000-Kenkoukyoku/0000047330.pdf (cited 16 February 2015).
- 16 Mizoguchi K, Takemi Y, Adachi M. Relationship between a positive perception toward work and the dietary habits of young male workers. Jpn J Nutr Diet 2004; 62: 269–283 (in Japanese) https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/eiyogakuzashi1941/62/ 5/62_5_269/_pdf (cited 21 October 2014).
- 17 A basic direction for comprehensive implementation of national health promotion from http://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10900000-Kenkoukyoku/0000047330. pdf (cited 21 October 2014).
- 18 Norman GJ, Kolodziejczyk JK, Adams MA, Patrick K, Marshall SJ. Fruit and vegetable intake and eating behaviors mediate the effect of a randomized text-message based weight loss program. *Prev Med* 2013; 56: 3–7.
- 19 Nagura J, Iso H, Watanabe Y, Maruyama K, Date C, Toyoshima H et al. Fruit, vegetable and bean intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women: the JACC Study. Br J Nutr 2009; 102: 285–292.
- 20 Knight KH, Buchholz AC, Martin Ginis KA, Goy RESHAPE-SCI Research Group. Leisure-time physical activity and diet quality are not associated in people with chronic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2011; **49**: 381–385.
- 21 Lieberman J, Goff D Jr, Hammond F, Schreiner P, James Norton H, Dulin M et al. Dietary intake and adherence to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans among individuals with chronic spinal cord inture: a pilot study. J Spinal Cord Med 2014; 37: 751–757.
- 22 Tomey KM, Chen DM, Wang X, Braunschweig CL. Dietary intake and nutritional status of urban community-dwelling men with paraplegia. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005; 86: 664–671.
- 23 Wong S, Graham A, Green D, Hirani SP, Grimble G, Forbes A. Meal provision in a UK National Spinal Injury Centre: a qualitative audit of service users and stakeholders. *Spinal Cord* 2012; **50**: 772–777.
- 24 Wong S, Graham A, Green D, Hirani SP, Forbes A. Nutritional supplement usage in patients admitted to a spinal cord injury center. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2013; **36**: 645–651.
- 25 Lavelle K, Todd C, Campbell M. Do postage stamps versus pre-paid envelopes increase responses to patient mail surveys? A randomised controlled trial. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2008; 8: 113.
- 26 Flüß E, Bond CM, Jones GT, Macfarlane GJ. The effect of an internet option and singlesided printing format to increase the response rate to a population-based study: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2014; 14: 104.
- 27 Edwards PJ, Roberts I, Clarke MJ, Diguiseppi C, Wentz R, Kwan I et al. Metho ds to increase response to postal and electronic questionnaires. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; 8: MR000008.

Katoh S, Enishi T, Sato N, Sairyo K. High incidence of acute traumatic spinal cord injury in a rural population in Japan in 2011 and 2012: an epidemiological study. *Spinal Cord* 2014; 52: 264–267.

² Tsurumi K, Isaji T, Ohnaka K. Multifaceted analysis of factors affecting home discharge of patients with cervical spinal cord injury. *Jpn J Rehabil Med* 2012; **49**: 726–733 (in Japanese) https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jjrmc/49/10/49_726/_pdf (cited 21 October 2014).