scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Laboratory parameters related to disease severity and physical performance after reconvalescence of acute COVID-19 infection

Mario Gietl¹, Francesco Burkert¹, Stefanie Hofer², Johanna M. Gostner², Thomas Sonnweber¹, Ivan Tancevski¹, Alex Pizzini¹, Sabina Sahanic¹, Andrea Schroll¹, Natascha Brigo¹, Alexander Egger³, Rosa Bellmann-Weiler¹, Judith Löffler-Ragg¹, Günter Weiss¹² & Katharina Kurz¹²

Research into the molecular basis of disease trajectory and Long-COVID is important to get insights toward underlying pathophysiological processes. The objective of this study was to investigate inflammation-mediated changes of metabolism in patients with acute COVID-19 infection and throughout a one-year follow up period. The study enrolled 34 patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection admitted to the University Clinic of Innsbruck in early 2020. The dynamics of multiple laboratory parameters (including inflammatory markers [C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), neopterin] as well as amino acids [tryptophan (Trp), phenylalanine (Phe) and tyrosine (Tyr)], and parameters of iron and vitamin B metabolism) was related to disease severity and patients' physical performance. Also, symptom load during acute illness and at approximately 60 days (FU1), and one year after symptom onset (FU2) were monitored and related with changes of the investigated laboratory parameters: During acute infection many investigated laboratory parameters were elevated (e.g., inflammatory markers, ferritin, kynurenine, phenylalanine) and enhanced tryptophan catabolism and phenylalanine accumulation were found. At FU2 nearly all laboratory markers had declined back to reference ranges. However, kynurenine/tryptophan ratio (Kyn/Trp) and the phenylalanine/tyrosine ratio (Phe/Tyr) were still exceeding the 95th percentile of healthy controls in about two thirds of our cohort at FU2. Lower tryptophan concentrations were associated with B vitamin availability (during acute infection and at FU1), patients with lower vitamin B12 levels at FU1 had a prolonged and more severe impairment of their physical functioning ability. Patients who had fully recovered (ECOG 0) presented with higher concentrations of iron parameters (ferritin, hepcidin, transferrin) and amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine) at FU2 compared to patients with restricted ability to work. Persistent symptoms at FU2 were tendentially associated with IFN- γ related parameters. Women were affected by long-term symptoms more frequently. Conclusively, inflammation-mediated biochemical changes appear to be related to symptoms of patients with acute and Long Covid.

COVID-19 infection, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), typically manifests as a mild infection, but can also lead to a severe and potentially fatal illness¹. A malfunctioning systemic immune response is linked to severe illness and poorer patient outcomes²⁻⁴.

Studies have shown markedly elevated levels of inflammatory markers like IL-6 in COVID-19 infected patients⁵⁻⁷. Meta-analyses demonstrated that patients with severe COVID-19 infection had higher levels of inflammatory markers and acute phase proteins like CRP, procalcitonin (PCT), IL-6, and serum ferritin compared to patients with a mild disease course⁸⁻¹¹.

¹Department of Internal Medicine II, Medical University of Innsbruck, Anichstrasse 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. ²Institute of Medical Biochemistry, Biocenter, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innrain 80, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. ³Central Institute for Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics (ZIMCL), Tirol Kliniken GmbH, Anichstrasse 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. ^{Semail:} Guenter.Weiss@i-med.ac.at; katharina.kurz@i-med.ac.at

After numerous studies on HIV¹²⁻¹⁴ and cancer^{15,16}, recent studies have confirmed that interferon gamma (IFN- γ) mediated Trp catabolism and neopterin formation are also strongly induced in patients with COVID-19 infection¹⁷⁻²⁰. Accordingly, Trp catabolism was shown to be predictive for a worse COVID-19 outcome in recent literature^{20,21}. Symptoms like depression and impaired quality of life have also been proposed to be exacerbated by IFN- γ induced indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1): as the subsequent degradation of Trp along the kynurenine pathway reduces the formation of serotonin, which can go along with heightened anxiety, cognitive deficits, and sleep disturbances²²⁻²⁶. Accordingly, low Trp levels have been linked to sleep disturbance during acute COVID-19 infection²⁷ and persistence of symptoms (Long-COVID)²⁸.

Apart from Trp catabolism, also other inflammation-mediated processes might impair patients' physical and mental abilities during and after infection: Th1 type immune response induces perturbations of iron metabolism²⁹ and goes along with increased oxidation of B vitamins³⁰. Both metabolic changes might contribute importantly to the development of fatigue: Anemia and disturbed iron metabolism have been demonstrated to be linked with poor physical performance in COVID-19 patients with persisting symptoms³¹. Additionally, B vitamin deficiency can contribute to anemia and neuro-psychiatric symptoms^{32,33}.

Vitamin B12, also known as cobalamin, plays an important role in viral infections as well as in systemic inflammation^{34,35}. A study showed that surviving COVID-19 infected individuals had higher levels of vitamin B12 compared to those who died from the virus³⁶. Lower vitamin B12 also seems to be related to smell affection in COVID-19 patients³⁷. According to a recent transcriptome analysis, vitamin B12 blocks several inflammation-related pathways that are activated in patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 infection³⁸. However, there are also studies, which report an inverse relationship³⁹ or no link between COVID-19 severity and vitamin B12 concentrations⁴⁰.

In this study we investigated IFN- γ related biochemical parameters and routine laboratory values like vitamin B12 as well as disease severity and patients' performance status measured by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale in moderate to severe COVID-19 infected individuals over the course of two follow-ups within a one-year period.

Materials and methods

Participants and study design

This study included 34 patients (25 men, 9 women, 62.0 years median age) who were hospitalized for treatment of acute COVID-19 infection from March to May 2020. Follow-up assessments were conducted at approximately 60 days (FU1) and one year (FU2) after the onset of symptoms. All patients came to the outpatient department for FU1 and 28 patients for FU2 (21 men, 7 women). These assessments involved blood sampling, a questionnaire, and a medical examination for each patient. All patients gave repeated written and informed consent for their data to be used for scientific purposes and the studies were approved by the ethical board of the Medical University of Innsbruck (1167/2020 and 1157/2017). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The fact that hypothesis driven blood sampling was not routinely performed at the beginning of the pandemic accounts for missing values in patient data.

Laboratory analysis

At the first or second day of hospitalization, at FU1 and at FU2 the routine laboratory values were determined by the ISO 15,189 accredited Central Institute for Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostic (ZIMCL) in Innsbruck, Austria as follows: CRP, IL-6, PCT, iron, transferrin, ferritin, folate, vitamin B12, creatine kinase (CK), troponin T, NT-proBNP, serum glutamic oxaloaxetic transaminase (GOT), serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) were analysed on a Cobas 8000 platform (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). Bioactive Hepcidin was determined by ELISA (DRG Instruments GmbH, Marburg, Germany) on a BEP2000, and 25-OH Vit D was measured by HPLC using the kit from Chromsystems Instruments and Chemicals GmbH (Graefelfing, Germany). All hematologic parameters were determined by an XN-2000 analyzer from Sysmex (Kobe, Japan).

Aromatic amino acids and the Trp derivative kynurenine (Kyn), as well as neopterin were analyzed at the Institute of Medical Biochemistry, Biocenter, Medical University of Innsbruck. The quantification of aromatic amino acids and Kyn as catabolite of Trp was performed as described previously²⁷. Neopterin concentrations were measured by ELISA (BRAHMS Diagnostica, Berlin, Germany), nitrite was determined by Griess reaction⁴¹. The ratios of kynurenine/tryptophan (Kyn/Trp) and phenylalanine/tyrosine (Phe/Tyr) were calculated as a surrogate of IDO-1⁴² and phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH)-activity⁴³.

All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire, administered at multiple follow-up time points (FU1 and FU2) included questions about Eastern Cooperative Oncology (ECOG) score and sleep impairment. Acute COVID-19 infection data was collected retrospectively at FU1. The presence of sleep disturbance was determined either by the patient mentioning it or if there was prescription of sleep medication.

Additional data and definitions

Neurological symptoms were documented based on the patients' medical records. Fatigue data was gathered through the structured medical interview. COVID-19 severity were categorized based on the need for medical treatment, in accordance with the classification used by Sonnweber et al⁴⁴. Mild severity was defined as outpatient treatment, moderate severity as inpatient treatment without respiratory support, severe disease as inpatient

treatment with oxygen therapy, and critical disease as the need for mechanical ventilation in an intensive care unit (ICU).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics include categorical variables, which were displayed as frequencies and percentages, and nonnormally distributed continuous variables, which were assessed using median and range. Most of the variables were not normal distributed; therefore, non-parametric tests were applied. Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare two independent groups, Wilcoxon signed rank test yielded results for two dependent groups, and Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparison of more than two independent groups. Post-Hoc tests for multiple comparisons included Bonferroni correction to counteract alpha error accumulation. Correlations were evaluated using Spearman rank test. The significance level was set to p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 28.0 (IBM Corp., USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics

34 patients who had been hospitalized for COVID-19 infection came for FU1, 28 of them also for FU2 (one year after infection). The median age was 62 years (interquartile range (IQR) 54–71) ranging from 44 to 87 years. 73.5% (male, n = 25) and 26.5% (female, n = 9). Three patients (8.8%) had a moderate severity, eleven patients (32.4%) had a severe disease, and 20 patients (58.8%) were admitted to the ICU during treatment for acute COVID-19 infection, representing a critical outcome. No patient in the study died because of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Median age was 60.0 years in the moderate group, 72.0 years in the severe group and 57.5 years in the ICU group. Regarding sex, statistical testing yielded no significant difference between men and women for disease severity (p = 0.561). During acute COVID-19 infection 19 out of the 34 patients of our sample reported an ECOG of 4, representing a total inability to care for themselves. Four patients reported an ECOG of 0. At FU1 12 patients reported an ECOG of 0 and 1 respectively, while an ECOG of 2 was reported in five patients and an ECOG of 4 in one patient. About one year after infection 14 patients had an ECOG of 0, 13 patients an ECOG of 1 and one patient an ECOG of 2. ECOG-Score was significantly higher during acute illness compared to FU1 (p < 0.001) and FU2 (p < 0.001).

During the hospital stay for acute COVID-19 infection the percentage of men and women reporting symptoms like fatigue, sleep disturbance and neurological symptoms was comparable. However, on average, women were more frequently suffering from persisting symptoms in the reconvalescence phase (FU1 and FU2; see Table 1). 62.5% of female patients were reporting neurological symptoms compared to 14.3% of men at FU2. This was surprising, as no woman had reported neurological symptoms at FU1. Sleep disturbance was prevalent in three out of four women, compared to 35% of male patients also at FU2. Sleep disturbance had already been more frequent in women at FU1 (62.5% women versus 27.3% of men). Moreover, half of the female patients were fatigued at FU2 and 23.8% of men.

Laboratory parameters

Several parameters differed significantly between acute COVID-19 infection and the two follow-ups (FU1–FU2) (see Table 2 for sex-stratified values).

Perturbations of IFN- γ mediated parameters between acute COVID-19 infection and FU1 have already been discussed in a previous publication²⁷, therefore we herein only focus on FU2 results and dynamics:

In the following only the most important findings will be summarized, the medians and p-values for all the following results are shown in Table 2.

| | | Neurological symptoms | | Fatigue | | Sleep disturbance | |
|-------|--------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | n (valid %) | missing | n (valid %) | missing | n (valid %) | missing |
| Acute | Total | 18 (52.9) | 0 | 33 (100) | 1 | 20 (60.6) | 1 |
| | Male | 13 (52.0) | 0 | 24 (100) | 1 | 15 (60.0) | 0 |
| | Female | 5 (55.6) | 0 | 9 (100) | 0 | 5 (62.5) | 1 |
| FU1 | Total | 6 (20.0) | 4 | 16 (53.3) | 4 | 11 (36.7) | 4 |
| | Male | 6 (27.3) | 3 | 11 (50.0) | 3 | 6 (27.3) | 3 |
| | Female | 0 (0) | 1 | 5 (62.5) | 1 | 5 (62.5) | 1 |
| FU2 | Total | 8 (27.6) | 5 | 9 (31.0) | 5 | 13 (46.4) | 6 |
| | Male | 3 (14.3) | 4 | 5 (23.8) | 4 | 7 (35.0) | 5 |
| | Female | 5 (62.5) | 1 | 4 (50.0) | 1 | 6 (75.0) | 1 |

Table 1. Frequencies of nominal study parameters during acute COVID-19 and at the two follow-ups. n,number of patients; missing, number of patients with missing value; valid %, percentage of patients excludingmissing values; n = 34 during acute illness; n = 34 at FU1; n = 28 at FU2. Significant values are in [bold].

.....

| | | Acute | | FU1 | | FU2 |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Gender | Median (IQR) | p-value* | meDian (IQR) | <i>p</i> -value ** | Median (IQR) |
| Neentonin [nmel/I] | Male | 26.3 (17.7-44.4) | < 0.001 | 8.9 (8.0-13.0) | n.s | 7.8 (7.1–9.3) |
| Neopterin [mnoi/L] | Female | 41.1 (18.3-45.7) | 0.038 | 12.5 (9.1-18.9) | 0.069 | 6.5 (5.8-8.9) |
| 17 | Male | 3.1 (2.3-3.8) | 0.049 | 2.3 (1.8-3.0) | n.s | 2.5 (2.2–2.7) |
| Kyn [µmoi/L] | Female | 3.5 (3.1-4.0) | 0.051 | 2.4 (2.2–2.5) | n.s | 2.3 (2.0-3.1) |
| Two [comes]/T] | Male | 45.4 (40.8-52.4) | 0.040 | 52.8 (48.2-59.8) | 0.061 | 62.0 (58.8-69.3) |
| rp [μmoi/L] | Female | 41.2 (35.7–51.5) | n.s | 54.4 (40.8-54.9) | 0.012 | 65.9 (60.9–70.6) |
| Pho [umo]/L] | Male | 125.3 (114.2-134.8) | < 0.001 | 69.9 (64.4-87.2) | n.s | 84.1 (73.4-92.5) |
| rite [µiii0i/L] | Female | 129.9 (113.7-157.1) | 0.008 | 60.9 (56.4-69.3) | 0.025 | 73.3 (68.7-95.1) |
| True [| Male | 66.3 (58.9–72.5) | n.s | 58.3 (54.0-67.2) | 0.020 | 81.0 (66.8-92.2) |
| Tyr [µmoi/L] | Female | 62.6 (57.9-73.4) | n.s | 59.8 (57.3-72.9) | 0.012 | 78.3 (68.3-91.0) |
| Very /Ten [une ol/ment ol] | Male | 63.8 (51.2-83.4) | 0.006 | 39.3 (33.7-55.5) | n.s | 37.6 (36.3-44.1) |
| Kyll/ Hp [µlli0i/illili0i] | Female | 82.6 (61.4-96.9) | 0.028 | 45.5 (41.0-56.8) | 0.025 | 36.0 (32.3-44.5) |
| Dha/Tur [uma]/uma]] | Male | 1.9 (1.7-2.1) | < 0.001 | 1.2 (1.0–1.4) | 0.088 | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) |
| Pile/Tyr [µihoi/µihoi] | Female | 2.0 (1.6-2.3) | 0.008 | 1.0 (1.0–1.1) | n.s | 1.0 (0.9–1.0) |
| Nitrite [um a]/I] | Male | 23.3 (13.8-45.4) | n.s | 29.6 (11.8-55.6) | 0.005 | 8.8 (8.4–17.2) |
| Nitrite [µmoi/L] | Female | 22.4 (13.3-33.2) | n.s | 16.5 (10.7-52.4) | 0.036 | 7.7 (5.7–14.9) |
| Folate [ug/L] | Male | 10.3 (5.3-11.9) | 0.048 | 5.6 (4.5-8.3) | 0.028 | 7.7 (6.1–10.8) |
| rotate [µg/L] | Female | 15.4 (13.1–17.6) | n.s | 7.5 (4.9–16.6) | n.s | 16.9 (10.3-20.0) |
| Without in D12 [mm.]/m.L] | Male | 376 (268-493) | 0.026 | 234 (188-327) | n.s | 282 (217-395) |
| vitamin B12 [pmoi/mL] | Female | 604 (374-826) | n.s | 352 (311–535) | n.s | 383 (302-581) |
| WBC [C/II] | Male | 6.0 (3.6-7.4) | n.s | 6.3 (5.3-7.4) | n.s | 6.9 (5.9–7.7) |
| WDC [G/L] | Female | 6.5 (6.1–10.4) | n.s | 7.1 (6.5-8.4) | 0.018 | 5.7 (5.3-7.2) |
| Hamaglahin [g/L] | Male | 124 (119–137) | n.s | 134 (123–145) | < 0.001 | 156 (139–161) |
| Tieniogiobiii [g/L] | Female | 116 (112–136) | n.s | 124 (122–134) | 0.063 | 133 (128–137) |
| MCV [ft] | Male | 89.0 (86.4-91.3) | < 0.001 | 90.8 (89.4-94.6) | 0.022 | 89.6 (88.1-92.1) |
| NIC V [IL] | Female | 91.2 (87.5-93.3) | 0.017 | 93.5 (91.7-93.9) | 0.018 | 88.5 (86.4-90.0) |
| MCH [ng] | Male | 30.9 (30.2–31.5) | n.s | 30.5 (29.8-31.6) | 0.018 | 31.1 (30.8-31.6) |
| MCH [pg] | Female | 30.8 (29.3-31.9) | n.s | 30.9 (29.9-32.0) | 0.028 | 29.9 (28.1-31.4) |
| Lymphocytes [% of WBC] | Male | 15.8 (10.0-23.9) | 0.001 | 25.2 (21.0-29.8) | 0.027 | 31.6 (26.7-35.2) |
| Lymphocytes [// of whoc] | Female | 10.7 (9.4–34.3) | n.s | 29.4 (18.8-33.3) | n.s | 31.6 (25.4–35.0) |
| CPP [mg/dI] | Male | 9.41 (3.5–17.06) | < 0.001 | 0.20 (0.06-0.56) | n.s | 0.15 (0.06-0.30) |
| CKF [IIIg/dL] | Female | 3.42 (1.94–21.53) | 0.018 | 0.13 (0.08-0.63) | n.s | 0.25 (0.09-0.82) |
| II 6 [ng/I] | Male | 85.8 (32.6-205.4) | < 0.001 | 3.1 (1.5-7.3) | n.s | 1.5 (1.5-4.3) |
| IL-0 [lig/L] | Female | 28.5 (12.3-171.8) | 0.012 | 3.2 (1.5-6.5) | 0.068 | 1.5 (1.5–2.6) |
| | Male | 352 (252-400) | < 0.001 | 206 (193-224) | n.s | 195 (171–220) |
| | Female | 314 (238–382) | 0.093 | 221 (213–251) | n.s | 201 (189–238) |
| Total serum iron [umc]/L] | Male | 4.2 (3.1-5.7) | < 0.001 | 15.4 (8.7-20.1) | n.s | 15.2 (12.8–21.0) |
| | Female | 4.3 (2.4-15.8) | 0.012 | 16.3 (11.4–17.7) | n.s | 14.0 (8.0–18.2) |
| Ferritin [ug/I] | Male | 1420 (572-2053) | < 0.001 | 280 (137-469) | 0.004 | 160 (112-272) |
| remui [µg/L] | Female | 632 (469-973) | 0.018 | 193 (91–305) | 0.063 | 91 (50-170) |
| Transformin astruction [0/] | Male | 13.0 (10.0–17.0) | 0.004 | 26.0 (13.0-37.0) | n.s | 24.0 (20.0-32.0) |
| Transferrin saturation [%] | Female | 10.0(9.0-33.0) | 0.063 | 255(190-310) | ns | 22.0(12.0-33.5) |

Table 2. Sex-stratified study parameters and comparison of acute COVID-19 infection (acute) and FU1–FU2. Median values and IQR of study parameters and longitudinal comparison between groups. **p* value comparing parameters during acute COVID-19 infection (acute) and first follow-up (FU1); ***p*-value comparing parameters at FU1 and FU2; bold indicates significant differences; no significant difference (n.s.). white blood count (WBC), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH).

Regarding IFN- γ mediated parameters only few and rather slight changes between FU1 and FU2 were seen: In women, a further decrease at FU2 compared to FU1 was significant for Kyn/Trp. Interestingly, nitrite was elevated during acute infection and at FU1, while decreasing significantly between FU1 and FU2.

At the two follow-ups a high proportion of patients still had elevated levels of IFN- γ dependent laboratory values exceeding the 95th percentile of healthy blood donors (Geisler et al⁴¹., see Table 3). Most notably, 69.6% of patients for Kyn/Trp and 65.2% of patients for Phe/Tyr at FU2 respectively had values above the 95th percentile.

| | Acute (n = 33) | FU1 (n=34) | FU2 (n=23) | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | n (valid %) | n (valid %) | n (valid %) | 95th/5th percentile* |
| Neopterin [nmol/L] | 32 (97) | 18 (53) | 6 (26.1) | 9.10 ^a |
| Kyn [µmol/L] | 10 (30.3) | 11 (32.4) | 11 (47.8) | 2.48 ^a |
| Trp [µmol/L] | 26 (78.8) | 17 (50) | 0 (0) | 53.1 ^b |
| Phe [µmol/L] | 31 (93.9) | 8 (23.5) | 11 (47.8) | 83.4ª |
| Tyr [µmol/L] | 10 (30.3) | 16 (47.1) | 1 (4.4) | 59.5 ^b |
| Kyn/Trp [µmol/mmol] | 29 (87.9) | 25 (73.5) | 16 (69.6) | 35.1 ^a |
| Phe/Tyr [µmol/µmol] | 33 (100) | 28 (82.3) | 15 (65.2) | 0.95ª |

Table 3. Number of patients exceeding the 95th or 5th percentile of IFN- γ dependent laboratory values during acute COVID-19 infection and at the two follow-ups (FU1, FU2). n, number of patients; valid %, percentage of patients excluding missing values; *according to Geisler et al⁴¹.; *95th percentile; ^b5th percentile.

B vitamin (folate and B12) availability, which was decreased at FU1 in men, improved from FU1 to FU2: Folate was significantly higher again in male patients at FU2 compared to FU1. Also, hemoglobin improved significantly in men at FU2 compared to FU1.

We observed a further reduction of ferritin values in male and female patients at FU2 compared to FU1. Other iron metabolism markers showed a return to baseline approximately within the first 60 days after symptom onset (FU1) and did not change afterwards, which is in line with previous observations on subjects with COVID-19 induced anemia⁴⁵.

Inflammatory markers such as CRP and IL-6 were significantly higher during acute illness in both men and women compared with FU2. LDH was significantly elevated only in male patients during acute infection and declined to normal values until FU2.

Relationship between laboratory parameters and symptoms

Interestingly, fatigued patients at FU2 had higher folate levels than non-fatigued patients (10.8 µg/L vs. 7.7 µg/L; p = 0.029), while patients with sleeping problems tended to have higher Kyn (2.7 µmol/L vs. 2.3 µmol/L; p = 0.065), higher Kyn/Trp (40.3 µmol/mmol vs. 36.7 µmol/mmol; p = 0.086) and also higher folate concentrations (10.4 µg/L vs. 7.0 µg/L; p = 0.093) than patients with no problems sleeping. If neurological symptoms were still reported at FU2, patients tended to have lower neopterin levels (6.3 nmol/L vs. 8.0 nmol/L; p = 0.088), as well as lower Kyn values (2.1 µmol/L vs. 2.5 µmol/L; p = 0.082) compared to patients without neurological symptoms.

Comparison of acute study parameters disease severity-stratified

We examined laboratory parameters during acute COVID-19 infection depending on disease severity. Again, the most important findings will be summarized, medians and p-values are shown in Table 4.

Kyn, nitrite, white blood cells (WBC), platelet count, absolute neutrophil count (ANC), segmented neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes in percentage of WBC, lymphocyte count, fibrinogen, CRP, PCT, IL-6, troponin T, NT-proBNP, and total serum iron (TSI) differed depending on disease severity.

At FU1, vitamin B12, creatinine, WBC, MCH, platelet count, ANC, CRP, PCT and CK differed significantly among the three categories of disease severity (medians and p-values see Table 5). Neither tryptophan catabolism nor other IFN- γ mediated biochemical pathways differed according to the prior disease severity at FU1. However, about one year after symptom onset (at FU2), patients with a severe course of disease presented with significantly higher levels of Kyn/Trp than patients who had been admitted to the ICU during acute illness (40.3 vs. 28.9; p = 0.019).

Comparison of acute study parameters ECOG-stratified

In addition to disease severity, we explored whether patients' routine laboratory parameters differed regarding ECOG performance status. Due to the partially small sample size, three ECOG groups were formed and subsequently compared during acute COVID-19 infection. An ECOG score of 1 or lower was considered low, ECOG of 2 or 3 was considered medium and an ECOG of 4 was considered high. Nitrite (p=0.022), GOT (p=0.035), ANC (p=0.038), segmented neutrophils (p=0.011), lymphocytes in percentage of WBC (p=0.008), fibrinogen (p=0.004), CRP (p=0.004), PCT (p=0.047), IL-6 (p=0.016), LDH (p=0.006), and ferritin (p=0.001) differed significantly among the three groups.

At the time of the two follow-ups most patients reported an ECOG-score of 0 or 1. Subsequently we decided to compare these two groups regarding FU1 and FU2. At the time of FU1 hemoglobin levels were higher in patients with an ECOG of zero (138 g/L vs. 125 g/L, p = 0.033), while ANC (3.7 G/L vs. 5.0 G/L, p = 0.045), CRP (0.08 mg/dL vs. 0.39 mg/dL, p = 0.001), IL-6 (1.5 ng/L vs. 4.9 ng/L, p = 0.005), LDH (204 U/L vs. 222 U/L, p = 0.014), troponin T (8.1 ng/L vs. 19.5 ng/L, p < 0.001), and NT-proBNP (109 ng/L vs. 396 ng/L, p < 0.001) were lower compared with an ECOG score of one.

The statistical comparison at FU2 showed higher levels for Phe and Tyr, as well as hepcidin25, MCH, and ferritin in patients with a lower ECOG score. LDH and transferrin showed inverse results (see medians and p-values in Table 6).

| | Disease severity | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|--|
| | Moderate (n=3) | Severe (n = 11) | ICU (n=20) | | |
| | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | p-value* | |
| Neopterin [nmol/L] | 10.1 (7.7–18.3) | 42.5 (18.7-46.2) | 25.7 (21.2-45.7) | 0.051 | |
| Kyn [µmol/L] | 1.9 (1.5–1.9) | 3.7 (3.2-4.4) | 3.1 (2.5-3.9) | 0.017 | |
| Kyn/Trp [µmol/mmol] | 44.7 (27.7-57.3) | 78.8 (63.2–106.7) | 61.4 (50.6-89.6) | 0.063 | |
| Nitrite [µmol/L] | 13.8 (13.3-44.0) | 13.8 (8.2-22.9) | 33.2 (19.5-60.5) | 0.017 | |
| WBC [G/L] | 6.3 (4.3-6.7) | 5.2 (2.7-6.5) | 7.7 (5.3–10.0) | 0.032 | |
| Platelet count [G/L] | 295 (161-306) | 151 (112–218) | 250 (195-302) | 0.039 | |
| ANC [G/L] | 3.4 (2.1-4.3) | 3.1 (1.8-4.5) | 6.3 (4.2-8.4) | 0.004 | |
| Segmented neutrophils [%] | 54.3 (48.9-56.0) | 62.8 (55.4-66.0) | 81.6 (76.1-89.4) | 0.002 | |
| Monocytes [%] | 9.4 (7.3-14.1) | 9.0 (5.0-15.0) | 4.6 (2.8-7.4) | 0.037 | |
| Lymphocytes [% of WBC] | 35.5 (26.3-41.9) | 23.9 (16.5-27.9) | 11.2 (7.5–15.4) | 0.002 | |
| Lymphocytes [G/L] | 2.00 (1.80-2.24) | 0.95 (0.52-1.67) | 0.93 (0.72-1.06) | 0.023 | |
| Fibrinogen [mg/dL] | 453 (239-542) | 514 (361-592) | 597 (554-678) | 0.044 | |
| CRP [mg/dL] | 1.94 (0.06-2.09) | 3.50 (2.43-9.41) | 16.06 (8.05-22.09) | 0.003 | |
| PCT [µg/L] | 0.06 (0.06-0.06) | 0.08 (0.07-0.33) | 0.26 (0.13-0.75) | 0.012 | |
| IL-6 [ng/L] | 15.3 (2.3-41.4) | 41.6 (20.0-118.1) | 130.3 (37.3-313.2) | 0.028 | |
| Troponin T [ng/L] | 5.0 (5.0-8.2) | 15.5 (10.5-19.2) | 11.4 (7.8–15.5) | 0.020 | |
| NT-proBNP [ng/L] | 68 (66–77) | 443 (208-508) | 138 (82-464) | 0.044 | |
| Total serum iron [µmol/L] | 17.5 (4.6–19.4) | 4.8 (3.9-5.7) | 3.7 (2.3-4.4) | 0.011 | |

Table 4. Comparison of study parameters during acute COVID-19 infection stratified by disease severity (only significant differences and statistical trends shown). Median values and IQR of blood values acquired during acute COVID-19 infection stratified by disease severity. Significant values are in [bold]. **p*-value comparing blood values among groups of disease severity.

.

| | Disease severity | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | Moderate (n=3) | Severe (n = 11) | ICU (n=20) | | |
| | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | <i>p</i> -value* | |
| Vitamin B12 [pmol/mL] | 263 (251-334) | 395 (234–717) | 229 (188-326) | 0.048 | |
| Creatinine [mg/dL] | 0.81 (0.79-0.90) | 0.95 (0.83-1.03) | 0.75 (0.70-0.78) | 0.020 | |
| WBC [G/L] | 6.3 (5.3-6.7) | 5.7 (4.0-6.9) | 7.3 (6.3–9.3) | 0.023 | |
| MCH [pg] | 30.4 (29.8-31.5) | 31.7 (31.0-32.7) | 30.3 (29.5-30.7) | 0.015 | |
| Platelet count [G/L] | 259 (244-319) | 212 (192–238) | 303 (226-315) | 0.035 | |
| ANC [G/L] | 3.7 (2.8–5.1) | 3.7 (2.2-4.1) | 4.3 (3.8-5.5) | 0.036 | |
| CRP [mg/dL] | 0.06 (0.06-0.15) | 0.07 (0.06-0.22) | 0.36 (0.13-1.06) | 0.019 | |
| PCT [µg/L] | 0.06 (0.06-0.06) | 0.06 (0.06-0.06) | 0.06 (0.06-0.08) | 0.045 | |
| CK [U/L] | 54 (53-126) | 61 (54–121) | 38 (31–57) | 0.039 | |

Table 5. Comparison of study parameters at FU1 stratified by disease severity (only significant differencesshown). Median values and IQR of blood values acquired at FU1 stratified by disease severity. *p-valuecomparing blood values among groups of disease severity.

Correlation of study parameters

We further assessed correlations between ECOG-score, disease severity, and routine laboratory parameters each during acute COVID-19 infection and at FU1 and FU2 respectively.

High vitamin B12 levels during acute COVID-19 infection correlated significantly with lower Trp (rs = -0.677; p = 0.001), and higher Kyn/Trp (rs = 0.504; p = 0.024).

Patients with a more severe disease (rs = -0.381; p = 0.034) or a higher ECOG score (rs = -0.417; p = 0.022) had lower levels of vitamin B12 at the time of FU1. Furthermore, higher CRP levels at FU1 coincided with lower folate levels at FU1 (rs = -0.374; p = 0.046). High folate was also significantly related to lower Trp (rs = -0.605; p < 0.001) as well as lower Phe (rs = -0.470; p = 0.01) at FU1.

At FU2 patients with a more severe course of acute COVID-19 infection presented with tendentially lower folate ($r_s = -0.385$; p = 0.058) and lower vitamin B12 concentrations ($r_s = -0.341$; p = 0.07).

| | ECOG FU2 | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | 0 | 1 | | | |
| | (n=14) | (n=13) | | | |
| | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | <i>p</i> -value* | | |
| Phe [µmol/L] | 88.2 (84.1-94.2) | 73.4 (68.2-77.3) | 0.036 | | |
| Tyr [µmol/L] | 88.0 (68.8-93.0) | 74.7 (63.8-80.6) | 0.043 | | |
| 25-OH-Vit D3 [nmol/L] | 48 (40-69) | 85 (76–106) | 0.008 | | |
| Hepcidin-25 [µg/L] | 13.6 (8.2-28.0) | 5.1 (2.6-13.9) | 0.009 | | |
| MCH [pg] | 31.6 (31.2-32.0) | 31.0 (28.6-31.1) | 0.002 | | |
| Platelet count [G/L] | 219 (165–253) | 273 (184–288) | 0.076 | | |
| LDH [U/L] | 186 (171–195) | 216 (197–259) | 0.022 | | |
| Ferritin [µg/L] | 216 (131-293) | 102 (42-143) | < 0.001 | | |
| Transferrin [mg/dL] | 248 (216-274) | 275 (250-318) | 0.019 | | |

Table 6. Comparison of blood values collected at FU2 ECOG-stratified (only significant differences and statistical trends shown). Median values and IQR of blood parameters collected at FU2 ECOG-stratified. **p*-value comparing blood values among patients with an ECOG score of 0 and 1 at FU2, bold indicates significant differences; no significant difference (n.s.).

Discussion

Since the 5th of May 2023 the World Health Organization no longer declares COVID-19 as a global health emergency. However, SARS-CoV-2 virus is endemic and will continue to challenge the public, as well as the scientific community. Research into the molecular basis of disease trajectory and Long-COVID is therefore essential. In view of that, we investigated IFN- γ induced parameters and routine laboratory values, as well as disease severity and ECOG score during acute COVID-19 infection and over the course of one year after symptom onset.

As expected, ECOG performance status was significantly higher during acute COVID-19 infection compared to the two follow ups, indicating that acute infection strongly impaired physical performance. Affirmatively, this was widely reported in previous studies^{7,46}. At the time of FU1 most patients had recovered a physical constitution within the range of ECOG 0–1, after one year about half of the patients were fully recovered and able to perform as before. Still, about half of the patients reported an impaired ability to work (ECOG 1) and presented with persistent symptoms after one year.

As metabolic changes induced by the virus and/or over-whelming immune response might be connected with the development of symptoms, we wanted to investigate the dynamics of different biochemical pathways, that have earlier been associated with symptoms like fatigue, depression or impaired quality of life. Furthermore, it was our goal to examine, whether disease severity influenced the investigated pathways long-term and whether patients with symptoms differed regarding the investigated lab parameters or not.

Numerous laboratory parameters showed notable differences between acute COVID-19 infection and follow-up investigations: Inflammatory parameters decreased within a standard range by the first follow-up, both in women and men. Interestingly, there appeared to be differences regarding immune response already during acute infection: Female patients presented with lower median concentrations of inflammatory markers CRP and IL-6 during acute illness, but higher neopterin values (also at FU1, at FU2 median concentrations of all the three inflammatory markers were within normal ranges in both men and women). In the past, the role of the X-chromosome among others was discussed as a possible reason for sex differences in immune regulation^{47,48}. Male patients presented with low lymphocytes during acute infection, which steadily and significantly increased at FU1 and FU2, which is also consistent with earlier findings^{7,49,50}.

Female patients were suffering more frequently than men from neurological symptoms, fatigue and sleep disturbance a year after infection which is supported by related research⁵¹. We cannot really explain the finding, that women did not report about neurological symptoms at FU1, while many reported them after 1 year: maybe deficits were regarded by women as mild and reversible initially, but in the course of reconvalescence they impaired everyday life strong. Another explanation might be that symptoms developed thereafter, which has also been stated by many other female patients who had a mild course of disease and later on developed symptoms of Long Covid.

Our data also fit well with results of an earlier study showing that men are more severely impacted in the acute phase, since mortality is higher in men⁵², and women are more likely to suffer long-term consequences.

In total, we observed a return to normal ranges regarding most investigated parameters by FU2, with negligible changes between FU1 and FU2 in our patient cohort. However, surprisingly, IFN- γ dependent laboratory values were still elevated one year after infection in a substantial number of patients. About two thirds of our cohort had values for Kyn/Trp (69.6%) and Phe/Tyr (65.2%) exceeding the 95th percentile compared to healthy adults. Thus, it seems that moderate to severe COVID-19 infection impairs IFN- γ mediated pathways up to one year after the onset of symptoms, which has not been previously reported and adds to our knowledge.

Moreover, there also appears to be a link between immune-mediated biochemical changes and clinical symptoms in our study. Patients, whose physical performance had returned to normal function, presented with higher concentrations of iron metabolism parameters (ferritin, transferrin, hepcidin) and amino acids

(phenylalanine and tyrosine) than those with impaired ability to work and function (ECOG 1). Patients with sleeping problems tended to have higher Kyn and higher Kyn/Trp, while patients with fatigue had (rather unexpectedly) higher folate concentrations. Overall, the association of clinical symptoms with lab parameters influenced by IFN- γ signaling at FU2 appears to be more complex and individual, since patients with neurological symptoms at FU2 tended to have lower neopterin and Kyn concentrations.

Also B vitamin metabolic changes appeared to be associated with tryptophan catabolism (both during acute infection and at FU) and disease severity: our data support a relationship between vitamin B12 and COVID-19 severity, which was already found in other studies^{38,53}. Patients with a more severe disease and a continued higher impairment of their physical functioning ability had lower vitamin B12 levels at FU1 (and high MCV levels), indicating enhanced vitamin B12 demand during acute infection (probably as compensatory mechanism, reflected by high B12 levels in men) and lower availability during reconvalescent COVID-19 infection. Folate concentrations were interestingly also associated with tryptophan metabolism at FU1 and related with fatigue at FU1.

Thus, our data indicate that metabolic changes are related with each other and with symptoms in reconvalescent patients. The small cohort size and a relative predominance of severe cases are major limitations which have to be taken into account and which restrict the generalizability of our results. On the other hand, the fact that the laboratory parameters were not influenced by immuno-suppressive treatment with e.g., corticoids (like in the later course of the pandemic) is a strength of this study, as immune-mediated biochemical changes could be monitored in a small, but well defined study population. Conclusively, similar investigations in larger cohorts of Long Covid patients are certainly needed to better extrapolate, whether and how exactly dysbalances of these biochemical pathways are really contributing to the persistence of symptoms in patients with Long Covid or not.

Data availability

Results presented within this study are available within the manuscript. Blinded raw data are available on demand.

Received: 28 September 2023; Accepted: 18 March 2024 Published online: 06 May 2024

References

- Andersen, K. G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W. I., Holmes, E. C. & Garry, R. F. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Med. 26(4), 450–452. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9 (2020).
- Hsu, R. J. et al. The role of cytokines and chemokines in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infections. Front. Immunol. 13, 832394. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.832394 (2022).
- 3. Hu, B., Huang, S. & Yin, L. The cytokine storm and COVID-19. J. Med. Virol. 93(1), 250–256. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26232 (2021).
- Burkert, F. R., Lanser, L., Bellmann-Weiler, R. & Weiss, G. Coronavirus disease 2019: Clinics, treatment, and prevention. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 761887. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.761887 (2021).
- Wong, C. K. et al. Plasma inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in severe acute respiratory syndrome. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 136(1), 95–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2004.02415.x (2004).
- Mahallawi, W. H., Khabour, O. F., Zhang, Q., Makhdoum, H. M. & Suliman, B. A. MERS-CoV infection in humans is associated with a pro-inflammatory Th1 and Th17 cytokine profile. *Cytokine* 04(104), 8–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2018.01.025 (2018).
- Huang, C. et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 395(10223), 497–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5 (2020).
- 8. Zeng, F. *et al.* Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **96**, 467–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.055 (2020).
- Ji, P. et al. Association of elevated inflammatory markers and severe COVID-19: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 99(47), e23315. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000023315 (2020).
- Zhou, F. et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. Lancet 395(10229), 1054–1062. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3 (2020).
- Bellmann-Weiler, R. et al. Prevalence and predictive value of anemia and dysregulated iron homeostasis in patients with COVID-19 infection. J. Clin. Med. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9082429 (2020).
- Schröcksnadel, K., Wirleitner, B., Winkler, C. & Fuchs, D. Monitoring tryptophan metabolism in chronic immune activation. *Clin. Chim. Acta.* 364(1–2), 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2005.06.013 (2006).
- Schroecksnadel, K. et al. Quality of life and immune activation in patients with HIV-infection. Brain Behav. Immun. 22(6), 881–889. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2007.12.011 (2008).
- Schroecksnadel, K. et al. Indoleamine-2, 3-dioxygenase and other interferon-gamma-mediated pathways in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Curr. Drug Metab. 8(3), 225–236. https://doi.org/10.2174/138920007780362608 (2007).
- Lanser, L. et al. Inflammation-induced tryptophan breakdown is related with anemia, fatigue, and depression in cancer. Front. Immunol. 11, 249. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00249 (2020).
- Huang, A. *et al.* Serum tryptophan decrease correlates with immune activation and impaired quality of life in colorectal cancer. *Br. J. Cancer.* 86(11), 1691–1696. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6600336 (2002).
- Bellmann-Weiler, R. *et al.* Neopterin predicts disease severity in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 8(1), ofaa521. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa521 (2021).
- Robertson, J. et al. Serum neopterin levels in relation to mild and severe COVID-19. BMC Infect. Dis. 20(1), 942. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12879-020-05671-7 (2020).
- Ozger, H. S. et al. The prognostic role of neopterin in COVID-19 patients. J. Med. Virol. 93(3), 1520–1525. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jmv.26472 (2021).
- Almulla, A. F. *et al.* The tryptophan catabolite or kynurenine pathway in COVID-19 and critical COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 22(1), 615. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07582-1 (2022).
- Roberts, I. et al. Quantitative LC-MS study of compounds found predictive of COVID-19 severity and outcome. Metabolomics 19(11), 87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-023-02048-0 (2023).
- Zhang, Z. et al. Associations of immunological features with COVID-19 severity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect. Dis. 21(1), 738. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06457-1 (2021).

- Canli, T. & Lesch, K. P. Long story short: The serotonin transporter in emotion regulation and social cognition. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10(9), 1103–1109. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1964 (2007).
- Tordjman, S. et al. Melatonin: Pharmacology, functions and therapeutic benefits. Curr. Neuropharmacol. 15(3), 434–443. https:// doi.org/10.2174/1570159X14666161228122115 (2017).
- Ferracioli-Oda, E., Qawasmi, A. & Bloch, M. H. Meta-analysis: melatonin for the treatment of primary sleep disorders. *PLoS One* 8(5), e63773. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063773 (2013).
- Coon, S. L. et al. Pineal serotonin N-acetyltransferase: Expression cloning and molecular analysis. Science 270(5242), 1681–1683. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5242.1681 (1995).
- Gietl, M. et al. Interferon-gamma mediated metabolic pathways in hospitalized patients during acute and reconvalescent COVID-19. Int. J. Tryptophan. Res. 16, 11786469231154244. https://doi.org/10.1177/11786469231154244 (2023).
- Eroğlu, İ, Eroğlu, B. & Güven, G. S. Altered tryptophan absorption and metabolism could underlie long-term symptoms in survivors of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Nutrition 90, 111308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2021.111308 (2021).
- Fuchs, D. et al. Immune activation and the anaemia associated with chronic inflammatory disorders. Eur. J. Haematol. 46(2), 65–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0609.1991.tb00524.x (1991).
- Fuchs, D. et al. Is hyperhomocysteinemia due to the oxidative depletion of folate rather than to insufficient dietary intake?. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 39(8), 691–694. https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2001.113 (2001).
- Sonnweber, T. *et al.* Persisting alterations of iron homeostasis in COVID-19 are associated with non-resolving lung pathologies and poor patients' performance: A prospective observational cohort study. *Respir. Res.* 21(1), 276. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-020-01546-2 (2020).
- Rudzki, L. et al. Gut microbiota-derived vitamins underrated powers of a multipotent ally in psychiatric health and disease. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 107, 110240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110240 (2021).
- Weiss, G., Ganz, T. & Goodnough, L. T. Anemia of inflammation. Blood 133(1), 40-50. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-06-856500 (2019).
- Batista, K. S. et al. The role of vitamin B12 in viral infections: A comprehensive review of its relationship with the muscle-gut-brain axis and implications for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nutr. Rev. 80(3), 561–578. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuab092 (2022).
- Manzanares, W. & Hardy, G. Vitamin B12: The forgotten micronutrient for critical care. Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care. 13(6), 662–668. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e32833dfaec (2010).
- Shakeri, H. *et al.* Evaluation of the relationship between serum levels of zinc, vitamin B12, vitamin D, and clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19. *J. Med. Virol.* 94(1), 141–146. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.27277 (2022).
- Elsayad, O. A. & Abdou, S. M. Relation between vitamin B12 levels and smell affection in COVID-19 patients. Int. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol. 26(4), e533–e537. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1755311 (2022).
- Cassiano, L. M. G. et al. Vitamin B12 attenuates leukocyte inflammatory signature in COVID-19. Front. Immunol. 14, 1048790. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1048790 (2023).
- Lymperaki, E. et al. Potential role of certain biomarkers such as vitamin B12, ROS, albumin, as early predictors for prognosis of COVID-19 outcomes. Medicines (Basel) https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines9060036 (2022).
- Im, J. H. et al. Nutritional status of patients with COVID-19. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 100, 390–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020. 08.018 (2020).
- Geisler, S. *et al.* Serum tryptophan, kynurenine, phenylalanine, tyrosine and neopterin concentrations in 100 healthy blood donors. *Pteridines* 26, 31–36 (2015).
- Fuchs, D. *et al.* Increased endogenous interferon-gamma and neopterin correlate with increased degradation of tryptophan in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *Immunol. Lett.* 28(3), 207–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-2478(91)90005-u (1991).
- 43. Neurauter, G. *et al.* Chronic immune stimulation correlates with reduced phenylalanine turnover. *Curr. Drug Metab.* **9**(7), 622–627. https://doi.org/10.2174/138920008785821738 (2008).
- Sonnweber, T. et al. Cardiopulmonary recovery after COVID-19 an observational prospective multi-center trial. Eur. Respir. J. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.03481-2020 (2020).
- Lanser, L. et al. Dynamics in Anemia development and dysregulation of iron homeostasis in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Metabolites https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo11100653 (2021).
- Hu, B., Guo, H., Zhou, P. & Shi, Z. L. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 19(3), 141–154. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00459-7 (2021).
- Libert, C., Dejager, L. & Pinheiro, I. The X chromosome in immune functions: When a chromosome makes the difference. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 10(8), 594–604. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2815 (2010).
- Haitao, T. et al. COVID-19 and sex differences: Mechanisms and biomarkers. Mayo Clin. Proc. 95(10), 2189–2203. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.07.024 (2020).
- Chen, T. et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. BMJ https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1091 (2019).
- Yang, X. *et al.* Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: A single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 8(5), 475–481. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5 (2020).
- 51. Sudre, C. H. *et al.* Attributes and predictors of long COVID. *Nat. Med.* **27**(4), 626–631. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01292-y (2021).
- Zhang, J. J., Dong, X., Liu, G. H. & Gao, Y. D. Risk and protective factors for COVID-19 morbidity, severity, and mortality. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* 64(1), 90–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-022-08921-5 (2023).
- Sezgin, Y. Evaluation of serum vitamin B12 levels in patients with COVID-19 infection: A case-control study. J. Med. Biochem. 42(3), 524–529. https://doi.org/10.5937/jomb0-42357 (2023).

Author contributions

M.G. completed the data of patients, performed statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. F.B., G.W., A.S. and R.B.-W. were the physicians taking care of patients with acute COVID-19 infection and provided data regarding clinical symptoms and lab parameters of patients; they also revised the manuscript carefully. S.H. and J.M.G. measured amino acid and neopterin levels and helped to write the manuscript. G.W., J.L.-R., T.S., I.T., A.P., and S.S. followed the patients up, recorded symptoms of patients and helped to write the manuscript. N.B. helped with data collection and analysis, A.E. measured routine laboratory parameters and helped to write the manuscript. K.K. was one of the physicians who followed up patients, organized the measurements, supervised the statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript with M.G.

Funding

This research was Funded by the TYROLEAN REGIONAL GOVERNMENT, Grant Number GZ 75759.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.W. or K.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024