# scientific reports

# OPEN



# Bifurcation to complex dynamics in largely modulated voltage-controlled parametric oscillator

Tomohiro Taniguchi

An experimental demonstration of a parametric oscillation of a magnetization in a ferromagnet was performed recently by applying a microwave voltage, indicating the potential to be applied in a switching method in non-volatile memories. In the previous works, the modulation of a perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field produced by the microwave voltage was small compared with an external magnetic field pointing in an in-plane direction. A recent trend is, however, opposite, where an efficiency of the voltage controlled magnetic anisotropy (VCMA) effect is increased significantly by material research and thus, the modulated magnetic anisotropy field can be larger than the external magnetic field. Here, we solved the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation numerically and investigated the magnetization dynamics driven under a wide range of the microwave VCMA effect. We evaluated bifurcation diagrams, which summarize local maxima of the magnetization dynamics. For low modulation amplitudes, the local maximum is a single point because the dynamics is the periodic parametric oscillation. The bifurcation diagrams show distributions of the local maxima when the microwave magnetic anisotropy field becomes larger than the external magnetic field. The appearance of this broadened distribution indicates complex dynamics such as chaotic and transientchaotic behaviors, which were confirmed from an analysis of temporal dynamics.

Voltage controlled magnetic anisotropy (VCMA) effect<sup>1</sup> modulates a perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at a ferromagnetic metal/nonmagnetic insulating layer interface by modulating of electron states near the interface<sup>2-4</sup> and/or inducing magnetic moments<sup>5</sup>. It enables us to manipulate the direction of the magnetization in a ferromagnet electrically without the Joule heating, and thus, is expected to be a new writing method in magnetoresistive random access memory (MRAM), whose writing scheme currently relies on spin-transfer torque<sup>6,7</sup>. The material researches for highly efficient VCMA effect has been reported, where the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy is largely modulated by a small voltage<sup>8-17</sup>. The efficiency recently achieved reaches to about 300 fJ/ (Vm)<sup>18</sup>, which corresponds to a magnetic anisotropy field on the order of kilo-Oersted for typical VCMA-based MRAM. At the same time, analyses on the magnetization dynamics driven by the VCMA effect have been investigated both experimentally and numerically<sup>19-28</sup>. It has been revealed that the switching is unstable when the pulse width of the voltage is short because the dynamics becomes very sensitive to the pulse shape in such condition<sup>24</sup>. For a long-pulse regime, however, the switching also becomes unstable due to noise<sup>23</sup>.

To overcome the issue, a parametric oscillation of the magnetization by applying microwave voltage was proposed in Ref.<sup>29</sup>. For the magnetization switching in the VCMA-based MRAM using a perpendicularly magnetized free layer, an external magnetic field  $H_{appl}$  pointing in an in-plane direction is applied and induces the magnetization precession around  $H_{appl}$ , which eventually relaxes to the direction of  $H_{appl}$ . When the microwave voltage with an oscillation frequency  $f = 2f_L$ , where  $f_L = \gamma H_{appl}/(2\pi)$  ( $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio) is the Larmore frequency, is applied, however, a sustainable oscillation of the magnetization is excited. This oscillation is classified into the parametric oscillation, and for simplicity, we call the oscillator as voltage-controlled parametric oscillator. Since this oscillation is stable, it can be used to manipulate the magnetization direction even in a long-pulse regime, which results in a reliable magnetization switching. Note that the previous work<sup>29</sup> focuses on a parameter region of  $H_{Ka}/H_{appl} \ll 1$ , where  $H_{Ka}$  is the amplitude of the modulated magnetic anisotropy field generated by the microwave VCMA effect. The recent progress of the VCMA efficiency, however, makes the

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Research Center for Emerging Computing Technologies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan. email: tomohiro-taniguchi@aist.go.jp

opposite limit,  $H_{Ka}/H_{appl} \gg 1$ , available because the value of  $H_{Ka}$  is growing rapidly, as mentioned above. The dynamical behavior of the magnetization in this limit has not been investigated yet.

In this work, we study the magnetization dynamics driven by the microwave VCMA effect by solving the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert (LLG) equation numerically. We change the value of  $H_{Ka}$  in a wide range including the limit of  $H_{Ka}/H_{appl} \gg 1$ . To clarify the change of the dynamical behavior, bifurcation diagrams are evaluated, which summarize local maxima of the oscillating magnetization. When the magnitude of  $H_{Ka}$  is small, the parametric oscillation is induced, and the bifurcation diagram becomes single points because the dynamics is periodic. When  $H_{Ka}$  becomes larger than  $H_{appl}$ , however, the bifurcation diagrams show broad distributions. It is revealed that the appearance of these complex, broadened structures indicated chaotic or transient-chaotic behavior, which are confirmed from evaluations of the Lyapunov exponent and analyses on temporal dynamics.

#### System description

In Fig. 1a, we show a schematic illustration of a ferromagnetic/nonmagnetic/ferromagnetic trilayer. The top and bottom ferromagnets correspond to free and reference layer, respectively. We apply a macrospin assumption in the free layer, whose validity in dynamical state driven by the VCMA effect has been confirmed experimentally<sup>29</sup>. Thus, the unit vector **m** pointing in the magnetization direction in the free layer conserves its magnitude, i.e.,  $|\mathbf{m}| = 1$  and  $d|\mathbf{m}|/dt = 0$ . An external magnetic field  $H_{appl}$  is applied to an in-plane direction. We assume that the shape of the free layer is a cylinder, and therefore, the free layer does not have an in-plane magnetic anisotropy. For convenience, we use a Cartesian coordinate, where the *x* axis is parallel to  $H_{appl}$  while the *z* axis is normal to the film plane. The magnetic field in the free layer **H**, in the absence of an applied voltage, is given by

$$\mathbf{H} = H_{\text{appl}} \mathbf{e}_x + [H_{\text{K}} - 4\pi M(N_z - N_x)] m_x \mathbf{e}_z,\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_k$  (k = x, y, z) is the unit vector in the *k* direction. The perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field includes the contribution from the interfacial magnetic anisotropy field  $H_K^{30-32}$  and the shape magnetic anisotropy field  $4\pi M(N_z - N_x)$  with the demagnetization coefficients  $N_k$  ( $N_x = N_y$  due to the cylindrical symmetry). The net perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field,  $H_{\rm K} - 4\pi M (N_z - N_x)$ , determines the retention time of MRAM. In the conventional scheme of the writing in the VCMA-based MRAM, the direct voltage modulates this net perpendicular magnetic anisotropy close to zero to excite the magnetization precession around the external magnetic field<sup>33</sup>. If the perpendicular component largely remains finite, the magnetization just moves its direction to the direction of the external field with the angle  $\sin^{-1}(H_{appl}/H'_K)$  and the precession cannot be excited, where  $H'_K$  is the reduced perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field by the VCMA effect. In fact, the numerical simulation in Ref.<sup>33</sup> assumes that the net perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field is completely canceled by the VCMA effect. Note that this assumption is important not only for making the simulation simple but also for experiments. If the direct (or intrinsic) component of the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field remains finite during the precession, an instantaneous frequency becomes nonuniform. Such a nonuniform frequency will increase the switching error because the pulse width of the voltage for writing the bit is determined as a half of the Larmor precession period for VCMA-based MRAM. Therefore, it is preferable to make the direct component of the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field zero during the switching for the conventional switching scheme. In the parametric oscillation state, both direct and microwave voltages are applied to the trilayer, and the direct voltage modulates the total perpendicular magnetic anisotropy so that it becomes close to zero<sup>29</sup>, while the microwave voltage provides an oscillating magnetic anisotropy field. Accordingly, the magnetic field used in the following calculation becomes,

$$\mathbf{H} = H_{\text{appl}} \mathbf{e}_x + H_{\text{Ka}} \sin(2\pi ft) m_z \mathbf{e}_z,\tag{2}$$

where  $H_{Ka}$  and f are the magnitude and frequency of the magnetic anisotropy field due to the microwave voltage. The magnetization dynamics driven by this magnetic field is described by the LLG equation,



**Figure 1.** (a) Schematic illustration of magnetization oscillation in a ferromagnetic/nonmagnetic trilayer. The unit vector **m** pointing in the magnetization direction in free layer shows a sustainable oscillation around an external magnetic field  $H_{appl}$  in the in-plane direction when the frequency of a microwave voltage is twice the Larmor frequency  $f_{L}$ . (b) Dynamical trajectory of the magnetization in a parametric oscillation state. Parameters are  $H_{appl} = 300$  Oe and  $H_{Ka} = 100$  Oe. The black triangle indicates the direction of the magnetization motion. (c) Time evolution of  $m_z$  in the parametric oscillation state. (d) Fourier spectrum of  $m_z$ . The inset shows the spectrum around the main peak in a linear scale.

2

$$\frac{d\mathbf{m}}{dt} = -\gamma \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H} + \alpha \mathbf{m} \times \frac{d\mathbf{m}}{dt},\tag{3}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the damping constant. Throughout this paper, we use the values of  $\gamma = 1.764 \times 10^7$  rad/(Oe s) and  $\alpha = 0.005$ . The preparation of the initial state of **m** by investigating thermal equilibrium is explained in "Methods"<sup>34,35</sup>. Recall that the LLG equation conserves the norm of **m** as  $|\mathbf{m}| = 1$ . Therefore, although **m** is a threedimensional vector, its dynamical degree of freedom is two due to this constraint. In fact, if we use a spherical coordinate, for example, the dynamics of **m** is described by two variables (zenith and azimuth angles). It should be noted that dynamical systems described by differential equations cannot show chaotic behavior when the dynamical degree of freedom is less than or equal to two, according to the Poincaré–Bendixson theorem<sup>36</sup>. The presence of the microwave voltage, however, makes the present system non-autonomous and provides a possibility to excite chaotic behavior, as shown below.

# Results

Here, we study the change of the magnetization dynamics for various magnitude of  $H_{\text{Ka}}$ .

## **Parametric oscillation**

Let us first start by confirming the parametric oscillation studied previously<sup>29</sup>. It was shown in Ref.<sup>29</sup> that a sustainable oscillation of the magnetization is excited when the frequency of the microwave voltage, f, is twice the Larmor frequency,  $f_{\rm L} = \gamma H_{\rm appl}/(2\pi)$ . Therefore, in the following, we fix the value of f to be  $f = 2f_{\rm L}$ . Figure 1b shows the dynamical trajectory of the magnetization in a steady state, where  $H_{\rm appl} = 300$  Oe while  $H_{\rm Ka} = 100$  Oe, i.e.,  $H_{\rm Ka}/H_{\rm appl} \ll 1$ , as in the case of the previous work<sup>29</sup>. Since  $|\mathbf{m}| = 1$  is satisfied in the LLG equation, it is useful to draw the dynamical trajectory on a unit sphere, as shown in this figure. Time evolution of  $m_z$  in a steady state is also shown in Fig. 1c. These results indicate an appearance of the sustainable oscillation of the magnetization mentioned above. In Fig. 1d, the Fourier spectrum of  $m_z$  is shown, where the inset shows it around the main peak in a linear scale. Its main peak appears at 0.84 GHz, which is the same with  $f_{\rm L}$  with  $H_{\rm appl} = 300$  Oe. These results are consistent with the previous work<sup>29</sup>. Since the magnetization switches its direction between  $m_z \simeq +1$  and  $m_z \simeq -1$  periodically with the period  $1/(2f_{\rm L})$ , this parametric oscillation can be used as a switching scheme in VCMA-based MRAM<sup>29</sup>. Recall that this oscillation is absent, the magnetization monotonically relaxes to the direction of the external magnetic field. Spin-wave propagation through a parametric excitation is another example of the magnetization dynamics caused by microwave voltage, which has been studied previously<sup>37-40</sup>.

#### Appearance of complex dynamics and bifurcation diagram

When the value of  $H_{\text{Ka}}$  further increases, the magnetization dynamics becomes complex. In Fig. 2a, we show the dynamical trajectory of the magnetization for  $H_{\text{Ka}} = 620$  Oe, while  $H_{\text{appl}} = 300$  Oe is the same with that used in Fig. 1b. We observe a clear change of the magnetization dynamics by comparing Figs. 1b and 2a. The trajectory is not a simple circle in Fig. 2a. The time evolution of  $m_z$  and its Fourier transformation are shown in Fig. 2b,c, respectively. Figure 2b indicates that the magnetization dynamics is still periodic, while Fig. 2c indicates the appearance of multipeak structure. These results indicate that the application of the microwave voltage is no longer applicable to the switching method for the VCMA-based MRAM when the modulation of the magnetic anisotropy field becomes larger than the external magnetic field due to the breakdown of the simple parametric oscillation.

A way to distinguish these dynamics, such as the difference between Figs. 1b and 2a, qualitatively is to draw a bifurcation diagram<sup>36</sup>. In Fig. 3a, we summarize local maxima of  $m_z$  for various  $H_{Ka}$ , where  $H_{appl} = 300$  Oe. Recall that the parametric oscillation is excited when  $H_{Ka}$  is small. In this case, the dynamics is periodic and  $m_z$  is similar to a simple trigonometric function, as shown in Fig. 1c. The local maxima of  $m_z$  for this case, thus, saturate to a single point. When the dynamics become complex, the bifurcation diagram shows broadened structure. For example, there are three points at  $H_{Ka} = 620$  Oe in Fig. 3a, the validity of which is confirmed from



**Figure 2.** (a) Dynamical trajectory of the magnetization in a parametric oscillation state. Parameters are  $H_{\text{appl}} = 300 \text{ Oe}$  and  $H_{\text{Ka}} = 620 \text{ Oe}$ . The black triangle indicates the direction of the magnetization motion. (b) Time evolution of  $m_z$  in the parametric oscillation state. (c) Fourier spectrum of  $m_z$ .



**Figure 3.** (a) A bifurcation diagram summarizing local maxima of  $m_z(t)$  and (b) Lyapunov exponent for various  $H_{\text{Ka}}$ , where  $H_{\text{appl}} = 300$  Oe.

Fig. 2b. When the value of  $H_{Ka}$  further increases, the bifurcation diagram shows largely broadened structure and finally shows simplified structure again. As discussed below, these correspond to chaotic and transient-chaotic behaviors<sup>41-43</sup>. Note that the appearance of complex but still periodic structure might weakly depend on the initial state (see "Methods") while the region of the broadened structure might depend on the measurement time, as will be mentioned below. It is difficult to analytically estimate the value of  $H_{Ka}$  at which the bifurcation from a simple parametric oscillation to a complex oscillation occurs; see "Methods". However, the numerical simulations for various parameters imply that the complex oscillation appears when  $H_{Ka}$  becomes larger than  $H_{appl}$ , as discussed below. We also evaluated Lyapunov exponent<sup>36</sup> by Shimada–Nagashima method<sup>44</sup>, as shown in Fig. 3b. The Lyapunov exponent is an inverse of a time scale characterizing an expansion of a difference between two solutions of the LLG equation with an infinitesimally different initial conditions; see "Methods" explaining the evaluation method of the Lyapunov exponent. A negative Lyapunov exponent means that the magnetization saturates to a fixed point. The Lyapunov exponent is zero when the magnetization dynamics are periodic, while it becomes positive when the dynamics are chaotic. We notice that the Lyapunov exponent in the present system is zero or positive, depending on the value of  $H_{Ka}$ . Thus, the Lyapunov exponent can be used as an indicator to distinguish between periodic oscillation and chaotic dynamics. For example, we can conclude that the dynamics in Fig. 2a is periodic not only from the temporal dynamics shown in Fig. 2b but also from the fact that the Lyapunov exponent for  $H_{\text{Ka}} = 620$  Oe is zero.

## **Chaotic dynamics**

In Fig. 4a, we show the dynamical trajectory of the magnetization for  $H_{\text{Ka}} = 1200$  Oe. The dynamical trajectory covers almost the whole region of the unit sphere. The time evolution of  $m_z$  becomes non-periodic, as shown in Fig. 4b, and the Fourier spectrum shows a broad structure having several peaks. These results imply chaotic dynamics of the magnetization<sup>41,42</sup>. The appearance of chaos for this parameter can also be concluded from the fact that the Lyapunov exponent shown in Fig. 3b is positive.

As mentioned above, chaotic dynamics in the present system are excited because of the presence of the microwave voltage. Similar examples in spintronics devices have been found in spin-torque oscillators with time-dependent inputs<sup>45-47</sup>. The differences of the phenomena observed between the voltage-controlled parametric





oscillator studied here and spin-torque oscillator are as follows. In the spin-torque oscillators, a sustainable oscillation of the magnetization is driven by direct currents, and an injection of time-dependent inputs is not a necessary condition for the oscillation. Spin-torque oscillator cannot show chaotic behavior when only the direct current is injected due to the constraint by the Poincaré–Bendixson theorem. A way to excite chaos in spin-torque oscillator is to inject time-dependent inputs such as alternating current and/or magnetic field<sup>45–47</sup>. When the magnitudes of these time-dependent inputs are relatively small, synchronization may occur. When their magnitudes are further increased, chaos might be induced. On the other hand, the sustainable oscillation of the magnetization in the present voltage-controlled parametric oscillator is driven by microwave voltage. In other words, this time-dependent input is a necessary condition for the oscillation. Chaotic dynamics appear when the magnitude of the microwave voltage becomes relatively large, as shown in Fig. 4.

Let us briefly mention applicability of the chaotic dynamics for practical devices. Chaos in spintronics devices has been studied both experimentally and theoretically using various methods<sup>48-57</sup>. An excitation of chaos in spintronics devices may evoke interest from a viewpoint of brain-inspired computing<sup>58,59</sup>. For example, it was found that a computational capability of physical reservoir computing is enhanced when spin-torque oscillators are near the edge of chaos<sup>58</sup>, where chaos was excited by adding another ferromagnet to the oscillator. An excitation of chaos in spin-torque oscillator by time-dependent inputs, however, seems to require large power consumption. For example, the amplitude of the alternating current density assumed in the numerical simulations in Refs.<sup>45-47</sup> is on the order of  $10^7 - 10^8$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>. The value is larger than the switching current density of the state of the art spin-transfer torque driven MRAM<sup>60</sup>, and thus, is hardly desirable. The large current also causes large power consumption, which is also unsuitable for practical applications. The voltage-controlled parametric oscillator, on the other hand, ideally reduces the power consumption significantly. In fact, this point has been a motivation for developing VCMA-based MRAM. However, these VCMA-based devices often require an external magnetic field for both the switching and parametric oscillation, which is not preferable in practical applications. A way to solve the issue might be to use an effective field, instead of applying external magnetic field, such as an interlayer exchange coupling field, as investigated in the study of spin-orbit torque driven MRAM<sup>61</sup>. Physical reservoir computing by the VCMA-based MRAM without an external magnetic field was investigated recently<sup>62</sup>, however, switching nor parametric oscillation was used there. A development of the voltage-controlled parametric oscillator without requiring an external magnetic field will be of interest as a future work for applying it to practical applications.

### **Transient chaos**

In Fig. 5a, we show the dynamical trajectory of the magnetization for  $H_{Ka} = 1600$  Oe. The time evolution of  $m_z$  and its Fourier transformation are also shown in Fig. 5b,c, respectively. We note that the dynamics shown here corresponds to  $m_z(t)$  in a long-time limit, i.e., a steady state. The results look similar to those shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Also, the local maxima of  $m_z$  in the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 3a concentrates on a single point. However, there is a critical difference between the magnetization dynamics shown in Fig. 5 and those shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

To clarify their differences, it is necessary to focus on a process to reach the steady state. In Fig. 6a,b, we show the time evolution of  $m_z(t)$  from t = 0 to the steady states for  $H_{Ka} = 620$  Oe and 1600 Oe, respectively. When  $H_{Ka}$ is relatively small (see Fig. 6a), the magnetization immediately reaches to the steady state shown in Fig. 2b. When  $H_{Ka}$  is relatively large (see Fig. 6b), on the other hand, chaotic behavior appears initially, and it suddenly changes to the steady state shown in Fig. 5b. The phenomenon shown in Fig. 5b corresponds to a transient chaos<sup>43</sup>, where dynamical systems initially show chaotic behavior but it suddenly disappears. Time necessary to move from chaos to a steady state is sensitive to various parameters and initial conditions of systems<sup>43</sup>. For example, in Fig. 7a–c, we show time evolution of  $m_z$  for  $H_{Ka} = 1900$  Oe, 1980 Oe, and 2000 Oe, respectively, where the initial conditions are common. The results indicate that the time necessary to realize the steady state depends on the parameter  $H_{Ka}$  and it does not show, for example, a monotonic change with respect to the change of the parameter. The transient chaos in spintronics devices was predicted in a spin-torque oscillator with a delayed-feedback circuit<sup>54</sup>. The phenomenon has not been verified experimentally yet, although chaos was confirmed recently<sup>57</sup>.



**Figure 5.** (a) Dynamical trajectory of the magnetization in a parametric oscillation state. Parameters are  $H_{\text{appl}} = 300$  Oe and  $H_{\text{Ka}} = 1600$  Oe. The black triangle indicates the direction of the magnetization motion. (b) Time evolution of  $m_z$  in the parametric oscillation state. (c) Fourier spectrum of  $m_z$ .







**Figure 7.** Time evolution of  $m_z$  for (a)  $H_{Ka} = 1900$  Oe, (b)  $H_{Ka} = 1980$  Oe, and (c)  $H_{Ka} = 2000$  Oe.

We note that the classification of chaos and transient chaos depends on a measurement time<sup>43</sup>. For example, in the present work, we solve the LLG equation from t = 0 to  $t = 5 \,\mu$ s and classify the magnetization dynamics. If we change this maximum time (5  $\mu$ s) to, for example 2  $\mu$ s, the dynamics for  $H_{Ka} = 2000$  Oe, shown in Fig. 7c, will be classified as chaos. Another example can be seen in the bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent shown in Fig. 3, where a broadened structure in the bifurcation diagram and a positive Lyapunov for  $H_{Ka} = 1920$  Oe, indicating chaos for this parameter. If we measure the dynamics for this parameter longer, however, the dynamics might change to a steady state; in such a case, the dynamics will be classified as transient chaos. As a general knowledge on transient chaos<sup>43</sup>, we should remember that the classification of chaos and transient chaos has such an arbitrary property.

# Bifurcation diagrams for various applied fields

As mentioned above, a bifurcation diagram is useful to classify the magnetization dynamics, although, for example, the difference between a simple steady oscillation and a transient chaos should be verified from temporal dynamics, as mentioned above. We also notice that the whole structure of the bifurcation diagram does not change qualitatively even when the initial condition is slightly changed. Recall that the present system includes only three parameters,  $H_{\rm Ka}$ ,  $H_{\rm appl}$ , and  $\alpha$ . Therefore, in Fig. 8a–c, we show the bifurcation diagrams for (a)  $H_{\rm appl} = 200$  Oe, (b)  $H_{\rm appl} = 300$  Oe, and (c)  $H_{\rm appl} = 400$  Oe. These figures indicate that the local maxima of  $m_z$  for relatively small  $H_{\rm Ka}$  concentrate on single points, which indicate the excitation of the parametric oscillation. As  $H_{\rm Ka}$  increases, broadened structures appear, i.e., the local maxima of  $m_z$  have various values, implying the appearance of complex oscillation and chaos. The figures also imply that this boundary between the parametric oscillation diagram, locates near  $H_{\rm Ka}/H_{\rm appl} \simeq 1.5$  to 2.0. We examine similar calculations for different  $\alpha$  but the boundary seems to be unchanged; see Fig. 8d–f, which are the bifurcation diagrams for  $\alpha = 0.020$ . Because of high nonlinearity in the LLG equation, it is difficult to analytically verify these indications; however, it might be useful to design, for example, the modulation voltage for VCMA-based MRAM utilizing the parametric oscillation. We keep this question as a future work.



**Figure 8.** Bifurcation diagrams summarizing the local maxima of  $m_z$  for (**a**)  $H_{appl} = 200$  Oe, (**b**)  $H_{appl} = 300$  Oe, and (**c**)  $H_{appl} = 400$  Oe. Note that (**b**) is identical to Fig. 3a but is shown here for comparison. The value of the damping constant  $\alpha$  is 0.005. Those for  $\alpha = 0.020$  are shown in (**d**-**f**).

We note that the value of  $H_{\text{Ka}}/H_{\text{appl}} \simeq 1.5$  to 2.0 is available by current technology. The typical value of  $H_{\text{appl}}$  is on the order of 100 Oe; for example, it is 250 Oe in Ref.<sup>23</sup> and 720 Oe in Ref.<sup>29</sup>. Although the value of  $H_{\text{appl}}$  can be further large experimentally, a large  $H_{\text{appl}}$  might be unsuitable for practical applications; see "Methods" for analytical treatment of the LLG equation. On the other hand, the value of  $H_{\text{Ka}}$  relates to the VCMA efficiency  $\eta$ , the saturation magnetization M, the applied voltage  $V_{\text{appl}}$ , and the thicknesses of the free and insulating layers,  $d_{\text{F}}$  and  $d_{\text{I}}$ , via  $H_{\text{Ka}} = (2\eta V_{\text{appl}})/(Md_{\text{F}}d_{\text{I}})$ . Substituting their typical values [ $\eta$  is about 300 fJ/(Vm)<sup>18</sup>,  $V_{\text{appl}}$  is about 0.5 V at maximum, M is about 1000 emu/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $d_{\text{F}}$  is about 1 nm, and  $d_{\text{I}}$  is about 2.5 nm),  $H_{\text{Ka}}$  can be on the order of kilo Oersted at maximum, as written in the introduction. Therefore, we believe that the value of  $H_{\text{Ka}}/H_{\text{appl}} \simeq 1.5$  to 2.0 is experimentally achievable.

# Conclusion

In summary, the magnetization dynamics in the voltage-controlled parametric oscillator for a large microwave voltage limit were investigated by numerical simulation of the LLG equation. As the modulation increases, the dynamical trajectory changes from a simple parametric oscillation to complex oscillations, which are still periodic but have several local maxima in the amplitude. Such dynamics will be of little preference in a switching scheme for VCMA-based MRAM. The evaluation of the bifurcation diagrams for various values of the external magnetic field and the damping constant indicated that the simple parametric oscillation is broken when the amplitude of the modulated magnetic anisotropy field becomes larger than the external magnetic field, and this bifurcation point seems to be hardly sensitive to the value of the damping constant. A further enhancement of the microwave modulation leads to chaotic and transient-chaotic behaviors, which might make the voltage-controlled parametric oscillator applicable to other usage in electric devices. These dynamics were classified from the bifurcation diagrams, Lyapunov exponent, and analyses on temporal dynamics.

# Methods

# Preparation of initial state

We prepare natural initial states by solving the LLG equation with thermal fluctuation<sup>34</sup>. For this purpose, we use Eq. (1) as the magnetic field. We add a torque,  $-\gamma \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{h}$ , due to thermal fluctuation to the right-hand side of Eq. (3). Here, the components of  $\mathbf{h}$  satisfy the fluctuation–dissipation theorem<sup>63</sup>,

$$\langle h_k(t)h_\ell(t')\rangle = \frac{2\alpha k_{\rm B}T}{\gamma MV} \delta_{k\ell} \delta(t-t'). \tag{4}$$

In the numerical simulation, the random field is given by

$$h_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha k_{\rm B}T}{\gamma M V \Delta t}} \xi_k(t),\tag{5}$$

where the time increment  $\Delta t$  is 1 ps in this work. White noise  $\xi_k$  is defined from two random numbers,  $\zeta_a$ and  $\zeta_b$ , in the range of  $0 < \zeta_a, \zeta_b < 1$  by the Box–Muller transformation as  $\xi_a = \sqrt{-2 \ln \zeta_a} \sin(2\pi \zeta_b)$  and  $\xi_b = \sqrt{-2 \ln \zeta_a} \cos(2\pi \zeta_b)$ . We added Eq. (5) to the magnetic field and solved the LLG equation numerically using the Runge–Kutta method for the investigation of thermal equilibrium before applying microwave voltage. The value of the net perpendicular magnetic anisotropy field,  $H_K - 4\pi M(N_z - N_x)$ , in the absence of microwave voltage is assumed to be 6.283 kOe, while the saturation magnetization is set to be 955 emu/cm<sup>329</sup>. The temperature *T* is 300 K, and the volume is  $V = \pi \times 50 \times 50 \times 1.1 \text{ nm}^3$ , which is typical for VCMA experiments. The thermal fluctuation excites a small-amplitude oscillation of the magnetization around the energetically minimum state with the ferromagnetic resonance frequency. We pick the temporal directions of the oscillating magnetization and use them as the natural initial states. It should be noted that the value of  $H_{Ka}$  at which the complex but still periodic oscillation appears weakly depends on the choice of the initial state, despite the structure of the bifurcation diagram is not changed qualitatively. It might relates to the presence of two stable phases of the parametric oscillation with respect to the microwave voltage<sup>35</sup>.

#### Analytical treatment of the LLG equation

Here, we discuss an analytical treatment of the parametric oscillation, which provides a condition to excite the oscillation. It is, however, not applicable to investigate the bifurcation from the simple parametric oscillation to the complex but still periodic oscillation shown in Figs. 1b and 2a.

Since we are interested in the oscillation around the x axis, we introduce angles  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$  as  $\mathbf{m} = (\cos \Theta, \sin \Theta \cos \Phi, \sin \Theta \sin \Phi)$ . For simplicity, we introduce notations  $k = \gamma H_{\text{Ka}}$  and  $h = \gamma H_{\text{appl}}$ . The LLG equation for  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$  are given as  $d\Theta/dt = -(1/\sin \Theta)(\partial \varepsilon/\partial \Phi) - \alpha(\partial \varepsilon/\partial \Theta)$  and  $\sin \Theta (d\Phi/dt) = (\partial \varepsilon/\partial \Theta) - \alpha(1/\sin \Theta)(\partial \varepsilon/\partial \Phi)$ , where

$$\varepsilon = -h\cos\Theta - \frac{k}{2}\sin(2\pi ft)\sin^2\Theta\sin^2\Phi, \qquad (6)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dt} = k\sin(2\pi ft)\sin\Theta\sin\Phi\cos\Phi - \alpha\left[h - k\sin(2\pi ft)\cos\Theta\sin^2\Phi\right]\sin\Theta,\tag{7}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = h - k\sin(2\pi ft)\cos\Theta\sin^2\Phi + \alpha k\sin(2\pi ft)\sin\Phi\cos\Phi.$$
(8)

Since we are interested in an oscillation where  $m_y$  and  $m_z$  oscillates with the frequency  $f_L = f/2$ , we introduce  $\Psi = \Phi - 2\pi f_L t$ , which obeys

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = h - 2\pi f_{\rm L} - k\sin(2\pi ft)\cos\Theta\sin^2(\Psi + 2\pi f_{\rm L}t) + \alpha k\sin(2\pi ft)\sin(\Psi + 2\pi f_{\rm L}t)\cos(\Psi + 2\pi f_{\rm L}t).$$
(9)

In the parametric oscillation states, the tilted angle  $\Theta$  of the magnetization from the *x* axis and the phase difference  $\Psi$  are approximately constants<sup>35</sup>. Therefore, after averaging Eqs. (7) and (9) over a period  $1/f_L$ , we obtain,

$$\frac{\overline{d\Theta}}{dt} = \frac{k}{4}\sin\Theta\cos 2\Psi - \alpha \left(h - \frac{k}{4}\cos\Theta\sin 2\Psi\right)\sin\Theta,\tag{10}$$

$$\overline{\frac{d\Psi}{dt}} = \sigma - \frac{k}{4}\cos\Theta\sin2\Psi + \frac{\alpha k}{4}\cos2\Psi,$$
(11)

where  $\sigma = h - 2\pi f_L$ . Although the present work focuses on the case of  $\sigma = 0$  only, we keep  $\sigma$  as finite here, for generality. The steady state solutions of  $\Theta$  and  $\Psi$  after averaging are

$$\cos\Theta = \pm \frac{4(\sigma + \alpha^2 h)}{\sqrt{(1 + \alpha^2)k^2 - [4\alpha(h - \sigma)]^2}},$$
(12)

$$\tan 2\Psi = \pm \frac{\sqrt{(1+\alpha^2)k^2 - [4\alpha(h-\sigma)]^2}}{4\alpha(h-\sigma)}.$$
(13)

These solutions imply, for example, that  $(1 + \alpha^2)k^2 > [4\alpha(h - \sigma)]^2$  for exciting the parametric oscillation, which can easily be satisfied when  $\alpha \ll 1$ . These solutions, however, cannot be used to discuss, for example, the bifurcation from the simple parametric oscillation to the complex oscillation because  $\Phi$  above is assumed to oscillates with only a single frequency  $f_L$ , while the complex oscillation is a superposition of multiple frequencies. Even for the parametric oscillation state, the above solutions may not be quantitative due to the fact that, strictly speaking for example,  $\Theta$  is not constant.

Although a reliability of the magnetization switching by the parametric oscillation is not the main scope of this work, let us briefly provide a comment on the relationship between the switching reliability and the value of  $H_{Ka}/H_{appl}$  mentioned in the introduction. First, the value of  $H_{appl}$  should be carefully chosen. For a large  $H_{appl}$ , the precession frequency of the magnetization becomes high. Such a fast precession makes the switching unstable because the dynamics becomes sensitive to the pulse shape, as mentioned in the introduction. When  $H_{appl}$  is small, however, the switching is also unstable because the dynamics is highly affected by thermal fluctuation, which is also written in the introduction. Summarizing them, the value of  $H_{appl}$  should be carefully determined, depending on the various factors, such as circuit quality controlling the pulse shape and the volume of the ferromagnetic layer. Second, a large  $H_{Ka}$  is considered to be preferable for a reliable switching due to the following reasons. First, as mentioned below Eqs. (12) and (13), there is a threshold value of  $H_{\rm Ka}$  $[(1 + \alpha^2)k^2 > [4\alpha(h - \sigma)]^2]$  to excite the parametric oscillation. Second, Eq. (12) indicates that the cone angle  $\Theta$  of the magnetization precession around  $H_{appl}$  becomes close to 90° when  $H_{Ka}$  is large. In other words, the cone angle decreases as  $H_{Ka}$  decreases. A precession with a small cone angle is unstable because such a small oscillation is easily disturbed by thermal fluctuation. Regarding these points, it will be preferable to increase  $H_{Ka}/H_{appl}$ , or  $H_{Ka}$ , for a reliable switching because there is a threshold of  $H_{Ka}$  of the parametric oscillation and it is necessary to make the oscillation robust against thermal fluctuation. However, as revealed in the main text, the precession becomes complex when  $H_{\text{Ka}}/H_{\text{appl}}$  becomes greatly large, which is a main message in this work.

### Evaluation method of Lyapunov exponent

The evaluation method of the Lyapunov exponent is as follows<sup>34,64</sup>. We denote the solution of the LLG equation at a certain time t as  $\mathbf{m}(t)$ . We introduce the zenith and azimuch angles,  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$ , as  $\mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y, m_z) = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta)$ . We also introduce  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t) = (\sin \theta^{(1)} \cos \varphi^{(1)}, \sin \theta^{(1)} \sin \varphi^{(1)}, \cos \theta^{(1)})$ , where  $\theta^{(1)}$  and  $\varphi^{(1)}$  satisfy  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{[\theta - \theta^{(1)}]^2 + [\varphi - \varphi^{(1)}]^2}$ . Note that  $\varepsilon$  corresponds to the distance between  $\mathbf{m}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t)$  at t in the spherical space. Since the Lyapunov exponent characterizes the sensitivity of the dynamical system to the initial state, we study an expansion of  $\varepsilon$ with time. For this purpose, we assume a small value of  $\varepsilon$ , which is  $\varepsilon = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  in this work. For convenience, we introduce a notation,

$$\mathscr{D}[\mathbf{m}(t), \mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t)] = \sqrt{\left[\theta(t) - \theta^{(1)}(t)\right]^2 + \left[\varphi(t) - \varphi^{(1)}(t)\right]^2}.$$
(14)

Solving the LLG equations of  $\mathbf{m}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t)$ , we obtain  $\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t + \Delta t)$ , where  $\Delta t$  is time increment. From them, we evaluate the distance between  $\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t + \Delta t)$  as

$$\mathscr{D}\left[\mathbf{m}(t+\Delta t),\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\right] = \sqrt{\left[\theta(t+\Delta t)-\theta^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\right]^2 + \left[\varphi(t+\Delta t)-\varphi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\right]^2}.$$
 (15)

Then, a temporal Lyapunov exponent at  $t + \Delta t$  is given as

$$\Lambda^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \frac{\mathscr{D}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon},\tag{16}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}^{(1)} = \mathscr{D}[\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t + \Delta t)].$ Next, we introduce  $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + \Delta t) = (\sin \theta^{(2)} \cos \varphi^{(2)}, \sin \theta^{(2)} \sin \varphi^{(2)}, \cos \theta^{(2)})$ , where  $\theta^{(2)}$  and  $\varphi^{(2)}$  are defined as

$$\theta^{(2)}(t + \Delta t) = \theta(t + \Delta t) + \varepsilon \frac{\theta^{(1)}(t + \Delta t) - \theta(t + \Delta t)}{\mathscr{D}\left[\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t + \Delta t)\right]},$$
(17)

$$\varphi^{(2)}(t+\Delta t) = \varphi(t+\Delta t) + \varepsilon \frac{\varphi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t) - \varphi(t+\Delta t)}{\mathscr{D} \left[\mathbf{m}(t+\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\right]}.$$
(18)

According to these definitions,  $\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + \Delta t)$  satisfy

$$\mathscr{D}\left[\mathbf{m}(t+\Delta t),\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t+\Delta t)\right] = \varepsilon.$$
(19)

It means that  $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + \Delta t)$  is defined by moving  $\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t)$  to the direction of  $\mathbf{m}^{(1)}(t + \Delta t)$  with a distance  $\varepsilon$  in the  $(\theta, \varphi)$  phase space. Solving the LLG equations for  $\mathbf{m}(t + \Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + \Delta t)$ , we obtain  $\mathbf{m}(t + 2\Delta t)$ and  $\mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + 2\Delta t)$ . The temporal Lyapunov exponent at  $t + 2\Delta t$  is

$$\Lambda^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \frac{\mathscr{D}^{(2)}}{\varepsilon},\tag{20}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}^{(2)} = \mathscr{D} [\mathbf{m}(t + 2\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(2)}(t + 2\Delta t)].$ 

These procedures are generalized. At  $t + n\Delta t$ , we have  $\mathbf{m}(t + n\Delta t) = (\sin \theta (t + n\Delta t) \cos \varphi (t + n\Delta), \sin \theta$  $(t + n\Delta t)\sin\varphi(t + n\Delta t),\cos\theta(t + n\Delta t))$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t) = (\sin\theta^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t)\cos\varphi^{(n)}(t + n\Delta),\sin\theta^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t))$  $(t + n\Delta t) \sin \varphi^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t), \cos \theta^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t))$ . Then, we define  $\mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t) = (\sin \theta^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t))$  $\cos \varphi^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta), \sin \theta^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t) \sin \varphi^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t), \cos \theta^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t))$  by moving  $\mathbf{m}(t + n\Delta t)$  to the direction of  $\mathbf{m}^{(n)}(t + n\Delta t)$  with a distance  $\varepsilon$  in the phase space as

$$\theta^{(n+1)}(t+n\Delta t) = \theta(t+n\Delta t) + \varepsilon \frac{\theta^{(n)}(t+n\Delta t) - \theta(t+n\Delta t)}{\mathscr{D}\left[\mathbf{m}(t+n\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(n)}(t+n\Delta t)\right]},$$
(21)

$$\varphi^{(n+1)}(t+n\Delta t) = \varphi(t+n\Delta t) + \varepsilon \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(t+n\Delta t) - \varphi(t+n\Delta t)}{\mathscr{D}\left[\mathbf{m}(t+n\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(n)}(t+n\Delta t)\right]}.$$
(22)

Note that  $\mathbf{m}(t + n\Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t)$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{m}(t + n\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t)] = \varepsilon$ . Then, solving the LLG equations of  $\mathbf{m}(t + n\Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + n\Delta t)$ , we obtain  $\mathbf{m}(t + (n+1)\Delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + (n+1)\Delta t)$ . A temporal Lyapunov exponent at  $t + (n+1)\Delta t$  is

$$\Lambda^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \frac{\mathscr{D}^{(n+1)}}{\varepsilon},\tag{23}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}^{(n+1)} = \mathscr{D}[\mathbf{m}(t + (n+1)\Delta t), \mathbf{m}^{(n+1)}(t + (n+1)\Delta t)]$ . The Lyapunov exponent is defined as a long-time average of the temporal Lyapunov exponent as

$$\Lambda = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Lambda^{(i)}.$$
(24)

In the present study, we solve the LLG equation from t = 0 to  $t_{max} = 5 \,\mu s$  with  $\Delta t = 1$  ps. Therefore, there are  $t_{max}/\Delta t = 5 \times 10^6$  steps during the evaluation of the magnetization dynamics. We use the last  $1 \times 10^6$  steps for the evaluation of the Lyapunov exponent. Note that this method is an application of Shimada–Nagashima method<sup>44</sup> for the evaluation of the Lyapunov exponent from numerical simulation of an equation of motion to the LLG equation. Strictly speaking, the Lyapunov exponent evaluated here corresponds to the conditional largest Lyapunov exponent in non-autonomous system<sup>34,47</sup>.

## Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyses during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 28 November 2023; Accepted: 1 February 2024 Published online: 05 February 2024

#### References

- 1. Weisheit, M. et al. Electric field-induced modification of magnetism in thin-film ferromagnets. Science 315, 349 (2007).
- 2. Duan, C.-G. et al. Surface magnetoelectric effect in ferromagnetic metal films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 137201 (2008).
- 3. Nakamura, K. *et al.* Giant modification of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy in transition-metal monolayers by an external electric field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 187201 (2009).
- Tsujikawa, M. & Oda, T. Finite electric field effects in the large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy surface Pt/Fe/Pt(001): A firstprinciples study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 247203 (2009).
- 5. Miwa, S. et al. Voltage controlled interfacial magnetism through platinum orbits. Nat. Commun. 8, 15848 (2017).
- 6. Slonczewski, J. C. Current-driven excitation of magnetic multilayers. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **159**, L1 (1996).
- 7. Berger, L. Emission of spin waves by a magnetic multilayer traversed by a current. Phys. Rev. B 54, 9353 (1996).
- 8. Maruyama, T. *et al.* Large voltage-induced magnetic anisotropy change in a few atomic layers of iron. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **4**, 158 (2009).
- 9. Shiota, Y. et al. Voltage-assisted magnetization switching in ultrahin Fe<sub>80</sub> Co<sub>20</sub> alloy layers. Appl. Phys. Express 2, 063001 (2009).
- Nozaki, T., Shiota, Y., Shiraishi, M., Shinjo, T. & Suzuki, Y. Voltage-induced perpendicular magnetic anisotropy change in magnetic tunnel junctions. Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 022506 (2010).
- Endo, M., Kanai, S., Ikeda, S., Matsukura, F. & Ohno, H. Electric-field effects on thickness dependent magnetic anisotropy of sputtered MgO/Co<sub>40</sub>Fe<sub>40</sub>B<sub>20</sub>/Ta structures. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 96, 212503 (2010).
- Wang, W.-G., Li, M., Hageman, S. & Chien, C. L. Electric-field-assisted switching in magnetic tunnel junctions. Nat. Mater. 11, 64 (2011).
- 13. Nozaki, T. *et al.* Voltage-induced magnetic anisotropy changes in an ultrathin FeB layer sandwiched between two MgO layers. *Appl. Phys. Express* **6**, 073005 (2013).
- Okada, A. et al. Electric-field effects on magnetic anisotropy and damping constant in Ta/CoFeB/MgO investigated by ferromagnetic resonance. Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 052415 (2014).
- Skowroński, W. et al. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of Ir/CoFeB/MgO trilayer system tuned by electric fields. Appl. Phys. Express 8, 053003 (2015).
- Nozaki, T. et al. Highly efficient voltage control of spin and enhanced interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in iridiumdoped Fe/MgO magnetic tunnel junctions. NPG Asia Mater. 9, e451 (2017).
- Nozaki, T. et al. Enhancement in the interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy and the voltage-controlled magnetic anisotropy by heavy metal doping at the Fe/MgO interface. APL Mater. 6, 026101 (2018).
- Nozaki, T. et al. Voltage-cotrolled magnetic anisotropy in an ultrathin Ir-doped Fe layer with a CoFe termination layer. APL Mater. 8, 011108 (2020).
- 19. Shiota, Y. *et al.* Pulse voltage-induced dynamic magnetization switching in magnetic tunnel junctions with high resistance-area product. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 102406 (2012).
- 20. Kanai, S. *et al.* Electric field-induced magnetization reversal in a perpendicular-anisotropy CoFeB-MgO magnetic tunnel junction. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 122403 (2012).
- Amiri, P., Upadhyaya, P., Alzate, J. G. & Wang, K. L. Electric-field-induced thermally assisted switching of monodomain magnetic bits. J. Appl. Phys. 113, 013912 (2013).
- Grezes, C. et al. Ultra-low switching energy and scaling in electric-field-controlled nanoscale magnetic tunnel junctions with high resistance-area product. Appl. Phys. Lett. 108, 012403 (2016).
- 23. Shiota, Y. et al. Reduction in write error rate of voltage-driven dynamic magnetization switching by improving thermal stability factor. Appl. Phys. Lett. 111, 022408 (2017).

- 24. Lee, H. *et al.* A word line pulse circuit technique for reliable magnetoelectric random access memory. *IEEE Trans. Very Large Scle Integ. Syst.* 7, 3302 (2017).
- 25. Deng, J., Liang, G. & Gupta, G. Ultrafast and lo-energy switching in voltage-controlled elliptical pMTJ. Sci. Rep. 25, 2027 (2017).
- Song, J. et al. Evaluation of operating margin and switching probability of voltage-controlled magnetic anisotropy magnetic tunnel junctions. IEEE J. Explor. Solid-State Comput. Devices Circuits 4, 76 (2018).
- 27. Wu, Y. C. *et al.* Study of precessional switching speed control in voltage-controlled perpendicular magnetic tunnel junction. *AIP Adv.* **10**, 035123 (2020).
- Shao, Y. et al. Sub-volt switching of nanoscale voltage-controlled perpendicular magnetic tunnel junctions. Commun. Mater. 3, 87 (2022).
- 29. Yamamoto, T. *et al.* Voltage-driven magnetization switching controlled by microwave electric field pumping. *Nano Lett.* **20**, 6012 (2020).
- Yakata, S. et al. Influence of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy on spin-transfer switching current in CoFeB/MgO/CoFeB magnetic tunnel junctions. J. Appl. Phys. 105, 07D131 (2009).
- 31. Ikeda, S. et al. A perpendicular-anisotropy CoFeB-MgO magnetic tunnel junction. Nat. Mater. 9, 721 (2010).
- 32. Kubota, H. *et al.* Enhancement of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in FeB free layers using a thin MgO cap layer. *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 07C723 (2012).
- 33. Shiota, Y. *et al.* Evaluation of write error rate for voltage-driven dynamic magnetization switching in magnetic tunnel junctions with perpendicular magnetization. *Appl. Phys. Express* **9**, 013001 (2016).
- Taniguchi, T. Non-periodic input-driven magnetization dynamics in voltage-controlled parametric oscillator. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 563, 170009 (2022).
- 35. Taniguchi, T. Phase locking in voltage-controlled parametric oscillator. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 578, 170806 (2023).
- Strogatz, S. H. Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry, and Engineering first edn. (Westview Press, 2001).
- Verba, R., Tiberkevich, V., Krivorotov, I. & Slavin, A. Parametric excitation of spin waves by voltage-controlled magnetic anisotropy. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* 1, 044006 (2014).
- Verba, R., Finocchio, M. C. G., Tiberkevich, V. & Slavin, A. Excitation of propagating spin waves in ferromagnetic nanowires by microwave voltage-controlled magnetic anisotropy. Sci. Rep. 6, 25018 (2016).
- 39. Chen, Y.-J. et al. Parametric resonance of magnetization excited by electric field. Nano Lett. 17, 572 (2017).
- Rana, B., Fukuma, Y., Miura, K., Takahashi, H. & Otani, Y. Excitation of coherent propagating spin waves in ultrathin CoFeB film by voltage-controlled magnetic anisotropy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 111, 052404 (2017).
- 41. Alligood, K. T., Sauer, T. D. & Yorke, J. A. Chaos. An Introduction to Dynamical Systems (Spinger, 1997).
- 42. Ott, E. Chaos in Dynamical Systems second edn. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- 43. Lai, Y.-C. & Tél, T. Transient Chaos (Spinger, 2011).
- 44. Shimada, I. & Nagashima, T. A numerical approach to ergodic problem of dissipative dynamical systems. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 61, 1605 (1979).
- 45. Li, Z., Li, Y. C. & Zhang, S. Dynamic magnetization states of a spin valve in the presence of dc and ac currents: Synchronization, modification, and chaos. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 054417 (2006).
- 46. Yang, Z., Zhang, S. & Li, Y. C. Chaotic dynamics of spin-valve oscillators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 134101 (2007).
- 47. Yamaguchi, T. *et al.* Synchronization and chaos in a spin-torque oscillator with a perpendicularly magnetized free layer. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 224422 (2019).
- 48. Kudo, K., Sato, R. & Mizushima, K. Synchronized magnetization oscillations in F/N/F nanopillars. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 45, 3869 (2006).
- 49. Petit-Watelot, S. et al. Commensurability and chaos in magnetic vortex oscillations. Nat. Phys. 8, 682–687 (2012).
- 50. Devolder, T. et al. Chaos in magnetic nanocontact vortex oscillators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 147701 (2019).
- Bondarenko, A. V., Holmgren, E., Li, Z. W., Ivanov, B. A. & Korenivski, V. Chaotic dynamics in spin-vortex pairs. *Phys. Rev. B* 99, 054402 (2019).
- 52. Montoya, E. A. *et al.* Magnetization reversal driven by low dimensional chaos in a nanoscale ferromagnet. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 543 (2019).
- Williame, J., Accoily, A. D., Rontani, D., Sciamanna, M. & Kim, J.-V. Chaotic dynamics in a macrospin spin-torque nano-oscillator with delayed feedback. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 114, 232405 (2019).
- 54. Taniguchi, T. et al. Chaos in nanomagnet via feedback current. Phys. Rev. B 100, 174425 (2019).
- Taniguchi, T. Synchronized, periodic, and chaotic dynamics in spin torque oscillator with two free layers. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 483, 281–292 (2019).
- Williame, J. & Kim, J.-V. Effects of delayed feedback on the power spectrum of spin-torque nano-oscillators. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 53, 49501 (2020).
- 57. Kamimaki, A. *et al.* Chaos in spin-torque oscillator with feedback circuit. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 043216 (2021).
- Yamaguchi, T., Tsunegi, S., Nakajima, K. & Taniguchi, T. Computational capability for physical reservoir computing using a spintorque oscillator with two free layers. *Phys. Rev. B* 107, 054406 (2023).
- 59. Tsunegi, S. et al. Information processing capacity of spintronic oscillator. Adv. Intell. Syst. 5, 2300175 (2023).
- 60. Dieny, B. et al. (eds) Introduction to Magnetic Random-Access Memory (Wiley-IEEE Press, 2016).
- Lau, Y.-C., Betto, D., Rode, K., Coey, J. M. D. & Stamenov, P. Spin-orbit torque switching without an external field using interlayer exchange coupling. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 11, 758 (2016).
- 62. Taniguchi, T., Ogihara, A., Utsumi, Y. & Tsunegi, S. Spintronic reservoir computing without driving current or magnetic field. Sci. Rep. 12, 10627 (2022).
- 63. Brown, W. F. Jr. Thermal fluctuations of a single-domain particle. Phys. Rev. 130, 1677 (1963).
- 64. Taniguchi, T. Synchronization and chaos in spin torque oscillator with two free layers. AIP Adv. 10, 015112 (2020).

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Takayuki Nozaki for discussion. This work was supported by a JSPS KAKENHI Grant, Number 20H05655.

### Author contributions

T.T. designed the project, performed the numerical simulations, prepared figures, and wrote the manuscript.

# Competing interests

The author declares no competing interests.

# Additional information

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to T.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024