scientific reports

OPEN



The metagenomic and metabolomic profile of the gut microbes in Chinese full-term and late preterm infants treated with Clostridium butyricum

Hong Li^{1,6}, Xingling Ma^{1,6}, Yongfu Li², Qin Liu^{2,3}, Qiuyan Tian¹, Xiaofeng Yang¹, Zhemin Zhou⁴, Jing Ren¹, Bin Sun¹, Xing Feng¹, Hong Zhang⁵, Xiaoping Yin⁵, Heng Li^{4 \boxtimes} & Xin Ding^{1 \boxtimes}

The present study investigated the composition, abundance, and diversity of gut microbes in fullterm and late-preterm infants from a medical center in eastern China. A total of 144 genomes of stool samples were captured for 16S rRNA metagenomic analyses. A high abundance of commensal intestinal bacteria was detected in these samples such as Phocaeicola vulgatus, Escherichia coli, and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, indicating a relatively consistent diversity of gut microbes in the present full-term infants aged 38-40 weeks. However, late preterm infants (n = 50) with mandatory antimicrobials feeding exhibited lower diversity but a higher composition of opportunistic pathogens such as Enterococcus species. Centralized on the situation, we explored the regulatory effect of *Clostridium butyricum* as probiotics on these late preterm infants. The consumption of *C*. butyricum did not restore the composition of gut microbes altered by antimicrobials to normal levels, although several opportunistic pathogens decreased significantly after probiotic therapy including Staphylococcus aureus, Sphingomonas echinoides, and Pseudomonas putida. We also compared the effects of day-fed versus night-fed probiotics. Intriguingly, the nighttime feeding showed a higher proportion of C. butyricum compared with probiotic day-feeding. Finally, fecal metabolome and metabolites were analyzed in late preterm infants with (n = 20) or without probiotic therapy (n = 20). The KEGG enrichment analysis demonstrated that vitamin digestion and absorption, synaptic vesicle cycle, and biotin metabolism were significantly increased in the probiotic-treated group, while MSEA indicated that a series of metabolism were significantly enriched in probiotic-treated infants including glycerolipid, biotin, and lysine, indicating the complex effects of probiotic therapy on glutathione metabolism and nutrients digestion and absorption in late preterm infants. Overall, this study provided metagenomic and metabolomic profile of the gut microbes in full-term newborns and late preterm infants in eastern China. Further studies are needed to support and elucidate the role of probiotic feeding in late preterm infants with mandatory antimicrobial treatment.

Research over the past decades has revealed an intense link between human health and the resident gut microbes in both adults and children¹. The gut microbes represent diverse communities of functional microbial species, some of which may play significant roles in energy absorption, nutrient synthesis, and stimulation of the innate and adaptive immune systems, which are highly important for neonatal tolerance and resistance to infection with opportunistic pathogens².

¹Soochow Key Laboratory of Prevention and Treatment of Child Brain Injury, Children's Hospital of Soochow University, #303 Jingde Road, Gusu District, Suzhou 215003, Jiangsu, China. ²Neonatology Department, Suzhou Science and Technology Town Hospital, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China. ³Pediatric Department, Suzhou New District Yangshan Community Health Service Center, Suzhou, China. ⁴Pasteurien College, Suzhou Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China. ⁵Taixing People's Hospital, Taizhou, Jiangsu, China. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Hong Li and Xingling Ma. [⊠]email: hli@suda.edu.cn; dingxin@suda.edu.cn

The gut microbial colonization of infants occurs during the period of parturition and gradually develops into a stable adult microbiome by the age of 2 to 3³. However, a series of human factors may affect the composition of gut microbes including mode of delivery, type of feeding, and use of antimicrobials⁴. Current research has shown that antimicrobial therapy in late preterm infants can drastically affect the gut microbes and result in population shifts to sole antimicrobial-resistant bacteria such as *Escherichia coli, Enterobacter* spp., and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*^{5,6}.

Probiotics are thought to be a viable therapy to prevent newborn necrotizing enterocolitis and sepsis since they provide host health benefits when consumed in sufficient doses⁷. Probiotics such as *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium animalis* and *Clostridium butyricum* had beneficial effects on the gastrointestinal tract and immune system⁸⁻¹¹. Specifically, the probiotic *C. butyricum*, identified as the live microorganisms beneficial for the health of the host, was proven to be beneficial by ameliorating the gut bacterial unbalance in children and experimental animals^{10, 12-15}. The treatment with probiotics has been shown to impact adult bacterial population structure and functional activity positively¹⁶.

Additionally, *C. butyricum* regulates the microbes of the digestive tract by boosting the population of lactic acid bacteria and avoiding antibiotic-associated diarrhea¹¹. Previous studies indicated that the consumption of *L. rhamnosus* and *B. animalis* in preterm newborns had changed the early colonization of gut bacteria, without further effect on the overall longitudinal bacterial progression during the neonatal period^{8, 9}. However, does the probiotic *C. butyricum* have a comparable impact on the gut microbes of newborns? Will intestinal pathogens be affected by the consumption of *C. butyricum*? These problems still require clarification.

In this study, we describe the composition, abundance, and diversity of gut microbes in infants and compare the variance between late preterm and full-term infants via 16S rRNA metagenomic sequencing. We aimed to identify bacterial species that potentially dominate the common gut microbes in infants aged from premature birth to full term. To concentrate on late preterm infants that were mandatorily fed with antimicrobials, a candidate probiotic was applicated to these infants to determine the ability to restore the composition of the gut microbes altered by antimicrobials to its average level.

Methods and materials

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees of the Children's Hospital of Soochow University (Reference 2020CS017). All specimens were collected according to the guidelines set by the Children's Hospital of Soochow University. All authors confirm that all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations (Declaration of Helsinki). Written informed consents were obtained from the parents of all the enrolled infants before specimen collection.

Subject and selection criteria

The present study aimed to evaluate the composition, abundance, and diversity of gut microbes in Chinese fullterm infants and late preterm infants. A total of 44 full-term infants and 50 late preterm infants admitted to the Neonatal Department between November 2020 and April 2021 were enrolled as participants in Suzhou, East China. All the enrolled infants were otherwise healthy without any symptoms of pediatric diseases. The infants' parents were neither infected nor treated with any antimicrobials.

Detailed infants background and selection criteria could be found in Tables 1, 2, and Supplementary Table 1. The full-term group included 24 girls and 20 boys with gestational ages ranging from 37 to 40+5 weeks and birth dates from the first day of life to the 12th day. They are given a mixture of breast milk and formula milk and have an average birth weight of 3152 g.

The late-preterm group included 24 girls and 26 boys with gestational ages ranging from 33 to 36 + 6 weeks and a birth age ranging from 1 to 7 days. There were 21 vaginal births and 29 cesarean births. All were fed Nestle preterm baby milk and had an average birth weight of 2329.2 g. Stool samples were collected from the late-preterm group before probiotic treatment.

Then, the late-preterm newborns were provided probiotics and subsequently placed into two groups: day feeding and evening feeding. The day-feeding group included 12 female newborns and 13 male infants with gestational ages ranging from 33 + 4 to 36 + 2 weeks, with a minimum age of one day and a maximum age of seven days. There were 12 vaginal births and 13 cesarean deliveries. The average birth weight was 2338.4 g.

All the mothers had no history of infection during pregnancy or long-term use of antimicrobials, hormones, or blood products. All infants were free of complications, such as severe infections, cardiopulmonary diseases, congenital genetic diseases, or gastrointestinal malformation. While hospitalized, latamoxef was the

Groups design	Groups description*	Numbers
Group A	Full-term infants aged 37-40 weeks	N=44
Group B	Late preterm infants one week after premature birth	N = 50
Group C	Late preterm infants with probiotic therapy	N = 50
Group C_D (Day)	Late preterm infants fed with probiotic in daytime	N=25
Group C_N (Night)	Late preterm infants fed with probiotic in nighttime	N=25

Table 1. Groups design and description. *The term infants are breastfed, while special formula milk for preterm neonates was given.

	Criterion
1	The full-term infant was 37-40 weeks after cesarean or vaginal birth at the time of study
2	Free of intrauterine asphyxia, with an Apgar score >7/min and stable vital signs within 24 h after birth
3	Free of complication, such as severe infections, cardiopulmonary diseases, congenital genetic diseases, or gastrointestinal malforma- tion
4	Hospital stay≥7 days
5	No infusion of hormones or blood products during hospitalization, except for albumin
6	The mother had no history of infection during pregnancy or long-term use of antimicrobials, hormones, or blood products
7	While hospitalized, latamoxef was the only acceptable antimicrobial medication, special formula milk for preterm neonates was given, and other nutritional supplementation was administered intravenously
8	Full-term infants did not receive any antimicrobial therapies during the hospital stay

Table 2. Selection criteria for study subjects.

only acceptable antimicrobial medication. The term infants are breastfed, while special formula milk for preterm neonates was given, and another nutritional supplementation was administered intravenously.

Group and subgroup

The full-term infants were clustered as Group A (n = 44) whiles late preterm infants were defined as Group B (n = 50). In addition, the 50 late preterm infants were further treated for *C. butyricum* therapy and stool samples were obtained as Group C. After that the infants from Group C were divided into sub-groups of day-fed *C. butyricum* (Group C_D, C1-C25, n = 25) and night-fed *C. butyricum* (Group C_N, C26-C50, n = 25). Figure 1 displays the detailed workflow. Latamoxef was given intravenously after being calculated using the norm of 40 mg/kg/ per according to the weight of late-preterm newborns twice a day. The date of Latamoxef consumption started between November 2020 and June 2021, and the average duration of antibiotic treatment was 12 to 13 days. The probiotic of *C. butyricum* MIYARI 588 was lyophilized powder purchased from Miyarisan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. The CFU of *C. butyricum* is 1X10⁹ per gram. Each newborn was given 500 mL of milk with 40 mg of *C. butyricum* MIYARI 588 twice a day at 8 a.m. and 3 p.m. for the day group, and 8 p.m. and 3 a.m., for night group, with feces collected one week later.

Sample

For Group A, the full-term infants were fed without antibiotics or probiotics, and the stool collection was the first stool in hospitalization within 24h, with a collection date between November 13, 2020, and May 21, 2021. For Group B, antibiotics were administered to premature babies hospitalized between October 27, 2020, and June 4, 2021. Feces were collected from preterm newborns between November 2, 2020, and June 7, 2021, without any probiotics consumed. For Group C, probiotics were first given to the preterm infants between November 2, 2020, and June 7, 2021. After probiotic feeding for one week, feces were collected from those infants between



Figure 1. Study workflow. A total of 144 genomes were enrolled for 16S rRNA metagenomic analyses and fecal metabolome analysis.

November 9, 2020 to June 14, 2021. Additionally, the stool samples were split into groups that received probiotics during the day and those who received them at night, depending on the feeding schedule. Then, each specimen was about 5 g in weight, gathered using a sterile disposable stool sampler and kept in a – 80 $^{\circ}$ C refrigerator for subsequent 16S rRNA sequencing to analyze the composition, abundance, and diversity of the gut microbes. Aseptic precautions were exercised during all procedures.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA sequencing

After being removed from the sampler, the stool was diluted 5X with molecular grade water and homogenized with a vortex. The stool suspension (250 μ l) was used for DNA extraction. The genomic DNA was purified and isolated with MagaBio Soil/Feces Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Hangzhou Bioer Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China). The extracted DNA was quantified at an A260/A280 nm ratio with the NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cleveland, OH, USA). Then extraction from each sample was diluted to approximately 5 ng/µl and stored at – 20 °C for 16S rRNA sequencing.

The 12-bp barcoded primers synthesized by Invitrogen (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were used to amplify the bacterial 16S rRNAV3-V4 fragments. The PCR mixture contained 25 μ l reactions Taq (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, Liaoning, China), 1 μ l of each primer (10 mM), and 3 μ l DNA (20 ng/ μ l) template (final volume: 50 μ l). The PCR protocol was as follows: 94 °C for 30 s followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 52 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s; followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were subjected to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and then sequenced on an Illumina Miseq (PE 300) in the MAGIGENE Genomic Institute. The PCR products were mixed according to the Instructions of the GeneTools Analysis Software (Version 4.03.05.0, SynGene).

Bioinformatic analyses of genomic data

Pair-end reads for each sample were merged using the BBmerge module in the BBtools suite v38.94 with options of "loose = t mininsert = 120 mininsert0 = 100 qtrim2 = t qout = 33 entropy = t maxns = 0 trimq = 10". Low-quality sequences in the merged DNA fragments were removed using the "fastq_filter" module in Vsearch v2.18.0 with a fastq_maxee_rate of 0.02. The "cluster" module in the Vsearch package was applied to the remaining set of high-quality sequences to generate clusters of nearly identical sequences (identities \geq 0.997) and extract one centroid sequence for each cluster. The same package was applied to remove potential sequencing errors and chimeric sequences from the centroid sequences using the "cluster_unoise" module and the "uchime3_denovo" module, respectively¹⁷. The resulting set of non-repetitive, high-quality sequences was treated as ASVs (Amplicon sequence variants) and subjected to taxonomic assignment based on their comparison results with the SILVA database of 16S rRNA (release 138.1; https://www.arb-silva.de/) using BLASTn. We kept hits that shared \geq 85% identities in \geq 50% of the sequences of the reads. The final taxonomic information of each read was determined based on hybrid LCA criteria¹⁸.

The Quantitative Insights in Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software (Version 1.9.1) was enrolled to calculate Observed-otus, Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, ace, Goods-coverage, and PD_whole_tree index¹⁹. R software (Version 4.1.2) was applied to draw dilution curve, Rank abundance curve, species accumulation curve and analyze the difference between groups of Alpha diversity index. The used T-test and wilcox test were used to analyze the difference between groups of Alpha diversity index. Specifically, the Chao1 estimator and the ACE estimator were used to calculate community richness, while the Shannon index and the Simpson index were applied in community diversity analysis (http://scikit-bio.org/docs/latest/generated/skbio.diversity.alpha). R software (Version 4.1.2) was used to plot PCA, PCoA and NMDS plots. The stats package and ggplot2 package of R software was used for PCA and PCoA analysis, and the vegan package of R software was used for NMDS analysis. Use R software to analyze the differences between groups of Beta diversity index and perform parametric test and nonparametric test respectively. Stamp analysis was performed using Stamp software, and the filter value of Score was set to default. Metastats analysis uses Mothur software at each classification level (Phylum, Class, Order, Family, Genus, Species) to perform a permutation test between groups to obtain a p-value, and then use the Benjamini and Hochberg False Discovery Rate method to correct the p-value. Anosim, MRPP and Adonis analysis use the anosim function, mrpp function and adonis function of the R vegan package, respectively. AMOVA analysis was performed using the above function of the mothur software. Species with significant differences between groups were analyzed using R software for T-test between groups and plotted.

Fecal metabolomes evaluation

Background of the 40 late-preterm infants enrolled in metabolome analysis was showed in Table 4. The sample stored at -80 °C refrigerator was thawed on ice. A 400 µL solution (Methanol:Water = 7:3, V/V) containing internal standard was added into 20 mg sample, and vortexed for 3 min. The sample was sonicated in an ice bath for 10 min and vortexed for 1 min, and then placed at -20 °C for 30 min. The sample was then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min (4 °C). And the sediment was removed, then centrifuged the supernatant was at 12,000 rpm for 3 min (4 °C). A 200 µL aliquots of supernatant were transferred for LC–MS analysis²⁰.

Detail LC–MS method was described as follows^{21, 22}. The analytical conditions of LC–MS were as follows, UPLC: column, Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 C18 (1.8 um, 2.1 mm*100 mm); column temperature, 40 °C; flow rate, 0.4 mL/min; injection volume, 2 µL; solvent system, water (0.1% formic acid): acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid); The column was eluted with 5% mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at 0 min followed by a linear gradient to 90% mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) over 11 min, held for 1 min, and then come back to 5% mobile phase B within 0.1 min, held for 1.9 min. The data acquisition was operated using the information-dependent acquisition (IDA) mode using Analyst TF 1.7.1 Software (Sciex, Concord, ON, Canada). The source parameters were set as follows: ion source gas 1 (GAS1), 50 psi; ion source gas 2 (GAS2), 50 psi;

curtain gas (CUR), 35 psi; temperature (TEM), 550 °C, or 450 °C; declustering potential (DP), 60 V, or-60 V in positive or negative modes, respectively; and ion spray voltagefloating (ISVF), 5500 V or-4500 V in positive or negative modes, respectively. The TOF MS scan parameters were set as follows: mass range, 50–1000 Da; accumulation time, 200 ms; and dynamic background subtract, on. The product ion scan parameters were set as follows: mass range, 25–1000 Da; accumulation time, 50 ms; collision energy, 30 or-30 V in positive or negative modes, respectively; collision energy spread, 15; resolution, UNIT; charge state, 1 to 1; intensity, 100 cps; exclude isotopes within 4 Da; mass tolerance, 50 mDa; maximum number of candidate ions to monitor per cycle.

All samples were acquired by the LC–MS system machine orders in Metware company, China. The original data file acquisition by LC–MS was converted into mzML format by ProteoWizard software. Peak extraction, peak alignment and retention time correction were respectively performed by XCMS program. The "SVR" method was used to correct the peak area. The peaks with detection rate lower than 50% in each group of samples were discarded. After that, metabolic identification information was obtained by searching the laboratory's self-built database, integrated public database, AI database and metDNA. For two-group analysis, differential metabolites were determined by VIP (VIP > 1) and P-value (P-value <0.05, paired t-test). VIP values were extracted from OPLS-DA result, which also contains score plots and permutation plots, and was generated using R package MetaboAnalystR v1.0.1. The data was log transform (log2) and mean centering before OPLS-DA. Identified metabolites were annotated using KEGG Compound database (http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/compound/), and annotated metabolites were then mapped to KEGG Pathway database (http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html). Significantly enriched pathways are identified with a hypergeometric test's P-value for a given list of metabolites. The compound name of internal standard used in LC/MS analysis were described in Supplementary Table 2.

Statistical analysis

The analysis of diversity, variance and similarity with repeated measures was used to determine the statistical significance for each experimental group. Statistical analyses were carried out in R (4.0.3). Vegan (v 2.5–6) was used for all alpha-diversity calculations: index of Shannon, Simpson, Chao1, and ACE diversity (alpha diversity measurement of evenness and richness); evenness (homogeneous the distribution of taxa counts) and richness (number of taxa in a community). Pairwise Bray–Curtis dissimilarity was used to assess beta-diversity, or the overall variation between each sample²³. The Bray–Curtis dissimilarity metric compares two communities based on the number or relative abundance of each taxon present in at least one of the communities. The differential metabolites were determined by VIP (VIP > 1) and P-value (P-value <0.05, paired t-test). Analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism (v7) with statistical significance accepted as *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.005, ****P<0.001. The images of Barplot, PCA, PcoA, and Stamp were created on Tutools platform (http://www.cloud tutu.com). The ANOSIM analysis, Violin and Heatmap were plotted by http://www.bioinformatics.com.cn, a free online platform for data analysis and visualization. Statistical analysis of differential metabolites and MSEA enrichment annotated by KEGG pathways were displayed in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4.

Results

High abundance of commensal intestinal bacteria was detected in full-term infants

The overall process of this study is in Fig. 1. Initially, we characterized the gut microbes among the full-term infants aged 38–40 weeks (Group A). A high abundance of commensal intestinal bacteria was detected in these samples such as *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Phocaeicola vulgatus*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Faecalibacterium praus-nitzii*, indicating a relatively consistent diversity of gut microbes in the present full-term infants aged 38–40 weeks (Fig. 2A).

Opportunistic pathogens occupied and colonized late preterm infants

We subsequently compare the gut microbes between the full-term infants (Group A) and late preterm infants aged only one week after premature birth (Group B). At the overall level of gut microbes, no significance was detected in a diversity corresponding to community richness (Fig. 2B, estimator of Chao1 and ACE), However, the Shannon and Simpson index showed that full-term newborns had less community diversity than late-preterm infants, with commensal *Escherichia coli* being the predominant bacterium (Fig. 2B). In addition, β diversity, which reflected the differences in microbial communities between samples, was calculated and visualized in PCA, PCoA (Fig. 2C) and ANOSIM (Fig. 2E). Compositional variation targeting specific bacteria was observed among groups, and opportunistic pathogens were found to occupy and colonize late preterm infants, including *Enterococcus faecium* and other strictly aerobic bacteria (Fig. 2F). Notably, a minority of oral bacteria was detected in late preterm infants such as *Veillonella parvula*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Rothia mucilaginosa*, and *Streptococcus sanguinis* (Fig. 2A,D).

Probiotic therapy declined the abundance of pathogenic microbes in late preterm infants

Afterward, probiotic therapy was administered in the late preterm infants by consumption of *C. butyricum* (Group B and C), which became detectable in the majority of the late preterm infants (Fig. 3A–F). However, there were no differences in community richness whiles significance was observed in community diversity by Shannon and Simpson index (Fig. 3B). Intriguingly, several opportunistic pathogens were found to decline significantly after probiotic therapy including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Sphingomonas echinoides*, and *Pseudomonas putida*, indicating a reduction in community diversity in these probiotic-fed neonates.

Finally, we compared the effects of day-fed (Group C_D) versus night-fed (Group C_N) probiotics (Fig. 4A–F). We noted a decrease of *Enterococcus faecium* in night-fed compared with day-fed. Intriguingly, the nighttime feeding showed a higher proportion of *C. butyricum* (Fig. 4F, p = 0.0038). However, this study showed



Figure 2. The composition, abundance and diversity of gut microbes in full-term infants (n = 44) and late preterm infants (n = 50). (**A**) Barplot of bacterial composition and relative abundance. (**B**) Alpha diversity of gut microbes. Chao1, Ace, Shannon, and Simpson indexes are presented. (**C**) PCA and PcoA analyses of Beta diversity. (**D**) General bacterial composition and relative abundance of the groups. (**E**) Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM). The y-axis represented the interquartile range, and the index of between reflected the difference between groups. (**F**) Stamp variation analysis indicated the difference in bacterial genus between groups.



Figure 3. The composition, abundance and diversity of gut microbes in late preterm infants with probiotic therapy (n = 50) or without (n = 50). (A) Barplot of bacterial composition and relative abundance. (B) Alpha diversity of gut microbes. Chao1, Ace, Shannon, and Simpson indexes are presented. (C) PCA and PcoA analyses of Beta diversity. (D) General bacterial composition and relative abundance of the groups. (E) Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM). The y-axis represented the interquartile range, and the index of between reflected the difference between groups. (F) Stamp variation analysis indicated the difference in bacterial genus between groups.

that the consumption of *C. butyricum* did not restored the composition of gut microbes altered by antimicrobial agents to normal levels (Fig. 5).

Effects of probiotic therapy on fecal metabolome and metabolites in late preterm infants

To further illustrate the effects of probiotic therapy on fecal metabolome and metabolites in late preterm infants, all 144 fecal samples were sent for untargeted metabolomics analysis. After quality control, a number of 40 fecal samples passed the criteria. Specifically, there were 20 samples from the probiotic therapy group and 20 samples without any probiotics received. The average gestational ages were 34.6 weeks for both groups, while average ages were 4.05 days and 3.88 days for probiotic therapy group and control group, respectively. The detailed background of enrolled infants was showed in Tables 3 and 4. The compound name of internal standard used in LC/MS analysis was described in Supplementary Table 2.



Figure 4. The composition, abundance and diversity of gut microbes in late preterm infants with probiotic therapy in daytime (n = 25) or at night (n = 25). (**A**) Barplot of bacterial composition and relative abundance. (**B**) Alpha diversity of gut microbes. Chao1, Ace, Shannon, and Simpson indexes are presented. (**C**) PCA and PcoA analyses of Beta diversity. (**D**) General bacterial composition and relative abundance of the groups. (**E**) Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM). The y-axis represented the interquartile range, the index of between reflected the difference between groups. (**F**) Stamp variation analysis indicated the difference in bacterial genus between groups.

After that, Orthogonal Projections to Latent Structures Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) was enrolled to analyze the principal component of metabolites. The general presence of metabolites was shown in Fig. 6 between the late preterm infants with (n = 20) or without (n = 20) probiotic therapy. The model of OPLS-DA illustrated the variations of metabolites between these two groups (Fig. 6A). Then, KEGG enrichment analysis and Metabolite Set Enrichment Analysis (MSEA) were employed to identify and interpret patterns of metabolite concentration changes. Intriguingly, the KEGG enrichment analysis showed that vitamin digestion and absorption, synaptic vesicle cycle, biotin metabolism (Group B vs. C, 0.1 rich factor) were significantly increased in the probiotic-treated group (Fig. 6B). MSEA also showed that a series of metabolism were significantly enriched in probiotic-treated infants including glycerolipid (Group B vs. C, $1.74 \times 10^{-threefold}$ enrichment), biotin (Group B vs. C, $2.73 \times 10^{-threefold}$ enrichment), lysine (Group B vs. C, $2.73 \times 10^{-threefold}$ enrichment), and another metabolism (Fig. 6C), indicating the complex effects of probiotic therapy on glutathione metabolism and nutrients digestion and absorption in late preterm infants.

Discussion

Compared with adults, infant gut microbes are typically less diverse, and unstable with marked individual variations^{24–26}. It takes 2–3 years before the gut microbes become fully developed³. In our study, a high abundance of commensal intestinal bacteria was detected in full-term infants such as *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. The presence of Staphylococcus aureus in feces may be due to introduction of the bacteria into the infants while sucking breast milk²⁶. However, decreases in the abundance of gut microbes (e.g. OTU6, *Bacteroidota*) were observed in the late preterm infants with dominant colonization of *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes* (Supplementary Fig. 1). Such decreased diversity was reported in several studies, which might be involved in diseases such as Type I diabetes and obesity^{27, 28}. However, all the infants enrolled in this study grew in good conditions according to a 1-year telephone follow-up.

The abundance and diversity of gut microbes in infants can be altered by numerous factors, including maternal diet, human behaviors, environmental issues, genetic variations, and other conditions²⁹⁻³³. In this study, a minority of oral bacteria was detected in late preterm infants including *Veillonella parvula*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Rothia mucilaginosa*, and *Streptococcus sanguinis*, suggesting that human behaviors (e.g., breast breeding) could perform as a neglected pathway to influence the abundance and diversity of gut microbes in the infants³⁴.

In addition, previous studies demonstrated the variants of gut microbes between full-term infants and late preterm infants. Preterm newborns had a lower proportion of *Bacteroidaceae* and *Bifidobacterium* in the first few months after birth compared to full-term infants³⁵. The gut microbiota is impacted by perinatal antibiotics, especially intrapartum antimicrobial prophylaxis, as shown by an increase in Enterobacteriaceae family species in the neonates, which was consistent with our observations. However, full-term infants gradually established the colonization of *Lactobacillus*, indicating the potential role of probiotics in infant maturation³⁶. Furthermore, Jia et al., also reported that gut microbes of preterm infants were gradually catching up with that of term infants 120 days after birth³⁷. However, this study only included infants aged <7 days and observed the gut microbes one week after taking probiotics. Further studies are needed to verify the long-term effects of probiotics.

Other issues to be noted in this study were the late preterm infants with mandatory antimicrobial consumption. Such latamoxef therapy might lead to the dominant colonization of *Enterococcus* in infant guts. It is common that preterm infants to receive early and frequent extended antimicrobial therapies. Antimicrobials such



Figure 5. Overview of the top 30 abundance of bacterial genera/species of gut microbes in full-term infants and late preterm infants. The abundance was calculated according to the results of all 144 stool samples. Asterisks indicate that the genus were not the dominant bacteria in the gut of the infants.

as meropenem, cefotaxime, and ticarcillin-clavulanate are reported with uniform effects on the reduction of species richness³⁸.

Clostridium butyricum was a probiotic wildly used for protecting the human gut from various intestinal conditions, such as intestinal injuries and infections, irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, and colorectal cancer³⁹. A recent study showed that *C. butyricum* plays a crucial role in preventing *Clostridium difficile* proliferation and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* infection^{40, 41}. In this study, late preterm infants treated by *C. butyricum* from Group C showed a lower proportion of *Staphylococcus* spp., *Sphingomonas* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. (Fig. 3F), suggesting probiotics as a potential auxiliary therapy to help reduce the opportunistic pathogens in the gut. In addition, this study observed a significant decrease of evenness in *C. butyricum*-treated group, while there were no differences in community richness. This may be explained by the fact that the administration of probiotics did not considerably alter the total bacterial population; nonetheless, probiotics occupied the gut microbiota and significantly reduced species evenness⁴². This could account for the decline in the Shannon and Simpson indices, which coincided with the reduction of opportunistic pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Sphingomonas echinoides*, and *Pseudomonas putida* in this study.

Moreover, researchers found that antimicrobials given to mice at night significantly increased plasma concentrations of amoxicillin and sulfamethoxazole⁴³. In this study, the persistence of *C. butyricum* was remarkably increased in the night-fed group. Meanwhile, *Enterococcus faecium* was observed to reduce in the night-fed group compared with the day-fed group. However, further studies are needed to support and elucidate the role and mechanisms by which probiotics impact the balance of gut microbes in infants.

Finally, a series of metabolism were significantly enriched in probiotic-treated infants including glycerolipid, biotin, and lysine in relation to the function of glutathione metabolism and nutrient digestion and absorption (Fig. 6). The glycerolipid (GL) cycle involved the continuous formation and hydrolysis of GL with simultaneous release of heat⁴⁴. Recent studies evidenced the associations between GL cycle and diseases including obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cancer⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Previous study also observed an increase of glycerolipid in diet-induced obesity mice

					1
History of infection	None	None	None	None	None
Date of 2nd stool	None	None	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021
Date of 1st stool	Nov 2020 to May 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	~	~	~
Time of probiotics consumption *		~	Mix consump- tions	8 a.m. ~ 3 p.m. (25)	8 p.m. ~ 3 a.m. (25)
Date of probiotic consumption	1	/	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to May 2021
Probiotics	1	~	Clostridium Butyricum Powders	Clostridium Butyricum Powders	Clostridium Butyricum Powders
Average duration of antibiotic treatment (days) ^	/	13	13	12	13
Date to stop antibiotics		Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021
Date of antibiotics consumption	_	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Oct 2020 to Jun 2021	Oct 2020 to May 2021
Antibiotics	_	latamoxef	latamoxef	latamoxef	latamoxef
Date of hospitalization	Nov 2020 to Mar 2021	Nov 2020 to Jun 2021	Oct 2020 to Jun 2021	Oct 2020 to Jun 2021	Oct 2020 to May 2021
Average initial birth weight (g)	3153	2329	2329	3115	3115
Mode of delivery #	VD (44)	VD (21), CS (29)	VD (21), CS (29)	VD (9), CS (16)	VD (12), CS (13)
Average age (days)	ĸ	4	4	n	n
Average gestational age (weeks)	38	35	35	37	37
Gender	female (24), male (20)	female (24), male (26)	female (24), male (26)	female (12), male (13)	female (12), male (13)
Infants	full-term infants (44)	late preterm infants (50)	late preterm infants with probiotic (50)	Late preterm infants with daytime probiotic feed (25)	Late preterm infants with night- time probiotic feed (25)
duore		~	0	D	z

consumptions indicates the feeding of both day time (8a.m. \sim 3p.m.) and nighttime (8p.m. \sim 3a.m.).

Scientific Reports | (2023) 13:18775 |

de o verj	Mode delive	tof birtl birtl veig viy (g)	а н Ц	ite of spitalization Y/MM/DD)	Antibiotics	antibiotics consumption (YY/MM/DD)	antibiotics (YY/MM/ DD)	Duration of antibiotics treatment	Probiotics	probiotics consumption (YY/MM/DD)	Time of probiotics consumption	Sampling date (YY/ MM/DD)	Metabolome analysis
2770 202	2770 202	202	8	.1/5/24	latamoxef	2021/5/25	2021/6/6	12 days	_	/	~	2021/5/26	Yes
1910 2020/	1910 2020/	2020/	020/	10/27	latamoxef	2020/10/27	2020/11/12	16 days	/	/	/	2020/11/2	Yes
2460 2020/11	2460 2020/11	2020/11	020/11	/2	latamoxef	2020/11/2	2020/11/12	10 days	/	_	_	2020/11/8	Yes
1950 2021/11/	1950 2021/11/	2021/11/	021/11/	11	latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/12/2	21 days	/	/	/	2020/11/16	Yes
2840 2020/11/1	2840 2020/11/1	2020/11/1	020/11/1	3	latamoxef	2020/11/13	2020/11/26	13 days	/	/	/	2020/11/16	Yes
1930 2020/11/2	1930 2020/11/2	2020/11/2	020/11/2	22	latamoxef	2020/11/22	2020/12/6	14 days	/	/	/	2020/11/23	Yes
2590 2020/12/	2590 2020/12/	2020/12/	020/12/	1	latamoxef	2020/12/1	2020/12/14	13 days	~	/	~	2020/12/4	Yes
2180 2020/12/	2180 2020/12/	2020/12/	020/12/	1	latamoxef	2020/12/2	2020/12/22	20 days	/	/	/	2020/12/4	Yes
1980 2020/12	1980 2020/12	2020/12	020/12	/24	latamoxef	2020/12/24	2021/1/5	12 days	/	/	/	2020/12/28	Yes
1900 2021/1/2	1900 2021/1/2	2021/1/2	021/1/2		latamoxef	2021/1/1	2021/1/8	7 days	_	/	_	2021/1/5	Yes
2620 2021/12/	2620 2021/12/	2021/12/	021/12/	29	latamoxef	2020/12/29	2021/1/6	8 days	/	/	/	2021/1/5	Yes
2630 2021/11/	2630 2021/11/	2021/11/	021/11/	13	latamoxef	2021/1/13	2021/1/21	8 days	/	/	/	2021/1/15	Yes
2480 2021/1/1	2480 2021/1/1	2021/1/1	021/1/1	8	latamoxef	2021/1/19	2021/1/22	3 days	/	/	/	2021/1/20	Yes
2790 2021/1/21	2790 2021/1/21	2021/1/21	021/1/21		latamoxef	2021/1/21	2021/1/29	8 days	/	/	/	2021/1/22	Yes
2500 2020/10/28	2500 2020/10/28	2020/10/28	020/10/28	8	latamoxef	2020/10/29	2020/11/11	13 days	/	/	/	2020/11/2	Yes
2250 2020/11/3	2250 2020/11/3	2020/11/3	020/11/3		latamoxef	2020/11/3	2020/11/12	9 days	/	/	/	2020/11/8	Yes
1950 2021/11/1	1950 2021/11/1	2021/11/1	021/11/1		latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/11/23	12 days	/	/	/	2020/11/16	Yes
2550 2020/11/11	2550 2020/11/11	2020/11/11	020/11/11		latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/12/2	21 days	/	/	/	2020/11/16	Yes
2120 2020/11/22	2120 2020/11/22	2020/11/22	020/11/22		latamoxef	2020/11/22	2020/12/6	14 days	/	/	/	2020/11/23	Yes
1700 2020/12/1	1700 2020/12/1	2020/12/1	020/12/1		latamoxef	2020/12/1	2020/12/19	18 days	/	/	/	2020/12/3	Yes
2770 2021/5/24	2770 2021/5/24	2021/5/24	021/5/24		latamoxef	2021/5/25	2021/6/6	12 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/5/26	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/6/2	Yes
1910 2020/10/27	1910 2020/10/27	2020/10/27	020/10/27		latamoxef	2020/10/27	2020/11/12	16 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/3	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/11/10	Yes
2460 2020/11/2	2460 2020/11/2	2020/11/2	020/11/2		latamoxef	2020/11/2	2020/11/12	10 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/9	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/11/16	Yes
1950 2021/11/11	1950 2021/11/11	2021/11/11	021/11/11		latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/12/2	21 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/16	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/11/23	Yes
2840 2020/11/13	2840 2020/11/13	2020/11/13	020/11/13	3	latamoxef	2020/11/13	2020/11/26	13 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/16	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/11/23	Yes
1930 2020/11/2	1930 2020/11/2	2020/11/2	020/11/2	22	latamoxef	2020/11/22	2020/12/6	14 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/25	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/12/2	Yes
2590 2020/12/	2590 2020/12/	2020/12/	020/12/	1	latamoxef	2020/12/1	2020/12/14	13 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/12/4	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/12/11	Yes
2180 2020/12/	2180 2020/12/	2020/12/	020/12/	1	latamoxef	2020/12/2	2020/12/22	20 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/12/4	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2020/12/11	Yes
1980 2020/12/	1980 2020/12/	2020/12/	020/12/	24	latamoxef	2020/12/24	2021/1/5	12 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/12/29	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/5	Yes
1900 2021/1/2	1900 2021/1/2	2021/1/2	021/1/2		latamoxef	2021/1/1	2021/1/8	7 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/1/5	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/12	Yes
2620 2021/12/	2620 2021/12/	2021/12/	021/12/	29	latamoxef	2020/12/29	2021/1/6	8 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/1/5	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/12	Yes
2630 2021/11/	2630 2021/11/	2021/11/	021/11/	13	latamoxef	2021/1/13	2021/1/21	8 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/1/19	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/26	Yes
2480 2021/1/18	2480 2021/1/18	2021/1/18	021/1/18		latamoxef	2021/1/19	2021/1/22	3 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/1/20	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/27	Yes
2790 2021/1/21	2790 2021/1/21	2021/1/21	021/1/21		latamoxef	2021/1/21	2021/1/29	8 days	C. butyricum Powders	2021/1/22	8 p.m. 3 a.m	2021/1/29	Yes
2500 2020/10/28	2500 2020/10/28	2020/10/28	020/10/28		latamoxef	2020/10/29	2020/11/11	13 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/2	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/11/9	Yes
2250 2020/11/3	2250 2020/11/3	2020/11/3	020/11/3		latamoxef	2020/11/3	2020/11/12	9 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/9	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/11/16	Yes
1950 2021/11/1	1950 2021/11/1	2021/11/1	021/11/1	_	latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/11/23	12 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/16	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/11/23	Yes
2550 2020/1	2550 2020/1	2020/1	020/1	11/1	latamoxef	2020/11/11	2020/12/2	21 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/16	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/11/23	Yes
2120 2020/	2120 2020/	2020/	020/	11/22	latamoxef	2020/11/22	2020/12/6	14 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/11/25	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/12/2	Yes
1700 2020/1	1700 2020/1	1,000	720/1	2/1	latamoxef	2020/12/1	2020/12/19	18 days	C. butyricum Powders	2020/12/4	8 a.m. 3 p.m	2020/12/11	Yes



Figure 6. Fecal metabolome and metabolites were analyzed in late preterm infants with (n = 20) or without probiotic therapy (n = 20). (A) Scores OPLS-DA Plot indicated the similarity of metabolites between groups. (B) KEGG enrichment analysis. (C) MSEA enrichment analysis.

fed by probiotic *L. casei* LC-XCAL^{**47}. These compounds were considerably higher in the feces of newborns in the probiotic-treated group in this study, indicating modest improvements in immunity and health in infants. Similarly, the metabolism of pyruvate, glycerolipid, propanoate, and fatty acid biosynthesis was found to be

enriched in the probiotic groups in intestinal microbiome treated with *Lactobacillus plantarum* HNU082 and *Clostridium butyricum* MIYAIRI 588 as previously reported, indicating the functional shift toward increased carbohydrate metabolism in the intestinal microenvironment^{48,49}. However, further study is required to elucidate the associations between *C. butyricum* and certain metabolomic patterns in the gut.

There are some limitations to this study. This study only reflects the conditions of the infant gut microbes in East China. It is generally accepted that regional and environmental factors may influence gut microbial composition even more than human age and gender^{50, 51}. This study focuses on Chinese late preterm one week after premature birth and full-term infants aged 38–40 weeks. Other factors such as ethnicity, geography, genetics, and parental lifestyle were not considered. In addition, the prevalence of microbial groups changed significantly with the age of the infant in the early life^{52, 53}. The stool was collected within 24 h in Group A while feces were collected after one week probiotics intervention in Group C. This time of week may have an impact on the gut microbial composition, and studies need to further elucidate the effect of probiotics on the intestinal bacteria of infants with the same age.

In conclusion, this study reported the composition, abundance, and diversity of gut microbes in full-term and late-preterm infants in East China. Commensal intestinal bacteria were detected in these full-term infants whiles pathogenic *Enterococcus* species were dominant in late preterm group. Nevertheless, the consumption of *C. butyricum* was not able to restore the composition of gut microbes altered by antimicrobials to its normal level, although several opportunistic pathogens were found to decline significantly after probiotic therapy including *Staphylococcus aureus, Sphingomonas echinoides*, and *Pseudomonas putida*. Finally, a series of metabolism were significantly enriched in probiotic-treated infants including glycerolipid, biotin, and lysine, indicating the complex effects of probiotic therapy on glutathione metabolism and nutrient digestion and absorption in late preterm infants. Further studies are needed to support and elucidate the role of probiotic *C. butyricum* feeding in late preterm infants of mandatory antimicrobial treatment.

Data availability

The raw reads from this study are submitted to the China National Genomics Data Center under the link of https://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa/browse/CRA012623.

Received: 3 April 2023; Accepted: 21 October 2023 Published online: 31 October 2023

References

- 1. Sonnenburg, E. D. & Sonnenburg, J. L. The ancestral and industrialized gut microbiota and implications for human health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**(6), 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0191-8 (2019).
- Kabat, A. M., Srinivasan, N. & Maloy, K. J. Modulation of immune development and function by intestinal microbiota. *Trends Immunol.* 35(11), 507–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2014.07.010 (2014).
- Rautava, S., Luoto, R., Salminen, S. & Isolauri, E. Microbial contact during pregnancy, intestinal colonization and human disease. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 9(10), 565–576. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2012.144 (2012).
- 4. Penders, J. *et al.* Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics* **118**(2), 511–521. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-2824 (2006).
- Zwittink, R. D. et al. Dynamics of the bacterial gut microbiota in preterm and term infants after intravenous amoxicillin/ceftazidime treatment. BMC Pediatr. 20(1), 195. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-020-02067-z (2020).
- Dubin, K. & Pamer, E. G. Enterococci and their interactions with the intestinal microbiome. *Microbiol. Spectr.* https://doi.org/10. 1128/microbiolspec.BAD-0014-2016 (2014).
- Johnson-Henry, K. C., Abrahamsson, T. R., Wu, R. Y. & Sherman, P. M. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics for the prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis. Adv. Nutr. 7(5), 928–937. https://doi.org/10.3945/an.116.012237 (2016).
- Önning, G., Palm, R., Linninge, C. & Larsson, N. New Lactiplantibacillus plantarum and Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus strains: Well tolerated and improve infant microbiota. Pediatr. Res. 91(7), 1849–1857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-021-01678-1 (2022).
- Dekker, J., Quilter, M. & Qian, H. Comparison of two probiotics in follow-on formula: *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. lactis HN019 reduced upper respiratory tract infections in Chinese infants. *Benef. Microbes.* 13(4), 341–353. https://doi.org/10.3920/ BM2022.0041 (2022).
- Liu, M., Xie, W., Wan, X. & Deng, T. *Clostridium butyricum* modulates gut microbiota and reduces colitis associated colon cancer in mice. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 88, 106862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106862 (2020).
- Seki, H. *et al.* Prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in children by *Clostridium butyricum* MIYAIRI. *Pediatr. Int.* 45(1), 86–90. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-200x.2003.01671.x (2003).
- GómezGallego, C. & Salminen, S. Novel probiotics and prebiotics: How can they help in human gut microbiota dysbiosis?. Appl. Food Biotechnol. 3(2), 72–81. https://doi.org/10.22037/afb.v3i2.11276 (2016).
- Xu, X., Zhang, N., Li, B. & Huang, L. Clostridium butyricum powders combined with zinc gluconate on inflammatory factors and intestinal microecology in children with infectious diarrhea. Eur. J. Inflamm. 20, 1721727X221100602 (2022).
- Jiang, Y. et al. Intestinal bacteria flora changes in patients with Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia with or without wheezing. Sci. Rep. 12(1), 5683. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09700-0 (2022).
- Zhang, J. et al. Oral administration of Clostridium butyricum CGMCC0313-1 inhibits β-lactoglobulin-induced intestinal anaphylaxis in a mouse model of food allergy. Gut Pathog. 9, 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-017-0160-6 (2017).
- Thaiss, C. A. et al. Microbiota diurnal rhythmicity programs host transcriptome oscillations. Cell 167(6), 1495-1510.e12. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.003 (2016).
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C. & Knight, R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27(16), 2194–2200. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381 (2011).
- Hanson, N. W., Konwar, K. M. & Hallam, S. J. LCA*: An entropy-based measure for taxonomic assignment within assembled metagenomes. *Bioinformatics* 32(23), 3535–3542. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw400 (2016).
- Caporaso, J. G. et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7(5), 335–336. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303 (2010).
- Cheng, K., Brunius, C., Fristedt, R. & Landberg, R. An LC-QToF MS based method for untargeted metabolomics of human fecal samples. *Metabolomics* 16(4), 46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-020-01669-z (2020).
- Zheng, F. et al. Development of a plasma pseudotargeted metabolomics method based on ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Nat. Protoc. 15(8), 2519–2537. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0341-5 (2020).

- Ford, L. *et al.* Precision of a clinical metabolomics profiling platform for use in the identification of inborn errors of metabolism. J. Appl. Lab. Med. 5(2), 342–356. https://doi.org/10.1093/jalm/jfz026 (2020).
- Bray, J. R. & Curtis, J. T. An ordination of the upland forest communities of southern Wisconsin. Ecol. Monogr. 27(4), 326–349 (1957).
- Jakobsson, H. E. et al. Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by caesarean section. Gut 63(4), 559–566. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303249 (2014).
- Mosca, A., Leclerc, M. & Hugot, J. P. Gut microbiota diversity and human diseases: Should we reintroduce key predators in our ecosystem?. Front. Microbiol. 7, 455. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00455 (2016).
- Lucas López, R., Grande Burgos, M. J., Gálvez, A. & Pérez, P. R. The human gastrointestinal tract and oral microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease: A state of the science review. APMIS 125(1), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.12609 (2017).
- Kostic, A. D. et al. The dynamics of the human infant gut microbes in development and in progression toward type 1 diabetes. Cell Host Microbe 17(2), 260-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.001 (2015).
- Yasmin, F. et al. Cesarean section, formula feeding, and infant antibiotic exposure: Separate and combined impacts on gut microbial changes in later infancy. Front. Pediatr. 5, 200. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2017.00200 (2017).
- Bokulich, N. A. et al. Antibiotics, birth mode, and diet shape microbiome maturation during early life. Sci. Transl. Med. 8(343), 343ra82. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aad7121 (2016).
- Li, N. et al. Distinct gut microbiota and metabolite profiles induced by different feeding methods in healthy chinese infants. Front. Microbiol. 11, 714. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00714 (2020).
- Selma-Royo, M. et al. Maternal diet during pregnancy and intestinal markers are associated with early gut microbiota. Eur. J. Nutr. 60(3), 1429–1442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02337-7 (2021).
- Chu, D. M. et al. The early infant gut microbes varies in association with a maternal high-fat diet. Genome Med. 8(1), 77. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0330-z (2016).
- 33. Anatolitou, F. Human milk benefits and breastfeeding. J. Pediatr. Neonat. Individ. Med. 1(1), 11-18 (2012).
- Pannaraj, P. S. et al. Association between breast milk bacterial communities and establishment and development of the infant gut microbiome. JAMA Pediatr. 171(7), 647–654. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0378 (2017).
- 35. Arboleya, S. *et al.* Intestinal microbiota development in preterm neonates and effect of perinatal antibiotics. *J. Pediatr.* **166**(3), 538–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.041 (2015).
- Healy, D. B., Ryan, C. A., Ross, R. P., Stanton, C. & Dempsey, E. M. Clinical implications of preterm infant gut microbiome development. Nat. Microbiol. 7(1), 22–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-01025-4 (2022).
- Jia, Q. *et al.* Dynamic changes of the gut microbiota in preterm infants with different gestational age. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 923273. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.923273 (2022).
- Gibson, M. K. et al. Developmental dynamics of the preterm infant gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome. Nat. Microbiol. 1, 16024. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.24 (2016).
- Stoeva, M. K. et al. Butyrate-producing human gut symbiont, Clostridium butyricum, and its role in health and disease. Gut Microbes 13(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1907272 (2021).
- Takahashi, M. *et al.* The effect of probiotic treatment with *Clostridium butyricum* on enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in mice. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 41(3), 219–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsim.2004.03.010 (2004).
- Woo, T. D. H. *et al.* Inhibition of the cytotoxic effect of *Clostridium difficile* in vitro by *Clostridium butyricum* MIYAIRI 588 strain. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **60**(Pt 11), 1617–1625. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.033423-0 (2011).
- Quin, C. et al. Probiotic supplementation and associated infant gut microbiome and health: A cautionary retrospective clinical comparison. Sci. Rep. 8(1), 8283. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26423-3 (2018).
- McIntyre, A. R. & Lipman, N. S. Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in rodent feed and water: Effects
 of compounding on antibiotic stability. J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci. 46(5), 26–32 (2007).
- Prentki, M. & Madiraju, S. R. Glycerolipid metabolism and signaling in health and disease. *Endocr. Rev.* 29(6), 647–676. https:// doi.org/10.1210/er.2008-0007 (2008).
- Crujeiras, A. B., Díaz-Lagares, A., Carreira, M. C., Amil, M. & Casanueva, F. F. Oxidative stress associated to dysfunctional adipose tissue: A potential link between obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus and breast cancer. *Free Radic. Res.* 47(4), 243–256. https://doi. org/10.3109/10715762.2013.772604 (2013).
- Joung, K. H., Jeong, J. W. & Ku, B. J. The association between type 2 diabetes mellitus and women cancer: The epidemiological evidences and putative mechanisms. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 920618. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/920618 (2015).
- Walsh, C. J., Healy, S., O'Toole, P. W., Murphy, E. F. & Cotter, P. D. The probiotic *L. casei* LC-XCAL[™] improves metabolic health in a diet-induced obesity mouse model without altering the microbiome. *Gut Microbes* 12(1), 170414. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490 976.2020.1747330 (2020).
- Shao, Y. et al. Lactobacillus plantarum HNU082-derived improvements in the intestinal microbiome prevent the development of hyperlipidaemia. Food Funct. 8(12), 4508–4516. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7fo00902j (2017).
- Hagihara, M. et al. The impact of probiotic Clostridium butyricum MIYAIRI 588 on murine gut metabolic alterations. J. Infect. Chemother. 25(8), 571–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2019.02.008 (2019).
- Deschasaux, M. et al. Depicting the composition of gut microbiota in a population with varied ethnic origins but shared geography. Nat. Med. 24(10), 1526–1531. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0160-1 (2018).
- Pasolli, E. *et al.* Extensive unexplored human microbiome diversity revealed by over 150,000 genomes from metagenomes spanning age, geography, and lifestyle. *Cell.* 176(3), 649-662.e20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.001 (2019).
- Tanaka, M. & Nakayama, J. Development of the gut microbiota in infancy and its impact on health in later life. Allergol. Int. 66(4), 515–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2017.07.010 (2017).
- Fanaro, S., Chierici, R., Guerrini, P. & Vigi, V. Intestinal microflora in early infancy: Composition and development. *Acta Paediatr. Suppl.* 91(441), 48–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2003.tb00646.x (2003).

Author contributions

Ho.L. and X.M. designed and organized the performance of the study; X.D. guided and instructed the laboratory experiments. He.L. and Y.L. performed the study, collected and analyzed the data, and carried out laboratory experiments; Ho.L., Q.L., Q.T., X.Y., Z.Z., J.R., B.S., X.F. and H.Z. participated in the data analysis and laboratory experimental performance. He.L. and X.M. wrote the manuscript. X.D. and X.Y. revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This work is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 82271739 to XD, 82071681 to XD, 82171703 to XF), the Jiangsu Provincial Key Medical Discipline (ZDXKA2016013 to XF), the Training Program Foundation for health talents of Gusu (GSWS2020052 to XD, GSWS2019049 to XD), and the work is also funded by the Project of Suzhou Science and Technology Development Plan (SKY2021008 to BS).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-023-45586-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.L. or X.D.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023