

natureresearch



OPEN

Association of Alk1 and Endoglin Polymorphisms with Cardiovascular Damage

Mercedes Garzon-Martinez^{1,2}, Nuria Perretta-Tejedor^{1,2}, Luis Garcia-Ortiz^{1,3,4}, Manuel A. Gomez-Marcos^{1,3,5}, Rogelio Gonzalez-Sarmiento^{1,5,6}, Francisco J. Lopez-Hernandez^{1,2} & Carlos Martinez-Salgado^{1,2} ⊠

Cardiovascular diseases are associated to risk factors as obesity, hypertension and diabetes. The transforming growth factor-β1 receptors ALK1 and endoglin regulate blood pressure and vascular homeostasis. However, no studies relate the association of ALK1 and endoglin polymorphisms with cardiovascular risk factors. We analysed the predictive value of the ALK1 and endoglin polymorphisms on cardiovascular target organ damage in hypertensive and diabetic patients in 379 subjects with or without hypertension and diabetes in a Primary Care setting. The ALK1 rs2071219 polymorphism (AA genotype) is associated with a lower presence of diabetic retinopathy and with the absence of altered basal glycaemia. Being carrier of the ALK1 rs3847859 polymorphism (G allele) is associated with lower basal heart rate and with higher LDL-cholesterol levels. The endoglin rs3739817 polymorphism (AA genotype) is associated with higher levels of LDL-cholesterol, and being carrier of the endoglin rs10987759 polymorphism (C allele) is associated with higher haemoglobin levels and with an increased heart rate. Summarizing, several ALK1 and endoglin gene polymorphisms increase the risk of cardiovascular events. The analysis of these polymorphisms in populations at risk, in combination with the determination of other parameters and biomarkers, could implement the diagnosis and prognosis of susceptibility to cardiovascular damage.

Cardiovascular diseases are the main cause of death worldwide¹, which are associated to common risk factors as obesity, hypertension (HT) and diabetes mellitus (DM) 2,3 . HT is the origin of cardiovascular complications such as peripheral arterial disease, ictus and heart attack. Cardiovascular risk increases markedly with high blood pressure (BP), DM and other risk factors², including renal⁴, cardiac⁵ and vascular target organ damage^{6,7}. Both large and small vessels may be affected in these syndromes. Atherosclerosis damages large vessels, whereas in disorders such as retinopathy, small vessels become altered. For instance, several studies have described the association of retinal vessel caliber with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)⁸, arterial HT⁹, metabolic syndrome¹⁰, cerebrovascular accident¹¹, coronary diseases¹² and cardiovascular risk.

Transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) participates in BP regulation and vascular homeostasis¹³. In recent years, our research group has studied the effect of several TGF-β1 receptors, such as ALK1 and endoglin, on cardiovascular and renal regulation. Endoglin (CD150, TGF III receptor) is involved in angiogenesis, and its role in cardiovascular risk is well documented¹⁴, as increased plasma levels of soluble endoglin are involved in coronary vasoconstriction, which may cause myocardial ischemia¹⁵, cardiac fibrosis and peripartum cardiomyopathy¹⁶. We have described how soluble endoglin levels are related with glycaemia, systolic BP, pulse pressure and presence of LVH¹⁷, and that endoglin is involved in endothelial regulation through cyclooxygenase-2 expression and activity¹⁸ and nitric oxide-dependent vasodilation¹⁹. Moreover, hypercholesterolemia, coronary heart disease, DM and HT contribute to increase serum endoglin levels²⁰. Endoglin is also upregulated in experimental models of renal fibrosis²¹. On the other hand, ALK1 expression is self-regulated during periods of active angiogenesis²².

¹Institute of Biomedical Research of Salamanca (IBSAL), Salamanca, 37007, Spain. ²Translational Research on Renal and Cardiovascular Diseases (TRECARD)-REDINREN (ISCIII), Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, 37007, Spain. ³Primary Health Care Research Unit, La Alamedilla Health Centre, Health Service of Castilla y León (SACyL), Salamanca, 37003, Spain. ⁴Department of Biomedical and Diagnostic Sciences, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, 37007, Spain. ⁵Department of Medicine, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, 37007, Spain. ⁵Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology of Cancer (IBMCC), University of Salamanca-CSIC, Salamanca, 37007, Spain. ⊠e-mail: carlosms@usal.es

| Gene | SNP ID | Base change | SNP | Chr location | Assay ID | HWE |
|------|------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| ALK1 | rs2071219 | g.51913524 A > G | intron variant | 12:51913524 | C_15868502_10 | >0,05 |
| ALK1 | rs3847859 | g.51899716G>A | intergenic | 12:51899716 | C_3240243_10 | >0,05 |
| ENG | rs3739817 | c.1029 G > A | p.Thr343= | 9:127824409 | C_27491008_10 | >0,05 |
| ENG | rs10987759 | g.127856098T>C | utv | 9:127856098 | C_31370278_20 | >0,05 |

Table 1. Characteristics of the ALK1 and endoglin polymorphisms. Chr: chromosome; HWE: Hardy Weinberg equilibrium in control groups; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; utv: upstream transcript variant.

| | N | % |
|-------------------------|-----|------|
| Male | 201 | 53.0 |
| Hypertension | 277 | 73.1 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 88 | 23.2 |
| Target organ damage | 175 | 46.2 |
| Altered basal glycaemia | 45 | 11.9 |
| BMI <25 | 67 | 17.7 |
| BMI 25-30 | 197 | 52.0 |
| BMI >30 | 115 | 30.3 |
| Dyslipidaemia | 259 | 68.3 |
| Elevated PP | 92 | 24.3 |
| LVH | 69 | 18.2 |
| C-IMT | 67 | 17.7 |
| Altered PWV | 58 | 15.3 |
| PAD | 6 | 1.6 |
| CV risk <1% | 53 | 14.0 |
| CV risk 1–5% | 181 | 47.8 |
| CV risk 5-10% | 78 | 20.6 |
| CV risk >10% | 67 | 17.7 |
| Antihypertensive drugs | 210 | 55.4 |
| Antidiabetic drugs | 61 | 16.1 |
| Lipid-lowering drugs | 149 | 39.3 |

Table 2. Characteristics of the 379 patients included in the study. BMI: body mass index; C-IMT: carotid intima media thickness; CV: cardiovascular; HT: hypertension; LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy; PAD: peripheral arterial disease; PP: pulse pressure; PWV: pulse wave velocity.

Our group has also verified the regulatory role of ALK1 in arterial pressure and in cardiovascular physiopathology²³ and in the development of renal fibrosis²⁴. Despite the existence of these studies that relate ALK1 and endoglin receptors to vascular and renal pathophysiology and cardiovascular risk, at present there are no studies linking the presence of polymorphic variants in these genes with different cardiovascular risk factors. Therefore, we selected four polymorphisms of these two genes, ALK1 rs2071219 and rs3847859, and endoglin rs3739817 and rs10987759, all of them associated with vascular alterations (pulmonary hypertension, arteriovenous malformations), with high prevalence in the general population and whose presence apparently does not influence the biological activity of the protein, and we analyse the association and predictive value of these polymorphic variants on cardiovascular target organ damage in at-risk populations (HT and DM patients).

Results

We included 379 subjects in the study. The distribution of genotypes of ALK1 rs2071219 and rs3847859 and endoglin rs3739817 and rs10987759 polymorphisms in control samples are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1). Their demographic, clinical and physical variables are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

The ALK1 rs2071219 polymorphism is related to the presence of early signs of diabetic retinopathy, as the presence of the AA genotype is associated with a higher mean AVIx, which would imply a lower presence of retinopathy in hypertensive and diabetic patients (Table 4). Moreover, being carrier of the A allele is associated with the absence of altered basal glycaemia (Table 4). Regarding the other polymorphism, there are significant differences in the ALK1 rs3847859 genotypic distribution between patients with basal heart rate <70 bmp (n = 219) or >70 bmp (n = 170). Being carrier of the G allele is associated with a lower basal heart rate in the recessive model (Tables 5 and 6). In addition, being carrier of the G allele is associated with higher LDL-cholesterol levels (Table 6).

The presence of the AA genotype in the endoglin rs3739817 polymorphism is associated with higher levels of LDL-cholesterol, as being carrier of the A allele is associated with higher levels of LDL-cholesterol in the dominant model (p = 0.055) (Table 7). Besides, being carrier of the C allele in the endoglin rs10987759 polymorphism

| | | | Male | | Femal | e | |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|
| | N | Average ± SD | N | Average ± SD | N | Average ± SD | P value |
| Weight. Kg | 379 | 76.53 ± 14.70 | 201 | 82.95 ± 13.48 | 178 | 69.27 ± 12.51 | > 0.05 |
| Age. years | 379 | 60.29 ± 9.70 | 201 | 59.35 ± 10.27 | 178 | 61.36 ± 8.93 | > 0.05 |
| BMI. Kg/m ² | 379 | 28.61 ± 4.42 | 201 | 28.91 ± 3.83 | 178 | 28.28 ± 4.99 | > 0.05 |
| SBP. mmHg | 379 | 133.89 ± 17.19 | 201 | 136.62 ± 16.96 | 178 | 130.81 ± 16.97 | > 0.05 |
| DBP. mmHg | 379 | 81.16 ± 10.56 | 201 | 82.76±9.99 | 178 | 79.36 ± 10.91 | > 0.05 |
| PP. mmHg | 379 | 52.73 ± 12.92 | 201 | 53.86 ± 13.50 | 178 | 51.45 ± 12.15 | > 0.05 |
| Heart rate. beats/min | 379 | 69.74 ± 10.25 | 201 | 68.62 ± 10.95 | 178 | 71 ± 9.26 | < 0.05 |
| ABI left | 379 | 1.14±0.10 | 201 | 1.15 ± 0.10 | 178 | 1.12±0.09 | > 0.05 |
| ABI right | 378 | 1.13 ± 0.10 | 201 | 1.15 ± 0.10 | 177 | 1.12 ± 0.09 | > 0.05 |
| Average C-IMT. mm | 377 | 0.74 ± 0.10 | 199 | 0.75 ± 0.10 | 178 | 0.72 ± 0.09 | > 0.05 |
| Maximum C-IMT. mm | 377 | 0.90 ± 0.12 | 199 | 0.92 ± 0.13 | 178 | 0.88 ± 0.11 | > 0.05 |
| PWV. m/s | 374 | 8.65 ± 1.60 | 197 | 8.71 ± 1.67 | 177 | 8.57 ± 1.52 | > 0.05 |
| VDP-Cornell. mV/ms | 372 | 1546.71 ± 561.74 | 201 | 1467.04 ± 622.79 | 171 | 1640.36 ± 464.75 | < 0.05 |
| Basal glycaemia. mg/dL | 379 | 95.46 ± 27.03 | 201 | 97.96 ± 30.51 | 178 | 92.64 ± 22.21 | < 0.05 |
| Plasma creatinine. mg/dL | 379 | 0.86 ± 0.19 | 201 | 0.95 ± 0.18 | 178 | 0.75 ± 0.14 | < 0.05 |
| HDL-cholesterol. mg/dL | 366 | 53.58 ± 14.09 | 196 | 54.33 ± 14.86 | 170 | 52.72 ± 13.14 | > 0.05 |
| LDL- cholesterol. mg/dL | 373 | 132.76 ± 34.46 | 198 | 130.45 ± 35.53 | 175 | 135.37 ± 33.11 | > 0.05 |
| Triglycerides. mg/dL | 379 | 128.05 ± 72.20 | 201 | 140.52 ± 83.03 | 178 | 113.96 ± 54.44 | < 0.05 |
| HbA1c. % | 370 | 5.92 ± 0.91 | 196 | 5.98 ± 0.99 | 174 | 5.85 ± 0.79 | < 0.05 |
| Haemoglobin. g/dL | 376 | 15.08 ± 1.15 | 200 | 15.57 ± 1.12 | 176 | 14.52 ± 0.89 | < 0.05 |
| hs-CRP. mg/dL | 360 | 0.31 ± 0.43 | 194 | 0.29 ± 0.39 | 166 | 0.33 ± 0.48 | > 0.05 |
| Fibrinogen. mg/dL | 366 | 357.52 ± 65.32 | 196 | 347.59 ± 64.42 | 170 | 368.96 ± 64.66 | > 0.05 |
| Uric acid. mg/dL | 379 | 5.26 ± 1.30 | 201 | 5.72 ± 1.14 | 178 | 4.73 ± 1.27 | > 0.05 |
| Urinary creatinine. mg/dL | 372 | 104.38 ± 52.79 | 196 | 122.41 ± 53.23 | 176 | 84.30 ± 44.52 | < 0.05 |
| Microalbuminuria. mg/dL | 371 | 13.07 ± 66.78 | 195 | 21.21 ± 90.93 | 176 | 4.05 ± 10.33 | < 0.05 |
| Leukocytes. *1000/μl | 265 | 6.84 ± 1.77 | 143 | 7.15 ± 1.71 | 122 | 6.48 ± 1.77 | > 0.05 |
| Eosinophils. *1000/μl | 265 | 0.21 ± 0.14 | 143 | 0.22 ± 0.15 | 122 | 0.19 ± 0.13 | > 0.05 |
| Lymphocytes. *1000/μl | 265 | 2.49 ± 0.76 | 143 | 2.49 ± 0.76 | 122 | 2.41 ± 0.64 | < 0.05 |
| Neutrophils. *1000/μl | 265 | 3.53 ± 1.12 | 143 | 3.75 ± 1.08 | 122 | 3.28 ± 1.12 | > 0.05 |
| Left artery. μm | 211 | 108.35 ± 13.61 | 112 | 106.85 ± 13.33 | 99 | 110.05 ± 13.77 | > 0.05 |
| Right artery. μm | 212 | 108.93 ± 12.47 | 116 | 109.86 ± 12.02 | 96 | 107.81 ± 12.20 | > 0.05 |
| Average artery. μm | 238 | 109.11 ± 12.07 | 129 | 108.90 ± 12.00 | 109 | 109.36 ± 12.20 | > 0.05 |
| Minor artery. μm | 238 | 104.75 ± 12.09 | 129 | 104.83 ± 13.03 | 109 | 104.66 ± 13.21 | > 0.05 |
| Left vein. μm | 211 | 140.93 ± 18.96 | 112 | 139.82 ± 18.15 | 211 | 140.93 ± 18.96 | > 0.05 |
| Right vein. μm | 212 | 142.27 ± 19.15 | 116 | 142.99 ± 18.14 | 212 | 142.27 ± 19.15 | > 0.05 |
| Average vein. μm | 238 | 142.05 ± 18.02 | 129 | 141.85 ± 15.94 | 99 | 142.18 ± 19.84 | > 0.05 |
| Major vein. μm | 238 | 147.33 ± 18.95 | 129 | 147.68 ± 16.97 | 109 | 146.91 ± 21.12 | > 0.05 |
| Left AVIx. μm | 176 | 0.78 ± 0.11 | 93 | 0.77 ± 0.11 | 83 | 0.79 ± 0.11 | > 0.05 |
| Right AVIx. μm | 161 | 0.78 ± 0.11 | 86 | 0.78 ± 0.12 | 75 | 0.78 ± 0.10 | > 0.05 |
| Average AVIx. μm | 236 | 0.78 ± 0.10 | 127 | 0.78 ± 0.10 | 109 | 0.78 ± 0.09 | > 0.05 |

Table 3. Demographic, physical and basic analytical values of the patients included in the study. ABI: ankle brachial index; AVIx: arteriovenous index; BMI: body mass index; C- IMT: carotid intima media thickness; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high sensitive C-reactive protein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; PP: pulse pressure; PWV: pulse wave velocity; SBP: systolic blood pressure; SD: standard deviation; VDP: voltage duration product. Artery, vein and AVIx values are retinal vessels.

is associated with higher haemoglobin levels in the dominant model (Table 8). In addition, being carrier of the C allele is associated with an increased heart rate also in the dominant model (Table 8).

Discussion

The relationship between different cardiovascular alterations and the presence of polymorphic variants in numerous genes has been documented extensively in recent years. Many of these studies have been conducted in populations of a specific ethnic origin, so their relevance has a local or geographical character, depending on the size and characteristics of the population included in the study. Several studies analyze the association of gene polymorphisms with cardiovascular disease in the Caucasian population^{25–27}. These and many other studies show that the presence of polymorphic variants in numerous genes involved in cardiovascular regulation may favor the risk or predisposition to a broad spectrum of cardiovascular disorders.

| | | <aver< th=""><th>age</th><th>>aver</th><th>age</th><th>P value</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></aver<> | age | >aver | age | P value | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---|------|-------|------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| SNP | Genotype | N | % | N | % | (1) | OR (95% CI) (1) | P value (2) | OR (95% CI) (2) |
| Medium Caliber or Re | tinal Arteriol | es | | | | | | | |
| | GG | 20 | 16.1 | 25 | 21.9 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| rs2071219 | AG | 71 | 57.3 | 46 | 40.4 | 0.917 | 0.961 (0.457-2.024) | 0.562 | 1.607 (0.323-7.993) |
| | AA | 33 | 26.6 | 43 | 37.7 | 0.017 | 0.486 (0.269-0.877) | 0.859 | 0.906 (0.306-2.686) |
| rs2071219 dominant | GG | 20 | 16.1 | 25 | 21.9 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 1820/1219 dollilliant | AG+AA | 104 | 83.9 | 89 | 78.1 | 0.180 | 1.561 (0.814-2.994) | 0.486 | 1.697 (0.384-7.508) |
| rs2071219 recessive | AA | 33 | 26.6 | 43 | 37.7 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 1820/1219 Tecessive | AG+GG | 91 | 73.4 | 71 | 62.3 | 0.062 | 1.692 (0.974-2.938) | 0.964 | 0.976 (0.348-2.741) |
| SNP | Genotype | Yes | | No | | P value | OR (95% CI) (1) | P value (2) | OR (95% CI) (2) |
| SINF | | N | % | N | % | (1) OR (95% CI) (1) | r value (2) | OR (93% CI) (2) | |
| Presence of Altered Ba | sal Glycaemi | a | • | • | • | | | | |
| | GG | 14 | 31.1 | 59 | 17.7 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| rs2071219 | AG | 19 | 42.2 | 176 | 52.7 | 0.105 | 2.000 (0.864-4.629) | 0.692 | 1.359 (0.298-6.207) |
| | AA | 12 | 26.7 | 99 | 29.6 | 0.747 | 0.882 (0.410-1.895) | 0.518 | 0.668 (0.197-2.268) |
| rs2071219 dominant | GG | 14 | 31.1 | 59 | 17.7 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 1820/1219 dominant | AG+AA | 31 | 68.9 | 275 | 82.3 | 0.030 | 2.161 (1.079-4.329) | 0.415 | 1.745 (0.457-6.668) |
| rs2071219 recessive | AA | 12 | 26.7 | 99 | 29.6 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 1820/1219 recessive | AG+GG | 33 | 73.3 | 235 | 70.4 | 0.685 | 0.865 (0.428-1.746) | 0.710 | 1.242 (0.396-3.889) |

Table 4. Distribution of ALK1 rs2071219 genotypes according to the medium caliber of retinal arterioles and to the presence of altered basal glycaemia. P value & OR adjusted by sex and age (1) and by sex, age, DM, HTA, DL, tobacco, alcohol and BMI (2). CI = confidence interval; OR = odd ratio; ref.=reference; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism. Statistically significant results in bold.

| | | $< 70 b_{\rm J}$ | pm | >70 b _j | pm | P value | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|------|--------------------|------|---------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| SNP | Genotype | N | % | N | % | (1) | OR (95% CI) (1) | P value (2) | OR (95% CI) (2) |
| | GG | 77 | 36.0 | 43 | 26.1 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| rs3847859 | AG | 115 | 53.7 | 88 | 53.3 | 0.002 | 0.362 (0.188-0.698) | 0.021 | 0.272 (0.090-0.824) |
| | AA | 22 | 10.3 | 34 | 20.6 | 0.023 | 0.495 (0.270-0.908) | 0.051 | 0.366 (0.134-1.005) |
| rs3847859 dominant | GG | 77 | 36.0 | 43 | 26.1 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 18384/839 dominant | AG+AA | 137 | 64.0 | 122 | 73.9 | 0.042 | 0.628 (0.401-0.984) | 0.200 | 0.625 (0.305-1.282) |
| rs3847859 recessive | AA | 22 | 10.3 | 34 | 20.6 | Ref. | 1.000 | Ref. | 1.000 |
| 18384/839 recessive | AG+GG | 192 | 89.7 | 131 | 79.4 | 0.006 | 2.263 (1.263-4.054) | 0.028 | 3.005 (1.126-8.019) |

Table 5. Distribution of ALK1 rs3847859 genotypes according to the basal heart rate. P value & OR adjusted by sex and age (1) and by sex, age, DM, HTA, DL, tobacco, alcohol and BMI (2). Bpm: beats per minute; CI = confidence interval; OR = odd ratio; ref.=reference; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism. Statistically significant results in bold.

However, although our research group and others have identified the involvement of TGF- β 1 receptors in cardiovascular and renal damage (as described in the introduction section), to date there are no studies relating the presence of gene polymorphic variants in TGF- β 1 receptors with cardiovascular damage. The only studies relating ALK1 and endoglin polymorphisms with cardiovascular damage show the absence of associations between the ALK1 rs2071219 polymorphism and the risks of brain arteriovenous malformations²⁸, and between the endoglin rs3739817 polymorphism with human pulmonary arterial HP²⁹. One study with the endoglin rs10987759 polymorphism shows a trend toward association with sporadic brain arteriovenous malformations, although it does not reach statistical significance³⁰. Conversely, our study shows significant associations between ALK1 rs2071219, rs3847859 and endoglin rs3739817 and rs10987759 polymorphisms with several cardiovascular risk factors (retinopathy, altered basal glycaemia, heart rate, LDL-cholesterol, hemoglobin levels) in a Spanish population with or without HT and DM recruited in a primary care setting.

The association between reduction in the vascular calibre of the retinal vessels and cardiovascular risk is well known³¹. Patients with increased cardiovascular risk have more symptoms of retinopathy, such as dilated retinal veins and thinned arterioles. Both signs are associated with increased risk of stroke and coronary heart disease³². The thinning of the retinal arteries is related to the increase in pulse wave velocity and in pulse pressure³³. TGF- β 1 is involved in the thinning of the capillary basal lamina of the retina through its receptors ALK1 and ALK5 by upregulation of profibrotic genes in perycites³⁴. The specific role of ALK1 in the vasculature is complex. In our study, being carrier of the A allele in the ALK1 rs2071219 polymorphism is associated with the absence of altered basal glycaemia, and the presence of the AA homozygous genotype is associated with a lower presence of retinopathy in HT and DM patients. Hyperglycaemia inhibits ALK1 expression, as shown *in vitro* in endothelial cells,

| SNP N Basal Heart Rate rs3847859 GG 120 68.5 ± 0.9 AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 P value (1) AG vs AA 0.035 P value (1) AG vs AA 0.170 AG vs AA 0.100 66 vs AA P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 AG vs AA 0.109 66.5 ± 0.9 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (2) AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (2) AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (2) AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) D + G 0.029 P value (2) D + D 0.017 P value (2) D + D 0.029 P value (2) D + D 0.029 P value (1) D + D 129.74 ± 3.11 AG vs AA D + D 129.74 ± 3.11 < | | | Heart | rate | | |
|--|---------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| rs3847859 GG 120 68.5 ± 0.9 AG 203 69.7 ± 0.7 AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 BGG vs AG 0.035 AG vs AA 0.035 AG vs AA 0.170 BG vs AG 1.000 GG vs AA 0.143 AG vs AA 0.109 Fs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 LDL Cholesterol N N Image: Control of the c | SNP | | N | | | |
| rs3847859 AG 203 697 ± 0.7 rs3847859 AG 203 697 ± 0.7 P value (1) AG vs AA 0.170 RS3847859 dominant AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 Ts3847859 CG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) CDL Cholesterol IDL Cholesterol IDL Cholesterol IDL Cholesterol IDL System of the property of | Basal Heart Rate | | ' | | | |
| rs3847859 AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 GG vs AG 0.877 GG vs AA 0.035 P value (1) AG vs AA 0.170 GG vs AG 1.000 GG vs AA 0.143 P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.251 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 P value (2) 0.029 1DL cholesterol SNP IDL cholesterol N SNP IDL cholesterol N P value (2) GG vs AG 0.029 LDL cholesterol N 100 column F value (1) AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AA 0.033 0.033 AG vs AA 0.033 0.034 | | GG | 120 | 68.5 ± 0.9 | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | AG | 203 | 69.7 ± 0.7 | | |
| GG vs AA 0.035 P value (1) AG vs AA 0.170 AG vs AG 1.000 1.000 GG vs AG 0.143 0.199 P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 P value (2) D.017 0.029 SNP LDL cholesterol N 0.029 EDL Cholesterol N 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG SAG 0.194 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 AG vs AA 0.033 AG vs AA 0.033 AG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) | rs3847859 | AA | 56 | 72.6 ± 1.3 | | |
| P value (1) AG vs AA 0.170 GG vs AG 1.000 GG vs AA 0.143 P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 SNP LDL cholesterol SNP GG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AA 0.063 AG vs AA 0.033 P value (1) GG vs AG 1.000 P value (2) GG vs AG 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 0.000 AG vs AA 1.000 0.000 AG vs AA 1.000 0.000 P value (1) GG | | GG vs AG | | 0.877 | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | GG vs AA | | 0.035 | | |
| GG vs AA 0.143 P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 P value (2) LDL cholesterol SNP Total cholesterol LDL Cholesterol rs3847859 GG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AG 0.194 0.863 AG vs AA 0.033 0.033 P value (2) GG vs AG 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 0.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.764 AG | P value (1) | AG vs AA | | 0.170 | | |
| P value (2) AG vs AA 0.109 rs3847859 dominant GG 120 68.5 ± 0.9 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 SNP LDL cholesterol SNP GG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.033 P value (2) GG vs AA 0.003 P value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 R γ s AA 1.000 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG + GG | | GG vs AG | | 1.000 | | |
| GG 120 68.5 ± 0.9 rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 rs3847859 recessive AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 P value (1) 0.017 SNP LDL cholesterol SNP BG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 GG vs AA 0.863 AG vs AA 0.003 P value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 GG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 P value (1) GG 119 129.76 ± 3.11 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 0.764 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG + GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | | GG vs AA | | 0.143 | | |
| rs3847859 dominant AG + AA 259 71.2 ± 0.8 P value (1) 0.026 0.551 P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 SNP LDL cholesterol I SNP GG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 GG vs AA 0.863 AG vs AA 0.033 GG vs AG 1.000 P value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 R sy AA 1.000 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 0.764 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG + GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 0.056 | P value (2) | AG vs AA | | 0.109 | | |
| P value (1) 0.026 P value (2) 0.551 AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 0.029 LDL cholesterol SNP LDL cholesterol LDL Cholesterol AG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AG 0.194 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.033 AG vs AA 0.033 AG vs AA 1.000 P value (2) GG 119 129.76 ± 3.11 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 0.764 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+AG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | | GG | 120 | 68.5 ± 0.9 | | |
| P value (2) AA 56 72.6 ± 1.3 rs3847859 recessive AG + GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 SNP LDL cholesterol SNP BG 119 L29.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 GG vs AA 0.863 AG vs AA 0.033 GG vs AA 0.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 P value (2) 0.764 AG + GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | rs3847859 dominant | AG+AA | 259 | 71.2 ± 0.8 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | P value (1) | | | 0.026 | | |
| rs3847859 recessive AG+GG 323 69.1 ± 0.6 P value (1) 0.017 P value (2) LDL cholesterol N LDL cholesterol N LDL cholesterol N LDL cholesterol N AG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AA 0.033 GG vs AA 0.000 P value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 AG+AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | P value (2) | | | 0.551 | | |
| P value (1) 0.017 P value (2) 0.029 LDL cholesterol SNP LDL cholesterol LDL Cholesterol Tay 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG AA 0.863 AG vs AG 0.094 0.003 P value (2) GG vs AG 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 0.00 AG vs AA 1.000 0.00 Tes3847859 dominant AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 0.764 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | | AA | 56 | 72.6 ± 1.3 | | |
| P value (2) | rs3847859 recessive | AG+GG | 323 | 69.1 ± 0.6 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | P value (1) | | | 0.017 | | |
| SNP N LDL Cholesterol F3847859 GG 119 129.74 ± 3.11 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 A AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 A P value (1) GG vs AG 0.194 O.863 AG vs AA 0.033 O.863 AG vs AA 1.000 O.860 P value (2) GG 119 129.76 ± 3.11 O.861 P value (1) O.861 0.861 O.764 P value (2) O.764 O.764 P value (1) O.764 O.764 P value (1) O.764 O.764 P value (1) O.764 O.764 O.764 P value (1) O.764 O.764 P value (1) O.764 O.764 O.764 O.764 O.764 O.764 O.764 O.766 </td <td>P value (2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.029</td> | P value (2) | | | 0.029 | | |
| Color | | 1 | LDL cholesterol | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SNP | | N | | | |
| rs3847859 AG 199 137.02 ± 2.40 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 P value (1) GG vs AA 0.0033 GG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 GG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 F value (1) 0.861 P value (2) 0.861 P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG vs AS 1.000 AG vs AS 1.000 AG vs AS 1.000 AG vs AS 1.000 AG vs AS <th< td=""><td>LDL Cholesterol</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>"</td></th<> | LDL Cholesterol | | 1 | " | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | GG | 119 | 129.74 ± 3.11 | | |
| P value (1) GG vs AG GG vs AA 0.863 AG vs AA 0.033 GG vs AG 1.000 F value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 GG vs AA 1.000 GG vs AA 1.000 GG vs AA 1.000 119 129.76 ± 3.11 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) P value (2) AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG + GG R vs AG 1.000 119 129.76 ± 3.11 129.76 ± | rs3847859 | AG | 199 | 137.02 ± 2.40 | | |
| P value (1) GG vs AA 0.863 AG vs AA 0.000 P value (2) GG vs AA 1.000 AG vs AA 1.000 GG 119 129.76 ± 3.11 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 P value (2) 0.764 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | | AA | 55 | 123.86 ± 4.56 | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | GG vs AG | | 0.194 | | |
| P value (2) | P value (1) | GG vs AA | | 0.863 | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | AG vs AA | | 0.033 | | |
| | | GG vs AG | | 1.000 | | |
| rs3847859 dominant GG 119 129.76 ± 3.11 AG + AA 254 130.44 ± 2.58 P value (1) 0.861 P value (2) 0.764 AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | P value (2) | GG vs AA | | 1.000 | | |
| | | AG vs AA | | 1.000 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | GG | 119 | 129.76 ± 3.11 | | |
| P value (2) 0.764 rs3847859 recessive AA 55 123.86 ± 4.56 AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | rs3847859 dominant | AG+AA | 254 | 130.44 ± 2.58 | | |
| | P value (1) | | | 0.861 | | |
| rs3847859 recessive AG+GG 318 133.38 ± 1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | P value (2) | | | 0.764 | | |
| AG+GG 318 133.38±1.96 P value (1) 0.056 | | AA | 55 | 123.86 ± 4.56 | | |
| | rs3847859 recessive | AG+GG | 318 | 133.38 ± 1.96 | | |
| | P value (1) | | | 0.056 | | |
| | | | _ | | | |

Table 6. Statistically significant results in the distribution of ALK1 rs3847859 polymorphisms according to basal heart rate and plasma LDL cholesterol. P value adjusted by sex and age (1) and by sex, age, DM, HTA, DL, tobacco, alcohol and BMI (2). SD: standard deviation; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism. Statistically significant results in bold.

or *in vivo* in streptozotocin-induced diabetes mellitus in mice³⁵. ALK1 overexpression affects the migration and proliferation of human retinal capillary endothelial cells, thus promoting the remodelling of newly formed blood vessels and preventing angiogenesis³⁶. The presence of the AA recessive genotype in the ALK1 rs2071219 polymorphism is associated with the absence of hyperglycaemia, and not elevated glucose levels prevents the inhibition of ALK1 expression, as previously described³⁵. This normoglycaemic scenario with normal levels of ALK1 expression would result in a lower presence of retinopathy and would favour the migration and proliferation of endothelial cells and the remodelling of retinal blood vessels, although an in-depth mechanistic study would be needed to corroborate our hypothesis.

Heart rate variability is linked to cardiovascular risk factors such as HT and obesity, and decreased heart rate variability increases cardiovascular risk³⁷. Moreover, patients in advanced chronic kidney disease stage have reduced heart rate variability³⁸. Resting heart rate predicts cardiovascular diseases and longevity, and it is also an important marker of outcome in heart failure and other cardiovascular diseases³⁹. High resting heart rate is also associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes⁴⁰. In our study, being carrier of the G allele in the ALK1 rs3847859 polymorphism is associated with a lower basal heart rate, which may be a genetic advantage in the face of the appearance of future cardiovascular complications, whereas being carrier of the C allele in the endoglin

| | | LDLC | holesterol |
|---------------------|----------|------|--------------------|
| SNP | | N | |
| | GG | 331 | 132.94 ± 1.87 |
| rs3739817 | AG | 40 | 128.68 ± 5.37 |
| | AA | 2 | 185.02 ± 24.01 |
| | GG vs AG | | 1.000 |
| P value (1) | GG vs AA | | 0.094 |
| | AG vs AA | | 0.068 |
| | GG vs AG | | 1.000 |
| P value (2) | GG vs AA | | 0.246 |
| | AG vs AA | | 0.281 |
| rs3739817 dominant | GG | 331 | 132.93 ± 1.87 |
| 183/3981/ dominiant | AG+AA | 42 | 156.85 ± 12.30 |
| P value (1) | | | 0.055 |
| P value (2) | | | 0.642 |
| rs3739817 recessive | AA | 2 | 185.70 ± 24.01 |
| 183/3961/ Tecessive | AG+GG | 371 | 130.81 ± 2.84 |
| P value (1) | | | 0.026 |
| P value (2) | | | 0.081 |

Table 7. Statistically significant results in the distribution of endoglin rs3739817 polymorphisms according to plasma LDL cholesterol. P value adjusted by sex and age (1) and by sex, age, DM, HTA, DL, tobacco, alcohol and BMI (2). SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism. Statistically significant results in bold.

rs10987759 polymorphism is associated with an increased heart rate, circumstance that may increase the cardiovascular risk in these HT and DM patients. At this point in time, there is no study in the scientific literature describing the role of ALK1 and endoglin receptors in heart rate regulation, so we cannot explain how the presence of these genetic polymorphisms is associated to changes in heart rate. But our study opens a promising line of research that can assign a new role to these endothelial receptors in the regulation of heart rate.

LDL-cholesterol levels, even in those patients with normal values, are related to the presence and extent of systemic atherosclerosis, independently of other cardiovascular risk factors. As LDL-cholesterol levels increase there is a proportional increase in the prevalence of atherosclerosis and its thrombotic complications⁴¹. Reduction in LDL-cholesterol levels is beneficial to the reduction of atherosclerosis-related cardiovascular disease risk⁴². ALK1 expression is increased in human coronary atherosclerotic lesions⁴³. In patients with hypercholesterolemia, ALK1 acts as a low-affinity, high-capacity receptor for LDL-cholesterol in endothelial cells. ALK1 binds LDL-cholesterol with lower affinity than the LDL-receptor and saturates only at hypercholesterolemic concentrations, and mediates LDL-cholesterol uptake in endothelial cells through an endocytic pathway that prevents the ligand from degradation and promotes LDL-cholesterol transcytosis, contributing to the initiation of atherosclerosis⁴⁴. On the other hand, endoglin modulates ALK-1 ligand binding and signalling. Hypercholesterolemia alters endoglin expression and signalling, causing endothelial or vascular dysfunction before the initiation of atherosclerotic lesions⁴⁵. All these findings suggest the participation of the endothelial receptors ALK1 and endoglin in the regulation of atherosclerosis, mainly exerting an antiatherogenic effect. Therefore, the identification of the presence of ALK1 rs3847859 and endoglin rs3739817 polymorphisms, which we have observed to be associated with higher LDL-cholesterol levels, could be of clinical relevance to identify patients with an increased atherosclerotic risk, and therefore, with a higher probability of suffering adverse cardiovascular events.

The relationship between total haemoglobin levels and cardiovascular risk is controversial. Increased haemoglobin concentration leads to increased blood viscosity, increased peripheral resistance and reduced blood flow and perfusion⁴⁶. The Framingham Heart Study reported the relationship between haematocrit and cardiovascular disease incidence in women after adjusting for multiple cardiovascular risk factors⁴⁷. Elevated haemoglobin levels are associated with acute myocardial infarction in men⁴⁸. There is also an association between total haemoglobin levels and cardiovascular incidence (ischemic heart disease, stroke) in men⁴⁹. Gender differences may be explained by the different haemoglobin concentration between men and women, whose levels might not be high enough to increase cardiovascular risk. However, the association found in our study is gender independent. On the other hand, the red blood cells membrane and the released haemoglobin have atherogenic activities, as extracellular and oxidized haemoglobin species induce lipid peroxidation and endothelial damage⁵⁰. However, there are no studies directly relating endoglin to haemoglobin levels, although the regulatory role of this receptor in the processes of angiogenesis⁵¹ seems to suggest that it should have a role, although unknown, that may affect the haemoglobin levels in plasma. The fact that we have detected that being carrier of the C allele in the endoglin rs10987759 polymorphism is associated with higher haemoglobin levels reinforces this hypothesis.

One of the main limitations of our findings is that this is a retrospective study. Moreover, due to the number of analysed patients, the statistical power of the study is limited, so it is possible that some statistically significant differences that actually exist have not been detected. When interpreting these results, it should be taken into account that in our recruited population of hypertensive and diabetic patients there were not enough patients with endoglin rs10987759 CC homozygous genotype to obtain statistically valid conclusions, and thus we have

| | | Haemo | oglobin | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|
| SNP | | N | | | |
| Hemoglobin Levels | | | | | |
| | TT | 319 | 15.02 ± 0.06 | | |
| rs10987759 | CT | 56 | 15.34 ± 0.14 | | |
| | CC | 1 | 17.17 ± 1.01 | | |
| | TT vs CT | | 0.101 | | |
| P value (1) | TT vs CC | | 0.104 | | |
| | CT vs CC | | 0.220 | | |
| | TT vs CT | | 0.605 | | |
| P value (2) | TT vs CC | | 0.058 | | |
| | CT vs CC | | 0.112 | | |
| 10007750 1 | TT | 319 | 15.02 ± 0.06 | | |
| rs10987759 dominant | CT+CC | 57 | 16.26 ± 0.51 | | |
| P value (1) | | | 0.017 | | |
| P value (2) | | | 0.114 | | |
| 10007750 | CC | 1 | 17.17 ± 1.01 | | |
| rs10987759 recessive | CT+TT | 375 | 15.18 ± 0.07 | | |
| P value (1) | | | 0.050 | | |
| P value (2) | | | 0.022 | | |
| | | Heart rate | | | |
| CNID | | Heart 1 | ate | | |
| SNP | | Heart 1 | rate | | |
| SNP Heart Rate | | | rate | | |
| | ТТ | | 69.44 ± 0.56 | | |
| | TT CT | N | | | |
| Heart Rate | | N 322 | 69.44 ± 0.56 | | |
| Heart Rate | СТ | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 | | |
| Heart Rate | CT CC | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 | | |
| Heart Rate | CT CC TT vs CT | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 | | |
| Heart Rate | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 | | |
| Heart Rate | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CT | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CT TT vs CC | N 322 56 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC CT vs CC CT vs CC | N 322 56 1 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC | N 322 56 1 1 322 322 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 69.44 ± 0.56 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) rs10987759 dominant | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC | N 322 56 1 1 322 322 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 69.44 ± 0.56 80.03 ± 5.11 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) rs10987759 dominant P value (1) P value (2) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC | N 322 56 1 1 322 322 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 69.44 ± 0.56 80.03 ± 5.11 0.040 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) rs10987759 dominant P value (1) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC TT vs CT TT vs CT TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC | N 322 56 1 1 322 57 57 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 69.44 ± 0.56 80.03 ± 5.11 0.040 0.357 | | |
| Heart Rate rs10987759 P value (1) P value (2) rs10987759 dominant P value (1) P value (2) | CT CC TT vs CT TT vs CC CT vs CC TT vs CC TT vs CC TT vs CC CT vs CC | N 322 56 1 1 322 57 1 | 69.44 ± 0.56 71.12 ± 1.36 88.95 ± 10.14 0.768 0.167 0.247 1.000 0.219 0.297 69.44 ± 0.56 80.03 ± 5.11 0.040 0.357 88.95 ± 10.14 | | |

Table 8. Statistically significant results in the distribution of endoglin rs10987759 polymorphisms according to hemoglobin levels and heart rate. P value adjusted by sex and age (1) and by sex, age, DM, HTA, DL, tobacco, alcohol and BMI (2). SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism. Statistically significant results in bold.

only analysed the other two genotypes, CT and TT. Studies should be done in a larger population in order to confirm our results. We have not measured plasma soluble endoglin in all patients, because the phenotypic modifications caused by these polymorphisms are not detectable by the available antibodies or ELISAs for soluble endoglin. On the other hand, in preliminary studies we did not find differences in soluble endoglin plasma levels in patients with different polymorphisms of the endoglin gene, although the analysis was not performed in 100% of the recruited population. Moreover, it is also possible that these polymorphic variants do not affect the extracellular domain of endoglin (from which the soluble endoglin is released, after the proteolytic cleaving action of metalloproteinase MMP-14), but rather the structure of the membrane-bound endoglin.

Summarizing, ours study shows for the first time that the presence of certain ALK1 and endoglin polymorphic variants is associated to several cardiovascular risk factors (retinal artery thickness, altered basal glycaemia, heart rate, LDL-cholesterol and haemoglobin levels) in a Spanish population and therefore, the presence of these polymorphisms may be relevant to increase the risk of cardiovascular events in these patients. Our work reinforces the pre-existing knowledge of the influential role of these endothelial TGF- β 1 receptors in cardiovascular regulation. Our findings suggest that the analysis of these polymorphisms in populations at risk (HT and DM patients), in combination with the determination of other parameters and biomarkers, could implement the diagnosis and prognosis of susceptibility to cardiovascular damage.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was performed in 379 subjects aged 20-80 years with or without HT and DM. They were recruited in the Primary Care Research Unit of La Alamedilla Health Centre, Salamanca (Spain), covering a population of 46,000 inhabitants. We considered HT patients when the mean of three different BP measurements was \geq 140 mm Hg for systolic blood pressure (SBP) or \geq 90 mm Hg for diastolic blood pressure (DBP) or when patients received antihypertensive treatment. DM was diagnosed when basal plasma glucose \geq 126 mg/dL, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) >6,5% or when patients received antidiabetic treatment. Obesity was diagnosed by body mass index (BMI) \geq 30 kg/m². Dyslipidemia was diagnosed when total cholesterol >4.9 mmol/L (190 mg/dL) or low density lipoprotein cholesterol >3 mmol/L (115 mg/dL) or high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: men <1.0 mmol/L (40 mg/dL), women <1.2 mmol/L (46 mg/dL) or triglycerides >1.7 mmol/L (150 mg/dL) 52 . Exclusion criteria: patients recruited in a clinical trial or with serious comorbidities, and patients unable to follow the protocol requirement (psychological and/or cognitive disorders, failure to cooperate, educational limitations and problems in understanding written language, and failure to sign the informed consent document). Controls subjects (75 subjects, 51 men (68%), 24 women (32%)) were normotensive and normoglycaemic patients without detectable renal and cardiovascular alterations. We also evaluated previous history of cardiovascular disease, heart failure and cerebrovascular disease.

Ethical and legal issues. The experimental protocol was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2008) of the World Medical Association, approved by the Institute of Biomedical Research of Salamanca (IBSAL) Ethics Committee and complied with Spanish data protection law (LO 15/1999) and specifications (RD 1720/2007). All participants recruited in the study signed an informed consent.

Anthropometric measurements. We calculated BMI (kg/m²) measuring height with a portable system (Seca 222, Hamburg, Germany) and body weight using a homologated electronic scale (Seca 70; precision \pm 0.1 kg).

Plasma and urine determinations. We measured basal glucose, HbA1c, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, triglycerides, creatinine in plasma, and microal-bumin and creatinine in urine in samples collected in the morning, as we previously described^{7,17,53,54}, after fasting for at least 8 hours, using standard automatic techniques on a blind basis in the Biochemistry laboratory of the University Clinical Hospital, Salamanca (Spain).

Blood pressure determination. We evaluate office BP after three measurements of SBP and DBP with a validated OMRON model M7 sphygmomanometer (Omron Health Care, Kyoto, Japan) following the recommendations of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH)⁵². We calculated SBP, DBP, and pulse pressure with the mean values of the second and third measurements.

Evaluation of peripheral arterial disease. We analyzed the ankle–brachial index (ABI) at $22-24\,^{\circ}$ C in patients refrained from drinking coffee or smoking tobacco for at least 8 h before measurements. With patients lying in supine position resting for 20 min and with feet uncovered, we measured BP in the lower limbs with a portable Doppler system Minidop Es-100Vx (Hadeco Inc, Miyamae-ku Kawasaki, Japan). We calculated ABI for each foot by dividing the higher of the two SBP in the ankle by the higher of the two SBP in the arm. An ankle–brachial index <0.9 is considered pathological 52 .

Determination of left ventricular hypertrophy. We performed electrocardiography (ECG) with a General Electric MAC 3.500 ECG System (Niskayuna, New York, USA) that measures wave voltage and duration and estimates Cornell voltage duration product (VDP)⁵⁵. Left ventricular hypertrophy was defined as a Sokolow-Lyon index > 3.5 mV; RaVL > 1.1 mV, Cornell VDP > 244 mV*ms or RaVL > 1.1 mV⁵².

Determination of pulse wave velocity. We evaluated pulse wave velocity (PWV) with the SphygmoCor System (AtCor Medical Pty Ltd, Head Office, West Ryde, Australia) in the carotid and femoral arteries with patients in supine position measuring the delay with respect to the ECG wave. We obtained distance measurements with a measuring tape from the sternal notch to the carotid and femoral arteries at the sensor location.

Assessment of carotid intima-media thickness. In order to optimize reproducibility, we obtained automated measurements of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) with a Micromax ultrasound device (SonoSite Inc, Bothell, WA) paired with a 5–10 MHz multifrequency high-resolution linear transducer with Sonocal software. We made measurements of the common carotid in a 10 mm longitudinal section at 1 cm from the bifurcation in the proximal wall, and in the distal wall in the lateral, anterior and posterior projections, following an axis perpendicular to the artery in order to discriminate two lines: one for the intima-blood interface and the other for the media-adventitious interface. We obtained average values (average carotid IMT) and maximum values (maximum carotid IMT) automatically calculated by the software from six measurements of both the right and left carotid arteries)⁵⁶. We considered abnormal average IMT if >0.90 mm or in the presence of atherosclerotic plaques with a diameter of 1.5 mm or a focal increase of 0.5 mm or 50% of the adjacent IMT⁵².

Evaluation of renal function. We estimated glomerular filtration rate using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation⁵⁷, the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease-Isotopic Dilution Mass Spectrometry (MDRD-IDMS)⁵⁸ and proteinuria (albumin/creatinine ratio) following the criteria of the 2013 European Society of Hypertension/European Society of Cardiology Guidelines⁵². Subclinical renal

damage is present when glomerular filtration rate is below 30–60 mL/min/1.73 m² or microalbuminuria between 30–300 mg/24 h or albumin–creatinine ratio between 30–300 mg/g, 3.4–34 mg/mmol. We considered renal disease as a glomerular filtration rate $<\!30\,\text{mL/min/1.73}$ m², proteinuria $>\!300\,\text{mg/24}$ h or albumin/creatinine ratio $>\!300\,\text{mg/24}$ h²².

Evaluation of retinopathy. We obtained nasal and temporal images centered on the disk using a Topcon TRC NW 200 non-mydriatic retinal camera (Topcon Europe B.C., Capelle a/d Ijssel, The Netherlands), as we previously described^{17,54,59,60}. We loaded images into our own developed software, AV Index calculator (Registry no. 00/2011/589), which automatically estimates the mean caliber of veins and arteries as an arteriole-venule ratio, arteriovenous index (AVIx). An AVIx of 1.0 suggests that arteriolar and venular diameters in that eye are on average the same, whereas a smaller AVR suggests narrower arterioles. We used the pairs of main vessels in the upper and lower temporal quadrants, and we rejected all other vessels, in order to improve reliability and efficiency of the process, analyzing measures for each quadrant separately and together to estimate the mean measure in each eye.

Cardiovascular risk assessment. We estimated morbidity and mortality cardiovascular risk (CVR) using the 2013 guidelines of the ESH⁵², based on cardiovascular risk factors, BP, asymptomatic organ damage and presence of diabetes, symptomatic cardiovascular disease or chronic kidney disease.

DNA isolation and genotyping. We obtained genomic DNA from peripheral blood leukocytes by the phenol-chloroform method⁶¹. We identified ALK1 rs3847859 and rs2071219 and endoglin rs73739817 and rs10987759 polymorphisms using the allelic discrimination assay with TaqMan probes (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) (Table 1), specific oligonucleotides to amplify the regions containing the polymorphisms and two labelled probes with the fluorochromes VIC and FAM to detect both alleles of each polymorphism⁶². We carried out the reaction with the Universal PCR Master Mix in the Real-Time PCR system of Step-One Plus (Applied Biosystems, Forster, CA, USA). A 5% of random samples were re-genotyped in order to ensure the reproducibility.

Statistical analysis. We used the SPSS v.21.0 software (Armonk, New York, USA) as we previously described⁶³. We used the chi-squared test for each polymorphism in order to test the conformity to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in control group subjects. We analysed associations between the different clinical and molecular qualitative variables by cross tabs and the Pearson X^2 test. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals with a logistic regression model to evaluate the association with the risk to develop the disease. We applied the ANOVA test to compare quantitative variables and the influence of polymorphism distribution in those cases that followed a parametric distribution (Levene's test for homogeneity of variances, p > 0.05). We used the Mann Whitney U test when data followed a non-parametric distribution. We performed a statistical adjustment by sex and the continuous variable of age, and an additional statistical adjustment by HT, diabetes, dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity, tobacco and alcohol consumption, in order to consider confounding variables. The statistical power of the main hypothesis tests, accepting an alpha risk of 0.05 in a bilateral contrast with our sample of 379 subjects, is as follows: In the case of the rs2071219 dominant genotype and medium caliber of retinal arterioles, the statistical power to detect the difference between the mean of the first group (111.5 µm) and that of the second (108.5 µm) is 33%. In the case of the rs2071219 dominant genotype and altered basal glycemia, the statistical power to detect the difference between 19% of the first group and 10% of the second group is 57%, and in the case of the rs3847859 dominant genotype and the heart rate >70 bpm, the statistical power to detect the difference between 36% of the first group and 47% of the second is 55%. We considered statistically significant differences when P-value was < 0.05. The statistical analysis of all correlations between the polymorphisms and the analysed variables that did not show statistically significant differences are shown in the supplementary tables.

Received: 13 December 2019; Accepted: 14 May 2020;

Published online: 10 June 2020

References

- 1. Santulli, G. Epidemiology of Cardiovascular Disease in the 21 st Century: Updated Numbers and Updated Facts. *J. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 1, 1–2 (2013).
- 2. Mancia, G. et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. J. Hypertens. 31, 1281-1357 (2013).
- 3. Vassilaki, M., Linardakis, M., Polk, D. M. & Philalithis, A The burden of behavioral risk factors for cardiovascular disease in Europe. A significant prevention deficit. *Prev. Med. (Baltim)* **81**, 326–32 (2015).
- Ninomiya, T. et al. Albuminuria and Kidney Function Independently Predict Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes in Diabetes. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 20, 1813–1821 (2009).
- 5. Havranek, E. P. et al. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Cardiovascular Mortality by Race and Ethnicity. Am. J. Med. 121, 870–875 (2008)
- Lorenz, M. W., Markus, H. S., Bots, M. L., Rosvall, M. & Sitzer, M. Prediction of Clinical Cardiovascular Events With Carotid Intima-Media Thickness: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Circulation 115, 459–467 (2007).
- 7. Gomez-Marcos, M. A. *et al.* Relationship between target organ damage and blood pressure, retinal vessel calibre, oxidative stress and polymorphisms in VAV-2 and VAV-3 genes in patients with hypertension: A case-control study protocol (LOD- Hipertensión). *BMJ Open* 4 (2014).
- 8. Tikellis, G. et al. Retinal arteriolar narrowing and left ventricular hypertrophy in African Americans. the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Am. J. Hypertens. 21, 352–9 (2008).
- Tanabe, Y. et al. Retinal arteriolar narrowing predicts 5-year risk of hypertension in Japanese people: the Funagata study. Microcirculation 17, 94–102 (2010).

- Wong, T. Y. et al. Associations between the metabolic syndrome and retinal microvascular signs: the Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities study. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 45, 2949–54 (2004).
- 11. Yatsuya, H. et al. Retinal Microvascular Abnormalities and Risk of Lacunar Stroke: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. Stroke 41, 1349–1355 (2010).
- 12. Wong, T. Y. et al. Retinal arteriolar narrowing and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *JAMA* 287, 1153–9 (2002).
- 13. Randell, A. & Daneshtalab, N. Elastin microfibril interface–located protein 1, transforming growth factor beta, and implications on cardiovascular complications. *J. Am. Soc. Hypertens.* 11, 437–448 (2017).
- 14. Jonker, L. TGF-β & Samp; BMP receptors endoglin and ALK1: overview of their functional role and status as antiangiogenic targets. *Microcirculation* 21, 93–103 (2014).
- 15. Hammadah, M. *et al.* Elevated Soluble Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase-1 and Placental-Like Growth Factor Levels Are Associated With Development and Mortality Risk in Heart Failure. *Circ. Heart Fail.* **9**, e002115 (2016).
- Kapur, N. K. et al. Reduced Endoglin Activity Limits Cardiac Fibrosis and Improves Survival in Heart Failure. Circulation 125, 2728–2738 (2012).
- 17. Blázquez-Medela, A. M. et al. Increased plasma soluble endoglin levels as an indicator of cardiovascular alterations in hypertensive and diabetic patients. BMC Med. 8, 86 (2010).
- 18. Jerkic, M. et al. Endoglin regulates cyclooxygenase-2 expression and activity. Circ. Res. 99, 248-56 (2006).
- 19. Jerkic, M. et al. Endoglin regulates nitric oxide-dependent vasodilatation. FASEB J. 18, 609-11 (2004).
- 20. Nachtigal, P., Zemankova (Vecerova), L., Rathouska, J. & Strasky, Z. The role of endoglin in atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* 224, 4–11 (2012).
- 21. Rodríguez-Peña, A. et al. Up-regulation of endoglin, a TGF-beta-binding protein, in rats with experimental renal fibrosis induced by renal mass reduction. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant 16(Suppl 1), 34–9 (2001).
- Séki, T., Yun, J. & Oh, S. P. Arterial Endothelium-Specific Activin Receptor-Like Kinase 1 Expression Suggests Its Role in Arterialization and Vascular Remodeling. Circ. Res. 93, 682–689 (2003).
- 23. González-Núñez, M., Muñoz-Félix, J. M. & López-Novoa, J. M. The ALK-1/Smad1 pathway in cardiovascular physiopathology. A new target for therapy? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1832, 1492–510 (2013).
- Muñoz-Félix, J. M., Perretta-Tejedor, N., Eleno, N., López-Novoa, J. M. & Martínez-Salgado, C. ALK1 heterozygosity increases extracellular matrix protein expression, proliferation and migration in fibroblasts. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res* 1843, 1111–1122 (2014).
- 25. Nakada, T. et al. Genetic Polymorphisms in Sepsis and Cardiovascular Disease. Chest, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.01.003 (2019)
- 26. Selvaraj, S. et al. HFE H63D Polymorphism and the Risk for Systemic Hypertension, Myocardial Remodeling, and Adverse Cardiovascular Events in the ARIC Study. *Hypertension* 73, 68–74 (2019).
- 27. Albert, C. et al. Cubilin Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Variants are Associated with Macroangiopathy While a Matrix Metalloproteinase-9 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Flip-Flop may Indicate Susceptibility of Diabetic Nephropathy in Type-2 Diabetic Patients. Nephron 141, 156–165 (2019).
- 28. Franciscatto, A. C., Ludwig, F. S., Matte, U. S., Mota, S. & Stefani, M. A. Replication Study of Polymorphisms Associated With Brain Arteriovenous Malformation in a Population From South of Brazil. *Cureus* 8, e508 (2016).
- 29. Jiao, Y.-R. et al. 5-HTT, BMPR2, EDN1, ENG, KCNA5 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to pulmonary arterial hypertension: A meta-analysis. Gene 680, 34–42 (2019).
- 30. Pawlikowska, L. et al. Polymorphisms in transforming growth factor-beta-related genes ALK1 and ENG are associated with sporadic brain arteriovenous malformations. Stroke 36, 2278–80 (2005).
- 31. von Hanno, T., Bertelsen, G., Sjølie, A. K. & Mathiesen, E. B. Retinal vascular calibres are significantly associated with cardiovascular risk factors: the Tromsø Eye Study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 92, 40–6 (2014).
- 32. Ogagarue, E. R., Lutsey, P. L., Klein, R., Klein, B. E. & Folsom, A. R. Association of ideal cardiovascular health metrics and retinal microvascular findings: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2, e000430 (2013).
- 33. Triantafyllou, A. *et al.* Association Between Retinal Vessel Caliber and Arterial Stiffness in a Population Comprised of Normotensive To Early-Stage Hypertensive Individuals. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 27, 1472–1478 (2014).
- 34. Van Geest, R. J., Klaassen, I., Vogels, I. M. C., Van Noorden, C. J. F. & Schlingemann, R. O. Differential TGF-{beta} signaling in retinal vascular cells: a role in diabetic retinopathy? *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 51, 1857–65 (2010).
- 35. Akla, N. et al. BMP9 (Bone Morphogenetic Protein-9)/Alk1 (Activin-Like Kinase Receptor Type I) Signaling Prevents Hyperglycemia-Induced Vascular Permeability. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 38, 1821–1836 (2018).
- Li, B. et al. Remodeling Retinal Neovascularization by ALK1 Gene Transfection In Vitro. Investig. Opthalmology Vis. Sci 49, 4553 (2008).
- 37. Thayer, J. F., Yamamoto, S. S. & Brosschot, J. F. The relationship of autonomic imbalance, heart rate variability and cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **141**, 122–131 (2010).
- 38. Chou, Y.-H. *et al.* Heart Rate Variability as a Predictor of Rapid Renal Function Deterioration in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients. *Nephrology*, https://doi.org/10.1111/nep.13514 (2018).
- 39. Böhm, M., Reil, J.-C., Deedwania, P., Kim, J. B. & Borer, J. S. Resting Heart Rate: Risk Indicator and Emerging Risk Factor in Cardiovascular Disease. Am. J. Med. 128, 219–228 (2015).
- 40. Lee, D. H., de Rezende, L. F. M., Hu, F. B., Jeon, J. Y. & Giovannucci, E. L. Resting heart rate and risk of type 2 diabetes: A prospective cohort study and meta-analysis. Diabetes. *Metab. Res. Rev.* e3095, https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.3095 (2018).
- Fernández-Friera, L. et al. Normal LDL-Cholesterol Levels Are Associated With Subclinical Atherosclerosis in the Absence of Risk Factors. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 70, 2979–2991 (2017).
- 42. Reklou, A. et al. Reduction of Vascular Inflammation, LDL-C, or Both for the Protection from Cardiovascular Events? Open Cardiovasc. Med. J. 12, 29–00 (2018).
- 43. Yao, Y., Zebboudj, A. F., Torres, A., Shao, E. & Boström, K. Activin-like kinase receptor 1 (ALK1) in atherosclerotic lesions and vascular mesenchymal cells. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 74, 279–89 (2007).
- 44. Kraehling, J. R. et al. Genome-wide RNAi screen reveals ALK1 mediates LDL uptake and transcytosis in endothelial cells. Nat. Commun. 7, 13516 (2016).
- 45. Vicen, M. et al. Regulation and role of endoglin in cholesterol-induced endothelial and vascular dysfunction in vivo and in vitro. FASEB J. fj.201802245R, https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201802245R (2019).
- 46. Burch, G. E. & DePasquale, N. P. Hematocrit, viscosity and coronary blood flow. Dis. Chest 48, 225-32 (1965).
- 47. Gagnon, D. R., Zhang, T. J., Brand, F. N. & Kannel, W. B. Hematocrit and the risk of cardiovascular disease—the Framingham study: a 34-year follow-up. Am. Heart J. 127, 674–82 (1994).
- 48. Holme, I., Aastveit, A. H., Hammar, N., Jungner, I. & Walldius, G. High blood hemoglobin concentration as risk factor of major atherosclerotic cardiovascular events in 114,159 healthy men and women in the apolipoprotein mortality risk study (AMORIS). *Ann. Med.* 44, 476–86 (2012).
- 49. Kim, M.-Y., Jee, S. H., Yun, J. E., Baek, S. J. & Lee, D.-C. Hemoglobin concentration and risk of cardiovascular disease in Korean men and women the Korean heart study. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 28, 1316–22 (2013).

- 50. Jeney, V., Balla, G. & Balla, J. Red blood cell, hemoglobin and heme in the progression of atherosclerosis. Front. Physiol. 5, 379 (2014).
- 51. Park, S., Sorenson, C. M. & Sheibani, N. PECAM-1 isoforms, eNOS and endoglin axis in regulation of angiogenesis. *Clin. Sci* 129, 217–234 (2015).
- 52. Mancia, G. *et al.* 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *J. Hypertens.* 31, 1281–357 (2013).
- 53. Gamella-Pozuelo, L. et al. Plasma cardiotrophin-1 as a marker of hypertension and diabetes-induced target organ damage and cardiovascular risk. Med. (United States) 94 (2015).
- 54. Gomez-Marcos, M. A. et al. Association between different risk factors and vascular accelerated ageing (EVA study): Study protocol for a cross-sectional, descriptive observational study. BMJ Open 6 (2016).
- Okin, P. M., Roman, M. J., Devereux, R. B. & Kligfield, P. Electrocardiographic identification of increased left ventricular mass by simple voltage-duration products. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 25, 417–23 (1995).
- 56. Gómez-Marcos, M. A. et al. Protocol for measuring carotid intima-media thickness that best correlates with cardiovascular risk and target organ damage. Am. J. Hypertens. 25, 955–61 (2012).
- 57. Levey, A. S. et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann. Intern. Med. 150, 604-12 (2009).
- 58. Levey, A. S. *et al.* A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **130**, 461–70 (1999).
- Blázquez-Medela, A. M. et al. Osteoprotegerin is associated with cardiovascular risk in hypertension and/or diabetes. Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 42 (2012).
- 60. Garcia-Ortiz, L. et al. Peripheral and central arterial pressure and its relationship to vascular target organ damage in carotid artery, retina and arterial stiffness. development and validation of a tool. the Vaso risk study. BMC Public Health 11 (2011).
- 61. Gomez-Sanchez, J. C. et al. The human Tp53 Arg72Pro polymorphism explains different functional prognosis in stroke. J. Exp. Med 208, 429–37 (2011).
- 62. Schleinitz, D., Distefano, J. K. & Kovacs, P. Targeted SNP genotyping using the TaqMan[®] assay. Methods Mol. Biol 700, 77–87 (2011).
- 63. Perretta-Tejedor, N. et al. Association of VAV2 and VAV3 polymorphisms with cardiovascular risk factors. Sci. Rep. 7 (2017).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Instituto de Salud Carlos III: Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, PI15/01055, PI18/00996 and RETICS RD016/0009/0025 (REDINREN), co-funded by FEDER.

Author contributions

M.G.M., N.P.T., R.G.S. and F.J.L.H. performed the experimental work. M.G.M., L.G.O. and M.A.G.M. recruited the patients and performed the anthropometric measurements and the cardiovascular risk analysis. M.G.M., N.P.T. and L.G.O. performed the statistical analysis. C.M.S. designed the study, conceived the experiments, analyzed the results, wrote the manuscript and coordinated the study. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66238-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.M.-S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020