SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Corrected: Author Correction

Received: 25 January 2019 Accepted: 4 April 2019 Published online: 17 April 2019

OPEN Assessment of body adiposity in preterm children at the beginning of school age

Lidia Perenc^{1,2}, Katarzyna Zajkiewicz^{1,2}, Justyna Drzał-Grabiec^{1,2}, Joanna Majewska¹, Barbara Cyran-Grzebyk¹ & Katarzyna Walicka-Cupryś^{1,2}

In Poland, like in other developed countries, 6.3% of babies are born prematurely. Preterm babies suffer from numerous health issues. The aim of the study was to assess body adiposity in preterm children at the beginning of school age. The study population consisted of 61 children aged 5 to 8 years who had been born preterm. We performed standard anthropometric measurements according to internationally recognized methodology. The following parameters were used: Body Weight (BW), Body Heigh (BH), Waist Circumference (WC), Body Mass Index (BMI), Waist to Height Ratio (WtHR), Triceps Skinfold Thickness (TST), Subscapular Skinfold Thickness (SST), Umbilical Skinfold Thickness (UST), as well as total sum of the above parameters, or the Global Adiposity (GA). The anthropometric measurements were taken according to international anthropometric methodology. All anthropometric parameters for body adiposity were significantly lower in the study population than in the reference system. We found a statistically significant relationship between: the number of fetuses and: UST (p = 0.007) and z-score UST (p = 0.030); combined number of unfavorable perinatal events: and UST (p = 0.013) and z-score UST (p = 0.007), GA (p = 0.038) and z-score GA (p = 0.040). Preterm children who are about to start school have significantly lower values of anthropometric features that characterize their body adiposity. In preterm children at early school age number of fetuses diversifies UST; and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events diversifies UST and GA. It is recommended that more studies are conducted on positively oriented modification of body adiposity in these children, as well as its long term monitoring.

Infants born between 22 and 37 weeks gestational age are called preterm or premature¹. Currently, preterm birth rate in Poland is 6.3%. This index is similar to other developed countries $(5-10\%)^2$. In Poland, preterm infants are given coordinated multidisciplinary care for the first three years of their life. The system of coordinated care includes, i.e. monitoring of physical development. The period of three years seems to be too short and it should be extended until the child is about to enter health school readiness phase³. In Poland, school readiness is assessed during one year compulsory pre-school kindergarten programme (the grade "0") or in the first semester of the first class grade of a primary school, at the threshold of school age⁴. In Poland, anthropometric measurements of body weight and body height are used in balanced examinations in pediatrics^{4,5}. One of the positive measurements of physical development is the level and the type of body adiposity. The anthropometric assessment of body adiposity measures thickness of skinfolds usually in these three points: over the triceps brachii muscle, in the umbilicus area, and at the angulus inferior of the scapula, as well as the global adiposity^{6,7}. Body mass index values, related to the reference group, provide the criteria for describing the state of nourishment and its possible disorders – malnutrition and obesity^{8,9}. This is due to the strong correlation between general adiposity and body mass index¹⁰. Anthropometric parameters, such as waist circumference and waist to height ratio, can be used to determine the distribution of adipose tissue^{9,11}. However, densitometry is a more specific method; furthermore, it enables the assessment of body mass composition in children, with the inclusion of adipose tissue. The literature proves that preterm children are at risk of developing obesity in adulthood¹⁰. The group of newborns born prematurely is not uniform in terms of body mass at birth and gestational age. Taking into account the relationship

¹Medical Faculty, Institute of Physiotherapy, University of Rzeszow, Reitana 16c, Rzeszów, 35-959, Poland. ²Centre for Innovative Research in Medical and Natural Sciences, Medical Faculty of University of Rzeszow, Warzywna 1a, Rzeszów, 35-310, Poland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.P. (email: la.perenc(a) gmail.com)

between body mass at birth and gestational age the following can be distinguished: small for gestational age, normal for gestational age, and large for gestational age^{12,13}. Children small for gestational age are known to have disrupted signal generation in response to leptin in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus and reduced number of neurons of the satiety center, which results in increase in the amount of food intake. Adipose tissue in this group of children is programmed for increased proliferation and storage of fats. It is accompanied by changes in various organs associated with prematurity, including kidneys (disturbed nefrogenesis), lungs (limited development of alveoli) and blood vessels¹⁴. Our observations have shown that preterm children have usually poor adiposity development at the beginning of school age. Balanced examination of school readiness of children born prematurely, enriched with the measurements of thickness of three skinfolds and waist circumference, could explain the role of selected perinatal factors (unfavorable perinatal events) in differentiation of the values of some anthropometric parameters describing body adiposity¹⁵.

Aim of the study. The aim of the study was to assess body adiposity in preterm children at the beginning of school age. The main goal of this study was to determine whether such factors as being born from the first or subsequent pregnancy, one- or multi-fetal pregnancy, term and way of delivery, Apgar score at fifth minute of life and birth weight, combined number of unfavorable perinatal events discriminate value of anthropometric parameters characterizing body adiposity (skinfold thickness, global adiposity, body mass index, waist circumference, waist to height ratio) in preterm children assessed before they started school.

Material and Method

Out of 200 prematurely born children hospitalized in the Clinical Regional Hospital No. 2 in Rzeszow invited to the study, 62 children responded positively and 61 completed the full study. Finally the study population consisted of 61 children, aged 5-8 years, who had been born preterm. The study was conducted before the children started school. The significant diversity in the age of the study population resulted from the fact that some of the children were qualified to start school a year earlier, while the others obtained permission to start school a year late (6.38 years, Me = 6 years, s = 0.73). The study population consisted of 29 boys (48%) and 32 girls (52%). We conducted a perinatal questionnaire and learned that the study population were born from pregnancies of various order, e.g. first, second etc. (Table 1A), from either single or multiple pregnancy (Table 1B), of premature delivery (Table 1C), through caesarean section or vaginal delivery (Table 1D). They were born in different health condition (Table 1E) and had different body mass (Table 1F). The birth weight of the examined children was evaluated in relation to the gestational age^{12,13}. The Fenton preterm growth chart was used as the reference system¹³. By plotting weight vs. gestational age, each infant is classified at birth as: Small for Gestational Age (SGA), Normal for Gestational Age (NGA) and Large for Gestational Age (LGA) (Table 1G). They had a different number of unfavorable perinatal events either (Table 1H). This indicator has already been applied¹⁴. Such a detailed collection of data was possible on the basis of an interview with parents and an insight into the Hospital Discharge Summary Reports they brought. Unfortunately, the attempt to collect reliable data on nutrition in infancy failed due to the inability to verify data based on medical records.

The approval to conduct the study was obtained from the Bioethics Commission at the Medical Faculty of the University of Rzeszow (first resolution 7/12/2012, last resolution 6/2/2017). Informed consent was obtained from parents of all participated children. The parents were present during anthropometric examinations of their children which were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The study was conducted in the years 2015–2016 at the Institute of Physiotherapy of the University of Rzeszow and at the Centre for Innovative Research in Medical and Natural Sciences, Medical Faculty of University of Rzeszow.

The assessment of body adiposity based on anthropometric parameters consisted in taking measurements, calculating indices, comparing the obtained data with the reference system^{5,6} and interpreting the obtained results. The anthropometric measurements were taken according to international anthropometric methodology. We measured the following parameters: Body Weight (BW), Body Height (BH), Waist Circumference (WC), Triceps Skinfold Thickness (TST), Subscapular Skinfold Thickness (SST), Umbilical Skinfold Thickness (UST) and obtained Body Mass Index (BMI), Waist to Height Ratio (WtHR), as well as total sum of the these three skinfolds - the Global Adiposity (GA). We used medical scales (kg), anthropometer (cm), anthropometric tape (cm), the body fat caliper - Harpender spring caliper (mm). Measurement of the thickness of skinfolds was made on the right side of the body, three times, and then the arithmetic mean was calculated. The measurements were performed by an experienced pediatrician conducting research in the field of anthropometry^{5,6}. We analyzed the obtained material according to the age groups and gender, along with general norms. The reference system were also the parameters presented by Perenc *et al.* 2016, in accordance with the methodology of this study^{5,6}. We calculated the z-score parameter for each child. Also we categorized nourishment state in reference to the z-score BMI values interpretation of the indices⁸. The value of WtHR index >0.5 was considered as a criterion of abdominal obesity (content of visceral fat in children)⁹. The reference system did not include WC, WtHR^{5,6}, but the indicated value of WtHR is a diagnostic criterion, regardless of gender and age, used in children⁹.

Methods of statistical analysis. We conducted the statistical analysis of the obtained material in the Statictica 10.0 software package by the StatSoft company. We used the W Shapiro-Wilk test to verify if the distribution of the studied variables was normal, and the Student t-test to assess differences in the mean value of the numerical feature in two populations for independent variables, or, alternatively, the non-parametric Mann-Whithey U-test. Also, we used the one-way Anova test to assess differences in mean value of numeric feature in more than two populations, or, alternatively, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis Anova. In order to determine correlations of two variables that did not meet the criterion of normal distribution, we used the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. We used Pearson's chi-squared test to analyze variables that were qualitative data. Statistical significance was p < 0.05.

| A. Sequence of pregnancies | | | N | % | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Child from I st pregnancy | 31 | 51.0 | | | | | | | |
| Child from II nd pregnancy | 15 | 25.0 | | | | | | | |
| Child from III rd pregnancy | 5 | 8.0 | | | | | | | |
| Child from IV th pregnancy | 5 | 8.0 | | | | | | | |
| Child from V th pregnancy | 2 | 3.0 | | | | | | | |
| Child from VI th pregnancy | 3 | 5.0 | | | | | | | |
| B. Number of fetuses | | | N | % | | | | | |
| Unifetal pregnancy | | | 39 | 64.0 | | | | | |
| Twin pregnancy | | | 13 | 21.0 | | | | | |
| Triple pregnancy | 9 | 15.0 | | | | | | | |
| C. Time of delivery (in weeks | N | % | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 2 | 3.0 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 0 | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | 4 | 7.0 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | 6 | 10.0 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | 8 | 13.0 | | | | | |
| 29 | | | 1 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 30 | | | 10 | 16.0 | | | | | |
| 31 | | | 5 | 8.0 | | | | | |
| 32 | | | 23 | 38.0 | | | | | |
| 33 | | | 0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 34 | | | 1 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 35 | 1 | 2.0 | | | | | | | |
| D. Way of delivery | N | % | | | | | | | |
| Natural | 10 | 16.0 | | | | | | | |
| Caesarean section | 51 | 84.0 | | | | | | | |
| E. Assessment in the Apgar so | N | % | | | | | | | |
| 0-3 | 9 | 15.0 | | | | | | | |
| 4-7 | 39 | 64.0 | | | | | | | |
| 8-10 | 13 | 21.0 | | | | | | | |
| F. Body mass at birth | N | % | | | | | | | |
| <750 g | 3 | 5.0 | | | | | | | |
| 750 g- 1000 g | 10 | 16.0 | | | | | | | |
| 1000 g - 1500 g | | | 21 | 34.0 | | | | | |
| 1500 g - 2500 g | | | 26 | 43.0 | | | | | |
| 2500 g- 3500 g | | | 1 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| G. Body mass at birth in relat | tion to gestational age | | N | % | | | | | |
| SGA - body mass at birth relat | 6 | 10.0 | | | | | | | |
| NGA - body mass at birth relat | tion to gestational age $10 \ge$, ≤ 90 |) percentile | 47 | 77.0 | | | | | |
| LGA - body mass at birth relat | 8 | 13.0 | | | | | | | |
| H. Combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (one event scores 1 point): | | | | | | | | | |
| respiratory failure, respiratory distress syndrome: bronchopulmunary displasia, congenital pneumonia, pneumonia, pneumothorax, respiratotheraphy, passive oxygen therapy, hyperbilirubinaemia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, bleeding from respiratory, gastrointestinal, tract/cardiac tamponade, Rhesus incompability in main groups, blood or blood devirative transfusion, exchange transfusion, hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy, periventricular leucomalacia, intraventicular haemorrhage of I-IV degree, epilepsy, convulsions different than in epilepsy, apnea, retinopathy of prematurity, patent ductus arteriosus, TORCH infections, intrauterine infections, sepsis, purulent menangitis, encephalitis, bacterial infection of digestive system, urinary tract infection, necrotizing enterocolitis, gastro-osophageal reflux, hipoglicemy, hipocalcemy, osteopenia of prematurity, intravenous administration of drugs, parenteral feeding, enteral feeding, procedure in general anesthesia. | | | | | | | | | |
| x | Ме | Min | Max | \$ | | | | | |
| 11.93 | 12.00 | 0 | 26 | 5.75 | | | | | |

 Table 1. Characteristics of the examined group.

Results

All collected anthropometric parameters (BW, BH, BMI, TST, SST, UST, GA) were statistically significantly lower in the study population than in the growth reference values (p < 0.05) (Table 2A).

The values that were below zero for \overline{x} and Me - the parameters that characterize the z-score - revealed that the study population in general had lower values for BMI, TST, SST, UST, GA than the reference population (Table 2B).

We categorized nourishment state in reference to the z-score BMI values. 34.5% of the study population had body weight deficiencies (11.5% were malnourished, and 23% were underweight) and 3.2% had body weight excess (1.6% overweight, and 1.6% obesity) (Table 2C). Criterion of abdominal obesity (content of visceral fat in children) was fulfilled at 8% of respondents: one boy with obesity, one girl with overweight, two boys with correct state of nutrition, one girl with correct state of nutrition (Table 2D).

We found a statistically significant relationship between gender and GA (p=0.039). The relationships between gender and TST (p=0.068) and between gender and SST (p=0.054) were close to the statistical significance. The GA value and both skinfold values were higher in females. We found a statistically significant relationship between z-score TST and the gender of the subjects (p=0.007). Girls had values closer to 0 in the values of the growth reference charts. The remaining parameters (BMI, WC, WtHR, z-score BMI, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA) were not significantly different for the two groups (p > 0.05) (Table 2E).

We observed no statistically significant relationships between anthropometric parameters (BMI, WC, WtHR, UST, z-score BMI, z-score UST, z-score GA) and the number of pregnancies ($p \ge 0.05$), yet we noted lower values for third and subsequent pregnancies, close to statistical significance: TST (p = 0.057), SST (p = 0.069) and GA (p = 0.050). The z-score TST relationship (p = 0.084) and z-score STT relationship (p = 0.071) were close to the significance threshold. The values for the first and second delivery were closer to the values from the growth reference charts than those for the third and subsequent deliveries (Table 2F).

There was a statistically significant relationship between UST and number of foetuses (p = 0.007) - the UST parameter decreased with increasing number of foetuses. Post-hoc test (multiple comparisons test) revealed statistically significant differences between the value of this parameter for single and triplet pregnancies (p = 0.005). It did not reveal, however, significant differences between single and twin pregnancies (p = 1.000) or between twin and triplet pregnancies (p = 0.051); though in the latter case the relationship was close to statistical significance. We found that the relationship between GA and the number of foetuses was close to statistical significance threshold (p = 0.056). The most noticeable difference for this parameter was between single pregnancies or twin pregnancies and triplet pregnancies. The relationship between z-score UST and the number of foetuses was statistically significant (p = 0.030). The more foetuses were in a pregnancy, the more the values were different from those for the growth reference system. Post-hoc test (multiple comparisons test) revealed statistically significant differences between the value of this parameter for single and triplet pregnancies (p = 0.027), yet it did not reveal differences between the values for single and twin pregnancies (p = 1.000) and twin and triplet pregnancies (p = 0.104). We found no statistically significant relationships between anthropometric features, such as: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score GA and the number of foetuses ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 3A).

It points out that a relationship was statistically significant for both the number of fetuses and UST (p = 0.007) as well also the number of fetuses and z-score UST (p = 0.030) (Table 3A).

There were no statistically significant relationships between the gestational week of delivery and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 3B).

We did not find statistically significant relationships between collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA and the kind of delivery ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 3C).

We did not find statistically significant relationships between anthropometric parameters: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA and a baby's Apgar score at their 5th minute after birth (p > 0.05) (Table 3D).

Also, there were no statistically significant relationships ($p \ge 0.05$) between birth weight and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA (Table 4A), and between body mass at birth in relation to gestational age: SGA, NGA, LGA and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA (Table 4B).

We found statistically significant relationships between the gestational week of delivery and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (p < 0.001). The lower was the gestational week of delivery, the higher was combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (Table 4C).

We found a statistically significant relationship between UST and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (p = 0.012), GA and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (p = 0.038), z-score UST and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (p = 0.007), z-score GA and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events (p = 0.040). There were no statistically significant relationships between combined number of unfavorable perinatal events and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 4D).

Both the relationship between combined number of unfavorable perinatal events and UST (p = 0.007), as well as GA (p = 0.038) and also combined number of unfavorable perinatal events and z-score UST (p = 0.030), and z-score GA (p = 0.040) were statistically significant (Table 4D).

There was a statistically significant correlation between BMI and WC, WHtR and GA both in boys and girls (p < 0.05) (Table 4E).

Discussion

In both female and male children in the age group from five to eight years, rather stable rate of BMI, TST, SST, UST and GA skinfold growth was observed^{5,6}. It was noted that, statistically, in the examined group of preterm children the absolute values for BMI, thickness of skinfold of TST, SST, UST and GA were significantly lower than in the reference groups. Other studies present that in a group of preterm children aged two, the WC was significantly greater and the BMI was similar to the parameters shown in growth reference charts of the peers.

| A. Comparison of anthropometric parameters of preterm children and reference system | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Variables | Preterm | n children | | | | Reference system | | | | | U Mann-Whitney | |
| | \overline{x} | Me | Min | Max | s | \overline{x} | Me | Min | Max | S | test (Z, p) | |
| BW (kg) | 21.01 | 20.30 | 13.00 | 40.70 | 4.52 | 24.12 | 24.10 | 18.20 | 32.20 | 3.20 | $Z\!=\!-4.69p\!<\!0,\!001$ | |
| BH (cm) | 116.59 | 116.00 | 103.00 | 134.50 | 6.47 | 119.51 | 118.50 | 107.90 | 129.90 | 6.26 | $Z\!=\!-2.21p\!=\!0,\!027$ | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 15.36 | 15.26 | 10.46 | 27.80 | 2.43 | 16.67 | 16.90 | 15.50 | 19.10 | 0.59 | $Z\!=\!-5.38p\!<\!0.001$ | |
| WC (cm) | 53.32 | 53 | 43.00 | 80.00 | 5.58 | _ | _ | — | _ | — | _ | |
| WtHR | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.66 | 0.04 | _ | _ | — | _ | — | _ | |
| TST (mm) | 10.72 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 26.00 | 4.37 | 13.40 | 13.40 | 11.10 | 20.40 | 1.92 | $Z\!=\!-5.09p\!<\!0.001$ | |
| SST (mm) | 7.74 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 23.00 | 3.37 | 8.50 | 8.20 | 6.80 | 12.70 | 1.26 | $Z\!=\!-3.64p\!<\!0.001$ | |
| UST (mm) | 6.74 | 6.00 | 1.00 | 24.00 | 4.21 | 10.49 | 9.80 | 7.70 | 18.40 | 1.91 | $Z\!=\!-6.22p\!<\!0.001$ | |
| GA | 25.20 | 23.00 | 10.00 | 73.00 | 10.78 | 32.39 | 31.40 | 26.10 | 51.50 | 4.96 | Z = -6.22 p < 0.001 | |
| B. Z-score indice | s of anthr | opometric | character | istics | | | | | | | | |
| Variables | | | | <u>x</u> Me | | | | S | | Min | Max | |
| z-score BMI | | | | -0.65 | | -0.68 | | 1.37 | | -4.03 | 6.35 | |
| z-score TST | | | | -0.59 | | -0.79 | | 1.14 | | -3.64 | 2.80 | |
| z-score SST | | | | -0.17 | | -0.29 | | 0.93 | | -1.37 | 4.90 | |
| z-score UST | | | | -0.68 | | -0.75 | | 0.68 | | -2.02 | 2.21 | |
| z-score GA | | | | -0.57 | | -0.67 | | 0.86 | | -1.86 | 3.46 | |
| C. State of nutrit | ion based | on the BM | I z-score i | n the exar | nined gro | up | | D. Criteri | ion of abdo | ominal obesity | based on the value | |
| State of nutrition | ı | Definitio | n | | n (%) | | | of WtHR | in the exam | nined group | | |
| Malnutrition | | z-score B | MI < -1.6 | 4 | 7 (11.5%) |) | | | | | | |
| Underweight | | $-1.64 \ge z$ | -score BN | II < -1 | 14 (23.0%) | | | abdomin | of al obesity | Definition | n (%) | |
| Correct state of n | utrition | $-1 \ge z$ -s | core BMI ≤ | ≤ 1 | 38 (62.3% | 6) | | | | | | |
| Overweight | | 1 > z -sco | re BMI ≤ 1 | 1.64 | 1 (1.6%) | | | Unfulfilled | | $WtHR\!\le\!0.5$ | 57 (92%) | |
| Obesity | | z-score B | MI > 1.64 | 1 (1.6%) | | | Fulfilled WtHR> | | | 5 (8%) | | |
| E. Comparison o | f collected | l anthropo | metric pa | rameters a | according | to gender | | | | | | |
| Variables | | Females | | | Males | | | | II Mann-Whitney test (7 n) | | | |
| variables | | \overline{x} | Me | S | x Me S | | | U Maini- | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | | 15.23 | 15.25 | 2.20 | 15.50 | 15.27 | 2.70 | Z=0.13 p=0.890 | | | | |
| WC (cm) | | 52.39 | 52.25 | 5.08 | 54.33 | 53.00 | 6.00 | Z = -1.04 | p = 0.297 | 0.297 | | |
| WtHR | | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.04 | Z = -0,98 | p = 0,326 | ,326 | | |
| TST (mm) | | 11.50 | 12.00 | 4.465 | 9,86 | 9.00 | 4.18 | Z = 1.82 p | 0.068 | 58 | | |
| SST (mm) | | 8.06 | 8.00 | 2.687 | 7.38 | 6.00 | 4.01 | Z = 1.93 p | 0 = 0.054 | | | |
| UST (mm) | | 7.03 | 6.00 | 4.076 | 6.41 | 6.00 | 4.40 | Z = 0.63 p = 0.527 | | | | |
| GA | | 26.59 | 26.00 | 9.561 | 23.66 | 22.00 | 11.97 | Z=2.05 p=0.039 | | | | |
| z-score BMI | | -0,66 | -0,58 | 1,29 | -0,64 | -0,79 | 1,49 | Z=1,22 p | 0=0,222 | | | |
| z-score TST | | -0.34 | -0.38 | 1.20 | -0.87 | -1.07 | 1.01 | Z = 2.69 p = 0.007 | | | | |
| z-score SST | | -0.19 | -0.08 | 0.68 | -0.15 | -0.52 | 1.16 | Z = 1.07 p = 0.281 | | | | |
| z-score UST | z-score UST -0.78 -0.92 | | -0.92 | 0.68 | -0.57 | -0.73 | 0.68 | Z = -1.42 p = 0.154 | | | | |
| z-score GA | | -0.54 | -0.54 | 0.79 | -0.60 | -0.76 | 0.95 | Z = 1.19 p | 0=0.233 | | | |
| F. Comparison o | f collected | anthropo | metric pa | rameters a | ccording | to sequend | ce of pregr | nancy | | | | |
| Variables | I st pregna | ancy | | II nd preg | nancy | | III rd preg | nancy & n | ext | Anova Kruskal-Wallis test (H | | |
| variables | \overline{x} | Me | \$ | \overline{x} | Me | s | \overline{x} | Me | <u>s</u> | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 15.13 | 15.19 | 1.85 | 16.07 | 15.23 | 3.80 | 15.11 | 15.35 | 1.68 | H = 0.07 p = | 0.694 | |
| WC (cm) | 53.04 | 52.50 | 3.73 | 54.97 | 53.00 | 8.28 | 52.23 | 53.00 | 5.54 | H = 0.44 p = 0.803 | | |
| WtHR | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.04 | H = 0.59 p = | 0.742 | |
| TST (mm) | 11.19 | 11.00 | 4.08 | 11.80 | 10.00 | 4.83 | 8.67 | 8.00 | 4.10 | H = 5.70 p = 0.057 | | |
| SST (mm) | 8.10 | 7.00 | 2.94 | 8.33 | 7.00 | 4.59 | 6.40 | 6.00 | 2.56 | H = 5.32 p = | 0.069 | |
| UST (mm) | 6.90 | 6.00 | 3.59 | 7.67 | 6.00 | 5.54 | 5.47 | 4.00 | 3.85 | H = 4.05 p = 0.131 | | |
| GA | 26.19 | 24.00 | 9.4 | 27.80 | 23.00 | 14.07 | 20.53 | 18.00 | 9.61 | H = 5.96 p = 0.050 | | |
| z-score BMI | -0.78 | -0.74 | 1.19 | -0.18 | -0.57 | 2.02 | -0.85 | -0.72 | 0.85 | H = 0.66 p = 0.718 | | |
| z-score TST | -0.45 | -0.61 | 1.14 | -0.34 | -0.70 | 1.24 | -1.14 | -1.07 | 0.88 | H = 4.94 p = | 0.084 | |
| z-score SST | -0.09 | -0.08 | 0.77 | 0.03 | -0.29 | 1.41 | -0.54 | -0.63 | 0.50 | H = 5.27 p = 0.071 | | |
| z-score UST | -0.63 | -0.73 | 0.61 | -0.52 | -0.74 | 0.91 | -0.96 | -1.00 | 0.52 | H = 3.24 p = 0.197 | | |
| z-score GA | -0.46 | -0.61 | 0.74 | -0.35 | -0.67 | 1.19 | -1.01 | -1.12 | 0.54 | H = 4.56 p = 0.102 | | |

 Table 2. Characteristics of collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity in the examined group (part I).

In children aged five, WC was similar, whereas the BMI was significantly lower. A conclusion has been made that this state indicates an increase of content of visceral fat in preterm children¹¹. What is more, a study on five-year-old preterm children has demonstrated that there is a similarity in GA (based on measurements of ten skinfolds) of extremely premature children (born before 26 weeks gestational age) and children born in the 26th gestational week and later. No difference in visceral fat distribution has been shown by an additional measurement of WC¹⁶. 34.5% of the examined group had body weight deficiencies (11.5% were malnourished, 23.0% were underweight) and 3.2% had body weight excess (1.6% overweight, 1.6% obesity). Criterion of abdominal obesity (content of visceral fat in children) was fulfilled in 8.0% of respondents. A strong correlation between BMI and WC, WHtR and GA was found in examined boys and girls.

Statistically significant correlation was shown between gender and GA, and z-score TST. In females the results were closer to the values of the reference charts. The fact is, that the appearance of excessive adipose tissue in groups of children and adolescents depends both on the age and gender. For example, in Portugal it was observed that in children over nine years of age obesity increased in females and decreased in males¹⁷. In another study, not only anthropometric methods, but also densitometry was used to find a contradictory relationship. Preterm born adults, particularly male, presented with significantly increased fat content, distribution of which pointed to abdominal obesity. Similarly, disadvantageous location of adipose tissue was then found in their children born on time. At the same time, the study has proven the influence of preterm birth of a parent on obesity in their child¹⁰. It has also been revealed that higher risk of obesity generally occurs in preterm children or children with macrosomia, whose mothers had been diagnosed with obesity¹⁸. These examples present the influence of paragenetic and genetic factors on the process of ontogenesis¹⁹.

The way in which z-score TST and z-score SST were related to the number of pregnancy, was close to statistical significance. Lower values of such parameters were obtained in children from the third and subsequent deliveries. Furthermore, it has been shown that the number of pregnancies, but also the mother's age, education, kind of her occupation and material status are the factors which influence her dietary habits²⁰. As it has been found, subsequent children (born on time) appear to have tendency to be larger in size¹⁹. Moreover, women born from first pregnancies present greater risk of obesity than their sisters from subsequent pregnancies²¹. Higher likelihood of obesity is also characteristic of daughters from first pregnancies of obese mothers. These examples emphasise the need of educational strategies aimed at generations to curb the obesity cycle which happens repeatedly²².

As it has been found, body sizes of 20.0% of children from multiple pregnancies were lower than the body sizes of the children from single pregnancies²³. Our study revealed that significantly lower UST and z-score UST appeared in preterm children from multiple pregnancies.

In children from caesarean sections, a greater risk of developing obesity in preschool age was revealed²⁴. In our study, no correlation was found between gestational week of delivery or the kind of preterm delivery, and BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA at the beginning of school age.

We did not find statistically significant relationships between anthropometric parameters: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA and a baby's Apgar score at their 5th minute after birth. The results of the questionnaire on the indicative study of motor and psychosocial development in terms of school readiness are differentiated by the post-natal Apgar score (in the areas of child's functioning – high motor skills, visual-motor coordination, memory and total score) in examined group. The lower baby's Apgar score at their 5th minute after birth, the lower results of the questionnaire¹⁵.

Also, there were no statistically significant relationships ($p \ge 0.05$) between birth weight and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA (Table 4A), and between body mass at birth in relation to gestational age: SGA, NGA, LGA and collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity: BMI, WC, WtHR, TST, SST, UST, GA, z-score BMI, z-score TST, z-score SST, z-score UST, z-score GA. The results of the questionnaire on the indicative study of motor and psychosocial development in terms of school readiness are differentiated by birth weight (in terms of hand-and-eye coordination) in this study group. The lower the birth weight, the lower results of the questionnaire¹⁵. The fact is, that the activity of fat tissue is reflected by adipokines concentration in blood. Preterm birth and low birth weight, in relation to gestational age, in children from both single and multiple pregnancies, are connected with lower adiponectin value²⁵. As it is known, adiponectin enhances insulin sensitivity, resistin increases insulin resistance, and leptin has anorexigenic activity²⁶.

There is also a connection between the energy intake and expenditure. Adipose tissue development is stimulated by excessive consumption of energy rich food²⁷. There is a relationship between lowered general function and increased skinfold thickness (in particular, on the trunk), in preschool children²⁸. On the contrary, it has been revealed that body adiposity is decreased by increasing physical activity in children from eight to twelve years of age²⁹. The necessity of introduction of dietary supplements appears in children with low birth weight, malnutrition and delayed growth process, followed by the brain growth and neurodevelopmental deficits. Along with increased growth process, introduction of dietary supplements causes increase in visceral fat, and, in consequence, higher risk of metabolic and cardiovascular complications. The mechanism of this effect is connected to secondary hyperinsulinemia, to increased insulin resistance and down regulation of β_3 -adipocyte adrenoceptor³⁰. Also, careful observation of body mass is crucial during the introduction of dietary intervention in preterm children³¹. Our study did not analyze the relationship between infant nutrition, diet and physical activity and collected anthropometric parameters. In the United Kingdom, and numerous other countries, it is recognised that educative actions should be performed in families with preschool children, to level socioeconomic differences and in order to properly maintain the nutritional status of these children³².

It has been found that at their 30th month of age preterm children with high medical risks had significantly lower body height and head circumference and they are at risk for intraventricular hemorrage grade III or IV, periventricular leukomalacia, and bronchopulmunary dysplasia, more often than children born on time with

| A. Comparison of collected parameters according to number of fetuses | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Unifetal p | oregnancy | | Twin pres | gnancy | | Triple pre | egnancy | | Anova Kruskal | |
| Variables | \overline{x} Me | | s x | | Me s | | \overline{x} Me | | s | Wallis test (H, p) | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 15.67 | 15.27 | 2.62 | 14.73 | 15.26 | 2.32 | 14.89 | 15.03 | 1.54 | H = 0.78 p = 0.674 | |
| WC (cm) | 54.24 | 53.00 | 6.22 | 51.97 | 52.50 | 3.77 | 51.28 | 52.00 | 4.12 | H = 1.87 p = 0.392 | |
| WtHR | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.04 | H = 0.30 p = 0.859 | |
| TST (mm) | 10.87 | 10.00 | 4.58 | 11.54 | 12.00 | 4.29 | 8.89 | 8.00 | 3.33 | H = 3.04 p = 0.218 | |
| SST (mm) | 8.21 | 7.00 | 3.57 | 7.54 | 7.00 | 3.43 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 1.58 | H = 4.40 p = 0.110 | |
| UST (mm) | 7.51 | 6.00 | 4.65 | 6.54 | 6.00 | 3.18 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 1.12 | H = 9.80 p = 0.007 | |
| GA | 26.59 | 23.00 | 11.70 | 25.62 | 24.00 | 9.52 | 18.56 | 18.00 | 5.20 | H = 5.73 p = 0.056 | |
| z-score BMI | -0.46 | -0.68 | 1,39 | -1.07 | -0,60 | 1,50 | -0.88 | -0.86 | 1,05 | H = 0.76 p = 0.680 | |
| z-score TST | -0.67 | -0.79 | 1.08 | -0.15 | 0.03 | 1.28 | -0.88 | -1.35 | 1.15 | H = 3.55 p = 0.168 | |
| z-score SST | -0.11 | -0.26 | 0.96 | -0.12 | -0.29 | 1.07 | -0.51 | -0.63 | 0.50 | H = 2.13 p = 0.343 | |
| z-score UST | -0.59 | -0.73 | 0.70 | -0.61 | -0.73 | 0.68 | -1.21 | -1.18 | 0.40 | H = 6.96 p = 0.030 | |
| z-score GA | -0.54 | -0.67 | 0.87 | -0.35 | -0.54 | 0.95 | -1.00 | -1.12 | 0.55 | H = 3.60 p = 0.165 | |
| B. Dependence between collected anthropometric parameters and time of delivery | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | Variable | pairs | 1 | | | | / | | R | Р | |
| | BMI vs w | eek of deliv | erv | | | | | | -0.07 | 0 570 | |
| | WC vs we | ek of deliv | erv | | | | | | -0.02 | 0.626 | |
| | WtHR ve | week of del | iverv | | | | | | 0.02 | 0.020 | |
| | TCT NO W | alr of dolin | | | | | | | 0.04 | 0.228 | |
| | 151 vs we | olt of doling | ery | | | | | | 0.12 | 0.328 | |
| Spearman's rank | JUCT | | ery | | | | | | -0.05 | 0.812 | |
| correlation | USI vs we | eek of deliv | very | | | | | | | 0.4/8 | |
| | GA vs we | ek of delive | | 0.72 | 0.468 | | | | | | |
| | z-score BMI vs week of delivery | | | | | | | | | 0.921 | |
| | z-score TST vs week of delivery | | | | | | | | | 0.202 | |
| | z-score SST vs week of delivery | | | | | | | | | 0.962 | |
| z-score UST vs week | | | of delivery | | | | | | 0.14 | 0.268 | |
| | z-score G | A vs week o | of delivery | | | | | | 0.14 | 0.278 | |
| C. Comparison of collected anthropometric parameters according to way of delivery | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variable | | | Natural Caesarean section | | | | | | U Mann-Whitney test (U, p) | | |
| variable | | | \overline{x} | Me | \$ | \overline{x} | Me | S | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | | 15.68 | 15.25 | 1.70 | 15.29 | 15.26 | 2.56 | U = 236.0 p = 0.722 | | | |
| WC (cm) | | 53.57 | 53.00 | 4.04 | 53.26 | 53.00 | 5.86 | U = 235.0 p = 0.708 | | | |
| WtHR | | | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.04 | U = 237.0 p = 0.737 | | |
| TST (mm) | | | 10.80 | 10.50 | 2.82 | 10.71 | 10.00 | 4.64 | U=237.0 p=0.737 | | |
| SST (mm) | | | 8.50 | 8.00 | 3.10 | 7.59 | 7.00 | 3.43 | U = 195.0 p = 0.250 | | |
| UST (mm) | | | 7.80 | 6.00 | 4.13 | 6.53 | 6.00 | 4.23 | U = 204.0 p = 0.330 | | |
| GA | | | 27.10 | 24.50 | 8.92 | 24.82 | 23.00 | 11.15 | U = 210.5 p = 0.391 | | |
| z-score BMI | | | -0.54 | -0.66 | 0.63 | -0.67 | -0.68 | 1.48 | U = 230.0 p = 0.638 | | |
| z-score TST | | | -0.72 | -0.70 | 0.50 | -0.57 | -0.84 | 1.23 | U = 253.5 p = 0.977 | | |
| z-score SST | | | -0.22 | -0.27 | 0.60 | -0.16 | -0.29 | 0.99 | U=250.5 | p=0.931 | |
| z-score UST | | | -0.60 | -0.73 | 0.52 | -0.70 | -0.82 | 0.71 | U=226.5 | p=0.584 | |
| z-score GA | | | -0.60 | -0.65 | 0.54 | -0.56 | -0.67 | 0.91 | U = 239.0 p = 0.766 | | |
| D. Comparison of collected anthropometric | | | etric paran | arameters according to the Apgar scale at the fifth mint | | | | | te of life | | |
| | 0-3 pts. | | _ | 4-7 pts. | | | 8-10 pts. | | | Anova Kruskal- | |
| Variable | \overline{x} | Me | s | x | Me | \$ | \overline{x} | Me | s | Wallis test (H, p) | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 15.26 | 15.75 | 2.12 | 15.59 | 15.27 | 2.50 | 14.73 | 14.60 | 2.50 | H = 1.70 p = 0.425 | |
| WC (cm) | 52.72 | 52.00 | 3.71 | 53.73 | 53.00 | 5.80 | 52.50 | 54.00 | 6.20 | H = 0.53 p = 0.766 | |
| WtHR | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.05 | H = 1.72 p = 0.422 | |
| TST (mm) | 10.85 | 9.00 | 4.74 | 9.10 | 10.00 | 3.77 | 11.93 | 10.00 | 4.38 | H = 3.44 p = 0.178 | |
| SST (mm) | 8.15 | 7.00 | 3.29 | 7.05 | 7.00 | 2.42 | 8.07 | 7.00 | 4.02 | H = 0.55 p = 0.759 | |
| UST (mm) | 7.00 | 6.00 | 4.49 | 5.95 | 5.00 | 2.42 | 7.22 | 6.00 | 5.12 | H=0.11 p=0.944 | |
| GA GA | 26.00 | 21.00 | 11.78 | 22.10 | 23.00 | 6.96 | 27.22 | 24.00 | 12.44 | H = 1.51 p = 0.469 | |
| z-score RMI | -0.77 | -0.55 | 1 33 | _0.51 | -0.64 | 1.37 | _1.00 | -0.84 | 1 46 | H = 1.16 p = 0.550 | |
| Z-score TCT | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.99 | -0.69 | -0.84 | 1.00 | -0.76 | -1.02 | 1 31 | H = 4.20 p = 0.117 | |
| | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.20 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 1.09 | -0.70 | -1.05 | 0.55 | H = 2.22 p = 0.117 | |
| | -0.20 | -0.06 | 0.42 | -0.05 | -0.29 | 1.09 | -0.51 | -0.52 | 0.55 | 11 = 2.25 p = 0.327 | |
| z-score US1 | -0.67 | -0./3 | 0.59 | -0.66 | -0./6 | 0.74 | -0./6 | -0.92 | 0.59 | n = 0.34 p = 0.842 | |
| z-score GA | -0.35 | -0.28 | 0.49 | -0.55 | -0.67 | 0.94 | -0.77 | -0.91 | 0.81 | H = 4.59 p = 0.100 | |

Table 3. Characteristics of collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity in the examined group (part II).

| A. Comparison collected anthropometric parameters according to body mass at birth | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| | <1500 g | | | 1500-2500 g | | | 2500 g< | | | A nova Knuckal | |
| Variables | \overline{x} | Me | \$ | \overline{x} | Me | s | \overline{x} | Me | s | Wallis test (H, p) | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 15.03 | 14.93 | 1.72 | 14.95 | 15.34 | 1.57 | 15.83 | 15.43 | 3.16 | H = 0.79 p = 0.671 | |
| WC (cm) | 52.60 | 52.00 | 4.53 | 52.17 | 52.50 | 3.90 | 54.56 | 53.50 | 6.91 | H = 1.58 p = 0.453 | |
| WtHR | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.06 | H = 0.78 p = 0.453 | |
| TST (mm) | 12.89 | 13.00 | 3.79 | 10.54 | 10.00 | 4.47 | 9.77 | 9.00 | 4.28 | H = 3.78 p = 0.150 | |
| SST (mm) | 7.78 | 7.00 | 2.39 | 8.05 | 7.00 | 3.80 | 6.77 | 6.00 | 2.42 | H = 1.26 p = 0.531 | |
| UST (mm) | 7.56 | 5.00 | 4.07 | 6.64 | 6.00 | 4.39 | 6.46 | 5.00 | 3.97 | H = 0.41 p = 0.813 | |
| GA | 28.22 | 28.00 | 8.27 | 25.23 | 23.00 | 11.66 | 23.00 | 22.00 | 9.65 | H = 3.59 p = 0.166 | |
| z-score BMI | -0.98 | -0.90 | 0.87 | -0.73 | -0.67 | 1.01 | -0.43 | -0.60 | 1.77 | H = 2.00 p = 0.366 | |
| z-score TST | -0.83 | -1.03 | 0.68 | -0.98 | -0.70 | 1.12 | -0.17 | -0.84 | 1.22 | H = 2.99 p = 0.224 | |
| z-score SST | -0.34 | -0.29 | 0.52 | -0.26 | -0.28 | 0.59 | -0.02 | -0.52 | 1.25 | $H\!=\!0.05p\!=\!0.971$ | |
| z-score UST | -0.78 | -0.92 | 0.58 | -0.72 | -0.82 | 0.44 | -0.61 | -0.73 | 0.88 | H = 0.52 p = 0.769 | |
| z-score GA | -0.77 | -0.76 | 0.60 | -0.74 | -0.67 | 0.56 | -0.34 | -0.67 | 1.09 | $H\!=\!1.74p\!=\!0.417$ | |
| B. Comparison of collected anthropometric parameters to SGA, NGA, LGA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SGA | | | NGA | | | LGA | | | Anova Kruskal- | |
| Variables | \overline{x} | Me | 5 | x | Me | s | \overline{x} | Me | s | Wallis (H, p) | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 13.88 | 14.00 | 0.97 | 15.46 | 15.27 | 2.59 | 15.85 | 15.91 | 1.64 | H = 4.28 p = 0.117 | |
| WC (cm) | 49.83 | 50.00 | 6.05 | 53.54 | 53.00 | 5.47 | 54.64 | 53.25 | 5.62 | H = 2.38 p = 0.302 | |
| WtHR | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.05 | H = 3.47 p = 0.176 | |
| TST (mm) | 8.50 | 8.00 | 3.33 | 10.77 | 10.00 | 4.51 | 12.13 | 10.00 | 3.98 | H = 3.04 p = 0.218 | |
| SST (mm) | 6.17 | 7.00 | 1.72 | 7.83 | 7.00 | 3.55 | 8.38 | 8.00 | 3.16 | H = 1.94 p = 0.378 | |
| UST (mm) | 6.50 | 6.50 | 1.87 | 6.55 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 8.00 | 8.50 | 3.89 | H = 3.05 p = 0.217 | |
| GA | 21.17 | 23.50 | 5.23 | 25.15 | 23.00 | 11.41 | 28.50 | 27.00 | 9.77 | H = 2.15 p = 0.117 | |
| z-score BMI | -1.43 | -1.07 | 1.58 | -0.58 | -0.67 | 1.45 | -0.46 | -0.45 | 1.04 | H = 4.20 p = 0.122 | |
| z-score TST | -1.11 | -1.16 | 1.06 | -0.59 | -0.70 | 1.12 | -0.22 | -0.85 | 1.27 | H = 1.98 p = 0.370 | |
| z-score SST | -0.54 | -0.42 | 0.57 | -0.17 | -0.29 | 0.98 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.83 | H = 2.29 p = 0.317 | |
| z-score UST | -0.67 | -0.79 | 0.33 | -0.74 | -0.88 | 0.72 | -0.37 | -0.42 | 0.66 | H = 3.53 p = 0.171 | |
| z-score GA | -0.89 | -0.74 | 0.61 | -0.59 | -0.70 | 0.88 | -0.23 | -0.51 | 0.89 | H = 2.51 p = 0.285 | |
| C. Comparison between collected anthropometric parameters and time of delivery | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spearman's rank | correlation | n coefficien | t | | | | | | R | Р | |
| Variable pairs: co | mbined nu | mber of unf | avorable | perinatal ev | ents vs time | of delivery | | | -0.71 | p < 0.001 | |
| D. Comparison l | petween col | llected anth | ropome | ric parame | ters and nu | mber of unf | avorable p | erinatal eve | nts | | |
| | Variable p | oairs | | | | | | | R | Р | |
| | BMI vs co | mbined number of unfavorable perinatal events | | | | | | | | 0.621 | |
| | WC vs cor | nbined nun | -0.07 | 0.589 | | | | | | | |
| | WtHR vs o | combined n | -0.06 | 0.622 | | | | | | | |
| | TST vs coi | mbined nur | nber of u | -0.15 | 0.242 | | | | | | |
| Spearman's SST vs combined number of unfavorable perinatal events | | | | | | | | -0.24 | 0.062 | | |
| rank correlation UST vs combined number of unfavorable perinatal events | | | | | | | -0.32 | 0.012 | | | |
| coefficient | GA vs con | nbined num | -0.27 | 0.038 | | | | | | | |
| | z-score BN | AI vs combi | -0.05 | 0.688 | | | | | | | |
| | z-score TS | T vs combi | -0.10 | 0.447 | | | | | | | |
| | z-score SS | T vs combii | -0.19 | 0.149 | | | | | | | |
| | z-score US | ST vs combi | -0.34 | 0.007 | | | | | | | |
| z-score GA vs combined number of unfavorable perinatal events | | | | | | | -0.26 | 0.040 | | | |
| E. Correlation of WC, WHtR, GA and BMI in boys and girls from the examined group | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boys in the examined group Girls in the examined group | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (R, p) Pearson's linear correlation (r, p) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variables | | BMI | | | | Variables | BMI | | | | |
| | | R | | р | | | R | | р | | |
| WC | | 0.57 | | 0.001 | | WC | 0.83 | | < 0.001 | | |
| WtHR | | 0.54 | | 0.002 | | WtHR | 0.80 | | < 0.001 | | |
| GA | | 0.56 | | 0.001 | | GA | 0.72 | | <0.001 | | |

Table 4. Characteristics of collected anthropometric parameters related to body adiposity in the examined group (part III).

low medical risk³³. We found statistically significant relationships between the gestational week of delivery and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events. The lower was the gestational week of delivery, the higher was combined number of unfavorable perinatal events. In addition, a statistically significant relationship was detected between UST, z-score UST, GA, z-score GA and combined number of unfavorable perinatal events in preterm children at the beginning of school age.

The value of the study is the number of parameters we analyzed. So far, there has not been a study that would analyze all these parameters simultaneously. Also, a significant value of the study is the fact that it was conducted on preterm children as they were entering school age. Until now, most of such studies were conducted on preterm children by the age of three. The limitation of the study is relatively small study population.

Conclusions

- 1. Preterm children who are about to start school have significantly lower values of anthropometric features that characterize their body adiposity.
- 2. In preterm children at the beginning of school age: number of fetuses diversifies UST; combined number of unfavorable perinatal events diversifies UST and GA.
- 3. It is recommended that more studies are conducted on positively oriented modification of body adiposity in these children, as well as its long term monitoring.

References

- 1. Savitz, D. A. *et al.* Should spontaneous and medically indicated preterm births be separated for studying aetiology? *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **19**, 97–105 (2005).
- Durlak, W. & Kwinta, P. Odległe następstwa wcześniactwa związane z układem oddechowym (Long-term consequences of prematurity related to respiratory system). Pediatr Dypl 1, 28–52 (2017).
- 3. Łapiensis, M. & Borszewska-Kornacka, M. Pytania do eksperta: Neonatologia (Questions to an expert: Neonatology). Med Prakt Pediatr 6, 102 (2015).
- 4. Oblacińska, A. & Jodkowska, M. ABC badań bilansowych w pediatrii. Bilans zdrowia dzieci w wieku 5 lat oraz dzieci objętych rocznym obowiązkowym przygotowaniem przedszkolnym (ABC of the balanced examinations in pediatrics. Health balance of 5-year-old children and children included into kindergarten preparatory program). Med Prakt Pediatr 6, 92–102 (2014).
- Perenc, L., Radochońska, A. & Błajda, J. Somatic growth in children and adolescents from Rzeszów, aged 4–18, and its variability over the thirty-five year period from 1978/79 to 2013/14. *Medical Review* 14(3), 244–265, https://doi.org/10.15584/medrev.2016.3.1 (2016).
- Perenc, L., Radochońska, A. & Błajda, J. Development of body adiposity in children and adolescents from Rzeszow, and its variability over 35 years. *Medical Review* 14(1), 27–47, https://doi.org/10.15584/medrev.2016.1.3 (2016).
- Umławska, W., Krzyżanowska, M., Zielińska, A. & Sands, D. Ocena stanu odżywienia oraz czynności płuc dzieci i młodzieży z mukowiscydozą (Nutritional status and pulmonary function in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis). Pediatr Endocrinol 4, 137–142 (2012).
- Perenc, L., Przysada, G. & Trzeciak, J. Cerebral palsy in children as a risk factor for malnutrition. Ann Nutrit Metabol 4, 224–232, https://doi.org/10.1159/000431330 (2015).
- Szadkowska, A. et al. Częstość występowania otyłości i otyłości brzusznej u nastolatków z cukrzycą typu 1 w aspekcie różnych kryteriów ich rozpoznania (Prevalence of obesity and abdominal obesity in type 1 diabetic adolescents according to the different diagnostic criteria). Przegl Pediatr 41(4), 159–164 (2011).
- Mathai, S. *et al.* Increased Adiposity in Adults Born Preterm and Their Children. *Plos One* 8(11), e81840, https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0081840 (2013).
- 11. Roswall, J. *et al.* Preschool children born moderately preterm have increased waist circumference at two years of age despite low body mass index. *Acta Pædiatr* **101**, 1175–1181, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2012.02819.x (2012).
- 12. Jasińska, E. A. & Wasiluk, A. Wewnątrzmaciczne ograniczenie wzrastania płodu (IUGR) jako problem kliniczny. Perinatol Neonatol Ginekol 3(4), 255–261 (2010).
- 13. www.ucalgary.ca/fenton/2013chart.
- Yee, J. K. et al. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma modulation and lipogenic response in adipocytes of small-forgestational age offspring. Nutr Metab 9(1), 62, https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-7075-9-62 (2012).
- Perenc, L., Cyran-Grzebyk, B., Zajkiewicz, K. & Walicka-Cupryś, K. Diversification of results of orientating questionnaire of motoric and psycho-social development in regard to the level of educational maturity (school readiness) in prematurely born children. *Eur J Clin Exp Med* 16(4), 289–299, https://doi.org/10.15584/ejcem.2018.4.5 (2018).
- Kytnarova, J. et al. Post-natal growth of 157 children born as extremely premature neonates. J Paediatr Child H 47, 111–116, https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1754.2010.01897.x (2011).
- Leitaő, R. et al. Changes in adiposity status from childhood to adolescence: A 6-year longitudinal study in Portuguese boys and girls. Ann Hum Biol 38, 520–528 (2011).
- Li, P. et al. Nutritional status and risk factors of overweight and obesity for children aged 9–15 years in Chengdu, Southwest China. BMC Public Health 12, 636, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-636 (2012).
- 19. Janiszewska, R. Mothers' age and succession of childbirths vs. newborns' somatic features. *Hygeia Public Health* **46**(2), 261–265 (2011).
- Janiszewska, R. Praca zawodowa matki i poziom jej wykształcenia a cechy somatyczne noworodków (Professional activity and level of education of mother and somatic features of newborns). Probl Hig Epidemiol 88(1), 100–102 (2007).
- Derraik, J. G. B., Ahlsson, F., Lundgren, M., Jonsson, B. & Cutfield, W. S. First-borns have greater BMI and are more likely to be overweight or obese: a study of sibling pairs among 26,812 Swedish women. J Epidemiol Comm Health, https://doi.org/10.1136/ jech-2014-205368 (2015).
- Derraik, J. G. B., Ahlsson, F., Diderholm, B. & Lundgren, M. Obesity rates in two generations of Swedish women entering pregnancy, and associated obesity risk among adult daughters. Sci Rep 5, 16692, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16692 (2015).
- Chlebna-Sokół, D., Ligenza, I., Michałus, I. & Haładaj, K. Health problems in children born from multiple pregnancies. *Pediatr Pol* 82(12), 946–950 (2007).
- 24. Huh, S. Y. *et al.* Delivery by caesarean section and risk of obesity in preschool age children: a prospective cohort study. *Arch Dis Child* 7, 610–616, https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2011-301141 (2012).
- Yeung, E. H. et al. Newborn Adipokines and Birth Outcomes. Paediatr Perinat Ep 29, 317–325, https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12203 (2015).
- 26. Skowrońska, B., Fichna, M. & Fichna, P. The role of adipose tissue in the endocrine system. Endokrynol Otyłość 1(3), 21–29 (2005).

- Grzywacz, R. Wybrane aspekty występowania nadwagi i otyłości u dzieci i młodzieży szkolnej (Selected aspects of prevalence of overweight and obesity in school youth). Med Rodz 2, 64–69 (2014).
- Sedlak, P. et al. Secular Changes of Adiposity and Motor Development in Czech Preschool Children: Lifestyle Changes in Fifty-Five Year Retrospective Study. Biomed Res Int 823841, 1–9 (2015).
- Telford, R. D. et al. Determinants of Childhood Adiposity: Evidence from the Australian LOOK Study. PLoS ONE 7, e50014, https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050014 (2012).
- 30. Yeung, M. Y. Postnatal growth, neurodevelopment and altered adiposity after preterm birth from a clinical nutrition perspective. *Acta Pædiatr* **5**, 909–917, https://doi.org/10.1080/08035250600724507 (2006).
- Ong, K. K. et al. Postnatal growth in preterm infants and later health outcomes: a systematic review. Acta Pædiatr 104, 974–986 (2015).
- 32. Howe, L. D. et al. Socioeconomic disparities in trajectories of adiposity across. Int J Pediatr Obes 6, 144-153 (2011).
- Morris, B. H. et al. Patterns of Physical and Neurologic Development in Preterm Children. J Perinatol, 22, 31–36, https://doi.org/10 .3109/17477166.2010.500387 (2002).

Author Contributions

L.P. wrote the main manuscript text, performed the research study, and K.Z. wrote the main manuscript text; J.D.-G. and J.M. performed the research study; B.C.-G. performed the statistical analysis; K.W.-C. prepared Tables 1–4.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019