SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 22 August 2018 Accepted: 29 November 2018 Published online: 24 January 2019

OPEN Novel pseudo-aspartic peptidase from the midgut of the tick Rhipicephalus microplus

S. Lu¹, L. F. Parizi², R. J. S. Torquato¹, I. S. Vaz Junior ^{2,3,4} & A. S. Tanaka^{1,4}

The characterization of Rhipicephalus microplus tick physiology can support efforts to develop and improve the efficiency of control methods. A sequence containing a domain with similarity to one derived from the aspartic peptidase family was isolated from the midgut of engorged female R. microplus. The lack of the second catalytic aspartic acid residue suggest that it may be a pseudoaspartic peptidase, and it was named RmPAP. In this work we confirm the lack of proteolytic activity of RmPAP and investigate it's non-proteolytic interaction with bovine hemoglobin by Surface Plasmon Resonance and phage display. Moreover we carried out RNAi interference and artificial feeding of ticks with anti-RmPAP antibodies to assess it's possible biological role, although no changes were observed in the biological parameters evaluated. Overall, we hypothesize that RmPAP may act as a carrier of hemoglobin/heme between the tick midgut and the ovaries.

Ticks are recognized worldwide as major vectors for several pathogens, including arboviruses, rickettsiae, spirochaetes and parasitic protozoa, that can infect humans and livestock animals¹. Rhipicephalus microplus is an exclusive bovine ectoparasite that is responsible for losses, estimated in 7.11 USD billions in Brazil². Tick control traditionally involves the use of acaricides, which has several drawbacks, including environmental contamination and the development of resistant populations^{3,4}. Vaccination could be used as an alternative method of control, but the discovery of protective antigens remains a challenge⁵.

Aspartic peptidases are characterized by the presence of two aspartic catalytic residues⁶ and, in ticks, they are mostly associated with protein degradation^{7,8}. In *R. microplus*, three aspartic peptidases have been identified and characterized. The Tick Heme-Binding Aspartic Proteinase (THAP) is purified from eggs and is able to bind to heme, which can modulate its proteolytic activity towards hemoglobin and vitellin^{9,10}. The Boophilus microplus aspartic peptidase (BmAP) is found in the tick midgut, and its activity towards bovine hemoglobin has been demonstrated. Moreover, the degradation of hemoglobin by BmAP appears to produce hemocidins that can play a role in pathogen control¹¹. Finally, the native Boophilus Yolk-pro cathepsin (BYC) is purified from tick eggs and is capable of degrading hemoglobin and vitellin, despite the lack of a second aspartic catalytic residue¹²⁻¹

Enzymes are currently classified into families based on their inclusion of catalytic residues, the reaction that they catalyze and their molecular structure archetype¹⁵. Interestingly, an increasing number of sequences that are similar to enzymes but lack key catalytic residues have been identified^{16,17} and are currently known as "dead enzymes" or "pseudoenzymes". Pseudoenzymes appear to be widely conserved and have been found in more than 20 different protein families among several organisms^{18,19}. Although there has been no formal analysis of the evolution of pseudoenzymes to date, it is believed that such molecules emerge via gene duplication followed by the mutation of the key residues in the cognate enzyme^{20,21}. Despite the loss of their characteristic enzymatic activity, pseudoenzymes have emerged as important proteins that act as allosteric regulators of active enzymes²², signal integrators^{23,24} and as regulators of protein trafficking²⁵. Most biochemical studies of pseudoenzymes have been carried out in *Drosophila* or mammals^{26–28}. In this study, we characterized a novel pseudo-aspartic peptidase from the tick R. microplus, RmPAP that lacks the second catalytic aspartic acid residue. Data obtained from Surface Plasmon Resonance and phage display experiments suggest that RmPAP may act as a hemoglobin carrier instead of a digestive enzyme.

¹Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Sao Paulo (UNIFESP), SP, Brazil. ²Center of Biotechnology, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), RS, Brazil. ³School of Veterinary, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), RS, Brazil. ⁴National Institute of Science and Technology in Molecular Entomology (INTC-EM), RJ, Brazil. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.S.T. (email: tanaka.bioq@epm.br)

	10		20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
PmPA P										
BYC						MSN	LFHSSSEEVGO	QALANVSVP	INYTOLOYIG	NITIG
THAP					EF2	LQLGWHDPN	VTEIRGRALGD	PIPII	TNYNNMQFYG	IITIG
IrCD	MRCSTLTLTLV	VLLAAE	CAFGAFRI	PLTRFKSVR	KQLAEEGIYI-		HEG	PYPEPI	NNLLDVEYYG	PISI <mark>G</mark>
BmAP	-MEARLSTFVV	LLALAG	VASALLRV	PLKRMKSVR	EHLREVGTPL	WTRTW	SLGGAFAG	PFPEPI	KNYLDAQYYG	DITLG
Longepsin	-MTSTLSAIIV	LLALVG	ASSALLRV	PLKRVQSARI	NQLREVGTVLI	VTHGW	SLGNALGG	PFPEP	KNYLDAQYYG	DVTLG
	100			100	100		150	1.60	1.2.0	1.07
	100		110	120	130 	140	150	160	170	180
RmPAP	TPPOKFIVML	SA-GTT	WVPAYYCT	Q-AEPCFE	KMYDWSKSTT	SSDWEDGAT	PE-GRGNVIGY	VFRDAHELC	VSLGNLQEVN	GIGFP
BYC	TPPQTERVIEL	TASNLT	WVPSVGCS	VEQCQDR	PLYDNRHSST	(GAY <mark>G</mark> IWVTA	PYIGGGAITGT	AAYETITIAG	INVTNQLEVE	ATVID
THAP	TPPQSFKLLMI	DTGSSNF	WVPSINCD	Q-SMACRDHI	AKYDSSKSSTI	TKS <mark>G</mark> RYIRI	RY-SGGVVRGI	TSIDNVGVGF	ATVTQYKFAD	MDHSD
IrCD	TPPQDEQVIE	TGSANL	WIPSSKCT	TKYCLHH	HRYDSSKSST	EADGRNFTI	VY-GSGNVEGF	ISKDVCRIGS	AKVSGQPLGD	ALVVG
BmAP	TPPOVERVVEL	TGSSNL	WVPSSKCS	FTNIAGWLE	HKTHSSKSTT	QKNGTAFEI	RI-GSGSVKGV	LSADMEGLEN	TRIOCOTINE	TIDES
Longepsin	TEEQVENTVEL	I GOSNI	WVESSAGE	FINIACHIM	INTRONKSSI.	AKNGIQILI			TUTOGOTIAN	TUKES
	190	1	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	27(
	· · · · <u> </u> · · · · <u> </u> ·	<u></u> .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. <u>.</u> .	· <u>··</u> ····	· · · <u>· </u> · <u>· · · </u>	<u></u> . <u>.</u> . <u>.</u> .	<u></u>	<u>.</u>
RmPAP	GVPSFVDKPFL)GVFGLR	LGAN	STLYEMA	KSGVI-GSPKV	GLYFSNDMT	TPGEALFGG	ANEQHYQGSM	IFMDA-LDSA	FKVHL
BYC	-PKIYNGVQD		IESLYLSQ	HWSIEDNME'	INHLL-PEPVI	AFYFHPTV-	NGSDGDLILGG	IEKSHYEGEI	TYTQS-ATDE	WLIRL
THAP	-GESLLEAD		Y DST SUNN		KOCLLGEONW	SUVINPDPS	SKEGEDVLEGG	TDHDHVKCST	HIVPVSQAAH	NOV VM
BmAP	-GLAFIAAKEL	GILGIG	YPRISVLG	VPPVFDNMV	AQCVA-ANEV	SVYLDRNTS	DPOGGPVLFGG	IDKAHYTCNI	TYVPVTRKGY	OFHM
Longepsin	-GLAFIAAKFI	GILGLG	YPQISVLN	VPPVFDNMV	AQGVA-PKPVI	SVYLDRNAS	DPNGGDVLFGG	IDEAHYTGNI	TYVPVTRKGY	ŵQFHM
	280		290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360
DmDAD			 TDAGW					 NPTSDET		
BYC	OCVKVGMRWLC	TEYT	YAKP	VSTLPYTYG	POSOTDSTNK	LNASKTSGD	FYTVDCS	NTTATETIST	KISCKNDDUR	PODYT
THAP	DNINVQ	-GTTLC	VGGCPTVV	DSGTSFLSG	PSADVETINR	IGATKTAAG	YFEVNCA	TISSLPPITE	NINGKSEPIQ	GEAYT
IrCD	DEVKSVSASKS	APELLC	KDGCE <mark>A</mark> IA	DTGTSLITG	PPEEVDSLNQ	LGGTKTEG	QYLLDCD	KLESLENVTE	TISCKEFSIR	SKDYV
BmAP	DGVT <mark>V</mark> G	TNATEC	NGGCE <mark>A</mark> IA	DTGTSLIAG	PTAEIQKLNM2	AIGAAPFLAG	EYMVSCK	SIPKLPNITE	TINGQEFQIQ	GKDYI
Longepsin	NGVKVG	DNATEC	NGGCAAIA	DTGTSLIAG	TEEIHKINV	AIGAAPFMAG	EYIVSCK	SIPTLPKINE	NINGNEEVLE	GKDYV
			200	200	100	410	100			
			380		400	410	420			
RmPAP	VKVET-TTKTI	CYSGEI	EAEEVAN-	ASWHLGL	VELRRVYTVLI	APLEPSGNG	RVGFAIAK	_		
BYC	VEVNSX	CYSGEV	VDEDHQQP	GASTWILLGQI	NELRRVYTIE	TGIF-LWDK	QLA <mark>FA</mark> YTRPIV	Y		
THAP	IRIPLTTGGEC	CFTRIS	E-SDAS	GTNLWILGA	VETQTYYTVEI	DRAQN	RVGFATAV	-		
IrCD	LKVNQ-QGQTI		S-LEMPQ-	PLWIFGD	VELGPYYPIEI	DRDQD	RVGFAEVA	-		
BMAP	TOVSO-AGIPN		G-LDVPAP	TCDIATICD	VEIGRINALEI	PCND	RVGFAQSK	-		
Longepsin	TA SQ-AGIPI		G LDVPAP	TOLIVITION		INGIND	NVGL HESK			

Figure 1. Amino acid alignment of RmPAP (GenBank: MH427522) with aspartic peptidases from other ticks. BYC (GenBank: AAX76981.1), THAP (GenBank: AAG00993.1) and BmAP (GenBank: ACP21315.1) are from *R. microplus*, IrCD (GenBank: ABO26561.1) is from *Ixodes ricinus* and Logepsin (GenBank: BAE53722.1) is from *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. Identical residues are in black while similar residues are in gray. Arrows indicate the catalytic Asp residues.

Results

Amplification and cloning of the RmPAP ORF. The complete nucleotide sequence (Sup. Figure 1) of the *R. microplus* pseudo-aspartic peptidase was amplified from the midgut of the engorged females. The amino acid sequence derived from the translation of RmPAP mRNA revealed the presence of a putative signal peptide ($M^1 - A^{20}$) and the lack of a second catalytic Asp residue (Fig. 1). The mature protein ($R^{21} - K^{361}$) had a theoretical pI of 5.76 and a molecular weight of 37.3 kDa. A mutant form ($Pro^{242} > Asp^{242}$) was generated to restore the proteolytic activity (Sup. Figure 2).

Expression and localization of RmPAP in *R. microplus* **tissues.** RmPAP expression was observed mainly in the midgut of partially (Fig. 2A) and fully fed females (Fig. 2B). The comparison of the levels of expression between partially and fully fed females demonstrated that RmPAP expression was up-regulated in three tissues that were analyzed, including the midgut (30-fold greater), ovary (35-fold greater) and salivary glands (8-fold greater) (Fig. 2C). Western blot assays using purified anti-RmPAP antibodies (Sup. Figure 3) revealed the presence of a minor 25 kDa product in the midgut and a major product of approximately 40 kDa in the ovaries of engorged ticks (Fig. 2D).

Expression and purification of recombinant RmPAP_{WT} and RmPAP_{MUT}. Protein expression was tested in different bacterial strains with a wide range of temperatures, IPTG concentrations and induction times, but at all conditions tested, both recombinant proteins were obtained in insoluble form and become soluble only in the presence of 8.0 M urea (data not shown). After protein purification (Fig. 3A and B), a major protein product of 36kDa was observed (Fig. 3C), and after refolding RmPAP_{WT} was observed to have a mass of 36 kDa while RmPAP_{MUT} was observed to have a mass of 32 kDa (Fig. 3C).

Interaction of RmPAP with bovine hemoglobin. After refolding, wild-type RmPAP showed no proteolytic activity towards bovine hemoglobin (Fig. 4A), while the site-directed mutation (Asp²⁴²) was demonstrated to restore proteolytic activity (Sup. Figure 4). Preliminary data from native-PAGE using RmPAP_{WT} and



Figure 2. Localization of RmPAP mRNA in *R. microplus* tissues as detected by real-time PCR using cDNA preparations from partially (**A**) and fully (**B**) fed female ticks. (**C**) Modulation of the level of RmPAP transcripts during the engorgement period. (**D**) Western blot of proteins from the (1) midguts and (2) ovaries of fully-fed *R. microplus* ticks. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. *p = 0.03 as determined using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Bonferroni's multiple comparison post hoc test.



Figure 3. Purification of recombinant RmPAP using (**A**) affinity-chromatography with a Ni-NTA resin, with a two-step elution in 40 mM and 400 mM imidazol. (**B**) Ionic exchange chromatography with HiTrap Q resin, with elution being conducted with a crescent linear gradient in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 8.0 M urea and 1.0 M NaCl. Arrows indicate the elution fractions containing the recombinant protein. (**C**) SDS-PAGE of purified RmPAP_{WT} (1) before and (2) after the refolding process and RmPAP_{MUT} (3) after refolding.



Figure 4. RmPAP interaction with bovine hemoglobin. (**A**) SDS-PAGE (15%) of (a) bovine hemoglobin and RmPAP_{WT} and bovine hemoglobin at pH 2.5 (b), 3.0 (c), 3.5 (d), 4.0 (e), 4.5 (f), 5.0 (g), 5.5 (h) and 6.0 (i). (**B**) The response (Fc2 – Fc1) was plotted against the concentration of recombinant RmPAP_{WT}; the curve was fitted using steady-state kinetics in the Biacore T200 evaluation software. (**C**) Graphical representation of the frequency of the translated peptides at the six mutated positions among the 40 randomly selected clones derived from phage display selection with recombinant RmPAP_{WT}.

bovine hemoglobin revealed a possible interaction between the two molecules (Sup. Figure 5A). To further investigate this interaction, SPR experiments were conducted, which found a strong affinity ($K_D = 3.35 \times 10^{-8}$ M) of RmPAP_{WT} for bovine hemoglobin (Fig. 4B). To assess the specificity of rRmPAP, a screening against a hexapeptide library was performed using phage display. After three rounds of selection against rRmPAP (Sup. Table 2), the phages were sequenced and a high prevalence (21%) of the V-V-K-G/E-Q peptide was found (Fig. 4C).

Determination of the biological effects of RNA interference and anti-RmPAP antibodies. To verify the possible role of RmPAP in ovary physiology, the inhibition of its activity was carried out during artificial feeding using both RNA interference and anti-RmPAP antibodies. Gene silencing was confirmed by qPCR in both the midgut (60% reduction) and ovaries (80% reduction) 48 hours post-injection (hpi) (Fig. 5A and B),







Figure 6. Artificial feeding of partially-engorged *R. microplus* females. Differences in (**A**) weight gain after the artificial feeding, (**B**) the rate of egg laying and (**C**) the rate of egg hatching in ticks fed control antibodies or anti-RmPAP antibodies (n = 30 ticks per group). Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, which found no statistically significant differences.

although no difference in egg mass was observed (Fig. 5C). Likewise, the biological parameters that were assessed post-artificial feeding, such as weight gain (Fig. 6A), total egg mass (Fig. 6B) and egg hatching (Fig. 6C), also presented no significant differences.

Discussion

Aspartic peptidases are characterized by the presence of two aspartic acid residues that are required for their catalytic activity⁶ and, in ticks, they are typically located in the midgut^{29,30} and involved in protein degradation^{7,11}. The advances in sequencing technologies shred light into a new class of molecules known as pseudo-enzymes. Pseudoenzymes are proteins with high similarity to functioning enzymes but that lack residues that are key to their catalytic activity^{18,31}. Despite the absence of catalytic activity, pseudoenzymes have emerged as important regulators of different physiological processes. In this report, we describe the characterization of the first pseudo-aspartic peptidase from the tick *R. microplus* and its possible functioning as a hemoglobin carrier.

The domain analysis of the deduced RmPAP amino acid sequence reveals its similarity to other conserved aspartic peptidases, while BLASTp revealed its similarities to other aspartic peptidases in ticks (Fig. 1). However, RmPAP lacks the second catalytic aspartic acid residue suggesting the absence of proteolytic activity.

RmPAP is a major transcript in the midgut of both partially and fully fed females and appears to be up-regulated as digestion progresses, although the native protein was observed only in the ovaries of fully engorged females. A similar expression profile was observed for other aspartic peptidases, such as BYC and THAP. Both of the enzymes were shown to be expressed in extra-ovarian tissues (midgut and fat body), but the native protein was detected only in the hemolymph and ovaries^{9,10,12}. It suggests that the enzymes may be produced and secreted to the hemolymph and carried to the ovaries, where they are accumulated. Since the same pattern was observed for RmPAP, it's tempting to suggest the same mechanism and is thus more relevant to ovary physiology than blood digestion.

Pseudoenzymes are a newly discovered group of molecules that have been identified with advances in sequencing techniques. These molecules' sequences usually resemble that of an archetypical enzyme but lack enzymatic activity³² due to mutations that disrupt or occlude the original catalytic site^{18,31}. It's important to note that mutation of the catalytic residues is not proof of the absence of enzymatic activity. In *Plasmodium falciparum*, a protein containing an aspartic peptidase domain that also lacked the second catalytic Asp residue showed proteolytic activity towards hemoglobin, and a His residue was found to play an important role in this activity^{33,34}.

The aspartic peptidase from *R. microplus*, BYC, also lacks one of the Asp residues but is still able to process bovine hemoglobin¹⁴, indicating the existence of a-yet-to-be-discovered enzymatic mechanism. Mature RmPAP was obtained from bacterial inclusion bodies and, after purification and refolding, it maintained its 37 kDa protein size (Fig. 3 – Lane 2). Moreover, when incubated with bovine hemoglobin, RmPAP_{WT} did not display proteolytic activity (Fig. 4A). To verify if the lack of RmPAP_{WT} activity was due to the absence of the second Asp residue, a mutant ($Pro^{242} > Asp^{242}$) was generated and processed using the same conditions. After refolding, RmPAP_{MUT} appeared as a 32 kDa protein band, suggesting that N-terminal processing by auto-activation occur and incubation with bovine hemoglobin resulted in its degradation (Sup. Figure 4). Overall, these data strongly suggest that RmPAP_{WT} lacks the expected proteolytic activity and can be considered a pseudoenzyme.

It is believed that pseudoenzymes originate from gene duplications of active enzymes followed the accumulation of mutations that render them inactive; despite this, they maintain some of the functional characteristics from their ancestors. Preliminary analysis using native-PAGE (Sup. Figure 5A) suggested that RmPAP_{WT} could alter the migration profile of bovine hemoglobin, and SPR data revealed a strong affinity ($K_D = 3.35 \times 10^{-8}$ M) (Fig. 4B) between the two molecules. This verified that RmPAP could bind, in a non-proteolytic fashion, to bovine hemoglobin, most likely due the presence of a conserved structural feature typical of aspartic peptidases. Since the post-refolding protein yield was inadequate for structure studies, as well as the feasibility of high-throughput screening with phage display, we decided to investigate the possible binding site(s) and specificity of RmPAP_{WT} using a hexapeptide phage display library³⁵. After two rounds of selection, an enrichment of 34-fold was observed (Sup. Table 2), indicating that specific phages were selected in the presence of RmPAP_{WT}. The analysis of the selected phages reveals that a high proportion of the peptide V-V-K-G-V/E-Q (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, the VKG peptide can also be found in an exposed segment of the bovine hemoglobin chain A α -helix (Sup. Figure 5B), which could serve as a possible binding site for RmPAP_{WT}.

To assess the possible role of RmPAP in ovary physiology, both gene silencing through RNA interference (Fig. 5) and the artificial feeding of partially-fed females with anti-RmPAP_{WT} antibodies (Fig. 6) was conducted. No differences were observed in the biological parameters that were evaluated. It suggests that the level of RmPAP was expressed before RNAi treatment and it was enough to maintain its biological functioning and/or that it has a low protein turnover rate, which would work to conceal the effects of RNAi silencing that were observed in other RNA interference experiments³⁶. Moreover, bioinformatics analysis of the *R. microplus* transcriptome (SRA accession numbers: SRX484287, SRX484284, SRX484280 and SRX484277) revealed the presence of other contigs that are similar to RmPAP and lack the second aspartic catalytic residue (data not shown). Therefore, it's tempting to hypothesize that the physiological activity of RmPAP may be compensated by these other molecules and that the lack of any one of these molecules may not suffice to produce a distinctive phenotype. Nevertheless, further studies are necessary to characterize the physiological roles of RmPAP.

In this study, we investigated a novel pseudo-aspartic peptidase from *R. microplus* females and found that RmPAP was able to bind to bovine hemoglobin in a non-proteolytic fashion with its possible binding site characterized. The high expression of RmPAP was observed in the midgut of both partially and fully fed females, although the protein appeared to accumulate in the ovary. Bearing those data in mind, we hypothesized that RmPAP may act as hemoglobin/heme carrier between the midgut and the ovaries and thereby contribute to ovary maturation.

Materials and Methods

Rhipicephalus microplus Porto Alegre strain was reared on Hereford calves (*Bos taurus taurus*) in the Faculdade de Veterinaria, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. This study was conducted according to the ethical and methodological guidelines of the International and National Directives and Norms by the Ethics Commission of animal use – CEUA – UFRGS.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis. The ticks were washed with 70% ethanol followed by ultrapure water and dissected. The midgut, ovary, salivary glands and hemocytes were collected and added to Trizol (Invitrogen, CA, USA). RNA exctration was conducted according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA was treated with DNAse I (Fermentas, Vilnius, LT) for 1 h at 37 °C and 1 µg was used for cDNA synthesis using the Improm-II Reverse Transcription System (Promega, WI, USA).

Amplification and cloning of the RmPAP ORF. Primers (Sup. Table 1) containing the Xho I (sense) and Bpu1102I (anti-sense) restriction sites were designed based on the RmPAP_{WT} (*Rhipicephalus microplus* pseudo-aspartic peptidase wild type) nucleotide sequence (Sup. Figure 1), obtained from a *R. microplus* transcritpome (SRA SRX484287, SRX484284, SRX484280 and SRX484277). PCR was performed using 1 μ L of midgut cDNA, 100 μ M dNTPs, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Sinapse, SP, BR) and 25 pmol of each primer. The reactions were subject to an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 10 min followed by 25 cycles of 94 °C – 30 s, 55 °C – 60 s, and 72 °C – 60 s with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were analyzed using a 1% agarose gel and purified with the QIAEXII extraction kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, DE). The purified PCR products were later cloned into a pET14b vector containing a N-terminal His tag.

Primary structure analysis. A domain search was conducted using PFAM (https://pfam.xfam.org/)³⁷ and the signal peptide was identified using SignalP 4.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/)³⁸. The theoretical molecular weight and pI were estimated by the Compute pI/MW tool (https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/)³⁹. The sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/)⁴⁰ and edited with the BioEdit software.

Site-directed mutagenesis. The mutation of Pro²⁴² to Asp²⁴² was conducted by PCR. The first two reactions were performed using the RmPAP.FW/RmPAP.MUT.RV and RmPAP.RV/RmPAP.MUT.FW primers

(Sup. Table 1). The PCR product was analyzed in 1% agarose gel and purified with the QIAEX II extraction kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, DE). The purified DNAs were mixed (1:1) and used as the template for a second PCR using the RmPAP.FW and RmPAP.RV primers. The resulting PCR product was purified and cloned into the pET14b vector.

RmPAP expression and purification. The recombinant RmPAP_{WT} and $\text{RmPAP}_{\text{MUT}}$ proteins were expressed in the *Escherichia coli* BL21 plys S at 37 °C with IPTG (1 mM). After 16 hours of induction, the culture was centrifuged (10 min, 3000 × g, 4 °C) and the cells were resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Bacterial lysis was conducted by 3 cycles of French press. The samples were centrifuged (20 min, 12.000 × g, 4 °C), the supernatant collected, and the pellet washed with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing urea (2, 4, 6, and 8.0 M). Protein purification was performed in the presence of 8.0 M urea by affinity chromatography with Ni-NTA followed by ionic exchange chromatography with HiTrap Q resin. Protein refolding was performed in the presence of 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.4 M L-arginine, 0.15 M NaCl and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol by dialysis against buffers containing: (a) 4 M urea and 0.4 M L-arginine for 3 h, (b) 2 M urea and 0.4 M L-arginine for 3 h, (c) 0.4 M L-arginine for 3 h and (d) plain buffer overnight at 4 °C as described in³⁰.

Real time PCR. Reactions were prepared with $6 \mu L$ of SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK), $1 \mu L$ of a five-fold dilution of the cDNA preparation, 200 nM of the qRmPAP primers (Sup. Table 1) and ELF1 α (endogenous control⁴¹). The samples were subjected to 40 cycles (95 °C – 1 min, 60 °C – 1 min and 72 °C – 1 min) in a 7500 Fast Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Three independent experiments were performed, and the relative quantification was determined by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method⁴².

Western blot analysis. Protein was extracted from the midgut and ovaries of engorged *R. microplus* females using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and 10 μ g of the total protein was separated using SDS-PAGE (12%). Proteins were transfer to a PVDF membrane using a Mini Trans-Blot Cell system (BioRad) for 1 h at 15 V. After transfer, the membrane was incubated for 2 h in blocking solution (PBS containing 0.1% Tween – PBS-T - and 5% skim milk) at room temperature, followed by incubation with purified anti-RmPAP antibody, diluted 1:10 in blocking solution, overnight at 4 °C (Sup. Method 1). The PVDF membrane was then washed 3 times with a PBS-T 0.1% and incubated with anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with peroxidase (1:5000) in blocking solution. After 2 h of incubation, the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, IL, USA) was added and the membrane incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Imaging was performed using the MR-ChemBis 3.2 (DNR Bio-imaging System) by exposing the membrane to UV light for 3 min.

Determination of RmPAP activity towards bovine hemoglobin. Refolded RmPAP_{WT} and RmPAP_{MUT} (2.0µg) were incubated with bovine hemoglobin (5µg) in 50 mM phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 2.5–6.0) for 4 h at 37 °C and analyzed using SDS-PAGE (15%). The binding of RmPAP_{WT} to bovine hemoglobin was measured by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using a Biacore T-200 system. Bovine hemoglobin (2000 RFU) was immobilized on a CM5 series chip (FC 2) in acetate buffer (pH 5.5), while BSA was immobilized in FC 1. SPR experiments were conducted by injecting increasing concentrations of RmPAP_{WT} (10 mM phosphate-citrate buffer, pH 4, with 0.15 M NaCl) at 20μ L/min, with association and dissociation times of 300 sec and 900 sec, respectively. The equilibrium constant was determined by plotting the intensity of the steady-state response (FC2 – FC1) against the RmPAP concentration using the Biacore T200 evaluation software (GE Healthcare).

Peptide library screening using the phage display system. A hexapeptide library³⁵ was used to determine recombinant RmPAP_{WT} specificity. *E. coli* TG1-transformed cells were grown in 2YT medium containing ampicillin ($200 \mu g/mL$) and 2% glucose until the OD₅₅₀ reached 0.5–0.7. The helper phage M13K07 was added at a multiplicity of infection of 50 and the medium replaced with 2YT containing ampicillin ($200 \mu g/mL$) and kanamycin ($50 \mu g/mL$). After 16h of incubation at 37 °C, the fusion phage particles were screened with recombinant RmPAP_{WT}. RmPAP_{WT} was adsorbed to a 96-well plate overnight at room temperature following blocking for 2 h at room temperature with PBS-T 0.005% (pH 7.4) and 2% BSA. Entry phages, pre-incubated with blocking solution (1:1), were added and incubated for 1.5 h at 30 °C following 10 washes with PBS-T 0.1% (pH 7.4). The elution was performed with 0.2 M KCl (pH 2.0) followed by neutralization with 1.0 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). The eluted phages were then used for *E. coli* TG1 transfection and subsequent amplification and titration. After 3 rounds of selection, 40 phagemids were randomly selected and sequenced. The translated peptides were represented using the WebLogo tool⁴³.

Silencing of the RmPAP gene via RNA interference. Double-stranded RmPAP RNA (dsRmPAP) was synthesized using the T7 Ribomax Express System (Promega, WI, USA). Engorged *R. microplus* females were injected with $4.0 \,\mu$ g of dsRmPAP or dsGFP and dissected 48 h post-injection (10 ticks). The midgut and ovaries of dissected ticks were added in Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) for RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis, while 15 ticks were used for egg laying analysis. RmPAP knockdown was confirmed by qPCR.

In vivo effects of the ingestion of antibodies against RmPAP in partially-fed *R. microplus* females. Partially fed *R. microplus* adult females were recovered from calves 20-21 days after larvae infestation. Groups of 30 ticks weighing 25-50 mg were trapped and artificially fed with capillary tubes filled with $50 \,\mu\text{L}$ of bovine blood every 2 h for $18 \,h^{44}$. The first two feeding cycles contained purified anti-RmPAP or antibodies from non-immunized rabbits (final concentration of $3.5 \,\text{mg/mL}$). The biological parameters analyzed were weight gain (initial weight/post-feeding weight), egg production (weight of eggs/initial weight of ticks) and egg hatching (larvae mass/egg mass).

Statistical analysis. The comparison of RmPAP expression among different tick tissues was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Bonferroni's multiple comparison post hoc test⁴⁵. The comparison between partially and fully fed ticks was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. RmPAP knockdown was analyzed with Mann-Whitney test and the biological parameters were analyzed using Student's two-tailed t-test. Analyses were conducted with the Graph Pad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.), and differences were considered to be statistically significant when p < 0.05.

References

- 1. Stibraniova, I., Lahova, M. & Bartikova, P. Immunomodulators in tick saliva and their benefits. Acta Virol 57(2), 200–16 (2013).
- 2. Grisi, L. et al. Reassessment of the potential economic impact of cattle parasites in Brazil. Rev Bras Parasitol Vet 23(2), 150-6 (2014).
- 3. Uilenberg, G. Integrated control of tropical animal parasitoses. Trop Anim Health Prod 28(4), 257-65 (1996).
- 4. Angus, B. M. The history of the cattle tick Boophilus microplus in Australia and achievements in its control. *Int J Parasitol* 26(12), 1341–55 (1996).
- Tabor, A. E. et al. Cattle Tick Rhipicephalus microplus-Host Interface: A Review of Resistant and Susceptible Host Responses. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7, 506 (2017).
- 6. Szecsi, P. B. The aspartic proteases. Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl 210, 5-22 (1992).
- 7. Sojka, D. et al. New insights into the machinery of blood digestion by ticks. Trends Parasitol 29(6), 276-85 (2013).
- Sojka, D. et al. Parasite Cathepsin D-Like Peptidases and Their Relevance as Therapeutic Targets. Trends Parasitol 32(9), 708-723 (2016).
- 9. Sorgine, M. H. *et al.* A heme-binding aspartic proteinase from the eggs of the hard tick Boophilus microplus. *J Biol Chem* 275(37), 28659–65 (2000).
- Pohl, P. C. et al. An extraovarian aspartic protease accumulated in tick oocytes with vitellin-degradation activity. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 151(4), 392–9 (2008).
- 11. Cruz, C. E. et al. Characterization of proteinases from the midgut of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus involved in the generation of antimicrobial peptides. Parasit Vectors 3, 63 (2010).
- Logullo, C. et al. Isolation of an aspartic proteinase precursor from the egg of a hard tick, Boophilus microplus. Parasitology 116(Pt 6), 525–32 (1998).
- Abreu, L. A. et al. Proteolytic activity of Boophilus microplus Yolk pro-Cathepsin D (BYC) is coincident with cortical acidification during embryogenesis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 34(5), 443–9 (2004).
- Nascimento-Silva, M. C. et al. BYC, an atypical aspartic endopeptidase from Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus eggs. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 149(4), 599–607 (2008).
- Rawlings, N. D., Barrett, A. J. & Finn, R. Twenty years of the MEROPS database of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors. Nucleic Acids Res 44(D1), D343-50 (2016).
- 16. Ross, J. et al. Serine proteases and their homologs in the Drosophila melanogaster genome: an initial analysis of sequence conservation and phylogenetic relationships. Gene **304**, 117-31 (2003).
- Caenepeel, S. et al. The mouse kinome: discovery and comparative genomics of all mouse protein kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101(32), 11707–12 (2004).
- Murphy, J. M., Farhan, H. & Eyers, P. A. Bio-Zombie: the rise of pseudoenzymes in biology. *Biochem Soc Trans* 45(2), 537–544 (2017).
- 19. Pils, B. & Schultz, J. Inactive enzyme-homologues find new function in regulatory processes. J Mol Biol 340(3), 399-404 (2004).
- Todd, A. E., Orengo, C. A. & Thornton, J. M. Sequence and structural differences between enzyme and nonenzyme homologs. Structure 10(10), 1435–51 (2002).
- Lemberg, M. K. & Freeman, M. Functional and evolutionary implications of enhanced genomic analysis of rhomboid intramembrane proteases. *Genome Res* 17(11), 1634–46 (2007).
- 22. Chang, D. W. *et al.* c-FLIP(L) is a dual function regulator for caspase-8 activation and CD95-mediated apoptosis. *EMBO J* 21(14), 3704–14 (2002).
- 23. Murphy, J. M. *et al.* The pseudokinase MLKL mediates necroptosis via a molecular switch mechanism. *Immunity* **39**(3), 443–53 (2013).
- Foltenyi, K., Greenspan, R. J. & Newport, J. W. Activation of EGFR and ERK by rhomboid signaling regulates the consolidation and maintenance of sleep in Drosophila. Nat Neurosci 10(9), 1160–7 (2007).
- Adrain, C. & Freeman, M. New lives for old: evolution of pseudoenzyme function illustrated by iRhoms. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13(8), 489–98 (2012).
- Zettl, M. *et al.* Rhomboid family pseudoproteases use the ER quality control machinery to regulate intercellular signaling. *Cell* 145(1), 79–91 (2011).
- Bergbold, N. & Lemberg, M. K. Emerging role of rhomboid family proteins in mammalian biology and disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1828(12), 2840–8 (2013).
- Luo, W. W. & Shu, H. B. Emerging roles of rhomboid-like pseudoproteases in inflammatory and innate immune responses. FEBS Lett 591(20), 3182–3189 (2017).
- Boldbaatar, D. et al. Molecular cloning and functional characterization of an aspartic protease from the hard tick Haemaphysalis longicornis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 36(1), 25–36 (2006).
- Sojka, D. et al. Characterization of gut-associated cathepsin D hemoglobinase from tick Ixodes ricinus (IrCD1). J Biol Chem 287(25), 21152–63 (2012).
- 31. Reynolds, S. L. & Fischer, K. Pseudoproteases: mechanisms and function. Biochem J 468(1), 17-24 (2015).
- 32. Eyers, P. A. & Murphy, J. M. The evolving world of pseudoenzymes: proteins, prejudice and zombies. BMC Biol 14(1), 98 (2016).
- 33. Xiao, H. *et al.* Recombinant expression and partial characterization of an active soluble histo-aspartic protease from Plasmodium falciparum. *Protein Expr Purif* **49**(1), 88–94 (2006).
- 34. Bhaumik, P. *et al.* Structural insights into the activation and inhibition of histo-aspartic protease from Plasmodium falciparum. *Biochemistry* **50**(41), 8862–79 (2011).
- Clara, R. O. et al. Boophilus microplus cathepsin L-like (BmCL1) cysteine protease: specificity study using a peptide phage display library. Vet Parasitol 181(2-4), 291-300 (2011).
- 36. Seixas, A. et al. Expression profile of Rhipicephalus microplus vitellogenin receptor during oogenesis. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 9(1), 72–81 (2018).
- 37. El-Gebali, S. et al. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res (2018).
- 38. Nielsen, H. Predicting Secretory Proteins with SignalP. Methods Mol Biol 1611, 59-73 (2017).
- 39. Wilkins, M. R. et al. Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. Methods Mol Biol 112, 531-52 (1999).
 - 40. Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* 7, 539 (2011).
 - Nijhof, A. M. et al. Selection of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR studies in Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus and Rhipicephalus appendiculatus ticks and determination of the expression profile of Bm86. BMC Mol Biol 10, 112 (2009).

- 42. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**(4), 402–8 (2001).
- 43. Crooks, G. E. et al. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Res 14(6), 1188-90 (2004).
- Gonsioroski, A. V. et al. Anti-tick monoclonal antibody applied by artificial capillary feeding in Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus females. Exp Parasitol 130(4), 359–63 (2012).
- 45. McDonald, J. H. Handbook of Biological Statistics. 3 ed. 2014, Baltimore, Maryland, USA: Sparky House Publishing.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) (2012/03657-8, 2015/09268-1), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico (CNPq - 308780/2013-2, 302703/2017-9), CAPES/Brazil (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and INCT-Entomologia Molecular. AT and ISV are research fellows at the CNPq. We are grateful to Jacilene Barbosa of Laboratório multiusuário 3 at INFAR, UNIFESP, for performing the DNA sequencing.

Author Contributions

S.L. participated in the planning and performance of experiments as well as the writing of the manuscript. L.F.P. and I.S.V.J. supplied the ticks used in the study, assisted in the artificial feeding experiments and revised the manuscript. R.J.S.T. assisted in the protein purification and SPR experiments. A.S.T. was active in the planning, writing and revision of this manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36849-4.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019