SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 22 June 2018 Accepted: 8 October 2018 Published online: 31 October 2018

OPEN The PDL1-inducible GTPase Arl4d controls T effector function by limiting IL-2 production

Felix Tolksdorf¹, Julita Mikulec^{2,3}, Bernd Geers⁴, Jessica Endig⁴, Paulina Sprezyna⁴, Lukas C. Heukamp⁵, Percy A. Knolle^{2,6}, Waldemar Kolanus¹ & Linda Diehl^{2,4}

Interleukin-2 (IL-2) is a key regulator of adaptive immune responses but its regulation is incompletely understood. We previously found that PDL1-dependent signals were pivotal for liver sinusoidal endothelial cell-mediated priming of CD8T cells, which have a strongly reduced capacity to produce IL-2. Here, we show that the expression of the ARF-like GTPase Arl4d is PD-L1-dependently induced in such LSEC-primed T cells, and is associated with reduced IL-2 secretion and Akt phosphorylation. Conversely, Arl4d-deficient T cells overproduced IL-2 upon stimulation. Arl4d-deficiency in CD8 T cells also enhanced their expansion and effector function during viral infection in vivo. Consistent with their increased IL-2 production, Arl4d-deficient T cells showed enhanced development into KLRG1+CD127short-lived effector cells (SLEC), which is dependent on IL-2 availability. Thus, our data reveal a PD-L1dependent regulatory circuitry that involves the induction of Arl4d for limiting IL-2 production in T cells.

In recent years it has become increasingly clear that the T cell growth factor interleukin-2 (IL-2) exerts multiple functions in regulation of the immune system^{1,2}. IL-2, for instance, is pivotal for both the development of $CD4^+CD25^+$ regulatory T cells $(T_{reg})^{3,4}$ and their immune suppressive function. Mature T_{reg} limit T cell responses directly via the consumption of IL-2^{5,6} or indirectly by limiting IL-2 production due to inhibited DC maturation⁷. Also, IL-2 dependent signals promote T_H1 , T_H2 and T_{reg} development but counteract T_H17 and T_{FH} differentia-tion⁸. Furthermore, IL-2 is crucial for both the induction of primary CD8 T cell responses^{9,10} as well as the opti-mal induction and secondary expansion of memory CD8 T cells^{11,12}. For instance, the amount of IL-2 produced by CD8 T cells after priming affects their capacity for clonal expansion^{13,14}. Specifically, the level and duration of naïve CD8 T cell exposure to IL-2 influences their development into short-lived effector cells, responsible for acute pathogen clearance, or long-lived memory T cells^{15,16}. T cells lacking the IL-2R^Q form fewer KLRG1⁺ SLEC during viral infection¹⁵. Conversely, during viral infection a subset of CD8 T cells expressing high levels of CD25 preferentially develop into terminally differentiated effector cells¹⁶.

Not only is IL-2 availability regulated by co-stimulation or consumption by Tree, its production is actively limited via co-inhibitory programmed-death-1 (PD-1) in T cells^{17,18}. Signalling via PD-1 can prevent Akt phosphorylation via inhibition of PI3K activity¹⁹, which in CD8 T cells precludes the generation of effector phenotype and function^{20,21}. Similarly, during priming of naïve CD8 T cells by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC), LSEC-derived PD-L1 signals^{22,23} and the resulting limited production of IL-2²⁴ are pivotal for the development of liver-primed T cells, which although unable to directly mediate effector function^{23,25}, are capable of memory function providing anti-infectious immunity²⁶.

Here, we describe a new molecule regulated by PD-1 signalling in CD8 T cells. The ADP ribosylation factor (ARF)-like GTPase Arl4d is upregulated in liver-primed T cells, which are strongly defective in IL-2 production. Significantly, priming of T cells by LSEC devoid of PD-L1 expression restores specific antigen-induced IL-2 production while at the same time failing to induce high expression of Arl4d. Reversely, Arl4d-deficient CD8 T cells

¹LIMES (Life and Medical Sciences Institute), Molecular Immunology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ²Institute of Molecular Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ³Division of Virus-Associated Carcinogenesis (F170), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany. ⁴Institute of Experimental Immunology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany. ⁵Institute of Pathology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ⁶Institute of Molecular Immunology and Experimental Oncology, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany. Felix Tolksdorf, Julita Mikulec and Bernd Geers contributed equally. Waldemar Kolanus and Linda Diehl jointly supervised this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.D. (email: li.diehl@uke.de)



Figure 1. Arl4d expression is PD-L1/PD-1 dependently regulated in CD8 T cells. (**A**,**B**) Naive OT-1 CD8 T cells were cultured for the indicated times on C57BL/6 (wild type) LSEC, $Pdl1^{-/-}$ LSEC or C57BL/6 (wild type) DC in the presence of antigen (100 µg/ml OVA). (**A**) relative *Arl4d* mRNA expression levels in CD8 T cells. (**B**) IL-2 concentration in the culture supernatant. (**C**) Wild type CD8 T cells were cultured in the presence or absence of coated anti-CD3 ε /CD28 antibodies. After 24 h T cells were harvested and *Arl4d* and *Il2* mRNA levels were determined by qPCR and IL-2 content in the supernatant by ELISA. The data shown are representative of 3 separate experiments. Data are shown as mean +/- s.e.m. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA, * p \leq 0.01, ***p \leq 0.001.

show enhanced IL-2 production and maturation into effector cells during viral infection *in vivo*. Thus, our data establish the ARF-like GTPase Arl4d as a novel component of a regulatory pathway induced by PD-L1, which regulates IL-2 production and controls adaptive CD8 T cell responses in the immune system.

Results

Expression of Arl4d in CD8T cells is induced by LSEC, but not DC, in a PD-L1-dependent fashion. We have previously shown that during LSEC-mediated priming of CD8 T cells, which leads to the induction of CD8 T cells incapable of immediate effector function^{22,26}, insufficient IL-2 production during priming is essential²⁴. Gene expression analysis of in vitro LSEC- and DC-primed CD8 T cells revealed expression of Arl4d, but not its family members Arl4a or Arl4c, to be induced in LSEC-primed, but not DC-primed CD8 T cells (data not shown). Quantitative real-time PCR of T cells primed by LSEC, Pdl1^{-/-} LSEC and dendritic cells (DC) confirmed that Arl4d mRNA was potently induced during LSEC-mediated CD8 T cell stimulation (Fig. 1A). However, in the absence of PD-L1-dependent signals or during priming by DC, Arl4d mRNA levels in CD8 T cells were not. The increased levels of Arl4d mRNA in LSEC-primed CD8 T cells correlated with a decreased IL-2 production, whereas Pdl1^{-/-} LSEC and DC-stimulated T cells with low Arl4d mRNA content produced high levels of IL-2 (Fig. 1B). Although both in DC- and Pdl1^{-/-} LSEC-stimulated T cells Arl4d mRNA levels are equally low, DC induce more IL-2 secretion by in T cells than Pdl1^{-/-} LSEC. Compared to LSEC²², however, DC express high levels of CD80 and CD86, most likely leading to the observed increased IL-2 production. Furthermore, in polyclonal wild type CD8 T cells stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies, Arl4d mRNA levels were markedly reduced after activation, which again correlated with T cells gaining the ability to produce IL-2 (Fig. 1C). Thus, these data indicate that PD-L1/PD-1, which is pivotal for preventing the development of effector function in T cells stimulated by LSEC, augments Arl4d expression in T cells.

Arl4d negatively regulates Akt phosphorylation in activated T cells. During T cell activation, TCR triggering together with CD28 co-stimulation activates the PI3K/Akt pathway leading to complete T cell activation and initiation of IL-2 production²⁷. PD-1 can repress this process due to downstream inhibition of the PI3K/ Akt pathway¹⁹. Indeed, when we compared Akt phosphorylation in T cells primed by dendritic cells with T cells



Figure 2. Arl4d interferes with Akt phosphorylation in activated T cells. (**A**,**B**,**D**,**F**) naïve OT-1 CD8 T cells from wild type (**A**,**B**,**D**,**F**) and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ OT-1 T cells (**D**,**F**) were co-cultured with antigen-loaded wild type DC, wild type LSEC or $Pdl1^{-/-}$ LSEC as indicated. (**A**,**B**) At the indicated times T cells were analysed by western blot for expression of pAkt^{\$473} and total Akt. Shown are cropped images of the blot (full length blots are presented in Supplementary Figure S2). Bar graphs show normalised expression levels of pAkt. (**C**,**E**) A constitutive active Arl4d mutant (Q80L), a myristoylation-deficient Arl4d mutant (G2A) or an empty pEGFP vector were expressed in Jurkat T cells. (**C**) Jurkat cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 antibodies and analysed for expression of phosphorylated Akt (\$473, T308) by flow cytometry in GFP⁺ Jurkat T cells (n = 5). (**D**) wt and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ T cells stimulated by wild type LSEC for 48 h were stained for pAkt^{\$473} and pAkt^{T308} Representative histograms and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD8⁺ cells are shown. (**E**,**F**) Survival of Jurkat cells (**E**) 24h after transfection with Arl4d-mutant constructs or (**F**) wild type and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ OT-1 T cells stimulated by wild type LSEC. Cells were stained with Propidium iodide or 7-AAD and Annexin V. Live cells were defined as being GFP^{pos}/CD8 α^{pos} and AnnV^{neg} and PI/7-AAD^{neg}. The data shown are representative of 3 (**A**,**B**), 5 (**C**,**D**,**E**) and 2 (**F**) separate experiments. Data are shown as mean +/- s.e.m. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA or a Student's *t*-test, *p ≤ 0.05 , **p ≤ 0.01 , ***p ≤ 0.001 .

.....

primed by LSEC, we observed an increasing amount of Akt phosphorylation in DC-primed T cells (Fig. 2A). In contrast, in LSEC-primed CD8 T cells phosphorylated Akt was almost absent (Fig. 2A). The low levels of pAkt in LSEC-primed T cells was mediated via PD-L1 signals as in T cells primed by $Pdl1^{-/-}$ LSEC high levels of pAkt could be detected (Fig. 2B), revealing an inverse correlation of Arl4d expression with the amount of pAkt in T cells. Moreover, Arl4d directly modulated Akt phosphorylation. Overexpression in Jurkat T cells of a constitutively active Arl4d^{Q80L} reduced pAkt content, whereas the myristoylation-deficient Arl4d^{G2A} did not (Fig. 2C). These data indicate that binding to GTP and localisation to membranes by Arl4d are important for interference with Akt function. We confirmed that the constructs used were expressed equally (Suppl. Figure S1), excluding transfection efficiency differences for the observed results. Reversely, in Arl4d-deficient T cells stimulation by wild type LSEC resulted in higher pAkt S437 and T308 levels as in wild type T cells (Fig. 2D), indicating that in wild type T cells the induction of Arl4d via PDL1-signalling from LSEC leads to reduction of Akt signalling.

As Akt is an important regulator of cellular viability by regulating survival and apoptotic pathways^{28,29}, we analysed whether cell viability was changed upon overexpression of Arl4d. In Jurkat T cells, compared to the eGFP vector control, overexpression of Arl4d^{Q80L} strongly reduced the number of living (AnnV^{neg}/PI^{neg}) T cells



Figure 3. Arl4d-deficiency does not affect T cell development, but leads to enhanced IL-2 production. (**A**) CD8⁺ T cells and CD19⁺ B cells were isolated from the spleen of *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice and their wild type littermate controls. qPCR was performed to determine *Arl4d* mRNA expression. (**B**) Organ weights of *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice (n = 6) and their wild type littermate controls (n = 6). (**C**) Total cell counts in different lymphatic (peripheral lymph node, spleen) and the bone marrow in *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice (n = 6) and their wild type littermate controls (n = 6). (**C**) Total cell counts in different lymphatic (peripheral lymph node, spleen) and the bone marrow in *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice (n = 6) and their wild type littermate controls (n = 6). Cells were gated according to CD4 or CD8 expression and according to their expression of CD44 and CD62L (T_{naiv}: CD44^{low}CD62L^{high}, T_{eff}: CD44^{high}CD62L^{low}, T_{mem}: CD44^{high}CD62L^{high}). (**E**) *Arl4d^{-/-}* and wild type CD8 T cells were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3ε (1 µg/ml) and anti-CD28 (10 µg/ml) antibodies for the indicated times, after which IL-2 content in the supernatant was assed by ELISA. The data shown are representative of 3 (**A**) and 4 (**E**) separate experiments, respectively, and shown as mean +/– s.e.m. Statistical significance was calculated using a two-way ANOVA, *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001.

.....

(Fig. 2E), whereas Arl4d^{G2A} did not, indicating that Arl4d may regulate T cell survival via modulation of Akt phosphorylation status. Again, the opposite could be observed in $Arl4d^{-/-}$ T cells (Fig. 2F), where the absence of Arl4d led to increased survival of T cells upon stimulation by LSEC. Thus, Arl4d plays a role in regulating Akt activity and survival in T cells via a membrane-proximal, GEF-dependent mechanism.

Arl4d-deficiency does not affect T cell development but leads to increased IL-2 secretion. In order to investigate the role of Arl4d in T lymphocytes, we made use of Arl4d-deficient mice (Arl4d^{tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi}), which were generated by a knock-out-first approach³⁰. We confirmed that Arl4d expression was indeed absent in these mice by analysing *Arl4d* mRNA levels in CD19⁺ and CD8⁺ cells from *Arl4d^{-/-}* and *Arl4d^{+/+}* animals (Fig. 3A) We then analysed their general development and immune system with a focus on T cell development. Arl4d-deficiency neither affected organ weight (Fig. 3B), nor the cellularity of various lymphoid organs or the bone marrow (Fig. 3C). Additionally, a detailed analysis of the T cell compartment, by staining for CD4, CD8, CD62L and CD44, showed that T cell development and their distribution into naïve (CD62L^{high}CD44^{high}) and memory (CD62L^{high}CD44^{high}) subsets in the periphery was not changed in the absence of Arl4d expression (Fig. 3D). Thus, general development of *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice and their T cell compartment is not changed. In contrast, when Arl4d-deficient T cells were activated via CD3 and CD28, IL-2 secretion was significantly increased compared to the wild type control T cells (Fig. 3E), indicating that although Arl4d is not involved in T cell development, it represses IL-2 production upon T cell activation.

Arl4d-deficiency leads to an enhanced CD8 T cell response and CD8-mediated IL-2 production after viral infection *in vivo*. IL-2 is a major regulator of immune responses *in vivo*⁸. To determine if the inhibitory effects of Arl4d on IL-2 production in T cells would have an effect on *in vivo* immunity, we co-transferred equal amounts of sorted CD8+CD62L^{high}CCD44^{low} Arl4d-deficient (CD45.1) and wild type (CD90.1) naïve OT-1 CD8 T cells into congenic recipients and followed their expansion and function upon infection with an OVA-expressing adenovirus (AdGOL). From day 3–4 onwards the adoptively transferred CD8 T cells could be detected in the blood of congenic wild type recipients infected with AdGOL (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the *Arl4d^{-/-}* CD8 T cells expanded to a larger extent than their wild type counterparts leading to an almost 80%



Figure 4. Enhanced expansion and effector cell differentiation of Arl4d-deficient CD8 T cells upon viral infection. Wild type CD90.1 OT-1 CD8 T cells and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ CD45.1 OT-1 T cells were adoptively transferred into CD45.2 congenic recipient mice in a 1:1 ratio, which 1 day later were infected with an adenovirus expressing OVA (AdGOL) (n = 6) or were left untreated (n = 4). (A) Expansion of adoptively transferred T cells. Dot plots are gated on total CD8 T cells. (B) Relative contribution of wild type and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ CD8 T cells to the total population of transferred CD8 OT-1 T cells during AdGOL infection in blood. (C) Gating strategy for short-lived effector cells (SLEC): CD8⁺CD127⁻KLRG1⁺. (D) Percentages and absolute numbers of CD8⁺CD127⁻KLRG1⁺ SLEC in the blood within the transferred wild type and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ OT-1 T cells at the indicated times after AdGOL infection. (E) 8 days after AdGOL infection lymphocytes were isolated from the liver and spleen and the percentage of transferred wild type OT-1 and $Arl4d^{-/-}$ OT-1 T cells was determined within the total CD8⁺ T cell population. (F,G) Absolute numbers of cytokine producing CD8 T cells 8 days after

infection with AdGOL within the transferred T cell population from liver (**F**) and spleen (**G**) 4 h after PMA/ ionomycin restimulation. (**H**) Absolute numbers of CD8⁺CD127⁻KLRG1⁺ SLEC in the spleen and liver 8 days after AdGOL infection within the transferred T cell population. The data shown are representative of 3 separate experiments. Data are shown as mean +/- s.e.m. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA, *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01, ***p \leq 0.001.

contribution of $Arl4d^{-/-}$ CD8 T cell to the anti-viral immune response within total transferred T cells in the circulating blood (Fig. 4B). It is further known that in response to viral or bacterial infection antigen-specific CD8 T cells differentiate into effector cells that can be subdivided into short lived effector cells (SLEC), which are terminally differentiated effector cells, and memory precursor effector cells (MPEC) that develop into memory T cells. The development of SLEC can be promoted by the pro-inflammatory mediators IL-12³¹ and Type I IFN³² via the induction of T-bet expression. Additionally, also the availability of $IL-2^{33}$ or the capability of T cells to respond to IL- $2^{12,34}$ contributes to the fate-decision of effector T cells to become SLEC. Within the $Arl4d^{-/-}$ CD8 T cell population significantly more CD8+CD127-KLRG1+ SLEC accumulated during adenoviral infection compared to the wild type T cell population (Fig. 4D). Not only did $Arl4d^{-/-}$ T cells contribute to a larger extent to the circulating T cell pool during adenovirus infection, also 8 days after adenoviral infection, the Arl4d-deficient T cells expanded significantly more than their co-transferred wild type counterparts within the spleen and the primary infection site, i.e. the liver (Fig. 4E). Functionally, Arl4d-deficiency led to enhanced numbers of IL-2 producing effector T cells in the liver (Fig. 4F) and spleen (Fig. 4G), respectively. This effect appears to be specific for IL-2 as Arl4d-deficient IFN_γ-producing cells were also increased in the liver but not in the spleen. Moreover, also in the spleen and the infection site liver, development of Arl4d^{-/-} T cells into KLRG1+CD127⁻ SLEC was more pronounced (Fig. 4H), similar to the observation in the blood. Thus, overall Arl4d has a dampening effect on the CD8 T cell-mediated anti-viral immune response as its deletion in T cells leads to greater expansion of effector cells, higher SLEC development and enhanced cytokine production upon secondary stimulation.

Discussion

In this study we introduce the Arf-like GTPase Arl4d as a novel factor in PD-L1-dependent regulation of lymphocyte function. We find that Arl4d is expressed in naive CD8 T cells and is regulated during T cell activation. In particular, TCR triggering in the presence of costimulation, such as priming by dendritic cells or also with CD3/ CD28-antibodies does not affect or even reduces Arl4d expression whereas TCR triggering in combination with coinhibition (PD-L1), in the case of priming by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, results in its marked upregulation. This PD-L1-dependent induction of Arl4d during T cell priming by LSEC and its effects on IL-2 production suggests that this protein complex is involved in PD-1-mediated attenuation of T cell function. Central to PD-L1/PD-1-mediated inhibition of T cell function is the inhibition of the PI3K/Akt pathway³⁵ via binding of SHP2 to the intracellular ITSM motif in PD-1^{19,36}. Arl4d appears to directly influence this pathway, as its overexpression led to reduced pAkt in Jurkat T cells and additionally led to increased levels of pAkt in LSEC-primed Arl4d-deficient T cells.

The inhibition of IL-2 production associated with Arl4d upregulation may indirectly cause insufficient Akt phosphorylation induced via the IL-2 receptor CD25, leading to an inability to induce transcriptional programs for cytotoxic activity in CD8 T cells²⁰. Indeed, the lack of Arl4d results in higher IL-2 production and more pronounced effector cell development, as measured by an increase in KLRG1^{pos}CD127^{neg} short-lived effector CD8 T cells during viral infection in vivo. This is in line with reports showing enhanced expansion of CD8 T cells in the presence of higher levels of IL-2^{10,14} and increased development of SLEC due to IL-2-induced Blimp-1 expression^{37,38}. Although Arl4d-deficient T cells do produce more IL-2 and display higher expansion and SLEC development, the direct effect of Arl4d expression in down-modulating the PI3K-Akt signalling pathway may also play a role. In adenovirus-infected mice, both Arl4d-deficient and -proficient T cells shared the same space, and thus each cell type may have benefitted from factors produced by the other. Although autocrine effects are described to be more important for IL-2 function, it has also been reported that paracrine IL-2 production can have direct effects on CD8 T cell survival and proliferation¹³. Thus, Arl4d-proficient T cells may have profited from enhanced IL-2 levels in vivo due to the overproduction by Arl4d^{-/-} T cells as well. Here, Arl4d-deficiency was still advantageous in terms of expansion and effector function (IL-2, IFN production), suggesting that not only increased IL-2 but also intrinsic Arl4d-mediated inhibition of intracellular signalling may play a role in repression of T cell function.

Arl4d is a member of the family of ARF-like GTPases, which also includes Arl4a and Arl4c. So far, not much is known about its function. Some reports show involvement of Arl4d in adipogenesis³⁹, actin remodelling⁴⁰ and neurite outgrowth⁴¹. Structurally, it contains a nuclear localisation site (NLS) and a myristoylation site, mediating nuclear and membrane localisation, respectively⁴². Membrane targeting of Arl4d via myristoylation is a necessary step in order to allow for a conformational change that permits GTP binding. Forcing Arl4d to stay in a GDP-bound form via mutagenesis leads to a different localisation, i.e. to the mitochondrial membrane, where Arl4d-GDP can interfere with mitochondrial membrane potential⁴³. However, for its inhibitory function in T cells we found that membrane targeting via myristoylation is necessary. A mutant Arl4d, that cannot be myristoy-lated (Arl4d^{G2A}), was not able to interfere with Akt phosphorylation when overexpressed in Jurkat T cells.

Arl4d and its family members have further been shown to be involved in the membrane recruitment of members of the cytohesin family⁴². In particular, the recruitment of cytohesin-2, also called ARNO, by Arl4d leads to further downstream effects on actin dynamics, via recruitment of another Arf GTPase Arf6⁴⁰. Whether Arl4d expression can modulated actin dynamics in T cells remains to be investigated, but it could have profound effects on T cell function. Actin dynamics are reported to be involved in T cell migration, but also TCR signal

transduction itself could be influenced, as the actin cytoskeleton promotes the formation of the immune synapse and the transport of various molecules (including the TCR) into the synapse, which is the hub for signal transduction initiation and termination^{44,45}.

Our data point to a role for Arl4d in T cell activation and effector cell differentiation. More specifically, our data shown that Arl4d interferes with signal transduction via the PI3K/Akt axis, leading to inhibition of cytokine production (IL-2) and suppression of SLEC development during viral infection. Arl4d expression appears to be regulated via co-signalling that is integrated after TCR stimulation. Co-stimulatory signalling via CD28 reduces Arl4d expression, whereas co-inhibitory PD-1 signalling markedly up regulates Arl4d expression in T cells. Thus, our data identify Arl4d as a new factor involved in PD-1 mediated signalling. The exact molecular mechanisms by which Arl4d confers inhibitory signalling in T cells remain to be investigated, but may reveal additional insight into PD-1-dependent co-inhibitory signalling.

Methods

Mice. Arl4d^{tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi, 30}, CD45.1, CD90.1, $B7H1^{-/-}$ ($Pdl1^{-/-}$)⁴⁶, C57BL/6 J and OT-1 (C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/J) mice were bred and backcrossed in the animal facilities of the University Hospital Bonn, the LIMES institute and the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf according to the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association guidelines and maintained under SPF conditions. All mouse experiments were approved by the local authorities of Nordrhein-Westfalen and Hamburg (84-02.04.2013.A237, G30/15) and carried out according to the current existing guidelines on mouse experimentation.

Cell isolation. Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells were isolated as previously described²². Dendritic cells were isolated from collagenase-digested spleens using CD11c Microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech). Naïve CD8 T cells were isolated using the CD8 T cell Isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Quantitative real-time PCR. RNA was isolated from cells with an RNeasy plus kit (Qiagen) and cDNA synthesis was performed with a Superscript VILO kit (Invitrogen) or a High-Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). qRT-PCR was performed using specific primers and a Maxima SybrGreen/Rox master mix (Thermo Scientific). Primers used were muIL-2: fw 5'AACCTGAAACTCCCCAGGAT-3', rv 5'-TCATCATCGAA TTGGCACTC-3', muArl4d: fw 5'GTCGTCATTGGGTTGGATTC-3', rv 5'-ACTTGGAAAGTGATCCCACG-3', muGapdh: fw 5'-GAGAAACCTGCCAAGTATGATG-3', rv 5'-GTCATACCAGGAAATGAGCTTG-3'.

Western blot. Cells were pelleted and washed with PBS before lysis in lysisbuffer (50 mM Tris-HCL, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β -Glycerol-phosphate, 50 mM NaFl, 5 mM pyrophosphate, 1 mM Na-orthovanadate, 0,270 M sucrose, 1% triton). Protein content was assessed by the BCA method and equal amount of protein were loaded onto SDS page gels for separation. Proteins were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and stained with anti-Akt S473 and total Akt, (Cell Signaling Technologies), washed, stained with an HRP-coupled secondary antibody and developed using the ECL method (Pierce).

Band intensities were measured with Image studio light 5.2 (Licor) and the strongest was set to 1 and all others in relation to this. Then a ratio was calculated between pAkt and total Akt from the same sample (=normalised pAkt expression).

Cloning, Overexpression. Wild type Arl4d was cloned from RNA derived from LSEC-primed CD8 T cells. Mutants were generated via introduction of mutations via PCR, which were validated via sequencing. Arl4d-G2A lacks the G at position 2 necessary for myristoylation and membrane targeting and the Arl4d-Q80L is a constitutive active form, which is unable to catalyse GTP to GDP. These constructs were subcloned into pEGFP vectors (Clontech) for transfection into Jurkat T cells. To this end Jurkat T cells were incubated with 20 μ g plasmid DNA, transferred into a 4 mm cuvette and electroporated (exponential, 240 V, 1500 μ F) using a Gene Pulser X Cell (Biorad). 24 h to 48 h later cells were used in experiments.

In vivo adenoviral infection. 4×10^5 sorted naive CD8⁺, CD62L^{high}, CD44^{low} T cells from spleens of OT-1 × *Arl4d^{-/-}* mice and wild type CD90.1 OT-1 were adoptively transferred in a 1:1 ratio i.v. into CD45.1 recipient mice. One day later mice were infected i.v. with 5×10^6 pfu AdGOL, a recombinant adenovirus expressing GFP, QVA and Luciferase⁴⁷. After infection 32 µl of blood was taken from the tail-vein at the indicated times and analysed by flow cytometry. Eight days after infection mice were sacrificed and liver lymphocytes and splenocytes were isolated for *in vitro* analysis. Cells were stained with antibodies against CD45.2, CD45.1, CD90.1, CD8, CD44, CD62L, KLRG1, CD127 and a live/dead stain (Hoechst 33258 (Sigma), near-IR dead cell stain kit or LIVE/DEAD fixable aqua dead stain (Thermo Fischer Scientific)). Fc-block (clone 2.4G2) was added in each staining. To enumerate cells a fixed amount of counting beads was added to the samples prior to acquisition.

Assessment of T cell function. Splenocytes or liver lymphocytes isolated from AdGOL infected mice were restimulated using PMA (5 ng/ml; Sigma Aldrich) and Ionomycin (200 ng/ml, Sigma Aldrich) for 4 h in the presence of Brefeldin A and Monensin (eBioscience) after which they were analysed for cytokine production by intracellular staining. To assess cytokine production upon activation of naïve CD8 T cells, $Arl4d^{-/-}$ or wild type CD8⁺ T cells were isolated from the spleen and stimulated in anti-CD3 ε /CD28 coated plates (1 µg/ml and 10 µg/ml respectively) or PMA/ionomycin.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometric analyses were conducted on a Canto II or LSR II (BD Biosciences) and data were analysed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) or LIVE/DEAD Fixable Violet or Near-IR Dead Cell Stain kit (Invitrogen) was used to exclude dead cells in all samples analysed.

Anti-CD16/32 antibody (2.4G2) was included in each staining at 10 µg/ml to block unspecific antibody binding via Fc receptors. All antibodies were purchased from Biolegend or eBioscience. For intracellular cytokine staining, cells were fixed in 4% PFA and intracellular staining with fluorochrome-labelled antibodies was performed in Permeabilisation Buffer (eBioscience) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Quantification of T cell numbers was performed using fluorochrome-labeled microbeads (CountBright absolute counting beads, Life Technologies). For intracellular pAkt staining cells were stained with surface markers, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilised in 90% ice-cold methanol and stained with pAkt^{\$473} (#4060) and pAkt^{T308} (#13038) and a secondary anti-rabbit Alexa647 coupled antibody (#4414) (Cell Signalling Technologies). For viability assays, cells were stained with propidium iodide or 7-AAD together with Annexin V. Viable cells were defined as PI/7-AAD negative and AnnV negative. Data were analysed using Flow Jo software (Tree Star Inc.; CA, USA).

Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software inc.). Student's *t*-test or ANOVA was used as indicated in the legends. Data are shown as mean +/- standard error of the mean, and statistical significance is indicated by *p ≤ 0.05 , **p ≤ 0.01 , ***p ≤ 0.001 .

Availability of Materials and Data

Data, materials and detailed protocols will be made available upon request.

References

- 1. Liao, W., Lin, J.-X. & Leonard, W. J. Interleukin-2 at the crossroads of effector responses, tolerance, and immunotherapy. *Immunity* **38**, 13–25 (2013).
- Malek, T. R. & Castro, I. Interleukin-2 Receptor Signaling: At the Interface between Tolerance and Immunity. *Immunity* 33, 153–165 (2010).
- 3. Malek, T. R. The main function of IL-2 is to promote the development of T regulatory cells. J. Leukoc. Biol. 74, 961–965 (2003).
- Cheng, G. et al. IL-2 receptor signaling is essential for the development of Klrg1+ terminally differentiated T regulatory cells. The Journal of Immunology 189, 1780–1791 (2012).
- Busse, D. et al. Competing feedback loops shape IL-2 signaling between helper and regulatory T lymphocytes in cellular microenvironments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 3058–3063 (2010).
- Pandiyan, P., Zheng, L., Ishihara, S., Reed, J. & Lenardo, M. J. CD4+ CD25+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells induce cytokine deprivationmediated apoptosis of effector CD4+ T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 8, 1353–1362 (2007).
- Kornete, M. & Piccirillo, C. A. Functional crosstalk between dendritic cells and Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells in the maintenance of immune tolerance. Front Immunol 3, 165 (2012).
- Boyman, O. & Sprent, J. The role of interleukin-2 during homeostasis and activation of the immune system. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 12, 180–190 (2012).
- 9. Kündig, T. M. et al. Immune responses in interleukin-2-deficient mice. Science 262, 1059-1061 (1993).
- D'Souza, W. N. & Lefrançois, L. IL-2 is not required for the initiation of CD8 T cell cycling but sustains expansion. J. Immunol. 171, 5727–5735 (2003).
- Feau, S., Arens, R., Togher, S. & Schoenberger, S. P. Autocrine IL-2 is required for secondary population expansion of CD8+ memory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 12, 908–913 (2011).
- Williams, M. A., Tyznik, A. J. & Bevan, M. J. Interleukin-2 signals during priming are required for secondary expansion of CD8+ memory T cells. *Nature* 441, 890–893 (2006).
- Spierings, D. C. J., Lemmens, E. E., Grewal, K., Schoenberger, S. P. & Green, D. R. Duration of CTL activation regulates IL-2 production required for autonomous clonal expansion. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 36, 1707–1717 (2006).
- 14. Redeker, A. *et al.* The Quantity of Autocrine IL-2 Governs the Expansion Potential of CD8+ T Cells. *The Journal of Immunology* **195**, 4792–4801 (2015).
- Pipkin, M. E. *et al.* Interleukin-2 and Inflammation Induce Distinct Transcriptional Programs that Promote the Differentiation of Effector Cytolytic T Cells. *Immunity* 32, 79–90 (2010).
- Kalia, V. et al. Prolonged interleukin-2Ralpha expression on virus-specific CD8+ T cells favors terminal-effector differentiation in vivo. Immunity 32, 91–103 (2010).
- 17. Carter, L. *et al.* PD-1:PD-L inhibitory pathway affects both CD4(+) and CD8(+) T cells and is overcome by IL-2. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **32**, 634–643 (2002).
- Chikuma, S. et al. PD-1-mediated suppression of IL-2 production induces CD8+ T cell anergy in vivo. The Journal of Immunology 182, 6682–6689 (2009).
- 19. Parry, R. V. et al. CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors inhibit T-cell activation by distinct mechanisms. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 9543–9553 (2005).
- Macintyre, A. N. et al. Protein Kinase B Controls Transcriptional Programs that Direct Cytotoxic T Cell Fate but Is Dispensable for T Cell Metabolism. Immunity 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.01.012 (2011).
- 21. Feau, S. & Schoenberger, S. P. From the loading dock to the boardroom: a new job for Akt kinase. Immunity 34, 141-143 (2011).
- 22. Diehl, L. et al. Tolerogenic maturation of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells promotes B7-homolog 1-dependent CD8+ T cell tolerance. Hepatology 47, 296–305 (2008).
- Höchst, B. et al. Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells contribute to CD8 T cell tolerance toward circulating carcinoembryonic antigen in mice. Hepatology 56, 1924–1933 (2012).
- 24. Schurich, A. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of CD8 T cell tolerance induction by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. *J. Immunol.* **184**, 4107–4114 (2010).
- Limmer, A. *et al.* Efficient presentation of exogenous antigen by liver endothelial cells to CD8+ T cells results in antigen-specific T-cell tolerance. *Nat. Med.* 6, 1348–1354 (2000).
- Böttcher, J. P. et al. Liver-primed memory T cells generated under noninflammatory conditions provide anti-infectious immunity. CellReports 3, 779–795 (2013).
- 27. Malek, T. R. The biology of interleukin-2. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 26, 453-479 (2008).
- 28. Datta, S. R., Brunet, A. & Greenberg, M. E. Cellular survival: a play in three Akts. *Genes Dev.* 13, 2905–2927 (1999).
- 29. Downward, J. Mechanisms and consequences of activation of protein kinase B/Akt. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 10, 262–267 (1998).
- 30. Skarnes, W. C. *et al.* A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function. *Nature* **474**, 337–342 (2012).
- Joshi, N. S. *et al.* Inflammation Directs Memory Precursor and Short-Lived Effector CD8+ T Cell Fates via the Graded Expression of T-bet Transcription Factor. *Immunity* 27, 281–295 (2007).
- 32. Wiesel, M. *et al.* Type-I IFN drives the differentiation of short-lived effector CD8+ T cells *in vivo. Eur. J. Immunol.* **42**, 320–329 (2012).

- Kastenmuller, W. et al. Regulatory T Cells Selectively Control CD8+ T Cell Effector Pool Size via IL-2 Restriction. The Journal of Immunology 187, 3186–3197 (2011).
- Obar, J. J. et al. CD4+ T cell regulation of CD25 expression controls development of short-lived effector CD8+ T cells in primary and secondary responses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 193–198 (2010).
- 35. Boussiotis, V. A., Chatterjee, P. & Li, L. Biochemical signaling of PD-1 on T cells and its functional implications. *Cancer J* 20, 265–271 (2014).
- Chemnitz, J. M., Parry, R. V., Nichols, K. E., June, C. H. & Riley, J. L. SHP-1 and SHP-2 associate with immunoreceptor tyrosinebased switch motif of programmed death 1 upon primary human T cell stimulation, but only receptor ligation prevents T cell activation. J. Immunol. 173, 945–954 (2004).
- Boulet, S., Daudelin, J.-F. & Labrecque, N. IL-2 induction of Blimp-1 is a key in vivo signal for CD8+ short-lived effector T cell differentiation. *The Journal of Immunology* 193, 1847–1854 (2014).
- Shin, H. M. et al. Epigenetic modifications induced by Blimp-1 Regulate CD8⁺ T cell memory progression during acute virus infection. Immunity 39, 661–675 (2013).
- Park, B.-H. Overexpression of the small GTPase Arl4D suppresses adipogenesis. Int J Mol Med. https://doi.org/10.3892/ ijmm.2011.751 (2011).
- Li, C. C. et al. ARL4D Recruits Cytohesin-2/ARNO to Modulate Actin Remodeling. Molecular Biology of the Cell 18, 4420–4437 (2007).
- Yamauchi, J. et al. Valproic acid-inducible Arl4D and cytohesin-2/ARNO, acting through the downstream Arf6, regulate neurite outgrowth in N1E-115 cells. Experimental Cell Research 315, 2043–2052 (2009).
- Hofmann, I., Thompson, A., Sanderson, C. M. & Munro, S. The Arl4 family of small G proteins can recruit the cytohesin Arf6 exchange factors to the plasma membrane. Curr. Biol. 17, 711–716 (2007).
- 43. Li, C.-C. *et al.* GTP-binding-defective ARL4D alters mitochondrial morphology and membrane potential. *PLoS ONE* 7, e43552 (2012).
- 44. Yokosuka, T. et al. Newly generated T cell receptor microclusters initiate and sustain T cell activation by recruitment of Zap70 and SLP-76. Nat. Immunol. 6, 1253–1262 (2005).
- 45. Kumari, S., Curado, S., Mayya, V. & Dustin, M. L. T cell antigen receptor activation and actin cytoskeleton remodeling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1838, 546–556 (2014).
- 46. Dong, H. et al. B7-H1 determines accumulation and deletion of intrahepatic CD8(+) T lymphocytes. Immunity 20, 327–336 (2004).
- 47. Wohlleber, D. et al. TNF-induced target cell killing by CTL activated through cross-presentation. CellReports 2, 478–487 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Andreas Dolf and Peter Wurst from the flow cytometry core facility at the University of Bonn for their help and Dirk Wohlleber (Technical University Munich) for providing the AdGOL for our experiments. This work was supported by the German research foundation funded collaborative research centre 704 (project A5 to PK, project A8 to LD, WK). We would, furthermore, like to thank the vector facility of the UKE for producing AdGOL for our experiments.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed experiments: L.D., F.T., P.A.K., W.K. Performed experiments: L.D., F.T., B.G., J.M., J.E., P.S., L.C.H.; Analysed data: L.D., F.T., B.G., J.M., J.E., L.C.H.; Contributed reagents, materials or analysis tools: L.C.H.; Wrote manuscript: L.D., F.T., W.K.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34522-4.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018