

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Author Correction: Three-dimensional aligned nanofibers-hydrogel scaffold for controlled non-viral drug/gene delivery to direct axon regeneration in spinal cord injury treatment

Lan Huong Nguyen¹, Mingyong Gao^{1,2,9}, Junquan Lin¹, Wutian Wu^{3,4,5,6}, Jun Wang⁷ & Sing Yian Chew^{1,8}

Correction to: *Scientific Reports* <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42212>, published online 07 February 2017

This Article contains typographical errors. In the Introduction section,

“Although the direct administration of biological factors to injury sites is frequently applied, such an approach often does not lead to robust tissue regeneration and reformation due to the rapid biological clearance of these agents from our bodies^{1,2,3,4}. Given this limitation, biodegradable scaffolds are increasingly employed as temporary frameworks for sustained delivery of biomolecules and to support neo-tissue formation.”

should read:

“Although the direct administration of biological factors to injury sites is frequently applied, such an approach often does not lead to robust tissue regeneration and reformation due to the degradation and rapid biological clearance of these agents from our bodies^{1,2,3}. Given this limitation, biodegradable scaffolds are increasingly employed as temporary frameworks for sustained delivery of biomolecules and to support neo-tissue formation⁴.”

“On the other hand, miR-222 is enriched in axons and participates in controlling local protein synthesis at distal axons³⁶⁻³⁸. Such controlled local protein synthesis plays critical roles in allowing severed axons to undergo regeneration within hours after injuries, independent of protein transport from the cell soma of neurons^{36,39}. Unfortunately, the expression of miR-222 is often significantly altered after nerve injuries⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶. *In vitro*, when miR-222 was deliberately over-expressed in injured adult neurons, enhanced regrowth was observed^{40,41,47,48}.”

should read:

¹School of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 637459, Singapore. ²Department of Spine Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430060, China. ³School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Hong Kong Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, China. ⁴Research Center of Reproduction, Development and Growth, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, China. ⁵State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, China. ⁶Guangdong-Hongkong-Macau Institute of CNS Regeneration, Jinan University, Guangzhou, 510632, PR China. ⁷The CAS Key Laboratory of Innate Immunity and Chronic Disease, School of Life Sciences and Medical Center, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230027, PR China. ⁸Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 308232, Singapore. ⁹Present address: Department of spinal surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430060, PR China. Lan Huong Nguyen and Mingyong Gao contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.Y.C. (email: sychew@ntu.edu.sg)

Published online: 24 August 2018

“On the other hand, miR-222⁴¹ is one of the miRs that is enriched in axons and participates in controlling local protein synthesis at distal axons^{36-38,41}. Such controlled local protein synthesis plays critical roles in allowing severed axons to undergo regeneration within hours after injuries, independent of protein transport from the cell soma of neurons^{36,39}. Unfortunately, the expression of these miRs⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶, and in particular, miR-222⁴¹ is often significantly altered after nerve injuries. *In vitro*, when miR-222 was deliberately over-expressed in injured adult neurons, enhanced regrowth was observed⁴¹.”

In the Materials and Methods section, under subheading ‘Aligned PCLEEP fibers’

“The PCLEEP copolymer (Mw = 59,102, Mn = 25,542) was synthesized by previously reported methods^{43,50}”

should read:

“The PCLEEP copolymer (Mw = 59,102, Mn = 25,542) was synthesized by previously reported methods⁵⁰.”

In the Results and Discussion section,

“Among the scaffolds analyzed, hydrogels^{8,14,52,53,54,55,56,57,58} and self-assembled peptide nanofibers^{59,60} are most popular.”

should read:

“Among the scaffolds analyzed, hydrogels^{7,8,14,52,55,56,57,58} and self-assembled peptide nanofibers^{9,10} are most popular.”

“Similarly, the fibrin scaffold by Taylor *et al.* could only deliver NT-3 for 2 weeks post-implantation due to the rapid scaffold degradation rate⁶³.”

should read:

“Similarly, the fibrin scaffold by Taylor *et al.* could only deliver NT-3 for 2 weeks post-implantation due to the rapid scaffold degradation rate⁵⁵.”

“Such controlled local protein synthesis at distal axons has allowed severed axons to undergo regeneration within hours after injuries^{35,45}. This local protein synthesis is finely controlled by microRNAs, such as miR-222. Indeed, miR-222 enhanced axonal regrowth in injured adult neurons *in vitro*^{40,41,47,48}.”

should read:

“Such controlled local protein synthesis at distal axons has allowed severed axons to undergo regeneration within hours after injuries^{35,39}. This local protein synthesis is finely controlled by microRNAs^{40,41,47,48}, such as miR-222⁴¹. Indeed, miR-222 enhanced axonal regrowth in injured adult neurons *in vitro*⁴¹.”

“To replenish this OL pool, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) proliferate and are recruited to the lesion site for differentiation and remyelination^{74,75}.”

should read:

“To replenish this OL pool, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) proliferate and are recruited to the lesion site for differentiation and remyelination⁷⁴.”

In the References section, reference 50 was incorrectly given as:

Xiao, C.-S., Wang, Y.-C., Du, J.-Z., Chen, X.-S. & Wang, J. Kinetics and Mechanism of 2-Ethoxy-2-oxo-1,3,2-dioxaphospholane Polymerization Initiated by Stannous Octoate. *Macromolecules* **39**, 6825–6831, doi: 10.1021/ma0615396 (2006).

The correct reference is listed below as reference 1.

In addition, references 54, 59, 60 and 63 are duplicates of references 7, 9, 10 and 55 respectively.

Reference

1. Wang, Y.-C., Li, Y., Yang, X.-Z., Yuan, Y.-Y., Yan, L.-F. & Wang, J. Tunable Thermosensitivity of Biodegradable Polymer Micelles of Poly(ϵ -caprolactone) and Polyphosphoester Block Copolymers. *Macromolecules* **42**(8), 3026–3032, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ma900288t> (2009).



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2018