SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 31 May 2017 Accepted: 22 April 2018 Published online: 11 June 2018

OPEN Sublethal effects of the novel cis-nitromethylene neonicotinoid cycloxaprid on the cotton aphid Aphis gossypii Glover (Hemiptera: **Aphididae**)

Li Cui, Huizhu Yuan, Qiyuan Wang, Qingin Wang & Changhui Rui

Cycloxaprid is a novel cis-configuration neonicotinoid insecticide that is effective against a wide range of insect pests, including those that are resistant to conventional neonicotinoids. In this study, life table parameters were applied to estimate the cycloxaprid-induced sublethal effects on Aphis gossypii. The results indicated that the LC_{20} (0.81 mg a.i. L^{-1}) of cycloxaprid significantly decreased the preoviposition period in first-progeny adults. Additionally, the life expectancy of F1 generation adults was reduced. However, no significant differences were observed for the intrinsic rate of increase (r_i) , finite rate of increase (λ), net reproductive rate (R_0), or mean generation time (T) of F1 individuals. Therefore, resurgence in the A. gossypii population induced by a low concentration of cycloxaprid might not occur. Additionally, the response of the detoxification enzymes showed that cycloxaprid at the LC₂₀ inhibited cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (P450) and glutathione S-transferase (GST) activities at 6 h after exposure. Such inhibition of P450 and GST activities could lead to a decrease in the metabolism of cycloxaprid, which would increase the efficacy of cycloxaprid. Therefore, our results contribute to the assessment of the overall effects of cycloxaprid on A. gossypii.

The cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae), is globally one of the most destructive sucking pests on cotton and numerous crops, causing an overall 4% reduction in lint yield annually¹. These aphids damage plants by direct feeding, honeydew excretion and transmission of viruses, such as the virus that causes cotton bunchy top disease^{2,3}. Although several control strategies have been tested and employed to suppress A. gossypii, chemical management remains the basis of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programmes against this insect pest¹. A diversity of chemical insecticides are used globally for controlling A. gossypii. One class, neonicotinoids, were introduced two decades ago⁴. However, the widespread use of *trans*-nitromethylene neonicotinoids such as imidacloprid has induced the development of resistance in A. gossypii worldwide. For example, Koo et al. reported that A. gossypii collected from Korea exhibited higher resistance than a susceptible strain to the neonicotinoids imidacloprid, clothianidin, acetamiprid, thiacloprid, and thiamethoxam⁵. In China, A. gossypii was also reported to be resistant to imidacloprid^{6.7}. Therefore, new insecticides should be introduced to control resistant A. gossypii.

Cycloxaprid, 9-((6-chloropyrid-3-yl)methyl)-4-nitro-8-oxa-10,11-dihydroimidazo-[2,3-a]-bicyclo-[3,2,1]-oct-3-ene, is a novel *cis*-nitromethylene neonicotinoid insecticide⁸. This insecticide contains a unique chemical structure with the nitro substituent in the cis-configuration, whereas the nitro is in the trans-configuration in all other commercialized neonicotinoids9. Cycloxaprid was designed to control a wide range of sap-feeding insect pests that are resistant to imidacloprid and other widely used neonicotinoids¹⁰⁻¹². Compared with imidacloprid, cycloxaprid exhibits 50-fold higher activity against imidacloprid-resistant brown plant hopper^{10,11}, and Cui et al. demonstrated that cycloxaprid is an excellent insecticide for the control of imidacloprid-resistant A. gossypii⁷.

Key Laboratory of Integrated Pest Management in Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, 100193, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.R. (email: chrui@ippcaas.cn)

Insecticide	n	Slope ± SE	LC ₂₀ (mg a.i. L ⁻¹) 95% CI	LC ₅₀ (mg a.i. L ⁻¹) 95% CI	LC ₉₀ (mg a.i. L ⁻¹) 95% CI	Р
Cycloxaprid	2910	1.65 ± 0.21	0.81 (0.51-1.30)	2.63 (1.82-3.78)	15.72 (8.79–28.11)	0.0164

Table 1. LC values of cycloxaprid against A. gossypii. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; SE, standard error.

	Control			Cycloxaprid			
Stage	n	Mean	SE	n	Mean	SE	Р
First-instar nymph (N1) (d)	74	1.80	0.09	74	1.73	0.08	0.53
Second-instar nymph (N2) (d)	74	1.49	0.08	71	1.46	0.08	0.79
Third-instar nymph (N3) (d)	73	1.24	0.07	69	1.22	0.06	0.86
Fourth-instar nymph (N4) (d)	71	1.07	0.06	67	1.05	0.06	0.83
Adult (d)	71	13.74	1.03	67	13.49	0.78	0.84
Preadult (d)	71	5.51	0.11	67	5.46	0.1	0.70
N1-Adult (d)	71	19.25	1.06	67	18.94	0.8	0.81
Mean longevity (d)	87	16.32	1.1	85	15.68	0.94	0.65
APOP (d)	69	0.25	0.07	67	0.09	0.03	<0.05
TPOP (d)	69	5.71	0.11	67	5.54	0.1	0.26
Fecundity (offspring/adult)	71	16.85	1.32	67	17.24	1.02	0.81

Table 2. Developmental time and fecundity of the F1-generation *A. gossypii* when parents (F0) were treated with the LC_{20} of cycloxaprid. APOP: adult pre-oviposition period, TPOP: total pre-oviposition period, *n*: number. Bold text indicates a significant difference between the control and cycloxaprid groups (P < 0.05, Student's *t*-test).

In addition to lethal toxicity, possible sublethal effects must also be considered for a comprehensive understanding of a new insecticide. A sublethal dose of an insecticide does not kill the entire population of an insect but exerts physiological and/or behavioural effects on individuals¹³. Exposure of pests to sublethal concentrations of pesticides is a common phenomenon in agro-ecosystems because pesticides are degraded after initial applications to crops¹⁴. These sublethal effects may impair fundamental physiological and/or behavioural traits, including biochemical and neurophysiological processes, development rates, longevity, reproduction, immune capacity, sex ratio, and feeding, searching, learning, and oviposition activities^{15,16}. These types of impairment undoubtedly have important consequences, including the rapid development of tolerance or resistance and outbreaks of insect pests at the population level of the exposed individuals¹⁷. Therefore, demographic toxicological analysis of an insecticide and estimating the total effect on a population are crucial when choosing new insecticides for IPM. Life table analysis is a useful tool to study population effects that may be underestimated at the individual level^{18,19}. In this study, we employed age-stage life table analysis to assess the sublethal effects of cycloxaprid, with a particular focus on possible transgenerational effects, on *A. gossypii*. To achieve a more complete understanding of the response of *A. gossypii* to cycloxaprid, we also investigated cycloxaprid sublethal effects on detoxification and target enzymes, namely, P450, GST, carboxylesterase (CarE) and acetylcholinesterase (AChE).

Results

Toxicity of cycloxaprid against *A. gossypii*. Table 1 presents the LC_{20} , LC_{50} and LC_{90} values of cycloxaprid against *A. gossypii*. Cycloxaprid was toxic to the tested strain of *A. gossypii*, with LC_{50} and LC_{90} values of 2.63 and 15.72 mg a.i. L^{-1} , respectively. The LC_{20} of cycloxaprid was estimated to be 0.81 mg a.i. L^{-1} , and this concentration was used for the subsequent study of sublethal effects. The mortality of *A. gossypii* at the LC_{20} of cycloxaprid was 19.51 \pm 1.78% after 24 h.

Transgenerational sublethal effects of cycloxaprid on F1 generation individuals. Due to its degradation by various factors, the LC_{20} was chosen to mimic the lower concentrations of cycloxaprid that may occur in the field following initial insecticide application^{15,20}. In our study, we investigated the sublethal effects of the cycloxaprid LC_{20} on the development time, longevity and fecundity of *A. gossypii* in the F1 generation (Table 2). The adult preoviposition period (APOP) decreased significantly in cycloxaprid-treated *A. gossypii*. Although cycloxaprid reduced the developmental time of each instar (N1, N2, N3, N4) and the adult, no significant differences were found between control and cycloxaprid-treated *A. gossypii*. Moreover, the sublethal concentration of cycloxaprid did not significantly affect the pre-adult and N1-adult periods, mean longevity or the total preoviposition period (TPOP). F1-generation adults exposed to the LC_{20} of cycloxaprid produced more offspring than control adults, though the difference was not significant. The transgenerational effects of sublethal cycloxaprid on the development of population dynamics were estimated using bootstrap methods based on the life table. The $r_i \lambda$, R_0 , and T were calculated and analysed (Table 3); however, no significant differences were observed in the cycloxaprid LC_{20} exposed group compared with the control.

	Control		Cycloxaprid		
Parameters	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Р
$r_i(\mathbf{d}^{-1})$	0.2703	0.0114	0.2795	0.0109	0.56
λ (d ⁻¹)	1.3104	0.0149	1.3225	0.0144	0.56
Ro (offspring/individual)	13.75	1.28	13.59	1.1	0.92
<i>T</i> (d)	9.69	0.26	9.33	0.25	0.32
GRR (offspring/individual)	26.25	1.57	24.25	1.704	0.39

Table 3. Transgenerational effects of cycloxaprid on population parameters of the F1 generation of *A. gossypii*. *r*_{*i*}: intrinsic rate of increase (d⁻¹), λ : finite rate of increase (d⁻¹), R_0 : net reproductive rate (offspring/individual), *T*: mean generation time (d). GRR: gross reproduction rate (offspring/individual). The standard error (SE) of the mean values was estimated using 10,000 bootstrap replications.



Figure 1. Age-stage survival rate (*s*_{xj}) of the F1-generation *A. gossypii*.

Age-stage survival rate (s_{xj}) curves represent the probability that a newborn nymph will survive to age *x* and stage *j* (Fig. 1). Because of the variable developmental rates of individuals, overlaps among stages were obviously observed in control and cycloxaprid-treated *A. gossypii*. The relative numbers of second-instar (N2), third-instar (N3) and fourth-instar (N4) nymphs were higher in the cycloxaprid treated group than in the control group. Additionally, the probability that a newborn nymph survived to an adult was 0.79 for the cycloxaprid-treated group, compared with only 0.77 for the control group.

Age-specific survival rate (l_x) curve shows the probability that a newborn nymph will survive to age x, and lx gives a simplified overview of the survival history. As shown in Fig. 2A, A. gossypii could successfully survive and reproduce when treated with a sublethal concentration of cycloxaprid. However, the lx for cycloxaprid-treated A. gossypii was considerably lower than that of the control after 22 days. Based on the curve m_x , the highest age-specific fecundity peak of the control A. gossypii (0.92 offspring/12h) occurred at the age of 18.0 d. In contrast, the cycloxaprid LC₂₀-treated group responded differently, with a high peak at the age of 6.0 days (1.0 off-spring/12 h; Fig. 2B). Dependent on both l_x and m_x , the maximal l_xm_x values were 0.788 and 0.747 offspring for the cycloxaprid-treated and control groups, respectively (Fig. 2C). The age-specific reproductive value (v_x) of the cycloxaprid group was lower than that of the control group in the adult stage after 9.5 days, but the maximum v_x value (5.83 at the age of 6 days) was higher than that of the control (5.29 at the age of 5.5 days) (Fig. 2D).

Age-stage life expectancy (e_{xj}) is the time that an individual of age *x* and stage *y* is expected to live, and life expectancy decreased as age increased (Fig. 3). The life expectancy of first-instar (N1), second-instar (N2) and third-instar (N3) nymphs was 15.68, 17.19 and 16.98 days for cycloxaprid-treated *A. gossypii*, respectively, whereas



Figure 2. Age-specific survival rate (l_x) , age-specific fecundity (m_x) , $l_x m_x$ and age-specific reproductive value (v_x) of the F1-generation *A. gossypii*.





these values were as high as 16.32, 18.41 and 17.58 days, respectively, for the control *A. gossypii*. Moreover, as indicated in Fig. **3C**, cycloxaprid reduced the life expectancy of F1-generation *A. gossypii*.

The sublethal effect of cycloxaprid on *A. gossypii* detoxification and target enzymes. The activities of detoxification enzymes such as P450, CarE, and GST, as well as the target enzyme, AChE in *A. gossypii* treated with a sublethal concentration of cycloxaprid are presented in Fig. 4. No significant difference (P = 0.49) in CarE activity was detected between cycloxaprid-treated *A. gossypii* and the untreated control. However, cycloxaprid significantly stimulated AChE enzyme activity (P = 0.049) at 6 and 12h after treatment, with 19.5% and 16.4% higher activity measured, respectively. The responses of P450 and GST activities to cycloxaprid exposure





were similar, decreasing by 10.1% (P = 0.079) and 28.8% (P = 0.013), respectively, after 6 h; however, activities tended to increase to the same levels as the control thereafter.

Discussion

Previous studies demonstrate that cycloxaprid is effective for the control of A. gossypii in the field⁷. Additionally, cycloxaprid shows highly selective activity between A. gossypii and its predominant natural enemies, Harmonia axyridis (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and Chrysoperla sinica Tjeder (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae)⁷. Based on these results, cycloxaprid can serve as an alternative to other insecticides for the control of A. gossypii and may be widely used in agriculture in the coming year. However, because of variable distribution and continuous degradation, insect pests will frequently be exposed to low concentrations of cycloxaprid in the field, resulting in potential sublethal effects, e.g., modifications of feeding behaviour, survival rate, development time, fecundity and resistance development²¹. These sublethal effects by insecticides can negatively or positively affect the fitness of insect pests. This biphasic phenomenon, characterized by low-dose stimulation and high-dose inhibition following exposure to stress, is known as hormesis²². In addition to stimulating life history traits, hormesis may lead to insect pest outbreaks or augment the development of insecticide tolerance or resistance^{23,24}; such events occur when exposure to low doses of a pesticide induces production of enzymes that detoxify the compound or increases mutations that confer resistance²². For example, sublethal concentrations of imidacloprid increase reproduction, alter expression of detoxification genes (cvtochrome P450-CYP6CY3, E4-esterase and Hsp60 genes), and prime Myzus persicae (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) for subsequent stress²². Additionally, Gressel found that low pesticide rates may accelerate the evolution of resistance by increasing mutation frequencies²⁵. Therefore, the sublethal effects and risks of cycloxaprid application must be determined.

The r_i shows the ability of a population to increase logarithmically in an unlimited environment, and this parameter has been proposed as a more reliable measurement of insecticidal toxic effects than estimates of lethal concentrations²⁶. According to our findings, sublethal exposure of parent *A. gossypii* slightly increased r_i and decreased the developmental time of nymphs and adults in the offspring. However, the differences were not significant when compared with control *A. gossypii*. These results indicate that cycloxaprid at the LC₂₀ sublethal concentration would not induce hormesis in *A. gossypii*. Similarly, it was also reported that cycloxaprid at LC₁₀ and LC₄₀ sublethal concentrations had no significant effect on the *T*, r_i , λ or doubling time (*DT*) of *A. gossypii²⁷*. These

results suggest that insecticide-induced resurgence might not occur after exposure of adult *A. gossypii* to low sublethal concentrations of cycloxaprid. Yuan *et al.* documented that the longevity of *A. gossypii* treated with the LC_{40} of cycloxaprid was lower than that of control aphids and those exposed to the LC_{10} concentration²⁷. This result was consistent with a report that *A. gossypii* longevity was reduced by 12 days, when adults were treated with a sublethal concentration of imidacloprid²⁸. Moreover, sublethal effects of cycloxaprid have been documented in other important insect pests. For example, a sublethal concentration of cycloxaprid impaired *Sitobion avenae* (Fabricius) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) phloem ingestion and thereby reduced the weight of aphids¹¹. Additionally, cycloxaprid at the LC_{25} concentration induced sublethal effects in adult *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) by prolonging developmental periods and decreasing survival rates among all larval instar, pseudopupal and adult stages and also significantly shortened the oviposition period of females and decreased their fecundity²⁹. Cycloxaprid at low concentrations also strongly reduced *Apolygus lucorum* (Meyer-Dür) (Hemiptera: Miridae) adult longevity, decreased female fecundity, and prolonged the pre-oviposition period while shortening the oviposition period¹².

Responses of enzyme activity can be used as "biomarkers" to assess sublethal contamination in invertebrates and vertebrates³⁰. Thus, the determination of detoxification enzyme activities could add valuable information to the overall understanding of the effect of cycloxaprid on A. gossypii populations. In this in vivo study, four enzyme systems (i.e., P450, GST, CarE and AChE) were examined as possible biomarkers for cycloxaprid-treated A. gossypii. Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases are the largest and most functionally diverse class of insect detoxification enzymes; members of the CYP3 clade are implicated in the oxidative detoxification of synthetic insecticides³¹. Similar to P450s, carboxyl/cholinesterases (CCEs) function broadly in xenobiotic detoxification, and clades A to C are involved in insecticide detoxification. Insect CCEs can hydrolyse both organophosphates and other synthetic insecticides³². GST enzymes function by conjugating xenobiotics and endogenously activating compounds to the thiol group of reduced glutathione, thereby targeting them for more rapid excretion or degradation³³. In insects, GSTs are associated with resistance to insecticides, including spinosad, diazinon and nitenpyram³⁴. Our results showed that CarE activity in A. gossypii was not induced by cycloxaprid exposure, suggesting that CarE is not the primary factor affecting cycloxaprid detoxification and resistance in A. gossypii. Conversely, the activity of AChE was significantly induced by the sublethal concentration of cycloxaprid, which might be the result of increased expression of the enzyme at the transcriptional or translational level. In contrast, cycloxaprid inhibited the activities of P450 and GST after 6 h of exposure, similar to the findings of Yin et al.³⁵, Obear et al.³⁶ and Rumpf et al.³⁰. However, this inhibition was reversed and the activities of P450 and GST recovered over time. The recovery of enzyme activities might be associated with *de novo* synthesis, as assessed by Fossi *et al.*³⁷. Additionally, inhibition of P450 and GST activities might decrease the metabolic processing of cycloxaprid; thus, cycloxaprid readily exhibits high efficacy against A. gossypii.

In this study, only the sublethal effect of cycloxaprid on the first generation of *A. gossypii* was investigated, without an evaluation of continuous insecticide exposure. Therefore, further study is required to determine cycloxaprid-induced multigenerational hormesis in *A. gossypii* and studies using different sublethal concentrations are required. Additionally, exposure of field populations may provide a more comprehensive evaluation of putative hormesis responses of *A. gossypii* to cycloxaprid. With the aim of establishing an optimized IPM strategy, the results of the present study under laboratory conditions justify the importance of assessing the sublethal effects of cycloxaprid on *A. gossypii* populations in the field.

Methods

Insects and insecticides. The laboratory population of cotton aphid (*A. gossypii*) used in this study was originally collected from cotton in Xinjiang Province, China; the population has been maintained in our laboratory without exposure to any insecticides since June 2015. *A. gossypii* individuals were reared on cotton plants (Zhongzhi 8) and maintained under controlled conditions at 25 ± 2 °C, $70 \pm 20\%$ relative humidity (RH) and a 14:10 h light:dark photoperiod.

Cycloxaprid (97%) was obtained from East China University of Science and Technology (Shanghai, China). Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and triton X-100 were purchased from Beijing Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.

Toxicity of cycloxaprid against *A. gossypii.* The toxicity of cycloxaprid against *A. gossypii* under laboratory conditions was evaluated using a previously described leaf-dipping method⁷. The stock solution of cycloxaprid (10,000 mg a.i. L^{-1} in DMSO) was diluted to concentrations of 50, 20, 10, 5, 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.1 mg a.i. L^{-1} using an aqueous solution of 0.05% (w/v) triton X-100. Bioassays consisted of four replicates for each concentration. Individual cotton leaves infested with approximately fifty mixed-age *A. gossypii* were dipped in the cycloxaprid solutions for 3 sec and dried on tissue paper. Afterwards, individual leaves were transferred to 90 mm petri dishes containing water-moistened filter paper. Each petri dish was covered with a perforated lid with fine mesh to provide ventilation; the dishes were then stored in an incubator at $25 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}$ C, $70 \pm 20\%$ RH and a 14:10h light:dark photoperiod for 24 h until mortality was assessed. Control aphids were treated with distilled water containing 0.05% triton X-100 and DMSO; the mortality of the control samples was less than 10%. The sublethal concentration value (LC₂₀), lethal concentration values (LC₅₀ and LC₉₀) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using statistical software DPS 7.05 (Refine Information Tech. Co. Ltd, Hangzhou, China). The LC₂₀ concentration was used for subsequent experiments evaluating the sublethal effects of cycloxaprid.

Sublethal effects of cycloxaprid on the F1 generation of A. *gossypii.* The LC_{20} concentration obtained from the previous bioassay was used to evaluate the sublethal effects of cycloxaprid on *A. gossypii.* Cycloxaprid was prepared in DMSO and diluted to the LC_{20} with distilled water containing 0.05% triton X-100. *A. gossypii* dipped in cycloxaprid solution for 3 sec were used as the treatment group, control aphids were treated with aqueous solution of DMSO and 0.05% triton X-100. When dry, the leaves were transferred to 90 mm petri

dishes containing water-moistened filter paper and covered with a perforated lid. Mortality was calculated at 24 h after treatment. Surviving adult apterous aphids were gently moved into a separate glass dish containing fresh cotton leaf discs without any insecticide. The offspring produced by these F0 adults on the second day were collected and used as the F1 generation in this life table experiment. At least 150 neonate nymphs of each group were observed individually. The leaf discs placed on agar beds (2% agar) were replaced every 3 days during the experiments. Population parameters, including the developmental time of every stage, survival, the oviposition period, longevity, and the number of progeny produced per female were recorded daily. Newly born nymphs were counted and removed each day. The longevity of the aphids and the number of nymphs produced per female were recorded until the adult was dead. These data were then used to establish the age-stage, two-sex life table. The l_x , s_{xj} , v_{xj} , APOP, TPOP, r_i , λ , R_0 and T were calculated. Survival rate, fecundity, and reproductive value curves were constructed using Origin 8.0 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA).

Detoxification enzyme assays. Preparation of A. gossypii homogenate. A. gossypii aphids were treated with cycloxaprid at the LC_{20} concentration and collected at 0, 6, 12 and 24 h after exposure. A. gossypii samples (10 mg) were homogenized on ice in 2 mL of 0.04 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (CarE assay), 66 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (GST assay), 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (AChE assay) or 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.6, containing 1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM N-phenylthiourea (PTU) and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (P450 assay). The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was collected as the enzyme source. The protein concentration of the enzyme source was determined according to the method of Bradford³⁸, using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

CarE ahssay. CarE activity was measured using α -naphthyl acetate as a substrate according to the method described by Van Asperen³⁹, with slight modification. Five millilitres of a substrate solution containing 0.3 mM α -naphthyl acetate (α -NA) and 0.1 mM physostigmine (an inhibitor of acetylcholinesterase) was prepared, followed by the addition of approximately 0–0.5 mL of enzyme source (diluted 20-fold) and approximately 1–0.5 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS: 0.04 M, pH 7.0). The mixture was incubated with shaking for 30 min at 30 °C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 mL of distilled water containing 2.9 mg of fast blue B salt and 35.7 mg of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Absorbance at 600 nm was measured after 30 min using a Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). The results are expressed as Δ mOD₆₀₀ min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹. At least three replicates of enzyme sources were tested with 5 individuals for each replicate.

GST assay. GST activity was measured using 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (CDNB) as the substrate⁴⁰. The enzyme solution (0.2 mL) was incubated with CDNB (0.1 mL, 30 mM), glutathione (GSH: 0.3 mL, 50 mM) and PBS (2.4 mL, 66 mM, pH 7.0). Enzyme activity was measured using a Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader at 340 nm and 27 °C using the kinetic mode for 5 min. The results are expressed as Δ mOD₃₄₀ min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹. Three replicates of enzyme sources were tested with 5 individuals for each replicate.

P450 assay. Insect functional oxidase ELISA kit was used to assay P450 levels in the samples. The enzyme sources were transferred to the microlon ELISA plates in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. ODs were measured at 450 nm using a Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader, and concentrations were calculated by comparing the ODs to the standard curve. The results are expressed as $\Delta OD_{450} \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ mg protein}^{-1}$. Three replicates of enzyme sources were tested.

AChE assay. AChE activity was measured using acetylthiocholine iodide as substrate by the method of Ellman⁴¹. A solution of 0.05 mL of 75 mM acetylthiocholine iodide and 0.1 mL of 0.1 M dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) was prepared, followed by the addition of approximately 0–0.5 mL of enzyme and approximately 2.1–2.6 mL of PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4). The mixture was incubated with shaking for 15 min at 27 °C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.5 mL of 1 mM physostigmine. Absorbance at 412 nm was measured using a Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader. The results are expressed as $\Delta mOD_{412} \min^{-1} mg \text{ protein}^{-1}$. Three replicates of enzyme sources were tested with 5 individuals for each replicate.

Statistical analysis. The data for the life history of *A. gossypii* individuals were analysed according to the age-stage, two-sex life table using the TWOSEX-MSChart computer program⁴². The means and standard errors of survival, longevity and fecundity were assessed with bootstrapping method⁴³ (10,000 bootstrap replications) in the TWOSEX-MSChart program. The differences of data were statistically analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher's LSD tests and Student's *t*-tests ($\alpha = 0.05$) in SPSS 13.0 statistical software package (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Data availability statement. No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- 1. Shrestha, R. B. & Parajulee, M. N. Potential cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii*, population suppression by arthropod predators in upland cotton. *Insect. Sci.* **20**, 778–788 (2013).
- Chen, Y. Z. et al. Evidence of superclones in Australian cotton aphid Aphis gossypii Glover (Aphididae: Hemiptera). Pest. Manag. Sci. 69, 938–948 (2013).
- 3. Reddall, A. et al. Cotton bunchy top: an aphid and graft transmitted cotton disease. Aust. Plant. Path. 33, 197-202 (2004).
- 4. Jeschke, P. & Nauen, R. Neonicotinoids from zero to hero in insecticide chemistry. Pest Manag. Sci. 64, 1084-1098 (2008)
- 5. Koo, H. N. *et al.* Regional susceptibilities to 12 insecticides of melon and cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and a point mutation associated with imidacloprid resistance. *Crop. Prot.* **55**, 91–97 (2014).
- Zhang, J., Cui, L., Xu, X. B. & Rui, C. H. Frequency detection of imidacloprid resistance allele in *Aphis-gossypii* field populations by real-time PCR amplification of specific- allele (rtPASA). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 125, 1–7 (2015).

- Cui, L., Qi, H. L., Yang, D. B., Yuan, H. Z. & Rui, C. H. Cycloxaprid: a novel *cis*-nitromethylene neonicotinoid insecticide to control imidacloprid-resistant cotton aphid (*Aphis gossypii*). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 132, 96–101 (2016).
- Shao, X. S., Swenson, T. L. & Casida, J. E. Cycloxaprid Insecticide: Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Binding Site and Metabolism. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 61, 7883–7888 (2013).
- 9. Shao, X. S. *et al. cis*-Configuration: A new tactic/rationale for neonicotinoid molecular design. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* **59**, 2943–2949 (2011).
- Shao, X. S. *et al.* Divalent and oxabridged neonicotinoids constructed by dialdehydes and nitromethylene analogues of imidacloprid: Design, synthesis, crystal structure, and insecticidal activities. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 58, 2696–2702 (2010).
- 11. Cui, L. et al. Effects of cycloxaprid, a novel cis-nitromethylene neonicotinoid insecticide, on the feeding behavior of Sitobion avenae. Pest Manag. Sci. 68, 1484–1491 (2012).
- Pan, H. S. et al. Lethal and sublethal effects of cycloxaprid, a novel cis-nitromethylene neonicotinoid insecticide, on the mirid bug Apolygus lucorum. J. Pest Science 87, 731–738 (2014).
- Perveen, F. & Miyata, T. Effects of Sublethal Dose of Chlorfluazuron on Ovarian Development and Oogenesis in the Common Cutworm Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 93, 1131–1137 (2000).
- Qu, Y. Y. et al. Sublethal and hormesis effects of imidacloprid on the soybean aphid *Aphis glycines. Ecotoxicology* 24, 479–487 (2015).
 Chen, X. W. et al. Sublethal and transgenerational effects of sulfoxaflor on the biological traits of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Ecotoxicology* 25, 1841–1848 (2016).
- Desneux, N., Pham-Delegue, M. H. & Kaiser, L. Oviposition behaviour and patch-time allocation in two aphid parasitoids exposed to deltamethrin residues. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **112**, 227–235 (2004).
- Stark, J. D., Banks, J. E. & Vargas, R. How risky is risk assessment: the role that life history strategies play in susceptibility of species to stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 732–736 (2004).
- 18. Chi, H. & Liu, H. Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. Bull. Inst. Zool. Acad. Sin. 24, 225-240 (1985).
- Chi, H. Life-table analysis incorporating both sexes and variable development rates among individuals. Environ. Entomol. 17, 26–34 (1988).
- Wang, L., Zhang, Y. J., Xie, W., Wu, Q. J. & Wang, S. L. Sublethal effects of spinetoram on the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 132, 102–107 (2016).
- 21. Tang, Q. L. *et al.* Evaluation of sublethal effects of sulfoxaflor on the green peach aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) using life table parameters. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **108**, 2720–2728 (2015).
- Rix, R. R., Ayyanath, M. M. & Cutler, G. C. Sublethal concentrations of imidacloprid increase reproduction, alter expression of detoxification genes, and prime *Myzus persicae* for subsequent stress. J. Pest Sci. 89, 581–589 (2016).
- Guedes, N. M. P., Tolledo, J., Correa, A. S. & Guedes, R. N. C. Insecticide induced hormesis in an insecticide-resistant strain of the maize weevil. Sitophilus zeamais. J. Appl. Entomol. 134, 142–148 (2010).
- 24. Guedes, R. N. C. & Cutler, G. C. Insecticide-induced hormesis and arthropod pest management. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 70, 690–697 (2014).
- Gressel, J. Low pesticide rates may hasten the evolution of resistance by increasing mutation frequencies. Pest Manag. Sci. 67, 253–257 (2011).
- Forbes, V. E. & Calow, P. Is the percapita rate of increase a good measure of population-level effects in ecotoxicology? *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 18, 1544–1556 (1999).
- 27. Yuan, H. B. *et al.* Lethal, sublethal and transgenerational effects of the novel chiral neonicotinoid pesticide cycloxaprid on demographic and behavioral traits of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Insect Sci.* **00**, 1–10 (2016).
- Gerami, S. et al. Sublethal effects of imidacloprid on the lifetable parameters of Aphis gosspii. Appl. Entomol. Phytopath. 75, 67–79 (2007).
- 29. Wang, R. *et al.* Lethal and sublethal effects of a novel *cis*-nitromethylene neonicotinoid insecticide, cycloxaprid, on *Bemisia tabaci. Crop Prot* **83**, 15–19 (2016).
- Rumpf, S., Hetzel, F. & Frampton, C. Lacewings (Neuroptera: Hemerobiidae and Chrysopidae) and Integrated Pest Management: Enzyme Activity as Biomarker of Sublethal Insecticide Exposure. J. Econ. Entomol. 90, 102–108 (1997).
- 31. Cui, L. et al. De novo transcriptome and expression profile analyses of the Asian corn borer (Ostrinia furnacalis) reveals relevant flubendiamide response genes. BMC Genomics 18, 20 (2017).
- 32. Ramsey, J. S. et al. Comparative analysis of detoxification enzymes in Acyrthosiphon pisum and Myzus persicae. Insect Mol. Biol. 19, 155–164 (2010).
- Li, X., Schuler, M. A. & Berenbaum, M. R. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic resistance to synthetic and natural xenobiotics. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52, 231–253 (2007).
- Enayati, A. A., Ranson, H. & Hemingway, J. Insect glutathione transferases and insecticide resistance. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 14, 3–8 (2005).
- Yin, X. H. et al. Effect of Sublethal concentrations of spinosad on the activities of detoxifying enzymes in the larvae of diamond back moth. Plutella xylostell. Chinese J. Pestic. Sci. 10, 28–34 (2008).
- Obear, G. R. et al. Fungicides affect Japanese beetle Popillia japonica (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) egg hatch, larval survival and detoxification enzymes. Pest Manag. Sci. 72, 966–973 (2016).
- Fossi, M. C., Massi, A. & Leonzio, C. Blood esterase inhibition in birds as an index of organophosphorus contamination: field and laboratory studies. *Ecotoxicology* 3, 11–20 (1994).
- Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254 (1976).
- 39. Van Asperen, K. A study of housefly esterases by means of a sensitive colorimetric method. J. Ins. Physiol. 8, 401–416 (1962).
- Habig, W. H., Pabst, M. J. & Jakoby, W. B. Glutathione S-transferases, the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 7130–7139 (1974).
- 41. Ellman, G. L. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetycholine-esterase activity. Biochem. Pharmacol. 7, 88-95 (1961).
- 42. Chi, H. TWOSEX-MSChart (Two-sex life table analysis), http://140.120.197.173/Ecology/ (2012).
- Huang, Y. B. & Chi, H. Life tables of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae): With an invalidation of the jackknife technique. J. Appl. Entomol. 137, 327–339 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support for this investigation by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0200502) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31601667).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: L.C., H.Z.Y., Q.Y.W., Q.Q.W., C.H.R. Performed the experiments: L.C., Q.Y.W. Analysed the data: L.C., Q.Y.W. Wrote the paper: L.C., Q.Y.W., H.Z.Y., C.H.R.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018