SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 21 February 2017 Accepted: 8 June 2017 Published online: 24 July 2017

OPEN Downregulation of *ALAS1* by nicarbazin treatment underlies the reduced synthesis of protoporphyrin IX in shell gland of laying hens

Sami Samiullah, Juliet Roberts & Shu-Biao Wu 🗈

Shell colour is an important trait for eggs and an understanding of pigment deposition will assist potential management of egg shell colour loss. We demonstrated that nicarbazin feeding downregulated ALAS1 and reduced protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) in both shell gland and eggshell, indicating the role of nicarbazin in inhibiting the synthesis of PP IX. Additionally, the expression levels of the genes did not show sequential upregulation in the same order of diurnal time-points (TP) during egg formation. The gene SLC25A38, responsible for transporting glycine from cytoplasm to mitochondria, and the gene ALAS1, encoding rate-limiting enzyme (delta-aminolevulinic acid synthase 1), had higher expression at 15 hr, as compared with 2, 5 and 23.5 hrs postoviposition. Interestingly, ABCB6, a gene encoding an enzyme responsible for transporting coproporphyrinogen III, showed higher expression level at 2 and 5 hrs. However, the expression of CPOX that converts coproporphyrinogen III to protoporphyrinogen III, and ABCG2 that transports PP IX out from mitochondria did not alter. Nevertheless, mitochondrial count per cell did not show consistent change in response to time-points postoviposition and nicarbazin feeding. The information obtained in the study sheds light on how nicarbazin disrupts the synthesis of PP IX.

The oviduct in laying hens is divided into five distinguishable regions: infundibulum (10 cm), magnum (35 cm), isthmus (8 cm), shell gland (10 cm) and vagina (4 cm)^{1,2}. The formation of the egg takes about 24 hrs during which it sequentially passes through various parts of the oviduct. The release of the next ovum is precisely synchronized, occurring an average of 24 minutes post-oviposition under a 24 hr light-dark cycle³. The ovum remains in the infundibulum for about 0.5 hr, in the magnum for about 3 hrs, in the isthmus for 1-2 hrs, and in the shell gland for about 18–20 hrs^{2, 4, 5}. The eggshell comprises both organic and inorganic components that are synthesised in the isthmus and shell gland⁶⁻⁹. The eggshell is composed of shell membranes, mammillary layer, palisade layer, surface crystal layer and cuticle^{10,11}. The brown eggshell colour in laying hens is mainly due to the deposition of a pigment known as protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) during eggshell formation in the shell gland. The presence of PP IX in various shell layers has been reported¹²⁻¹⁴ although most of the pigment is deposited into the outmost layers of the palisade layer (80-87%) with a smaller amount in the cuticle $(13-20\%)^{15}$. Shell deposition increases regularly 12–23 hrs post-oviposition and reaches a plateau 1.5 hrs before oviposition¹⁶, which coincides with the deposition of cuticle (1–1.5 hrs)¹⁷. PP IX deposition increases greatly during the last 20–24 hrs post-oviposition¹⁸.

It is assumed that regular egg formation may change the energy metabolism of key organs such as the oviduct, liver and adipose tissue. At the cellular level, alterations of nutrient and energy requirements coincide with changes in the mitochondria, the main site of production of ATP in cells¹⁹. The mitochondrial genome has multiple copies per cell and the number of mitochondria varies depending on energy demands of a cell, the age and sex of an organism, the organ and patho-physiological conditions²⁰⁻²². Certain drugs are nucleoside analogs that block the progression of polymerase γ^{23} , which leads to the inhibition of mitochondrial biogenesis. The

Animal Science, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales, 2351, Australia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.-B.W. (email: shubiao.wu(a) une.edu.au)



Figure 1. Schematic representation of protoporphyrin IX biosynthetic pathway in the shell gland of brown egg laying hens. Glycine is transported from the cytosol to the matrix of mitochondria through the possible transporter SLC25A38. Succinyl coenzyme A and glycine are oxidized by aminolevulinic acid synthase 1 (ALAS1) to δ -aminolevulinic acid, which is transported back to the cytosol through unknown transporter/s. The δ -aminolevulinic acid is converted into porphobilinogen through the action of aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD). The porphobilinogen is further reduced into hydroxymethylbilane through porphobilinogen deaminase (PGBD). In the next two steps, uroporphyrinogen III and coproporphyrinogen III are synthesized through the actions of uroporphyrinogen III synthase (UROS) and uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase (UROD), respectively. The coproporphyrinogen III is transported back to mitochondria and is converted to protoporphyrinogen III through the action of coproporphyrinogen oxidase (CPOX). The protoporphyrinogen III is converted into protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPOX) that deposits into eggshell. It is not known, how the protoporphyrin IX is inhibited from being converted into heme, a part of haemoglobin.

mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) copies decrease with age, as shown in mice and humans²⁴. However, mtDNA copies increased from early to late lactation in the mammillary glands of cows²⁵. The mtDNA replication is independent of the cell cycle and is controlled by the nuclear DNA encoded polymerase $\gamma^{26, 27}$ and mitochondrial transcription factor A²⁸.

Chicken (*Gallus gallus*) mtDNA is circular in shape with about 16758 bp, containing 37 identified genes encoding 13 polypeptides, 2 rRNAs, 22 tRNAs and 1 non coding control D-loop region^{29, 30}. This small genome has been shown to contain around 15% of repetitive DNA organized as short tandem repeats, such as telomeric and centromeric tandem repeats³¹. Determination of mtDNA content is important for understanding many cellular processes³². An essential component of mitochondrial biogenesis is the regulation of mitochondrial morphology and number within healthy cells with a dynamic balance of fission and fusion events. The number of mtDNA copies is highly dynamic and regulates in a cell-specific manner by mechanisms that are not completely understood^{33, 34}.

In the epithelial cells of the chicken shell gland, mitochondria are of particular interest, owing to the high demand for energy used in the biogenesis of the eggshell. Mitochondrial biogenesis in these cells also allows them to meet changing energy loads for production of various components of the eggshell, including eggshell pigment. Nicarbazin is one of the various factors that has been shown to cause reduced synthesis and/or deposition of protoporphyrin into eggshells, when fed to brown-egg laying hens at recommended dosages (50–125 mg/kg of feed)^{35, 36}. Nicarbazin produces reversible pharmacological effects by causing decolouration of brown egg pigment, which is dosage dependent^{37, 38}. Thus, this model can be easily reproduced in studies to investigate effects of nicarbazin on eggshell formation in laying hens. Understanding the molecular basis for the effect of nicarbazin may also provide an understanding of the mechanisms by which shell colour decreases in response to other factors which have been less well-defined.

The biosynthetic pathway of PP IX is well established; however, the origin of its precursors and the mechanism of deposition are still not known. The pigment is believed to be synthesized in the mucosal epithelial cells of the shell gland where the whole process takes place in the mitochondria and cytoplasm with the involvement of various enzymes. Briefly, as illustrated in Fig. 1, the solute carrier family 25, member 38 (*SLC25A38*) gene, functions to facilitate delta-aminolevulinic acid production by transporting glycine into the mitochondrial matrix from the cytosol³⁹. The delta-aminolevulinic acid synthase 1 (*ALAS1*) gene encodes a rate limiting non-erythroid enzyme that catalyses the reaction of succinyl coenzyme A with glycine to form delta-aminolevulinic acid within the mitochondrial matrix^{40, 41}. Cell surface ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters serve to efflux a variety of compounds⁴² and are also involved in the transport of substances across mitochondrial membranes⁴³. The gene *ABCB6* is part of the ATP-binding cassette family and is located on the mitochondrial membrane⁴⁴. This gene encodes a transporter that has been demonstrated to play a role in transporting coproporphyrinogen III back to the mitochondria from the cytosol⁴⁵. The coproporphyrinogen oxidase (*CPOX*) gene encodes an enzyme that converts coproporphyrinogen III into protoporphyrinogen III and this gene is located in the mitochondria⁴⁶. *ABCG2* is also part of the ATP-binding cassette family but plays a role in the export of PP IX out of the cell^{47, 48}. The feline leukemia virus subgroup C cellular receptor 1 (*FLVCR1*) gene is located on the cell membrane that encodes an enzyme that transports heme/PP IX out of the cell⁴⁵. This gene is highly conserved throughout evolution with orthologs present in plants, bacteria and animals, suggesting its importance in cell biology⁴⁹. The ferrochelatase gene (*FECH*) encodes the enzyme that catalyses the final step in converting PP IX into heme^{50,51}.

Based on the active involvement of the avian shell gland in the production of various components of eggshells, we hypothesized that expression levels of the genes involved in the synthesis of PP IX may change according to their roles in the synthesis procedure following a temporal manner and possibly mitochondrial numbers in the cell of shell gland vary with different stages of eggshell formation. To test this hypothesis, we studied the possible variations in the expression of relevant genes and mitochondrial count per cell at different stages of egg/eggshell formation (time-points). We also hypothesized, on the basis of the effects of nicarbazin on production of PP IX, that nicarbazin may disrupt the mechanism involved in PP IX production by either regulating the genes involved in its production or affecting the mitochondrial numbers in the cells, resulting in lower production of PP IX appearing in eggshells of nicarbazin fed brown-egg laying hens. Nicarbazin was used as a model as it has been shown to produce reversible loss of brown eggshell colour^{36, 37}. Therefore, Experiment 1 was performed to study the expression of genes involved in the synthesis of PP IX at different stages of egg/eggshell formation. In Experiment 2, the mechanism by which nicarbazin disrupts PP IX synthesis at different time-points of eggshell formation was studied. In both the experiments, the mitochondrial count per cell was quantified at different stages of egg/eggshell formation and during nicarbazin treatment, to establish any relationship between mitochondrial copy number and PP IX synthesis. The PP IX level was measured in shell gland tissue and eggshell to understand the effect of expression levels of genes on the synthesis and deposition of PP IX. Thus, the main objective of the study was to investigate the expression levels of genes involved in the synthesis of PP IX, as well as mitochondrial count, at different stages of egg/eggshell formation and during nicarbazin treatment in brown-egg laying hens.

Results

Mitochondrial count per cell and PP IX synthesis genes. In Experiment 1, the mean mitochondrial count per cell in the shell gland tissue was not significantly different (P > 0.05) among the four different stages of egg/eggshell formation (time-points) (Fig. 2a). However, the expression levels of the candidate genes were significantly affected (P < 0.05) by the time-points except for the *CPOX* and the *ABCG2* (Table 1). The expression level of *SLC25A38* gene was significantly higher at 15 hr compared with the 2, 5 and 23.5 hrs post-oviposition times. The expression level of *ALAS1* gene was significantly higher at 5 and 15 hrs compared with 2 and 23.5 hrs post-oviposition compared with 23.5 hr. The expression levels of *FECH* and *FLVCR1* genes were significantly higher at 23.5 hr

In Experiment 2, no main effect of either time-point or nicarbazin treatment was observed on the mitochondrial count (Fig. 2b). There was significant interaction (P < 0.05) of time-points and nicarbazin treatment for mitochondrial count at 15 hr time-point (Fig. 2c). The nicarbazin decreased mitochondrial count only at 15 hr post-oviposition but not at other time-points. The expression levels of all the genes except for CPOX were significantly affected by time-points, both in the control and nicarbazin treatment groups (Table 2). The expression level of SLC25A38 was significantly higher at 15 hr both in the control and nicarbazin treatment groups (Table 2). ALAS1 expression level was significantly higher at 15 hr compared with the 5 and 23.5 hrs in the control group. However, in the nicarbazin treatment groups, ALAS1 expression level showed no significant difference between 5 and 15 hrs. ABCG2 expression level was significantly higher at 5 hr compared with both the 15 and 23.5 hrs time-points in the control and in the nicarbazin treatment groups. The higher expression level of FECH was the same in the control and nicarbazin treatment groups, occurring at 23.5 hr. In both the groups, the FLVCR1 expression level was significantly higher at 15 hr time-point. There was no significant interaction between time-points and nicarbazin treatment for any of the genes expression levels investigated (Table 2). The expression levels of all seven genes studied were not significantly different (P > 0.05) between the control and nicarbazin treatment hens except for ALAS1 (Table 2). In the control group, the mean relative expression levels (\pm S.E.) of ALAS1 at 5, 15 and 23.5 hrs time-points were 1.34 ± 0.06 , 1.66 ± 0.07 and 0.60 ± 0.03 , respectively. In the nicarbazin treatment group, the mean relative expression levels (\pm S.E.) of ALAS1 at 5, 15 and 23.5 hrs time-points were 1.21 \pm 0.09, 1.27 ± 0.06 and $0.50\pm0.01,$ respectively.

Measurement of PP IX in shell gland tissue. In Experiment 1, the mean values of PP IX measured per gram of shell gland tissue were significantly affected (P < 0.05) by time-point (Fig. 2d). The PP IX level in the shell gland was at the lowest when the egg was not in the shell gland at 5 hr post-oviposition then gradually increased to the highest at 23.5 hr (Fig. 2d).

In Experiment 2, there was a significantly lower amount of PP IX per gram of shell gland tissue in the nicarbazin treated compared with the control groups (Fig. 2e). A significant interaction between time-point and treatment showed that, in the control groups, the amount of PP IX significantly increased at the 23.5 hr post-oviposition time whereas, in the nicarbazin treatment groups, the amount of PP IX declined (Fig. 2f).

Measurement of PP IX in eggshell. In Experiment 2, in the eggshells from the control groups, the amount of PP IX and L* values remained constant whereas, in the nicarbazin treatment groups, PP IX decreased linearly with increasing duration of administration (day effect) of the drug, as indicated by the lower amount of PP IX and higher values of L* (Fig. 3a and b). Egg weight and shell thickness were not significantly different between the control and nicarbazin treated groups (Fig. 3c and d).



Figure 2. Mitochondria per cell and PP IX per gram of shell gland tissue affected by time-points of eggshell formation and nicarbazin treatment. (**a**) Mitochondria per cell in the shell gland tissue of laying hens affected by four different time-points of eggshell formation. (**b**) Mitochondria per cell in the shell gland tissue between control and nicarbazin treated laying hens. (**c**) Mitochondria per cell affected by interaction between three different time-points and nicarbazin treatment. (**d**) PP IX (in nMoles) per gram of shell gland tissue affected by four different time-points of eggshell formation. (**e**) PP IX (in nMoles) per gram of shell gland tissue between the control and nicarbazin treated hens. (**f**) PP IX (in nMoles) per gram of shell gland tissue affected by three different time-points and nicarbazin treatment. Values are mean and bars represent standard error. Different superscripts ^(a,b) show significant difference.

	Time-point (hr)					
Gene	2	5	15	23.5	P value	
SLC25A38	0.87 ± 0.12^{b}	0.84 ± 0.12^{b}	1.74 ± 0.12^{a}	0.94 ± 0.13^{b}	0.0019	
ALAS1	0.79 ± 0.05^c	1.32 ± 0.09^{b}	1.69 ± 0.10^{a}	0.59 ± 0.05^{c}	< 0.0001	
ABCB6	1.23 ± 0.05^{a}	1.25 ± 0.13^{a}	1.06 ± 0.11^{a}	0.67 ± 0.11^{b}	0.0047	
CPOX	0.96 ± 0.05	1.03 ± 0.04	1.11 ± 0.09	0.95 ± 0.06	0.3727	
ABCG2	1.04 ± 0.08	1.15 ± 0.08	0.87 ± 0.05	1.01 ± 0.06	0.0936	
FECH	0.88 ± 0.04^{b}	$0.85\pm0.05^{\text{b}}$	0.80 ± 0.05^b	1.72 ± 0.04^{a}	< 0.0001	
FLVCR1	$0.97 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.85\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	1.03 ± 0.07^{ab}	1.23 ± 0.12^{a}	0.0255	

Table 1. Relative expression levels of candidate target genes involved in the synthesis of protoporphyrin IX and/or heme at different time-points of eggshell formation in the shell gland of laying hens. Values are the meanof normalized relative quantities (NRQ) \pm standard error. Relative quantities for individual gene are scaled tothe average across all unknown samples per target gene. Different superscript letters ^{a,b,c} across a row denotesignificantly different results.

	Time-point (hr)			Treatment	P value			
Gene	5	15	23.5	Control	Nicarbazin	ТР	N	TP*N
SLC25A38	0.75 ± 0.03^b	1.71 ± 0.07^a	0.82 ± 0.07^b	1.04 ± 0.11	1.14 ± 0.13	< 0.0001	0.1567	0.3481
ALAS1	1.28 ± 0.09^b	1.47 ± 0.08^a	0.55 ± 0.02^c	1.20 ± 0.09^a	$0.99\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	< 0.0001	0.0104	0.2148
ABCB6	1.32 ± 0.08^{a}	1.02 ± 0.06^{ab}	0.80 ± 0.07^{b}	1.06 ± 0.06	1.03 ± 0.08	0.0002	0.6805	0.7290
CPOX	1.07 ± 0.04	1.03 ± 0.02	0.93 ± 0.04	1.02 ± 0.04	1.00 ± 0.03	0.0700	0.7199	0.7765
ABCG2	1.34 ± 0.09^{a}	0.87 ± 0.04^b	$0.91\pm0.08^{\rm b}$	1.04 ± 0.05	1.05 ± 0.10	0.0002	0.9335	0.1560
FECH	0.78 ± 0.02^b	0.72 ± 0.03^b	1.80 ± 0.05^a	1.07 ± 0.13	1.13 ± 0.13	< 0.0001	0.1467	0.7750
FLVCR1	0.79 ± 0.02^c	1.26 ± 0.07^a	$1.05\pm0.09^{\rm b}$	1.07 ± 0.07	1.00 ± 0.07	0.0002	0.3850	0.1792

Table 2. Relative expression levels of candidate target genes involved in the synthesis of protoporphyrin IX and/ or heme at different time-points of eggshell formation in the shell gland of laying hens treated with nicarbazin. The time-point and nicarbazin treatment columns show main effects on the expression levels of the genes. Values are the mean of normalized relative quantities (NRQ) \pm standard error. Relative quantities for individual gene are scaled to the average across all unknown samples per target gene. Within each treatment, different superscript letters ^{a,b,c} across a row denote significantly different results. TP is time-point, while N denotes nicarbazin treatment.



Figure 3. Eggshell quality variables measured in control and nicarbazin treated laying hens affected by day effect. (a) PP IX per gram of eggshell (nMoles) between the control (P = 0.9512) and nicarbazin (P < 0.0001) treated hens. (b) L* values for eggshells between the control (P = 0.7026) and nicarbazin (P < 0.0001) treated hens. (c) Egg weight between the control (P = 0.9683) and nicarbazin (P = 0.6414) treated hens. (d) Shell thickness of eggshells between the control (P = 0.8345) and nicarbazin (P = 0.8600) treated hens. Values are mean and bars represent standard error. Different superscripts ^(a, b, c, d) show significant difference.

Discussion

The first step in the initiation of PP IX biosynthetic pathway is the transportation of glycine from cell cytoplasm to mitochondria. *SLC25A38* has been shown to be the transporter for glycine, which is located on mitochondrial inner membrane³⁹. A significantly higher expression of *SLC25A38* at the 15 hr time-point observed in the current study may indicate that, during this stage, the synthesis of PP IX has already been initiated for the programmed deposition into the shell of the egg to be laid on that day or even the next day. This is further confirmed by the significantly higher expression level of the rate limiting enzyme ALAS1 at the same time-point of eggshell formation. The genes that encode proteins involved in the subsequent steps of the PP IX synthesis did not follow the same temporal sequence on the day. For example, *ABCB6* was expressed at significantly higher level at the 5 hr time-point while its role in the PP IX synthetic pathway is at later stage. In the PP IX biosynthetic pathway, it is not clear how the genes involved are co-regulated as the transporters or enzymes. The proteins involved come from different sources and may not necessarily be synthesized at the same level, in the same location of the cell, and in the same duration for their functions.

In the current study, the sampling time-points (time post-oviposition) 2 and 5 hrs were prior to the entry of the forming egg into the shell gland at approximately 5–6 hrs postoviposition. At the 15 hr time-point, the

eggshell is undergoing rapid growth of the palisade columns⁵². By the 23.5 hr time-point, mineralisation will have ceased and the cuticle will be forming. Most PP IX is deposited from 21 hours postoviposition to oviposition¹⁸. Therefore the genes involved in PP IX synthesis may be up-regulated well before the formation of the eggshell. Despite extensive studies on the macromolecules involved in shell formation, the spatio-temporal expression of the genes involved in the sequential synthesis of various layers of the eggshell and PP IX is not clearly established.

In the current study, the expression level of *CPOX* was not significantly changed between the different time-points. The protein encoded by *CPOX* gene is the enzyme that catalyses the sixth step of the PP IX/heme biosynthetic pathway⁴⁶. The encoded enzyme is soluble and found in the intermembrane space of mitochondria⁵³. This enzyme catalyses the stepwise oxidative decarboxylation of coproporphyrinogen III to protoporphyrinogen IX, a precursor of PP IX^{46, 54}. Interestingly, Zheng *et al.*⁵⁵, observed a correlation between the level of *CPOX* and the level of PP IX synthesis in brown-egg laying hens. This may suggest that sufficient *CPOX* RNA expression is maintained at all the time-points when the data were collected in the current study for the synthesis of PP IX.

The different expression levels of most genes, with the exception of *ABCG2* and *CPOX*, in relation to different time-points, indicate that genes involved in PP IX synthesis expressed differently during eggshell formation. As mentioned earlier, it is not clear how the regulation of various genes is synchronized in a multi-step biosynthetic pathway of PP IX. Therefore, the dynamics of the expression of these genes including *CPOX* needs to be investigated in a temporal and spatial manner. Based on the effects of time-points on the expression levels of genes, it is assumed that these genes are transcribed and transported at different time-points; however, functionally coordinated for the synthesis of PP IX. Nevertheless, the differential expression of the genes suggests that these genes are essential in the synthesis of PP IX; thus the regulation of the genes may affect the deposition of the pigment into the eggshell.

As mentioned previously, *ALAS1* gene encodes an enzyme that catalyses the rate-limiting step in PP IX/heme biosynthetic pathway⁴⁰. The enzyme encoded by *ALAS1* gene is delta-aminolevulinic acid synthase 1; a separate gene encodes a form of the enzyme (*ALAS2*) that is specific for erythroid tissue^{56–58}. The level of the mature encoded protein is regulated by heme: high levels of heme down-regulate the mature enzyme in mitochondria while low heme levels up-regulate^{56, 59, 60}. However, in the shell gland tissue of brown-egg laying hens, the PP IX rather than heme is the final product that deposits into various layers of eggshell. The role of the *FECH* gene in the PP IX biosynthetic pathway, the gene that encodes the enzyme that converts PP IX into heme, is still not clear. In our study, the expression level of *FECH* gene was significantly higher at 23.5 hr, but this could not be aligned in a temporal order with the expression of the other genes studied. Based on studies performed in mammalian⁶¹, bacteria⁶² and avian⁶⁰ tissues, a negative feedback mechanism exists and the formed heme in turn acts as a regulator of the PP IX biosynthetic pathway. If *FECH* has an active role in the PP IX pathway in the chicken shell gland tissue, the question arises as to how the heme formed in the epithelial cells of the shell gland is transported back to the blood to become a part of the haemoglobin molecule or for degradation. Also, there should be more heme in the shell gland cells rather than PP IX.

The higher expression level of *FLVCR1* at 15 hr can be linked with the expression levels of other genes, also suggesting the initiation of PP IX synthesis around this time-point. A significantly higher expression of the *FLVCR1* gene in the shell gland of laying hens with darker brown eggshells has been reported⁶³; however, there are no published reports confirming whether the *FLVCR1* gene is involved in exporting and/or depositing PP IX onto eggshells in the shell gland of laying hens. Further study on its functional role in the PP IX deposition into eggshell is needed.

In the comparison of the expression levels of gene expression data between the control and nicarbazin treatment groups, *ALAS1* was the only gene affected by nicarbazin. It was observed that nicarbazin reduced *ALAS1* expression across all the time-points and thus a lower amount of PP IX was produced in the shell gland. However, the lower expression level of *ALAS1* did not affect the expression levels of the remaining genes studied, as their expression levels were similar between the control and nicarbazin treated hens indicating that no feedback mechanisms exist to such extent for the regulation of the genes involved in the PP IX synthesis pathway. The current study suggests that nicarbazin disrupts PP IX synthesis by affecting the expression level of the *ALAS1* gene. Nicarbazin is a chemically produced drug composed as a complex of an equimolar amount of 4,40-dinitrocarbanilide (DNC) and 2-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine (HDP). The DNC moiety has been shown to inhibit PP IX synthesis⁶⁴, but how the DNC moiety inhibits the expression of *ALAS1* gene is not clear. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observation that nicarbazin regulates PP IX production through regulating *ALAS1* gene expression either directly or indirectly.

The PP IX biosynthetic pathway is well established; however, the origin of precursors and the cells involved in its synthesis in the shell gland of brown-egg laying hens are not yet fully understood (reviewed in Samiullah *et al.*)⁶⁵. Not all of the enzymes involved in the PP IX/heme biosynthetic pathway are the same between erythroid and non-erythroid cells and differences at their mRNA levels have been reported for ALAS, ALAD and PBGD (reviewed in Ponka)⁵⁹. Similarly, in a mouse model, it has been shown that *CPOX* gene is differentially regulated in erythroid and non-erythroid cells⁴⁶. However, it is not clear whether there is different erythroid and non-erythroid specific regulation of other genes involved in the PP IX/heme biosynthetic pathway. The current study is a preliminary investigation highlighting the role of nicarbazin in disrupting the PP IX biosynthetic pathway without conclusively demonstrating the site of PP IX production in the shell gland tissue of brown-egg laying hens. Due to the lack of conclusive information about the location of genes involved in the pathway and about how nicarbazin affects the biosynthetic pathway of PP IX, we decided to process whole pieces of shell gland tissue for the gene expression study, mitochondrial quantification and quantification of PP IX from the tissue. Nevertheless, the data presented in the current study have shown that differentially expressed *ALAS1* gene was detectable in response to the nicarbazin treatment. Thus, investigation into the tissue including epithelia and muscle appears to be effective in determining gene expression in the PP IX biosynthetic pathway.

	Time-point (hr)					
Variable	2	5	15	23.5	P value	
Egg weight (g)	62.8 ± 3.50	63.9 ± 2.30	62.9 ± 1.67	65.6 ± 1.76	0.8226	
L* (0-100)	56.2 ± 0.98	54.4 ± 0.97	54.2 ± 0.88	54.4 ± 0.27	0.2984	
PP IX in whole eggshell	0.14 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	0.9896	
PP IX in calcareous shell	0.12 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.04	0.7170	
PP IX in cuticle	0.02 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.6708	

Table 3. Mean values (\pm S.E.) of egg quality variables measured before dividing hens into different groups. Protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) was measured in nMoles per gram of shell with and without cuticle and in cuticle alone. The eggs were collected before dividing the experimental hens into various groups. On the basis of eggshell variables, hens were divided into groups in such a way that the variables were not significantly different among groups.

The fact that there was no difference in egg weight and shell thickness between the control and nicarbazin treatment groups also indicates that nicarbazin does not affect genes involved in eggshell formation including the transport of calcium and phosphorus across the shell gland cells. However, these variables were not measured directly, and the nicarbazin was fed only for a short period. It would be valuable to study the long term effects of nicarbazin on gene expression levels associated with shell matrix formation, as earlier studies have shown a significant deterioration in egg quality when feeding nicarbazin to hens for longer periods^{66,67}.

Overall, the expression levels of genes involved in the production of PP IX differed in relation to the stages of eggshell formation. Feeding nicarbazin to brown-egg laying hens inhibited the expression level of *ALAS1*, resulting in lower production of PP IX for incorporation into the eggshells. The nicarbazin model could assist in understanding the mechanism of reduced synthesis and/or deposition of PP IX into eggshells due to different factors; however, the mechanism of action of individual factors in lower synthesis of PP IX may not necessarily be the same as for nicarbazin. This study confirmed that mitochondrial count per cell in the shell gland tissue does not vary significantly with different stages of egg/eggshell formation. Nevertheless, further investigation is required to understand the turnover of mitochondria in tissues such as the oviduct of laying hens. This study provides information on the interaction of nicarbazin drug with *ALAS1* gene by reducing its expression in the shell gland of brown-egg laying hens. Furthermore, this study may be used as a base for future studies in which the effect of nicarbazin and other factors on the mechanism of PP IX synthesis can be more broadly investigated.

Methods

Ethics statement and rearing of laying hens. The following experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (Authority No.: AEC15-022) of the University of New England, Armidale, NSW, 2351, Australia. The protocol was carried out in accordance with the guidelines specified in the Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes 8th edition 2013. Briefly, Hy-Line brown-egg laying hens were reared in individual cages with food and water provided *ad libitum*. The food provided was premium top layer mash (Barastock, Australia), containing crude protein (minimum) 16.5%, crude fat (minimum) 2.5%, crude fibre (maximum) 6%, salt (maximum added) 0.3%, copper (added) 8 mg/kg, selenium (added) 0.3 mg/kg and calcium (minimum) 3.6%. At the time of the experiment, hens were on a 16:8 hour light:dark photoperiod.

Experiment 1: Effect of time-points on mitochondrial count and expression of protoporphyrin IX synthesis genes. The laying hen rearing shed was equipped with a video surveillance camera to precisely record the oviposition time of the hens selected for shell gland tissue collection. Eggs were collected and shell colour (L*) was measured with a spectrophotometer (Konica Minolta CM-2600d Ramsey, NJ, USA) using the L*a*b* colour space system. The L* measurements represent the intensity of brown eggshell colour; the higher the value, the lighter the colour of the eggshell. Egg weight was measured using an analytical balance, and the eggs were further processed for protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) quantification following the method of Samiullah and Roberts¹⁵. The post-oviposition times (time-points) were 2, 5, 15 and 23.5 hrs for the four groups of hens selected. Of 63 hens (36–37 weeks old), 20 hens were selected and divided in such a way that the mean values of the variables measured were not significantly different among the groups (Table 3). As the quantity of PP IX in eggshell may vary from hen to hen, the main purpose of recording these egg quality measurements was to ensure that hens were divided uniformly on the basis of shell weight and colour into the respective groups prior to the experiment.

Experiment 2: Effect of time-points and nicarbazin treatment on mitochondrial count and expression of protoporphyrin IX synthesis genes. The rearing conditions for the hens were the same as described in Experiment 1. Of 43 hens (42-45 weeks old), 30 hens were selected on the basis of L*, egg weight and PP IX measurements of eggshell and divided equally into two groups, control and nicarbazin treatment (Table 4). Based on the results from Experiment 1, it was found that the gene expression values of time-points 2 and 5 hrs were not significantly different for most of the genes. Therefore, time-point 2 hr was omitted in Experiment 2. Time-point 5 hr was chosen because, at this time, the egg is either in the distal magnum and/or isthmus and preparing to move into the shell gland in the subsequent hour or so. Next, each group was subdivided into three groups by post-oviposition time (5, 15 and 23.5 hrs). Therefore, the experimental design was in a 2×3 factorial arrangement. For the control groups, the feed was the same as described earlier. For the nicarbazin

	Group									
	Control (15 hens)				Nicarbazin (15 hens)					
	Time-point (hr)				Time-point (hr					
Variable	5	15	23.5	P value	5	15	23.5	P value		
Egg weight (g)	63.7±1.76	63.3 ± 1.60	63.8 ± 1.60	0.7070	59.6 ± 1.70	59.9 ± 1.41	62.6 ± 1.20	0.2102		
L* (0-100)	58.7 ± 0.60	59.5 ± 0.90	59.4 ± 0.64	0.6820	58.5 ± 0.68	58.9 ± 0.55	59.1 ± 0.52	0.7652		
PP IX	0.12 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.06	0.7055	0.12 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.03	0.4532		

Table 4. Mean values (\pm S.E.) of egg quality variables measured before dividing hens into different groups (time-points and nicarbazin treatment). Protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) was measured in nMoles per gram of whole eggshell. The eggs were collected before dividing the experimental hens into various groups. On the basis of eggshell variables, hens were divided into groups in such a way that the variables were not significantly different among groups.

.....

treatment groups, each group was offered the same feed supplemented with nicarbazin powder (M.W. 426.38; Sigma Aldrich, Australia) at a rate of 100 mg/kg of feed. In a pilot experiment, it was determined that feeding nicarbazin at a rate of 100 mg/kg of feed was sufficient to cause complete discoloration of brown eggshell colour without causing any adverse effects on egg formation and feed intake. From the control groups, eggs were collected for analysis at least five days before processing the hens for shell gland tissue collection. From the nicarbazin treatment groups, eggs were collected for analysis at least one day before treatment and continued until the maximum loss in shell colour (>20%) was achieved. Individual hens were processed for shell gland tissue collection at specific time-points postoviposition.

Shell gland tissue collection. For both experiments, individual hen oviposition times were recorded, and each hen was euthanised at a specific post-oviposition time (2, 5, 15, 23.5 hrs and 5, 15, 23.5 hrs for Experiments 1 and 2, respectively). Hens were humanly euthanized with CO_2 gas and the shell gland was aseptically collected through the abdominal incision. The shell gland was opened from the anterior-ventral side and an approximately 500 mg tissue was cut from the centre of the shell gland and transferred to RNALater (Sigma Aldrich, Australia) in 2 mL Eppendorf tube. The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ}C$ and were processed for RNA/DNA extraction within one day of collection.

Total RNA and DNA extraction. Total RNA and DNA were extracted from a whole piece of shell gland tissue (all tissue layers) that had been stored in RNALater at -20 °C. The TRIsure (Bioline, Australia) protocol was followed with slight modifications. Briefly, approximately 50 mg of tissue (wet weight) was homogenized in 1 mL of TRIsure, using an IKA T10 basic Homogenizer (Wilmington, NC, USA). After chloroform treatment and centrifugation, the upper layer was used for total RNA extraction. RNA was precipitated by adding 0.5 mL chilled iso-propanol (100%) followed by incubation for 10 minutes at room temperature. The precipitated RNA solution was centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 °C to obtain an RNA pellet. The pellet was washed by adding 1 mL ethanol (75%) and centrifuging at $7500 \times g$ for 5 minutes at 4 °C. The resulting RNA pellet was dissolved in 100 µL DEPC-treated water and proceeded to the RNA purification step using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Hilden, Germany) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The elution step for RNA from the spin columns with 50 µL of RNase-free water was repeated, and both elutions were mixed together thoroughly. The quantity and purity of purified total RNA were determined using the spectrophotometer NANODROP-8000 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). From the original concentration of RNA, 100-fold (1:100) serial dilutions were prepared and stored at -80 °C until further processing. RNA integrity was examined in Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) using Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. The samples with RNA integrity number (RIN) > 8.5 were regarded as high integrity and then used in the downstream qPCR assay.

The middle and bottom layers from the homogenized and chloroform separated samples were mixed and processed for total DNA extraction. Briefly, 0.3 mL absolute ethanol was added to precipitate DNA and samples incubated for 3 minutes at room temperature. The precipitated DNA was centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ for 5 minutes at 4 °C to obtain a DNA pellet. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of 0.8 M sodium citrate in 10% ethanol and the process was repeated for a total of three times. Next, the pellet was washed with 1.5 mL ethanol (75%) and centrifuged as described earlier. After ethanol removal, the pellet was dissolved in 100μ L TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM disodium EDTA, pH 8.0) and centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 °C to remove any insoluble material. The quantity and purity of total DNA in 2μ L of each sample was determined in a NANODROP-8000 spectrophotometer as described earlier. The pure extracted DNA was stored at -20 °C until used for downstream applications.

Primer design for oligonucleotides. The primer sequences were either referenced from literature or designed using NCBI primer and BLAST options (Table 5). Primer quality was checked in "Beacon Designer" software (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/qOligo/Oligo.jsp?PID=1) for possible self and cross secondary structures. To check the sequence specificity, primers were aligned against the NCBI database using BLASTN, Ensemble Chicken Galgal4 and UCSC's Chicken (*Gallus gallus*) Genome Browser Gateway. Primers quality for single peak generation during melting curve analysis was also checked in uMelfSM web-based online tool. uMelfSM is used for prediction of DNA melting curves and denaturation profiles of PCR products⁶⁸. Prior to real-time qPCR, the primer amplification efficiency and specificity for each primer pair were analysed by using five 10-fold serial dilutions of the purified total DNA/RNA to construct a standard curve. The amplification efficiency was

Gene name	Gene symbol	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Annealing temperature °C	Amplification efficiency (%)	Accession No.	Reference
NADU I.I	ND4ª	F: CGCAGGCTCCATACTACTCG	137	60	94	NC_001323.1	this study
NADIT denydrogenase subunit 4		R: TTAGGGCACCTCATAGGGCT					
Glyceraldehyde-3-nhosnhate dehydrogenase	<i>GAPDH</i> ^b	F: GGTCACCAAGAAGGTGGAGA	137	63	97	NC_006088.3	this study
Giveraldenyde-5-phosphate denydrogenase		R: GACAGTGCCCTTGAAGTGTC					
Solute carrier family 25 member 38	SI C 25 A 38	F: AGACACGGTATGAGAGTGGA	120	63	95	XM_418818.3	this study
Solute carrier family 25, memoer 56	520257150	R: ATCCCAGAGAAAGGTGCGTC	155				
delta aminolevulinic acid synthase 1	ALACI	F: GGTGGACAGGAAAGGTAAAGA	107	60	98	NM_001018012.1	Li et al. ⁶³
dena-anniolevunne acid synthase i	ALASI	R: ACTGGTCATACTGGAAGGTG	197				
ATP hinding case atta subfamily C mombar 6	ABCB6	F: CTCAACTGGTTCGGCACCTA	107	60	94	XM_015290086.1	this study
All binding cassette sublaining c member o		R: TTCACTGCATCCTTCACCTCC					
Coproporphyripogen oxidase	CPOX	F: GAGAGGACGGTATGTGGAGT	187	60	95	XM_004938236.1	Li et al. ⁶³
Coproporphyrmogen oxidase		R: TTTGGGATTGCGGAGAAC					
ATP binding cassatte subfamily G member 2	ABCG2	F: CCTCCTTGTAAACCTCCCTT	208	65	98	XM_421638.4	Li et al. ⁶³
All binding cassette sublaining G member 2		R: GTAATCTTCACCAGAGCACCTT					
Ferrochelatase	FECH	F: TGCTTTGCCGATCACAT	112	60	96	U68033.1	Li et al. ⁶³
renochelatase		R: CACGGTTCACCACAGACAT					
Feline leukemia virus subgroup C cellular	ELVCD1	F: CGGGAGTCGTGTTTGAAGAGA	239	63	99	XM_419425.5	this study
receptor 1	FLVCKI	R: CCCAGCGTTCACTTCTTCTCC					
Hydroyumathylbilana aynthasa	UMDS	F: GGCTGGGAGAATCGCATAGG	131	60	94	XM_417846.2	Yin et al. ⁸⁰
i iyuroxymettiyibilane synthase	111/1103	R: TCCTGCAGGGCAGATACCAT					
Hypoxanthing phosphorihosyltrapoferace 1	HPRT1	F: ACTGGCTGCTTCTTGTG	245	63	98	NM_204848.1	Yang et
		R: GGTTGGGTTGTGCTGTT					al. ⁸¹

Table 5. Forward (F) and reverse (R) sequences of the primers used in the study. ^aGene was used to amplify fragment of mtDNA; ^bGene was used to amplify fragment of gDNA.

calculated by the equation⁶⁹: $E = 10^{(1/slope)} - 1$. The primers were synthesized by Invitrogen[®] (ThermoFisher, Australia). Only the primers specifically amplifying the genes of interest were used in the experiment; those with non-specific amplifications were removed from the study. For example, the primers⁶³ designed for the *ABCB6* gene were removed due to their amplification of the *UB3* gene. The specificity of the selected primers in amplifications is shown in Fig. 4. The primers amplified single bands with expected sizes according to their designs based on the sequences of the genes. Furthermore, a single peak of melting curves of the primers was also confirmed following real-time PCR amplification (Supplementary Figure 1).

Mitochondrial DNA quantification. *DNA cloning.* In order to quantify the copy number of mtDNA, a recombinant plasmid vector was constructed by cloning 137 bp fragments of each of the *ND4* and *GAPDH* genes (Table 5), using TOPO[®] TA Cloning[®] Kit for sequencing (ThermoFisher Scientific, Australia) as per the manufacturer's protocol (Fig. 5). The recombinant plasmid was transfected into *Escherichia coli* that was grown overnight on DifcoTM LB Agar (Bacto Laboratories, Australia) and then a single colony was enriched in LB broth. Recombinant plasmid DNA from overnight cultured *Escherichia coli* in LB broth was extracted using the PureLink[®] Quick Plasmid Miniprep Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Australia). The procedure was as per the manufacturer's protocol with 75 µL pre-heated (65 °C) TE buffer used for elution. The eluted recombinant plasmid DNA quality and quantity were checked with the NANODROP-8000 spectrophotometer and stored at -20 °C until used for downstream applications.

Standard curve construction. qPCR was performed on the recombinant plasmid DNA at six different dilutions $(10^{-2} \sim 10^{-6})$ in 20 µL reaction to check the cloned chicken mtDNA and gDNA amplification efficiencies alongside plasmid DNA amplification. The master-mix preparation and cycling conditions were as per the protocol of the SensiFASTTM SYBR[®] No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Australia). To construct a standard curve for analysis, eight 10-fold serial dilutions $(10^{-2} \sim 10^{-9})$ were prepared from the recombinant plasmid DNA, and qPCR was performed on all the samples as described earlier. The standard curve was constructed by plotting the quantification cycles (Cq) against \log_{10} copy numbers of plasmids. All recombinant plasmid constructs were analysed by qPCR and sequencing (AGRF, Australia)⁷⁰ to confirm that accurate cloning of target DNA fragments was executed.

Mitochondria DNA copy number quantification by qPCR. Mitochondria were enumerated by the quantification of their DNA copies in a cell and genomic DNA copies were used to represent cell numbers in the samples⁷¹. Quantitative PCR to quantify mitochondrial DNA copy numbers in a cell was performed with SYBR green method by using the SensiFASTTM SYBR[®] No-ROX Kit. qPCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 20 µL with a Rotor-Gene 6000 thermocycler (Corbett Research, Sydney, Australia). The reaction consisted of 10 µL 2× SensiFASTTM SYBR[®] No-ROX mix, 400 nMoles primers, 6.4 µL RNase-free water and 2 µL of diluted DNA (10⁻² dilution of extracted DNA samples). Reaction without DNA template was included as a no template control





Figure 4. Amplification of the genes fragments from the shell gland tissue of a chicken to assess the specificities of the primers used in the current study. L. DNA marker; (1) *ND4* (137 bp); (2) *GAPDH* (137 bp); (3) *SLC25A38* (139 bp); (4) *ALAS1* (197 bp); (5) *ABCB6* (107 bp); (6) *CPOX* (187 bp); (7) *ABCG2* (208 bp); (8) *FECH* (112 bp); (9). *FLVCR1* (239 bp); (10) *HMBS* (131 bp); (11) *HPRT1* (245 bp); (12) TLR7-positive control (200 bp). The upper (purple) and lower (green) markers act as internal standards and are used to align the ladder analysis with the individual DNA sample analysis. The standard curve (plotting migration time against DNA amplicon size), in conjunction with the markers, is then used to calculate DNA fragment sizes for each well from the migration times measured (see Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer Users Guide for Molecular Assays). The DNA gel in Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer was performed as per the manufacturer's instructions of Agilent DNA 1000 Kit.



Figure 5. Schematic diagram of single-insert plasmid pCRTM4-TOPO (3956 nucleotides). The diagram shows site into which specific fragments of chicken *ND4* or *GAPDH* genes were inserted. The fragments in the study were flanked by restriction and priming sites.

.....

(NTC). Recombinant plasmid DNA dilutions were included in the qPCR runs. qPCR was performed following a 2-step protocol: polymerase activation and DNA denaturation at 95 °C for 3 minutes, and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 seconds and annealing and extension at 60 °C or 63 °C for 30 seconds. Fluorescent signals were acquired at the end of each annealing/extension step during qPCR cycles (40). A melting phase at a ramp from 50 °C to 99 °C at 1°C increment was conducted to assess the specificity of qPCR amplification. The qPCR products were examined in the Bioanalyzer using Agilent DNA 1000 Kit to determine the amplification specificity by the size of the amplicons estimated. qPCR amplification efficiency was determined as previously described. The qPCR data for the genes were processed further when the qPCR amplification efficiency was in a range of 94% to 105%, and linear correlation coefficient $R^2 > 0.980$ as these were considered of high standard⁷².

Calculation of mitochondria per cell. The cloned plasmid DNA with *ND4* and *GAPDH* genes inserts were converted into plasmid DNA copies/ μ L in six different dilutions for analysis. Plasmid copy number was calculated based on the concentration of plasmid DNA and its molecular weight. The cloned plasmid DNA amplification cycle (Cq) values were then used to construct a standard curve to calculate the mtDNA and gDNA copies per diploid cell. The absolute copy number of mtDNA per cell was calculated according to the equation: (copy number of mtDNA)/(copy number of gDNA/2).

Gene expression analysis by qPCR. For the gene expression studies, samples were run in triplicate with the inclusion of NTC and no reverse transcriptase (-RT) controls. Master-mix was prepared as per the manufacturer's protocol using the SensiFAST SYBR® Lo-ROX One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Bioline, Australia). The reaction in a volume of 20 µL contained 10 µL of 2× SensiFAST SYBR low Rox one-step mix, 400 nMoles primers, 0.2 µL of reverse transcriptase, 0.4 µL of RiboSafe RNase inhibitor, 3.8 µL RNase-free water and 4 µL of RNA template (diluted 100 times). Reverse transcription and amplification were conducted following the thermal cycling protocol in Rotor-Gene 6000: reverse transcription at 45 °C for 10 minutes, polymerase activation and denaturation at 95 °C for 2 minutes, then 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 seconds and annealing and extension at 60 °C, 63 °C or 65 °C for 20 seconds. The fluorescent data collection and melting analysis were performed as described previously.

Measurement of protoporphyrin IX in tissue and eggshell. The shell gland that was opened for tissue collection for RNA and DNA extractions was used for protoporphyrin IX (PP IX) measurements. From the right half of the shell gland, a 0.25 g piece (including muscle layers) was weighed with an analytical weighing balance (Quintix513-1 S, Göttingen, Germany) and directly transferred into a 10 mL tube containing 4 mL of 3N HCl⁷³. The tissue was homogenized (IKA T10 homogenizer) and stored in a refrigerator for 3 hrs. The homogenised tissues were centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 30 minutes at 4°C and the absorbance of the supernatant was read in a spectrophotometer (UV-1201, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at PP IX specific absorbance wavelength of 412 nm. Standard dilutions (0 to 6.87 nMoles) prepared from protoporphyrin IX di-sodium salt (Sigma Aldrich, Australia) were read at the same wavelength in order to construct a standard curve for the calculations of the amount of PP IX per gram of tissue. The quantification of PP IX in the eggshells was performed according to Samiullah and Roberts¹⁵.

Statistical analysis. In order to determine the mitochondrial count, the mtDNA copies per cell data were analysed in SPSS version 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA)⁷⁴ with the GLM module by taking the time-points and nicarbazin treatment as main effects. Level of significance was indicated by a probability of less than 5%. The Tukey test was used to differentiate levels of significance between mean values.

For the gene expression studies, raw Cq values for all candidate target genes were imported into qbase + version 3.0 (Biogazelle, Belgium)⁷⁵ and analysed against the two reference genes *HMBS* and *HPRT1*, validated in the current study. The qbase + applies an arithmetic mean method to transform logarithmic Cq value to linear relative quantity using exponential function for relative quantification of genes and the arithmetic mean of average Cq is scaled to a given target sample^{76,77}. For data analysis, the basic calculation used in qbase + was based on $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method⁷⁸ as the amplification efficiencies of the genes were very close to 100%. In qbase + algorithm, the relative quantity of gene expression level between different samples for a given target gene does not have an absolute scale and is only meaningful in comparison to values obtained for other samples measured for that gene in the same experiment⁷⁵. The result table shows normalized relative quantities (NRQ) values that are calculated across all unknown samples per target gene. The gene expression levels are typically log-normally distributed and all statistical calculations are performed on the log₁₀ transformed NRQ values^{75,79}. For easy interpretation of the statistical results, values are re-transformed to linear scale by taking the antilogarithm. Thus, in the current study, the relative expression values for a given gene show fold changes relative to the average expression level across all samples for that performed.

To determine the effect of time-points and nicarbazin treatment on expression stability of individual target genes, the NRQ (linear scale) were exported and analysed in SPSS using GLM module. Level of significance was calculated as stated previously. All other parameters data were analysed in SPSS using GLM module unless stated.

References

- 1. Nys, Y. & Guyot, N. Egg formation and chemistry in *Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg products* Vol. 1 (ed. Nys, Y., Bain, M. & Van Immerseel, F.) 83–132 (Woodhead Publishing Limited, 2011).
- 2. Johnson, A. L. Reproduction in the female in Sturkie avian physiology (ed. Scanes, C. C.) 635-665 (Academic Press, 2015).
- 3. Melek, O., Morris, T. R. & Jennings, R. C. The time factor in egg formation for hens exposed to ahemeral light-dark cycles. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 14, 493–498 (1973).
- Jonchère, V. et al. Gene expression profiling to identify eggshell proteins involved in physical defense of the chicken egg. BMC Genomics 11, 1 (2010).
- Rose, M. L. & Hincke, M. T. Protein constituents of the eggshell: eggshell-specific matrix proteins. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 66, 2707–2719 (2009).
- 6. Baker, J. R. & Balch, D. A. A study of the organic material of hen's-egg shell. Biochem. J. 82, 352-361 (1962).
- Dennis, J. E. et al. Microstructure of matrix and mineral components of eggshells from white leghorn chickens (Gallus gallus). J. Morphol. 228, 287–306 (1996).
- Fernandez, M. S., Araya, M. & Arias, J. L. Eggshells are shaped by a precise spatio-temporal arrangement of sequentially deposited macromolecules. *Matrix Biol.* 16, 13–20 (1997).
- Fernandez, M. S., Moya, A., Lopez, L. & Arias, J. L. Secretion pattern, ultrastructural localization and function of extracellular matrix molecules involved in eggshell formation. *Matrix Biol.* 19, 793–803 (2001).
- Nys, Y., Hincke, M., Arias, J., Garcia-Ruiz, J. & Solomon, S. Avian eggshell mineralization. *Poult. Avian Biol. Rev.* 10, 143–166 (1999).
 Solomon, S. E. *Egg and eggshell quality* (Wolfe Publishing Ltd, 1991).
- 12. Mikšík, I., Eckhardt, A., Sedláková, P. & Mikulikova, K. Proteins of insoluble matrix of avian (*Gallus gallus*) eggshell. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 48, 1–8 (2007).
- Baird, T., Solomon, S. E. & Tedstone, D. R. Localisation and characterisation of egg shell porphyrins in several avian species. Br. Poult. Sci. 16, 201–208 (1975).
- Sparks, N. H. C. Shell accessory materials: structure and function in *Microbiology of the avian egg* (ed. Board, R. G. & Fuller, R.) 25–42 (Chapman & Hall, 1994).
- Samiullah, S. & Roberts, J. R. The location of protoporphyrin in the eggshell of brown-shelled eggs. *Poult. Sci.* 92, 2783–2788 (2013).
 Nys, Y. Relationships between age, shell quality and individual rate and duration of shell formation in domestic hens. *Br. Poult. Sci.*
- Nys, F. Relationships between age, sheri quarky and minimum rate and duration of sheri formation in domestic relies. D. 10th, etc. 27, 253–259 (1986).
 Nys, Y., Gautron, L., Garcia-Ruiz, I. M. & Hincke, M. T. Avian eggshell mineralization: biochemical and functional characterization
- Nys, Y., Gautron, J., Garcia-Ruiz, J. M. & Hincke, M. T. Avian eggshell mineralization: biochemical and functional characterization of matrix proteins. C. R. Palevol 3, 549–562 (2004).
- Nys, Y., Zawadzki, J., Gautron, J. & Mills, A. Whitening of brown-shelled eggs: mineral composition of uterine fluid and rate of protoporphyrin deposition. *Poult. Sci.* 70, 1236–1245 (1991).
- Lentz, S. I. et al. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) biogenesis: visualization and duel incorporation of BrdU and EdU into newly synthesized mtDNA in vitro. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 58, 207–218 (2010).
- Fuke, S., Kubota-Sakashita, M., Kasahara, T., Shigeyoshi, Y. & Kato, T. Regional variation in mitochondrial DNA copy number in mouse brain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* 1807, 270–274 (2011).
- Guo, W., Jiang, L., Bhasin, S., Khan, S. M. & Swerdlow, R. H. DNA extraction procedures meaningfully influence qPCR-based mtDNA copy number determination. *Mitochondrion* 9, 261–265 (2009).
- Phillips, N. R., Sprouse, M. L. & Roby, R. K. Simultaneous quantification of mitochondrial DNA copy number and deletion ratio: a multiplex real-time PCR assay. Sci. Rep. 4, 3887 (2014).

- 23. Blanche, S. *et al.* Persistent mitochondrial dysfunction and perinatal exposure to antiretroviral nucleoside analogues. *The Lancet* **354**, 1084–1089 (1999).
- Barazzoni, R., Short, K. R. & Nair, K. S. Effects of aging on mitochondrial DNA copy number and cytochrome c oxidase gene expression in rat skeletal muscle, liver, and heart. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 3343–3347 (2000).
- Laubenthal, L. et al. Mitochondrial DNA copy number and biogenesis in different tissues of early-and late-lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 99, 1571–1583 (2016).
- Bogenhagen, D. & Clayton, D. A. The number of mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid genomes in mouse L and human HeLa cells. Quantitative isolation of mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 7991–7995 (1974).
- 27. Copeland, W. C. The mitochondrial DNA polymerase in health and disease in *Genome stability and human diseases* 211-222 (Springer, 2010).
- Campbell, C. T., Kolesar, J. E. & Kaufman, B. A. Mitochondrial transcription factor A regulates mitochondrial transcription initiation, DNA packaging, and genome copy number. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gene Regul. Mech.* 1819, 921–929 (2012).
- Bao, H., Zhao, C., Li, J. & Wu, C. Sequencing and alignment of mitochondrial genomes of Tibetan chicken and two lowland chicken breeds. Sci. China Ser. C 51, 47–51 (2008).
- Liu, L.-L., Xie, H.-B., Yang, Y.-S., Yu, Q.-F. & He, J.-H. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Xuefeng black-boned chicken. Mitochondrial DNA Part A 27, 30–31 (2016).
- Soattin, M., Barcaccia, G., Dalvit, C., Cassandro, M. & Bittante, G. Genomic DNA fingerprinting of indigenous chicken breeds with molecular markers designed on interspersed repeats. *Hereditas* 146, 183–197 (2009).
- 32. Andreu, A. L., Martinez, R., Marti, R. & García-Arumí, E. Quantification of mitochondrial DNA copy number: pre-analytical factors. *Mitochondrion* 9, 242–246 (2009).
- Montier, L. L. C., Deng, J. J. & Bai, Y. Number matters: control of mammalian mitochondrial DNA copy number. J. Genet. Genomics 36, 125–131 (2009).
- 34. Gianotti, T. F. *et al.* Mitochondrial DNA copy number is modulated by genetic variation in the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). *Metabolism.* **60**, 1142–1149 (2011).
- 35. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of Koffogran (nicarbazin) as a feed additive for chickens for fattening. *EFSA Journal* **8**, 1551 (2010).
- Hughes, B. L., Jones, J. E., Toler, J. E., Solis, J. & Castaldo, D. J. Effects of exposing broiler breeders to nicarbazin contaminated feed. Poult. Sci. 70, 476–482 (1991).
- McLoughlin, D. K., Wehr, E. E. & Rubin, R. Egg shell color and egg production in new hampshire laying hens as affected by nicarbazin medication. *Poult. Sci.* 36, 880–884 (1957).
- 38. McClary, C. F. The restriction of ooporphyrin deposition on egg shells by drug feeding. Poult. Sci. 34, 1164-1165 (1955).
- Guernsey, D. L. et al. Mutations in mitochondrial carrier family gene SLC25A38 cause nonsyndromic autosomal recessive congenital sideroblastic anemia. Nat. Genet. 41, 651–653 (2009).
- 40. May, B. K. et al. Molecular regulation of heme biosynthesis in higher vertebrates. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 51, 1–51 (1995).
- Laver, W. G., Neuberger, A. & Udenfriend, S. Initial stages in the biosynthesis of porphyrins. 1. The formation of δ-aminolaevulic acid by particles obtained from chicken erythrocytes. *Biochem. J.* 70, 4–14 (1958).
- 42. Rees, D. C., Johnson, E. & Lewinson, O. ABC transporters: the power to change. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10, 218–227 (2009).
- Zutz, A., Gompf, S., Schägger, H. & Tampé, R. Mitochondrial ABC proteins in health and disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 1787, 681–690 (2009).
- 44. Krishnamurthy, P. C. et al. Identification of a mammalian mitochondrial porphyrin transporter. Nature 443, 586-589 (2006).
- 45. Quigley, J. G. et al. Identification of a human heme exporter that is essential for erythropoiesis. Cell 118, 757-766 (2004).
 - Takahashi, S. et al. Differential regulation of coproporphyrinogen oxidase gene between erythroid and nonerythroid cells. Blood 92, 3436–3444 (1998).
- Ogino, T. et al. Serum-dependent export of protoporphyrin IX by ATP-binding cassette transporter G2 in T24 cells. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 358, 297–307 (2011).
- Zhou, S. et al. Increased expression of the Abcg2 transporter during erythroid maturation plays a role in decreasing cellular protoporphyrin IX levels. Blood 105, 2571–2576 (2005).
- Lipovich, L., Hughes, A. L., King, M.-C., Abkowitz, J. L. & Quigley, J. G. Genomic structure and evolutionary context of the human feline leukemia virus subgroup C receptor (hFLVCR) gene: evidence for block duplications and de novo gene formation within duplicons of the hFLVCR locus. *Gene* 286, 203–213 (2002).
- 50. Harbin, B. M. & Dailey, H. A. Orientation of ferrochelatase in bovine liver mitochondria. Biochemistry (Mosc.) 24, 366-370 (1985).
- Day, A. L., Parsons, B. M. & Dailey, H. A. Cloning and characterization of Gallus and Xenopus ferrochelatases: Presence of the [2Fe-2S] cluster in nonmammalian ferrochelatase. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 359, 160–169 (1998).
- Bain, M. Composition and properties of eggshell in Achieving sustainable production of eggs Vol. 1 (ed. Roberts, J.) 3–23 (Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited, 2017).
- Grandchamp, B., Phung, N. & Nordmann, Y. The mitochondrial localization of coproporphyrinogen III oxidase. *Biochem. J.* 176, 97–102 (1978).
- 54. Nilsson, R. *et al.* Discovery of genes essential for heme biosynthesis through large-scale gene expression analysis. *Cell Metab.* **10**, 119–130 (2009).
- Zheng, C., Li, Z., Yang, N. & Ning, Z. Quantitative expression of candidate genes affecting eggshell color. Anim. Sci. J. 85, 506–510 (2014).
- 56. Sassa, S. & Nagai, T. The role of heme in gene expression. Int. J. Hematol. 63, 167-178 (1996).
- Yamamoto, M. *et al.* Isolation of recombinant cDNAs encoding chicken erythroid delta-aminolevulinate synthase. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 82, 3702–3706 (1985).
- 58. Riddle, R. D., Yamamoto, M. & Engel, J. D. Expression of delta-aminolevulinate synthase in avian cells: separate genes encode erythroid-specific and nonspecific isozymes. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **86**, 792–796 (1989).
- 59. Ponka, P. Tissue-specific regulation of iron metabolism and heme synthesis: distinct control mechanisms in erythroid cells. *Blood* **89**, 1–25 (1997).
- 60. Granick, S. The induction *in vitro* of the synthesis of δ-aminolevulinic acid synthetase in chemical porphyria: A response to certain drugs, sex hormones, and foreign chemicals. *J. Biol. Chem.* **241**, 1359–1375 (1966).
- 61. Karibian, D. & London, I. M. Control of heme synthesis by feedback inhibition. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 18, 243–249 (1965).
- 62. Burnham, B. F. & Lascelles, J. Control of porphyrin biosynthesis through a negative-feedback mechanism. Studies with preparations of δ-aminolaevulate synthetase and δ-aminolaevulate dehydratase from *Rhodopseudomonas spheroides*. *Biochem. J.* 87, 462–472 (1963).
- 63. Li, G. et al. Comparison of protoporphyrin IX content and related gene expression in the tissues of chickens laying brown-shelled eggs. Poult. Sci. 92, 3120–3124 (2013).
- Polin, D., Basso, A. J. & O'Neill, R. C. Some compounds in the carbanilide series preventing shell porphyrin deposition. *Poult. Sci.* 38, 282–284 (1959).
- 65. Samiullah, S., Roberts, J. R. & Chousalkar, K. Eggshell color in brown-egg laying hens—a review. Poult. Sci. 94, 2566–2575 (2015).

- Sherwood, D. H., Milby, T. T. & Higgins, W. A. The effect of nicarbazin on reproduction in White Rock breeder hens. Poult. Sci. 35, 1014–1019 (1956).
- 67. Weiss, H. S. Further comments on the effect of nicarbazin on the egg. Poult. Sci. 36, 589-591 (1957).
- Dwight, Z., Palais, R. & Wittwer, C. T. uMELT: prediction of high-resolution melting curves and dynamic melting profiles of PCR products in a rich web application. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1019–1020 (2011).
- 69. Peirson, S. N., Butler, J. N. & Foster, R. G. Experimental validation of novel and conventional approaches to quantitative real-time PCR data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, e73–e73 (2003).
- 70. Australian Genome Research Facility, North Melbourne, Victoria, 3051, Australia. http://www.agrf.org.au/.
- Miller, F. J., Rosenfeldt, F. L., Zhang, C., Linnane, A. W. & Nagley, P. Precise determination of mitochondrial DNA copy number in human skeletal and cardiac muscle by a PCR-based assay: lack of change of copy number with age. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, e61–e61 (2003).
- 72. "Bio-Rad Laboratories. Real-Time PCR applications guide. http://www.bio-rad.com/webroot/web/pdf/lsr/literature/Bulletin_5279. pdf (Date of Access: 15/05/2016)" (2006).
- 73. Soh, T., Koga, O. & Tanaka, K. Research Note: Involvement of ovulation mechanism (s) in the accumulation of pigment in the shell gland of the Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica). *Poult. Sci.* **68**, 1156–1158 (1989).
- IBM Corp. team. IBM SPSS statistics for windows, version 22.0. Armonk, NY, USA. https://www.ibm.com/us-en/marketplace/spssstatistics (2013).
- 75. Biogazelle team. qbase + version 3.0, Belgium. https://www.qbaseplus.com/ (2015).
- Hellemans, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F. & Vandesompele, J. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. *Genome Biol.* 8, 1 (2007).
- 77. Vandesompele, J. *et al.* Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* **3**, 1 (2002).
- Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2– ΔΔCT method. *Methods* 25, 402–408 (2001).
- Hellemans, J. & Vandesompele, J. Quantitative PCR data analysis–unlocking the secret to successful results in PCR troubleshooting and optimization. The essential guide 140–141 (Caister Academic Press, 2011).
- Yin, R. et al. Systematic selection of housekeeping genes for gene expression normalization in chicken embryo fibroblasts infected with Newcastle disease virus. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 413, 537–540 (2011).
- Yang, F., Lei, X., Rodriguez-Palacios, A., Tang, C. & Yue, H. Selection of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR analysis in chicken embryo fibroblasts infected with avian leukosis virus subgroup. J. BMC Res. Notes 6, 1 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Australian Egg Corporation Limited, Australia, grant number AECL 1UN121. We thank Kapil Chousalkar for technical guidance in experimental design and critical reading of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

S.S. developed the hypotheses, designed and performed the experiments, analysed and interpreted data, and drafted the manuscript; J.R. oversaw the animal trials, administrated the overall research project, assisted with the experiments, analysis and interpretation of data and critically revised the manuscript; S.-B.W. designed mitochondrial quantification experiments, oversaw the assays involved in gene expression and mitochondrial quantification experiments, analysed and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. This study was performed as part of a PhD project of Sami Samiullah funded by AECL.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06527-y

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017