SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Correction: Author Correction

Received: 24 February 2015 Accepted: 1 June 2017 Published online: 13 July 2017

OPEN Pressure-Induced Crystallization and Phase Transformation of Paraxylene

Yanzhi Bai^{1,2}, Zhenhai Yu², Ran Liu³, Nana Li², Shuai Yan⁴, Ke Yang⁴, Bingbing Liu³, Dongqing Wei¹ & Lin Wang^{2,3,5}

Static pressure is an alternative method to chemical pressure for tuning the crystal structure, bonds, and physical properties of materials, and is a significant technique for the synthesis of novel materials and fundamental research. In this letter, we report the crystallization and phase transformation of p-xylene under high pressure. Our optical micrographic observations and the appearance of lattice modes in the Raman and infrared (IR) spectra indicated that p-xylene crystallizes at \sim 0.1GPa. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern at 0.84 GPa suggests that the crystallized p-xylene had a monoclinic phase with the Cc(9) space group. The sharp shrinkage of the lattice at ~13 GPa and the solid state of the decompressed sample we observed suggests a new crystalline phase of p-xylene. The in situ XRD showed that the new crystalline phase was still a monoclinic structure but with a different space group of C2(5), indicating that a phase transition occurred during further compression. The mass spectrometry experiment confirmed phase transition polymerization, with mainly trimer and tetramer polymers. Our findings suggest an easy and efficient method for crystallizing and polymerizing p-xylene under high pressure.

High-pressure physics and chemistry offer innovative strategies to synthesize new materials for various applications¹⁻³. One such strategy is the crystallization of liquid as an alternative to crystallization at low temperature. The pressure-induced synthesis of crystals is industrially important because eliminating catalysts produces more pure products. Thus, pressure is a powerful tool to produce crystalline organic compounds. Many examples of this have been investigated by several groups⁴⁻⁶. Piermarini et al. found that carbon tetrachloride solidifies into solid Ib at 0.13 GPa, transforms into another solid phase II at 0.4 GPa, and finally Phase III at 0.7 GPa7. Intensive research was conducted on the carbon tetrachloride using XRD under high pressure to define its detailed structures.

Para-xylene (p-xylene) is an aromatic hydrocarbon consisting of a benzene ring with two methyl substituents at opposite positions. The symmetry is D_{2h} (this designation ignores the methyl group hydrogen atoms). Polymerized p-xylene is an industrially important polymer, which is primarily used as a basic raw material in the manufacturing of terephthalic acid (TPA), purified terephthalic acid (PTA), and dimethyl-terephthalate (DMT). These, in turn, are used to manufacture polyethylene terephthalate (PET)-saturated polyester polymers. These polyesters are lightweight, shatter-resistant, and have high tensile strength. With the increasing global demand for TPA, the need for polymerized *p*-xylene production is also growing rapidly. The high yield and high stability of the polymer make this process appealing for large-scale applications. However, the crystallization, polymerization, and characterization of *p*-xylene under high pressure have not yet been reported.

Here, we report our crystal growth observations and pressure-induced phase transformation of p-xylene up to ~31 GPa in a diamond anvil cell (DAC) at room temperature (24-28 °C in our lab). As the pressure was carefully manipulated, p-xylene crystallized at ~0.1 GPa. We also investigated the crystallized p-xylene using XRD. Our

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy and College of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, 200240, People's Republic of China. ²Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai, 201203, People's Republic of China. ³State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012, People's Republic of China. ⁴Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 201203, People's Republic of China. ⁵High Pressure Synergetic Consortium, Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Argonne, Illinois, 60439, United States of America. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.W. (email: dqwei@situ.edu.cn) or L.W. (email: wanglin@hpstar.ac.cn)



Figure 1. Images of the equilibrium forms of the *p*-xylene grown in the DAC. Temperature: 24–28 °C. The two spheres on the left part of the hole are the ruby balls for *in situ* pressure measurements.



Figure 2. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of *p*-xylene at 0.84 GPa. The calculated diffraction lines for this crystal structure are assigned. The inset shows the 2D diffraction image.

.....

preliminary Raman and IR analysis indicated that the pressure-induced phase transformation (polymerization) occurred around 13 GPa. Remarkably, the polymerized solid phase was quenchable to ambient conditions and confirmed by mass spectrometry experiments on the decompressed samples.

Results and Discussions

Liquids usually crystallize at a defined pressure. Many organic solvents have been crystallized via pressure, including odd-numbered normal alkanes (carbon number: 9, 11 and 13)⁸, cyclohexane⁹ and carbon tetrachloride¹⁰. However, the properties of crystallized *p*-xylene remain unknown. Here, we studied the pressure-induced crystallization of *p*-xylene with optical microscopy and XRD at room temperature. The *p*-xylene was compressed above the freezing pressure of the liquid. Then, we obtained a single crystal through controlling the pressure by repeating the decompression and compression cycles. The freezing pressure of *p*-xylene was ~0.1 GPa. Images of the equilibrium forms of the *p*-xylene grown in the DAC are shown in Fig. 1(a–c). Finally, the crystals fully accommodated the DAC at a higher pressure (0.3 GPa), as shown in Fig. 1(d).

In order to better characterize the crystallized *p*-xylene, XRD patterns were performed under high pressure and room temperature. The first trace was measured at 0.84 GPa. Independent Raman measurements of *p*-xylene from ~0.1 to ~1.1 GPa were performed, and no detectable variation was observed between 0.1 and 0.84 GPa. Therefore, the sample at 0.84 GPa was the same as the crystal formed at ~0.1 GPa.

The XRD patterns and typical two-dimensional (2D) diffraction image of the *p*-xylene obtained at 0.84 GPa are shown in Fig. 2. The appearance of diffraction rings in the resulting 2D diffraction image suggested a crystal phase and confirmed that the *p*-xylene crystallized completely at this pressure. The diffraction peaks were analyzed and the *hkl* reflection lines are highlighted in Fig. 2. The results indicated that the crystallized *p*-xylene had a monoclinic symmetry with cell dimensions of a = 10.864 Å, b = 9.138 Å, c = 13.204 Å, and $\gamma = 137.54^{\circ}$. This phase differed from that determined at low-temperature in another study¹¹; possibly due to the different pressure and temperature conditions of the two studies or the perdeuteration (D) influence.

XRD experiments of *p*-xylene were performed up to 30.7 GPa at room temperature (Fig. 3). All of the diffraction peaks broadened as the pressure increased, possibly because of the non-hydrostatic pressure in the sample chamber. The Bragg peaks shifted towards high angles (low *d*-spacing) due to lattice compression. During





compression up to 10.0 GPa, no obvious change besides the peak broadening was observed in all diffraction peaks, indicating that the sample remained in a low-pressure monoclinic phase. It is worth noting that there were clear changes in the intensity of the low-angle Bragg peaks (compare -112 with 020 and 002, and 111 with -113). The diffraction peaks' relative intensities change was most probably due to the preferred orientation of the crystals at high pressure.

As the pressure reached 13.5 GPa, the intensities of (111) and (-222) started to decrease and eventually disappeared at 17.2 GPa, suggesting the sample completely transformed into a new phase. In the range of 13.5–17.2 GPa, two phases coexisted. Our analysis of the XRD results proposes that the sample was still in a monoclinic structure but with a different space group of $C_2(5)$ at 17.2 GPa, and the cell dimensions were a = 4.487 Å, b = 9.303 Å, c = 4.281 Å, and $\gamma = 138.91^{\circ}$. All the diffraction peaks of this new monoclinic phase (at 17.2 GPa) are also indexed in Fig. 3. The basic regular molecular arrangement in *p*-xylene forms a lattice, with the *p*-xylene molecules occupying the lattice site. The parallel arrangement of the hexagonal faces of the benzene rings with a slight shift should be just one of the arrangement forms in *p*-xylene. However, the limited information obtained from powder XRD did not allow us to determine a reliable crystal structure (symmetry, atomic position etc.). As the pressure increased above 19 GPa, the intensity of the XRD pattern became weaker and weaker, because the sample thinned at high pressure with pressure-induced amorphization.

Remarkably, the XRD data of the decompressed sample showed obvious diffraction peaks, indicating that the recovered sample was crystalline, unlike its initial liquid state. The state of organic compounds is closely related to the number of C atoms they contain, for example, alkane will not be solid under ambient conditions if the molecule contains less than 16 C atoms. This suggests that the decompressed sample could be polymerized *p*-xylene since monomeric *p*-xylene only contains 8 atoms. Therefore, polymerization is likely to be the driving force of the phase transition around 13.5 GPa.



Figure 4. Pressure-dependence of the *d*-spacings from 0.8–30.7 GPa.





The pressure dependence of the d-spacings from 0.8–30.7 GPa is summarized in Fig. 4. It is clear that several d-spacings shrank sharply at around 13.5 GPa, which is consistent with the phase transition pressure, giving an insight into the transformation at this pressure. The molecules moved closer and closer as the pressure increased. The neighboring molecules started to transform as the pressure reached a critical value, and caused a much sharper decrease for some of the d-spacings, which may be due to polymerization in certain lattice directions.

Raman spectroscopy is a useful tool for examining the structures of materials^{12–17}. While there have been studies of *p*-xylene with Raman spectroscopy under ambient conditions^{18, 19}, investigations at high pressure have not yet been reported. Here, we performed Raman spectroscopy of *p*-xylene (80–3200 cm⁻¹) up to 30.9 GPa at room temperature. Figure 5 shows the Raman spectra and major vibrational modes of *p*-xylene under ambient conditions. The Raman peaks for *p*-xylene are listed in Table 1²⁰ and the Raman spectra are grouped based on these assignments, as shown in Fig. 5. Group I is assigned to the ring deformation modes, and three peaks were observed in this region. The skeletal vibration centered at 644 cm⁻¹ is related to Group II. In substituted benzene ring compounds, the C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations²¹ give rise to bands in the region of 700–1000 cm⁻¹. Four bands (Group III) were detected in this region and assigned to the C–H out-of-plane bending of the benzene ring. Group IV is assigned to the symmetry and anti-symmetry deformation of CH₃, and Group V are those modes stretching the C=C of the benzene ring. The bands in the region of 2800–3200 cm⁻¹ are group VI and assigned to the CH₃ and C–H stretching vibrational modes.

Figure 6(a-c) presents the Raman spectra of *p*-xylene at various pressures. To better describe the pressure shift of the observed vibrational modes, the pressure dependence of the bands is summarized in Fig. 7(a-c). At 0.9 GPa, a new band appeared at 129 cm⁻¹ (ν_4) and was assigned to the lattice mode. This confirms the crystallization of

Mode	Raman, cm ⁻¹	Intensity	IR, cm ⁻¹	Intensity	Assignments and approximate description
ν_6	312	w			Ring deformation
ν_7	386	vw			Ring deformation
ν_8	458	s			Ring deformation
ν ₁₀	644	m			Skeletal
			795	vs	Skeletal
			1024	w	CH ₃ stretching
			1042	w	CH ₃ stretching
			1104	w	CH ₃ stretching
			1120	w	CH ₃ stretching
ν_{12}	699	vw			C-H out-of-plane bending
ν_{13}	810	m			C-H out-of-plane bending
ν_{14}	827	vs			C-H out-of-plane bending
ν_{16}	930	vw			C-H out-of-plane bending
ν_{18}	1204	vs			Ring rocking
ν_{19}	1312	w			CH ₃ asym. deformation
ν_{20}	1377	m	1378	w	CH ₃ sym. deformation
ν_{21}	1449	w	1454	m	CH ₃ asym. deformation
ν_{22}	1577	vw	1516	s	C=C sym. stretching
ν_{23}	1617	m	1631	vs	C=C asym. stretching
ν_{25}	2559	vw			Combination/overtone
ν_{26}	2732	w			Combination/overtone
ν_{27}	2863	w	2869	s	CH ₃ sym. stretching
ν ₂₈	2919	s			CH ₃ asym. stretching
			2922	vs	CH ₃ sym. stretching
			2946	w	CH ₃ asym. stretching
			2975		CH ₃ asym. stretching
ν_{29}	3011	m	3000	s	Benzene C-H stretching
ν_{30}	3028	w	3020	s	Benzene C-H stretching
ν_{31}	3053	s	3045	m	Benzene C–H stretching

Table 1. The Raman and infrared spectrum and assignments for *p*-xylene under ambient conditions. **vs**: very strong; **s**: strong; **m**: medium; **w**: weak; **vw**: very weak.

p-xylene at high pressure. These changes are consistent with the crystallization observed by microscopy [see Fig. 1].

When the Raman spectra exceeded 13.8 GPa, the intensity of the C–H, CH₃, and C=C stretching modes decreased, and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) increased. A band splitting appeared at 1657 cm⁻¹, which was assigned to the anti-symmetry stretching of the benzene ring C=C. The enlarged view of the band splitting in the region of 1550–1750 cm⁻¹ for the selected pressures is presented in Fig. 6(c). The polymerized phase was obtained from a number of triple- and double-bonded hydrocarbon compounds, such as acetylene and ethylene, at relatively low pressures (a few GPa). When the C=C bonds are involved in a ring, the system is more stable and expected to polymerize above 10 GPa. Therefore, the Raman changes above 13.8 GPa could be related to a new crystalline polymerized phase, as verified by the mass spectrometry investigation on the decompressed samples. These Raman changes were also consistent with the lattice shrinkage observed in the XRD. Furthermore, the ν_1 band disappeared, bands ν_2 , ν_3 , ν_4 , and ν_5 became well separated, and the intensity of bands ν_2 and ν_3 , ν_5 became very weak and broad [see Fig. 6(a)]. The peak weakening and broadening in the spectra suggested the presence of *p*-xylene strain, confirming the pressure-induced phase transition identified by XRD. The decompressed spectrum is clearly different from that at 1 atm as shown in Fig. 8, confirming that the transition is irreversible.

Infrared spectroscopy is also a powerful tool for characterizing polymers^{22, 23}. We performed *in situ* high-pressure IR to investigate the pressure-induced crystallization and transformation processes, as shown in Fig. 9. Based on the assignments of the IR spectra in Table 1²⁴, they were divided into three regions: (i) The skeletal and CH₃ stretching vibration; (ii) The CH₃ deformation and C=C stretching vibration; and (iii) The CH₃ and C–H stretching vibration. At 0.9 GPa, four new peaks were observed, marked by asterisks. The presence of new peaks could arise from the enhancement of the intermolecular interactions due to crystallization²⁵, which was confirmed by a micrographic observation and XRD²⁶. The peak intensity increases marked by rhombuses in Fig. 9(c) were also due to the crystallization of *p*-xylene²⁷. As pressure increased, obvious intensity changes were found, especially for the CH₃ and C–H stretching vibration, as shown in Fig. 9(c). At 13.0 GPa, the CH₃ stretching, CH₃ deformation, and C=C stretching bands broadened, and a peak splitting appeared (marked by arrows) at 1555 cm⁻¹. This splitting became much clearer at 16.7 GPa. To our knowledge, the splitting of the vibrational mode and the softening concomitant mode of the intramolecular modes are usually attributed to the formation



Figure 6. Raman spectra of *p*-xylene measured at various pressures and room temperatures. Spectra: (a) $80-1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (b) $1200-3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; and (c) enlargement of $1550-1750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at selected pressures. The strong bands centered at 1332 cm^{-1} and 2500 cm^{-1} are from the diamond.



Figure 7. Pressure-dependence of the vibrational modes. Regions: (a) $75-525 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (b) $625-1150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; and (c) $1195-3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

of new intermolecular bonds^{28–30}. Remarkably, the C=C stretching band at 1670 cm⁻¹ disappeared and three new apparent peaks (marked by crosses) were observed at around 3210 cm⁻¹, which was also due to new intermolecular bonds forming. Furthermore, we collected the IR spectra of the sample recovered from 33 GPa and compared it with the spectra at 1 atm. Note that the spectra at 1 atm and the spectra recovered from 33 GPa are different. Thus, the IR results confirm the pressure-induced transformation. The process of transformation involves the formation of new intermolecular bands, which relate to the C=C and C-H vibration of the benzene ring, and CH₃ (deformation and stretching) vibration changes. This result is consistent with our Raman and XRD findings.



Figure 8. The Raman spectrum of the *p*-xylene at ambient conditions and decompressed from 30.9 GPa.



Figure 9. Evolution of the IR spectra of *p*-xylene measured under high pressure and room temperature: (**a**) Evolution of the skeletal and CH_3 stretching region; (**b**) Evolution of the CH_3 deformation and C=C stretching region; and (**c**) Evolution of the CH_3 and C-H stretching region.

Next, we performed several additional independent experiments to confirm the new pressure-induced crystalline phase transformation. In these experiments, three recovered samples were obtained by recovering *p*-xylene from 12.6, 15.9, and 20.1 GPa at room temperature, respectively. We then compared the samples to each other. We found that the sample released from 12.6 GPa was liquid and finally evaporated when decompressed. However, the products recovered from 15.9 and 20.1 GPa were a transparent solid and crushed when the sample was poked with a needle, as shown in Fig. 10. The new phase could be sustained for a few months without further changes.

We also performed high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) mass spectrometry to investigate the decompressed *p*-xylene. The experiments were performed with a positive ion and the samples were decompressed



Figure 10. The final product of the *p*-xylene sample recovered from 20.1 GPa.



Figure 11. The mass spectra of *p*-xylene decompressed from 25 GPa to ambient conditions.

from 25 GPa to ambient conditions. From the mass spectrum, a number of mass-to-charge (m/z, m is the mass and z is charge) appeared at m/z 289, 336, 390, 338, as shown in Fig. 11. Through analysis, we found that the m/z value of an oligomer is not exactly the integral multiple of the mass number of the C_8H_{10} monomer. The random copolymerization and isomeric adjustment make the m/z of the polymer different. However, the oligomers mainly involved trimer and tetramer polymers. Therefore, we conclude that the application of pressure up to around 13 GPa does indeed lead to a new crystalline polymerized phase transformation of *p*-xylene.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the crystallization and properties of p-xylene under high pressure were investigated by optical microscopy, XRD, Raman, and IR spectroscopy. P-xylene crystallized at ~ 0.1 GPa with a monoclinic structure. Characteristic crystalline bands were identified by analyzing the Raman and IR spectra at 0.9 GPa. Our preliminary high-pressure Raman and IR analysis indicated that the pressure-induced polymerization occurred around 13 GPa. The new crystalline polymerized phase structure of p-xylene was characterized using results from XRD

investigations at 17.2 GPa. Remarkably, the polymerized solid phase was quenchable to ambient conditions and confirmed by mass spectrometry experiments on the decompressed samples.

Methods

High-pressure experiments were performed with a DAC equipped with a 300 μ m culet. The gaskets were pre-indented to 40–50 μ m with a 100 μ m hole to serve as the sample chamber. The *p*-xylene sample (99.999% purity from Alfa Aesar Puratonic) was loaded into the DAC at room temperature (24–28 °C in our lab). A ruby ball was loaded with the sample to perform *in situ* pressure measurements via the R1 ruby fluorescence band shift³¹. The crystal growth and the new phase of *p*-xylene were studied with optical microscopy. Raman and IR absorption measurements were carried out using a 532 nm excitation laser and a Bruker Vertex80V FTIR spectrometer, respectively. The XRD experiments under high pressure and room temperature were performed at the BL15U1 beamline at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) with a wavelength of 0.6199 Å and a CCD detector. The diffraction patterns were analyzed and integrated using the FIT2D program^{32, 33} to obtain the 1D intensity distribution as a function of the 2 θ scattering angle. The mass spectrometry (MS) experiment was performed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) source.

References

- 1. Ciabini, L. et al. High-pressure and high-temperature equation of state and phase diagram of solid benzene. Phys. Rev. B 72, 094108-094114 (2005).
- 2. McMillan, P. F. New materials from high-pressure experiments. Nat. Mater. 1, 19-25 (2002).
- 3. Amaya, K., Shimizu, K. & Eremets, M. I. Search for superconductivity under ultra-high pressure. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 13, 3623–3625 (1999).
- 4. Allan, D. R., Clark, S. J., Dawson, A., McGegor, P. A. & Parsons, S. Pressure-induced Polymorphism in Phenol. Acta Cryst. B58, 1018–1024 (2002).
- 5. Dawson, A. *et al.* Effect of High Pressure on the Crystal Structures of Polymorphs of Glycine. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 5, 1415–1427 (2005).
- McGregor, P. A., Allan, D. R., Parsons, S. & Clark, S. J. Hexamer Formation in Tertiary Butyl Alcohol (2-Methyl-2-Propanol, C4H10O). Acta Cryst. B62, 599–605 (2006).
- 7. Aoki, K. et al. Raman study of the solid-state polymerization of acetylene at high pressure. J. Chem. Phys. 89, 529–534 (1988).
- 8. Shigematsu, K., Saito, Y. & Takahashi, Y. Pressure Effect on the Solid–Liquid Equilibrium Forms of Odd Normal Alkanes. J. Cryst. Growth **310**, 4681–4684 (2008).
- Shigematsu, K., Honda, H., Kumagai, T. & Takahashi, Y. Pressure Effect on the Solid I Liquid and Solid III Solid I Equilibrium Forms of Cyclohexane. Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 4674–4679 (2009).
- 10. Shigematsu, K., Sugawara, A. & Takahashi, Y. Pressure-Induced Growth of Carbon Tetrachloride Solid II in Solid Ib. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **12**, 3402–3406 (2012).
- Ibberson, R. M., David, W. I. F., Parsons, S., Prager, M. & Shankland, K. The Crystal Structures of M-xylene and P-xylene, C8D10, at 4.5 K. J. Mol. Struct. 524, 121–128 (2000).
- Venkateswaran, U. D., Brandsen, E. A. & Katakowski, M. E. Pressure Dependence of the Raman Modes in Iodine-Doped Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Bundles. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 054102–054106 (2002).
- 13. Mikat, J., Orgzall, I. & Hochheimer, H. D. Raman Spectroscopy of Conducting Polypyrrole under High Pressure. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 174202–174209 (2002).
- 14. Iota, V. et al. Six-fold Coordinated Carbon Dioxide VI. Nat. Mater. 6, 34-38 (2007).
- 15. Peters, M. J., McNeil, L. E., Lu, J. P. & Kahn, D. Structural Phase Transition in Carbon Nanotube Bundles under Pressure. *Phys. Rev.* B B61, 5939–5944 (2000).
- Santoro, M. et al. Partially Collapsed Cristobalite Structure in the Non Molecular Phase V in CO2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 5176–5179 (2012).
- Sengupta, A. & Yoo, C. S. Raman Studies of Molecular-to-nonmolecular Transitions in Carbon Dioxide at High Pressures and Temperatures. *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 014118–014123 (2009).
- Ziegler, L. D. & Hudson, B. S. Vibronic Coupling Activity in the Resonance Raman Spectra of Alkyl Benzenes. J. Chem. Phys. 79, 1134–1137 (1983).
- Ashtekar, S. et al. Determining the Adsorption Sites for Binary Mixtures of p-Xylene and n-Heptane in Silicalite Using FT-Raman Spectroscopy and Temperature-Programmed Desorption. J. Phys. Chem. B 104, 5281–5287 (2000).
- 20. John, A. D. Analytical Chemistry Handbook (Beijing World, 1998).
- 21. Norman, B. C., Lawrence, H. D. & Stephen, E. W. Introduction to Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy (New York, 1990).
- 22. Bower, D. I. & Maddams, W. F. The Vibrational Spectroscopy of Polymers (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- 23. Siesler, H. W. & Kurt, H. M. Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy of Polymers (New York, 1980).
- 24. Krimm, S. Infrared Spectra of High Polymers 51-172 (The University of Michigan, 1960).
- 25. Cobbs, W. H. & Burton, R. L. Crystallization of Polyethylene Terephthalate. J. Polym. Sci 10, 275-290 (1953).
- Mochel, W. E. & Hall, M. B. The Structure of Neoprene. IV. Infrared Spectra and Spectral Changes with Crystallization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 4082–4088 (1949).
- 27. Miyake, A. The Infrared Spectrum of Polyethylene Terephthalate. I The Effect of Crystallization. J. Polym. Sci. 38, 479-495 (1959).
- 28. Davydov, V. A. et al. Spectroscopic Study of Pressure-polymerized Phases of C60. Phys. Rev. B 61, 11936-11945 (2000).
- Rao, A. M., Eklund, P. C., Hodeau, J. L., Marques, L. & Regueiro, M. N. Infrared and Raman Studies of Pressure-Polymerized C60s. Phys. Rev. B 55, 4766–4773 (1997).
- Pruzan, P. *et al.* Transformation of Benzene to A Polymer after Static Pressurization to 30 Gpa. *J. Chem. Phys.* 92, 6910–6945 (1990).
 Mao, H. K., Bell, P. M., Shaner, J. W. & Steinberg, D. J. Specific Volume Measurements of Cu, Mo, Pd, and Ag and Calibration of the
- Ruby R1 Fluorescence Pressure Gauge from 0.06 to 1 Mbar. J. Appl. Phys. 49, 3276–3283 (1978).
 32. Hammersley, A. P., Svensson, S. O., Hanfland, M., Fitch, A. N. & Hausermann, D. Two-dimensional Detector Software: from Real Detector to Idealised Image or Two-Theta Scan. High Pressure Res. 14, 235–248 (1996).
- 33. Hammersley, A. P. FIT2D V6. 4 Reference Manual V1. 18. ESRF Internal Report, EXP/AH/95-01 (1995).

Acknowledgements

Portions of this work were performed at the BL15U1 beamline, Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Source in China. The authors would like to thank the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Source for use of their synchrotron radiation facilities. This work was partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.

U1530402, 11004072 and 11174201). The work was also supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0444), Ph.D. Programs Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (20120073110057), and the State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology (KFJJ15).

Author Contributions

L.W. and D.Q.W. designed the project; Y.Z.B., Z.H.Y., N.N.L., S.Y., K.Y., R.L., B.B.L. and L.W. performed the experiments and data analysis. L.W., Y.Z.B., and Z.H.Y. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017