SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 8 February 2017 Accepted: 26 April 2017 Published online: 22 June 2017

OPEN Serum C3 and Renal Outcome in Patients with Primary Focal **Segmental Glomerulosclerosis**

Jian Liu^{1,2}, Jingyuan Xie^{1,2}, Xiaoyan Zhang^{1,2}, Jun Tong^{1,2}, Xu Hao^{1,2}, Hong Ren^{1,2}, Weiming Wang^{1,2} & Nan Chen^{1,2}

The role of complement (C) in the pathogenesis or progression of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) is uncertain. The present study assessed the relationship between serum C3, the baseline characteristics, and the progression of FSGS in the cohort and identified the clinical implications of serum C3 levels in patients with FSGS. Compared to the patients with C3 > 85 mg/dL (N = 474), those with C3 < 85 mg/dL (N = 117) presented a higher level of serum creatinine, lower levels of eGFR, hemoglobin, proteinuria, triglyceride, cholesterol, IgA, as well as, severe tubulointerstitial injury (TI). Of the 221 patients with a mean follow-up of 53.3 months, the risk of reaching end-stage renal disease (ESRD) was significantly higher in patients with low serum C3 level (p < 0.001). An additional 40 patients with primary FSGS revealed a significant correlation between MAC and AP (p = 0.003), MAC and serum C3 (p = 0.018), and AP and serum C3 (p = 0.028). Compared to patients with none-to-mild TI, those with moderate-to-severe TI exhibited a lower level of serum C3 and AP, and a higher level of serum MAC. In conclusion, complement activation occurring in patients with FSGS is associated with clinical and histological severities. Low serum C3 was an independent risk factor for poor renal outcome in patients with FSGS.

Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) is a group of clinicopathological syndromes sharing a common glomerular lesion. In FSGS, podocytes are usually damaged by multiple insults directly or indirectly^{1, 2}. Although several factors that lead to FSGS have been identified, approximately 80% of the cases are primary. The pathological features of FSGS are both focal and segmental, involving a minority of glomeruli and affecting a portion of the glomeruli, respectively. According to the United States Renal Data System, primary FSGS is responsible for 3.3% of all the cases of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) resulting from primary kidney disease³.

Previous studies have identified clinical and pathological risk factors including heavy proteinuria, reduced renal function, hypertension at the time of diagnosis, interstitial fibrosis, and glomerular sclerosis to be associated with adverse outcomes⁴. In recent years, several investigations have been undertaken to elucidate the role of permeability factors including hemopexin⁵, suPAR⁶, vascular endothelial growth factor⁷, and cardiotrophin-like cytokine-1⁸, in the pathogenesis and progression of primary FSGS. However, a consensus on the role of permeability factors in the pathogenesis or progression of FSGS is yet lacking⁹.

Previous studies have indicated that complement activation was present in FSGS patients and associated with disease progression^{10, 11}. Glomerular C3 could be detectable in a small subset of patients with FSGS¹². However, a consistent threshold of complement activation in FSGS has not been achieved. Thus, whether complement activation and low serum C3 are useful in predicting the renal outcomes is unknown. Moreover, the prevalence of low serum C3 in patients with primary FSGS has not been evaluated in any other large patient cohort. In the present study, we enrolled patients with primary FSGS and explored whether serum C3 could improve the predictive value of primary FSGS progression in order to evaluate its predictive value as a non-invasive biomarker in primary FSGS progression.

¹Institute of Nephrology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. ²Department of Nephrology, RuiJin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine, 200025, Shanghai, P.R. China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.X. (email: nephroxie@163.com) or N.C. (email: chen-nan@medmail.com.cn)

	Low C3 Normal C3		
Variables	(<85 mg/dL)	(≥85 mg/dL)	p-value
Gender (male/female)	61/56	264/203	0.393
Age (y)	37.93 ± 14.50	39.39 ± 15.10	0.349
Scr (µmol/L)	177 (82, 381)	92 (69, 149.25)	< 0.01
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	42.62 (17.35, 116.78)	91.50 (51.14, 136.28)	< 0.01
24 hUP (g/24 h)	1.02 (0.37, 2.79)	1.55 (0.63, 3.54)	< 0.05
>3.5 (number)	21	97	
<3.5 (number)	117	348	
Alb (g/L)	30.25 ± 10.77	28.53 ± 11.32	0.391
SBP (mmHg)	128.46 ± 21.10	127.77 ± 20.84	0.748
DBP (mmHg)	82.07 ± 13.05	81.71 ± 13.26	0.790
CHOL (mmol/L)	4.84 (4.09, 6.44)	6.21 (4.83, 9.57)	< 0.01
TG (mmol/L)	1.87 (1.24, 2.76)	2.38 (1.53, 3.41)	< 0.01
IgA (mg/dL)	222 (162, 356)	242 (189.75, 330)	< 0.05
IgM (mg/dL)	158.39 ± 100.53	188.40 ± 404.61	0.421
IgG (mg/dL)	1032.97 ± 754.97	950.41 ± 479.12	0.142

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patients at baseline according to serum C3 level. Scr: serum creatinine;24 h UP: 24 h proteinuria; Alb: serum albumin; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure;CHOL: serum cholesterol; TG: serum triglyceride.

		C3 < 85 mg/dL	$C3 \ge 85 \text{ mg/dL}$		
Hist	ological characteristics	(N=117)	(N=467)	p-value	OR [95% CI]
TI	None	27 (23.1%)	143 (30.6%)	_	ref
	Mild	57 (48.7%)	251 (53.7%)	0.329	0.74 [0.42, 1.33]
	Moderate to severe	33 (28.2%)	73 (15.6%)	0.004	0.37 [0.20, 0.73]
	Glomerular sclerosis (%)	11.11 [6.70, 25.00]	11.10 [7.11, 20.00]	0.430	3.10 [0.96, 10]

Table 2. Pathological data of the patients at the time of renal biopsy. TI: Tubulointerstitial injury.

.....

Results

Baseline clinical findings. A cohort of 591 patients was enrolled in the study. The male to female ratio was 1.3:1. The mean age was 38.6 ± 14.9 years. The median proteinuria was 1.43 g/24 h (IQR, 0.57-3.34), and 47.4% patients exhibited the nephrotic syndrome. The median MDRD-eGFR was $83.96 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ (IQR, 42.58-131.09).

Differences between low and normal serum C3 patients. The baseline characteristics of the low serum C3 and the normal serum C3 groups are listed in Table 1. The disease severity parameters such as Scr (177 [82, 381]µmol/L vs. 92 [69, 149.25]µmol/L; p < 0.01) were higher in low serum C3 group than the normal C3 group, and eGFR (42.62 [17.35, 116.78]mL/min/1.73 m² vs. 91.50 [51.14, 136.28]mL/min/1.73 m²; p < 0.01), 24 h proteinuria (1.02 [0.37, 2.79]g/24 h vs. 1.55 [0.63, 3.54]g/24 h; p < 0.05), LDL cholesterol (4.84 [4.09, 6.44] mmol/L vs. 6.21 [4.83, 9.57]mmol/L; p < 0.01), TG (1.87 [1.24, 2.76]mmol/L vs. 2.38 [1.53, 3.41]mmol/L; p < 0.01), and serum IgA (222 [162, 356]mg/dL vs. 242 [189.75, 330]mg/dL; p < 0.05) were significantly lower in low serum C3 group; however, no significant difference in Alb (30.25 ± 10.77 g/L vs. 28.53 ± 11.32 g/L; p = 0.391), SBP (128.46 ± 21.10 g/L vs. 127.77 ± 20.84 g/L; p = 0.748), DBP (82.07 ± 13.05 mmHg vs. 81.71 ± 13.26 mmHg; p = 0.790), serum IgM (158.39 ± 100.53 mg/dL vs. 188.40 ± 404.61 mg/dL; p = 0.421), and serum IgG (1032.97 ± 754.97 mg/dL vs. 95.41 ± 479.12 mg/dL; p = 0.142) was observed.

Renal biopsies were obtained. The cases with normal serum C3 displayed 11.10% [7.11, 20.00] as the median percentage of global sclerosis. 324 patients presented an interstitial injury, and 251/467 (53.7%) and 73/467 (15.6%) were scored as mild, and moderate-to-severe, respectively. Compared to the patients with normal serum C3, those with low level exhibited a significantly higher percentage of moderate-to-severe interstitial injury (28.2%, p < 0.01) (Table 2). However, no significant difference was observed in mild interstitial injury and global glomerular sclerosis. Besides, we compared the C3 deposition in the first third of the low serum C3 group(G1), the second third of the low serum C3 group(G2), the last third of the low serum C3 group(G3) and the normal serum C3 group(G4), and found a correlation between serum C3 and C3 deposition in kidney (p for trend = 0.038, $\chi 2$ = 4.32, Fig. 1).

Study endpoints. The follow-up was assessed in 221 patients. During the mean follow-up of 53.3 months, 54 (24.43%) patients developed ESRD. ESRD occurred in 32 patients (37.2%) with low serum C3 as compared to 22 patients (16.3%) with normal C3 levels (p < 0.01). Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed that the median survival time of patients in normal serum C3 group was significantly longer than those in the low serum C3 group



Figure 1. The correlation between the C3 deposition in the kidney and serum C3 levels. G1: the first third of the low serum C3 group; G2: the second third of the low serum C3 group; G3: the last third of the low serum C3 group; G4: the normal serum C3 group. The p value for trend was $0.038(\chi^2 = 4.32)$.







.....

(HR = 4.044; 95% CI = 2.238–7.309; p < 0.01; Fig. 2). Table 3 presents the unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for the end point of ESRD. Univariate analysis revealed that sex, Scr, C3, SBP, DBP, and IgM among clinical data were associated with the appearance of ESRD. Only the amount of Scr (HR per 1 μ mol/L increase, 1.003; 95% CI, 1.002–1.004; p < 0.001), DBP (HR per 1 mmHg, 1.027; 95% CI, 1.004–1.051; p = 0.012), and C3 (HR per 1 mg/dL increase, 0.984; 95% CI, 0.970–0.999; p = 0.035) remained as significant predictors of ESRD as proposed by multivariate analysis. In ROC curve analysis, serum C3 levels had a significant predictive value for the renal outcome (AUC = 0.650, p = 0.001).

Correlation between serum C3 level and activation of complement system. To further elaborate the cause for low serum C3 and poor outcome of FSGS, we included 40 patients with primary FSGS and examined the serum alternative pathway activation and serum MAC level. A significant correlation was established between MAC and AP (p = 0.003, r = -0.459), MAC and serum C3 (p = 0.018, r = -0.371), and AP and serum C3 (p = 0.028, r = 0.347) (Fig. 3). Next, we compared the activation of the complement system in patients with different tubulointerstitial injuries. The result revealed that as compared to patients with none-to-mild TI, those with moderate-to-severe TI were predisposed towards a lower level of serum C3 (88.38 ± 18.899 vs. 87.38 ± 15.794 mg/dL), lower level of AP (44.57 ± 32.71 vs. $46.50 \pm 30.85\%$), and a higher level of serum MAC (3646.72 ± 1573.09 vs. 431.02 ± 1334.34 ng/dL).

	Univariate		Multivariate	
Parameter	p-value	HR [95% CI]	p-value	HR [95% CI]
Gender (male/female)	0.033	0.526 [0.292-0.949]	0.314	0.75 [0.405-1.288]
Age (y)	0.956	1.01 [0.982-1.019]		Not selected
Scr (µmol/L)	< 0.001	1.003 [1.002–1.004]	< 0.001	1.003 [1.002-1.004]
Serum C3 (mg/dL)	< 0.001	0.978 [0.966-0.990]	0.035	0.984 [0.970-0.999]
Serum C4 (mg/dL)	0.783	0.999 [0.994-1.005]		Not selected
24 h UP (g/24 h)	0.860	0.993 [0.917-1.075]		Not selected
Alb (g/L)	0.480	0.99 [0.964-1.017]		Not selected
SBP (mmHg)	< 0.001	1.021 [1.009–1.033]	0.982	1.000 [0.984-1.016]
DBP (mmHg)	0.030	1.021 [1.002-1.041]	0.012	1.027 [1.004-1.051]
CHOL (mmol/L)	0.096	0.894 [0.782-1.020]		Not selected
TG (mmol/L	0.485	0.930 [0.757-1.141]		Not selected
IgM (mg/dL)	0.012	0.994 [0.990-0.999]	0.627	0.999 [0.994-1.003]
IgA (mg/dL)	0.556	1.000 [0.999–1.001]		Not selected
IgG (mg/dL)	0.057	1.000 [1.000-1.001]		Not selected

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analyses of clinical manifestations in Cox proportional hazards model. Scr: serum creatinine; 24 h UP: 24-hour proteinuria; Alb: serum albumin; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; CHOL: serum cholesterol; TG: serum triglyceride.

.....

Discussion

In this study, for the first time, we found that low serum C3 was an independent risk factor for the development of ESRD in FSGS. As shown in Fig. 2, renal survival was significantly higher in patients with normal serum C3 as compared to those with low serum C3. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the activation of the serum complement system may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis and outcome of FSGS.

FSGS is viewed as a group of clinicopathological syndromes with a common glomerular lesion and mediated by multiple insults causing podocyte injury¹³. Although the cause of FSGS is heterogeneous, the podocyte injury was the core of FSGS pathogenesis¹⁴. Circulating factors, including cardiotrophin-like cytokine-1 and soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, were recognized as the leading causes for primary FSGS¹⁵. However, whether FSGS is an immune-mediated disease is yet uncertain, although the initial treatments for primary FSGS consist of steroid and immunosuppressive drugs. Furthermore, studies have also indicated that the efficacy of some of these drugs may be attributed to non-immune effects. Moreover, a recent study showed that the immune-mediated disease might associate with FSGS in basic research¹⁶.

Over the past decade, predictors of renal failure in FSGS have been assessed in several clinicopathological studies. Clinical and laboratory features, including the degree of proteinuria, decreased renal function, the presence of hypertension, the absence of macroscopic hematuria, have been found to be independently associated with the progressive renal disease. In addition, genetic alterations caused FSGS^{17, 18}. The histological features, such as collapsing type glomerulosclerosis, and interstitial fibrosis, were identified as independent predictors of renal failure; however, the role of complement activation in FSGS was not described. Thus, clarifying the role of complement activation in FSGS was not described.

The complement system functions as a part of the innate immune system, and the activation of complement pathways exerts a deleterious effect on kidneys. Recent studies found that the over-activation of complement was associated with the pathogenesis of glomerular and tubulointerstitial injury. Complement can be activated by classical, alternative, and lectin pathways. The nephritogenic effects of complement activation are mediated by inflammation, the release of chemotactic factors, and the generation of membrane attack complex C5b-9. The level of serum C3 indicates the activation of complement.

Recent studies focusing on the complement activation have provided new insights into the pathogenesis of IgA nephropathy^{19, 20}, lupus nephritis, secondary FSGS, C3 nephropathy²¹, and HUS²². In secondary FSGS²³, the complement alternative pathway activation was associated with features of capillary wall injury. Sethi *et al.*²⁴ used mass spectrometry to analyze the glomeruli isolated by laser-capture microdissection and showed that they contain the components of the alternative pathway of complement activation and the terminal pathway C5–C9, respectively. A genome-wide association study of IgA nephropathy identified three independent loci in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and a common deletion in CFHR1 and CFHR3 that reached genome-wide significance, emphasizing the crucial role of complement activation in the disease²⁵.

Our large group study showed that low serum C3 might play a vital role in the pathogenesis of FSGS. ELISA results support that serum C3 was an indicator of serum complement activation. The complement activation in FSGS has been indicated as crucial by several studies. One study measured the complement fragments Ba, Bb, C4a, and sC5b-9 in plasma and urine in 19 patients with FSGS and found that the complement system was activated in patients with primary FSGS, and elevated levels of plasma Ba correlated with a severe disease¹². Zhao *et al.* demonstrated that glomerular deposition of IgM and C3 were unfavorable therapeutic responses resulting in poor renal outcomes in primary FSGS²⁶. Lenderink *et al.* proved that C3 was present as an activation fragment and colocalized with glomerular IgM, suggesting that glomerular IgM may harbor a cognate ligand¹¹. All of these suggest that complement activation plays a role in the outcome of FSGS. The present study showed that serum





C3, an indicator of complement activation and a readily available biochemical index, could be an indicator of the outcome of FSGS. Nevertheless, the cause of activation of complement in these patients is yet unclear necessitating further research.

Moreover, we found that as compared to patients with normal serum C3, patients with low serum C3 had a significantly higher percentage of interstitial injury. And C3 deposition in kidney are more often observed in low serum C3 group. In the group of 40 patients, those with moderate-to-severe interstitial injury are predisposed towards excessive complement activation by the alternative pathway. The tubulointerstitial fibrosis was recognized as a significant predictor of ESRD in patients with FSGS²⁷. Previous studies indicated that C3 deposition associated with interstitial injury^{28, 29}. In the experimental focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, the intratubular formation of C5b-9 is known as a specific promoter of peritubular myofibroblast accumulation³⁰. Therefore, the activation of complement could also cause tubulointerstitial injury, leading to fibrosis in primary FSGS.

Our study harbors the inherent limitations of a retrospective observational investigation. First, the relationship between serum C3 level and progression of renal damage must be interpreted cautiously regarding association rather than causality. Second, the complement components including activated C3a, C4–C3 complexes, or soluble C5b-9 were not detected in the serum and urine in the larger group. Also, we did not perform the additional staining for complementary components in glomeruli, which were previously suggested to be putative predictors of disease activity as alternatives to C3 in the other kidney diseases. Third, the serum C3 levels were measured only during the renal biopsy. Thus, whether low serum C3 persisted throughout the disease course is unknown. Therefore, monitoring the serum C3 level to further clarify the clinical implications would be valuable. Moreover, we could neither deduce the reason for the activation of complement system nor the role of different complement pathways in FSGS.

In conclusion, our study confirmed that the serum C3 level is an independent risk factor for renal survival in FSGS, and the serum C3 level could be utilized for the prediction of prognostic value. These findings are in agreement with the potential theory that complement activation is involved in the progression of FSGS.

Methods

Design. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. The methods were carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines. Patients' information was managed according to applicable data protection regulations. All subjects provided written informed consent.

Patients. The patients enrolled in this study were selected based on the diagnosis of FSGS in Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Ruijin Hospital between January 2008 and December 2012. Patients with Henoch-Schönlein purpura, liver diseases, diabetes, systemic diseases, and any type of secondary FSGS were excluded. Patients with Hepatitis C or B infection were also excluded. The date of renal biopsy was established as the baseline point for each patient. The diagnosis of FSGS was based on the histological assessment of renal biopsy tissue with hematoxylin and eosin, Masson's trichrome, periodic acid-Schiff, and methenamine silver for light microscopy and staining with antibodies against IgG, IgA, IgM, C1q, and C3 for immunofluorescence. Tubulointerstitial injury was scored as none, mild(<25%), moderate(25–50%) and severe(>50%) based on the severity and extent of tubular atrophy, interstitial inflammatory cell infiltration, and interstitial fibrosis^{31, 32}.

The medical records were reviewed, and the following information was recorded at the time of the renal biopsy: patient age, sex, Hb, SBP, DBP, CHOL, TG, serum IgA, serum IgG, serum IgM, 24h urine protein excretion, and serum creatinine level. The mean values of the two latest estimations within the month before the renal biopsy were selected. We calculated the eGFR in adults using the abbreviated Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study equation³³: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 186 × (serum creatinine in mg/dl^{-1.154}) × (age^{-0.203}) × (0.7 42 if female) × (1.21 if black). The Schwartz formula was used to calculate the eGFR in children.

Serological analysis. Serum concentrations of MAC were measured by commercially available sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Kit (SC5b-9 Plus EIA Kit, Quidel, San Diego, CA, USA). Alternative pathway activity was measured using the Wieslab Complement AP Assay (Complement system Alternative Pathway Wieslab[®], Euro-Diagnostica AB, Lund, Sweden). The absorbance at 450 nm (MAC) or 405 nm (alternative pathway activity) was determined using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., VT, USA). The alternative pathway activity was calculated as follows: (sample-negative control)/(positive control-negative control) \times 100.

Study endpoints. The date of renal biopsy of each patient was established as the baseline point. The follow-up time was considered as the interval between the biopsy and the last visit of the outpatient, death, or ESRD (defined as the onset of chronic dialysis or renal transplantation). The primary endpoint was defined as the cumulative percentage of patients who developed ESRD during the study (renal survival). None of the patients succumbed to mortality before the ESRD.

Statistical analyses. The results were reported as the mean \pm SD when normally distributed or as the median (interquartile range [IQR]) in the other cases. The comparisons of continuous variables between the two groups were assessed using the unpaired t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. The differences in the proportions of different patient groups were compared by the Fisher's exact test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Kaplan–Meier and Cox proportional hazards analyses evaluated the effect of serum C3 on renal survival. The primary endpoint was ESRD. Univariate survival was compared using the log-rank test. All univariate tests were two-sided, with an α level of 0.05. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to estimate the adjusted relative risk of each parameter with respect to renal survival. The survival time for each patient was computed from the baseline evaluation to the last follow-up or the primary endpoint, ESRD. The variables that were previously found affecting the renal survival were included in the Cox proportional hazards model. Thus, the variables were selected by backward elimination using the likelihood ratio tests. Calculations were performed using SPSS statistical software (version 19.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

References

- 1. D'Agati, V. D., Kaskel, F. J. & Falk, R. J. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. N Engl J Med 365, 2398-2411 (2011).
- 2. Xie, J. & Chen, N. Primary glomerulonephritis in mainland China: an overview. Contrib Nephrol 181, 1-11 (2013).
- Kitiyakara, C., Eggers, P. & Kopp, J. B. Twenty-one-year trend in ESRD due to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in the United States. Am J Kidney Dis 44, 815–25 (2004).
- 4. Ren, H. et al. Treatment and Prognosis of Primary Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. Contrib Nephrol 181, 109–118 (2013).
- 5. Lennon, R. *et al.* Hemopexin Induces Nephrin-Dependent Reorganization of the Actin Cytoskeleton in Podocytes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **19**, 2140–9 (2008).
- 6. Deegens, J. K. & Wetzels, J. F. Glomerular disease: The search goes on: suPAR is not the elusive FSGS factor. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 10, 431–2 (2014).
- 7. Cheong, H. I. et al. Circulating VEGF and TGF-beta1 in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. J Nephrol 14, 263-9 (2001).
- Mccarthy, E. T., Sharma, M. & Savin, V. J. Circulating permeability factors in idiopathic nephrotic syndrome and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 5, 2115–21 (2010).
- Reiser, J., Nast, C. C. & Alachkar, N. Permeability Factors in Focal and Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 21, 417–21 (2014).
- Arora, M., Arora, R., Tiwari, S. C., Das, N. & Srivastava, L. M. Expression of complement regulatory proteins on erythrocytes from patients with idiopathic focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Nephrology* 6, 37–41 (2001).
- 11. Lenderink, A. M. et al. The alternative pathway of complement is activated in the glomeruli and tubulointerstitium of mice with adriamycin nephropathy. Am J Physiol Ren. Physiol 293, 555–564 (2007).
- 12. Thurman, J. M. et al. Complement Activation in Patients with Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. Plos One 10, e0136558 (2015).
- 13. Board, A. D. A. M. E. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis National Library of Medicine PubMed Health. A.d.a.m (2013).
- 14. Durvasula, R. V. & Shankland, S. J. Podocyte injury and targeting therapy: an update. Curr Opin Nephrol Hy 15, 1–7 (2006).
- 15. Fogo, A. B. Causes and pathogenesis of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 11, 76-87 (2015).
- 16. Strassheim, D. et al. IgM contributes to glomerular injury in FSGS. J Am Soc Nephrol 24, 393–406 (2013).
- 17. Xie, J. et al. Novel mutations in the inverted formin 2 gene of Chinese families contribute to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Kidney Int* **88**, 593–604 (2015).
- Dai, S. Functional analysis of promoter mutations in the ACTN4 and SYNPO genes in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Nephrol Dial Transpl 25, 824–35 (2010).
- Zhu, L. *et al.* Variants in Complement Factor H and Complement Factor H-Related Protein Genes, CFHR3 and CFHR1, Affect Complement Activation in IgA Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 26, 1195 (2014).
- Roos, A. et al. Glomerular Activation of the Lectin Pathway of Complement in IgA Nephropathy Is Associated with More Severe Renal Disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 17, 1724–1734 (2006).
- Sethi, S., Nester, C. M. & Smith, R. J. Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis and C3 glomerulopathy: resolving the confusion. *Kidney Int* 81, 434–41 (2011).
- 22. Noris, M., Mescia, F. & Remuzzi, G. STEC-HUS, atypical HUS and TTP are all diseases of complement activation. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 8, 622–33 (2012).
- Sethi, S., Fervenza, F. C., Zhang, Y. & Smith, R. J. Secondary focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis associated with singlenucleotide polymorphisms in the genes encoding complement factor H and C3. Am J Kidney Dis 60, 316–321 (2012).
- 24. Sethi, S. *et al.* Glomeruli of Dense Deposit Disease contain components of the alternative and terminal complement pathway. *Kidney Int* 75, 952–960 (2009).
- 25. Yu, X.-Q. *et al.* A genome-wide association study in Han Chinese identifies multiple susceptibility loci for IgA nephropathy. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 178–182 (2012).
- Zhang, Y.-M. *et al.* Clinical Significance of IgM and C3 Glomerular Deposition in Primary Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. *Clin JAm Soc Nephrol* 11, 1582–1589 (2016).
- D'Agati, V. D. *et al.* Association of histologic variants in FSGS clinical trial with presenting features and outcomes. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 8, 399–406 (2013).
- Rangan, G. K., Pippin, J. W. & Couser, W. G. C5b-9 regulates peritubular myofibroblast accumulation in experimental focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Kidney Int* 66, 1838–1848 (2004).
- 29. Brar, J. E. & Quigg, R. J. Complement activation in the tubulointerstitium: AKI, CKD, and in between. *Kidney Int* **86**, 663–666 (2014).
- Kim, S. J. et al. Decreased Circulating C3 Levels and Mesangial C3 Deposition Predict Renal Outcome in Patients with IgA Nephropathy. PloS One 7, e40495 (2011).

- Barakat, A. J., Arianas, P., Glick, A. D. & Butler, M. G. Focal sclerosing glomerulonephritis in a child with Laurence-Moon-Biedl syndrome. Child Nephrol Urol 10, 109–111 (1990).
- Hao, X. et al. Increased risk of treatment failure and end-stage renal disease in familial focal segmental glomerular sclerosis. Contrib Nephrol 181, 101–108 (2013).
- Levey, A. S. *et al.* A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med* 130, 461–470 (1999).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (Nos 2016YFC0904100, 2016YFC1305402), National Basic Research Program of China 973 Program (Nos 2012CB517604, 2012CB517701), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 81570598, 81370015,81270782, 30771000), International Cooperation and Exchange Projects of Shanghai Science and Technology Commettee (No.14430721000), Shanghai Municipal Education Commission, Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant Support (No. 20152207) and National Health Industry Research Special Fund (No. 201002010).

Author Contributions

Jian Liu and Jingyuan Xie: conceived the study, acquired data, interpreted results, drafted manuscript, approved the final version. Xiaoyan Zhang, Jun Tong and Xu Hao: acquired data, revised manuscript, approved the final version. Hong Ren and Weiming Wang: conceived the study, interpreted results, revised manuscript, approved the final version. Nan Chen: conceived the study, acquired data, interpreted results, revised manuscript, approved the final version.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017