scientific data

Check for updates

OPEN Electricity and natural gas tariffs at United States wastewater DATA DESCRIPTOR treatment plants

Fletcher T. Chapin , Jose Bolorinos 🗈 & Meagan S. Mauter 🗈 🖂

Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are large electricity and natural gas consumers with untapped potential to recover carbon-neutral biogas and provide energy services for the grid. Techno-economic analysis of emerging energy recovery and management technologies is critical to understanding their commercial viability, but quantifying their energy cost savings potential is stymied by a lack of well curated, nationally representative electricity and natural gas tariff data. We present a dataset of electricity tariffs for the 100 largest WWTPs in the Clean Watershed Needs Survey (CWNS) and natural gas tariffs for the 54 of 100 WWTPs with on-site cogeneration. We manually collected tariffs from each utility's website and implemented data checks to ensure their validity. The dataset includes facility metadata, electricity tariffs, and natural gas tariffs (where cogeneration is present). Tariffs are current as of November 2021. We provide code for technical validation along with a sample simulation.

Background & Summarv

The water and wastewater sectors account for 3-5% of annual electricity consumed in the United States¹⁻³. Electricity demand from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) often coincides with peak demand periods from other residential and commercial sectors⁴, resulting in higher marginal emissions and electricity prices⁵. Indeed, WWTPs typically consume 2.5 MWh per million gallons (or 0.65 MWh / ML) of wastewater treated⁶⁻⁸, and electricity typically accounts for 25-40% of the plant's operational expenses⁹.

Faced with rising energy costs and decarbonization mandates, the wastewater community is exploring new resource recovery and energy management strategies. For example, electricity generated by combusting biomethane from sludge digestion can be stored in a battery to shift load to off-peak hours or participate in demand response markets¹⁰. While recent analyses suggest such approaches offer significant load shifting opportunities¹¹⁻¹⁵, the magnitude of the bill savings and the net present value of any required infrastructure upgrades are a strong function of the prevailing electricity and natural gas tariffs.

The water and wastewater treatment community currently lacks a nationally representative dataset of electricity and natural gas tariffs, defined here as the overarching structure of charges (e.g., energy charges, demand charges) that determine the marginal price of energy delivered to a facility (i.e., inclusive of generation, transmission, and distribution charges). A collection of tariffs published by a single utility is called a tariff book. A recent working paper published by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) analyses country, regional, and global electricity consumption and cost data across all sectors, but the dataset lacks geographic granularity necessary for evaluating specific facilities¹⁶. High-resolution, wastewater sector-specific national¹⁷ and continental¹⁸ tariff datasets exist for Europe, but we are unaware of comparable datasets elsewhere. Instead, there are several localized (e.g., city or county-level¹⁹) electricity and natural gas tariff datasets that focus on specific markets (e.g., residential²⁰) or provide energy charges in terms of average unit $cost^{21}$. We seek to close this gap by providing a central repository of utility-published electricity and natural gas tariffs for the U.S. wastewater sector that explicitly accounts for underlying variability in charges as a function of time, location, and quantity of energy consumed.

The national dataset of electricity and natural gas tariffs we present here reflects the complexity of U.S. tariff structures. Tariffs typically have three components: (1) customer charges, or monthly minimum payments regardless of quantity of energy delivered, which vary by type of customer (e.g., residential, commercial); (2) energy charges billed by energy consumption in kWh or therms for electricity and natural gas, respectively; and

Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA. [™]e-mail: mauter@stanford.edu



Fig. 1 Map displaying the electric demand and energy tariff structures aggregated at a state level. Each facility's tariff was categorized as seasonal time-of-use (Seasonal-TOU), nonseasonal time-of-use (Nonseasonal-TOU), seasonal non-time-of-use (Seasonal-NonTOU), or Flat. States with multiple facilities with different tariff structures are sliced to display the different tariff structures found throughout the state. The sizes and locations of each slice are not meaningful. Hawaii is not to scale. Alaska is not shown as none of the largest 100 facilities in the Clean Watershed Needs Survey³⁰ are located in the state.

(3) demand charges billed by monthly maximum electricity or natural gas usage in kW and therms/hr, respectively, and averaged over 5–15 minute increments. A therm is defined as 105.5 MJ, or the heat content of 100 cubic feet of methane at standard temperature and pressure. More complex tariffs may also have tiered energy and demand charges based on cumulative monthly demand, seasonal (i.e., monthly) and time-of-use (i.e., daily and/or hourly) variation in marginal energy and demand charges, and bundled or decoupled generation and delivery charges.

Within each component of the tariff, there is tremendous variability across both the underlying tariff structure and the charge per unit of energy delivered. As alluded to above, some utilities establish tariffs with flat rate energy and demand charges, where the price of energy is constant throughout the day. Other utilities establish tariffs with a time-of-use (TOU) component, where a peak hour surcharge is applied to each kWh (or therm) of energy delivered and kW (or therm/hr) of demand. TOU periods can vary from weekend to weekday in addition to hour-to-hour. Prices may also change seasonally (i.e., month-to-month) based on climate, with the highest prices depending on whether heating or air conditioning drive the maximum load on the grid. Finally, prices often vary based on total monthly consumption. For example, Con Edison charges almost \$33/therm for the first 3 therms delivered and less than \$1/therm thereafter²².

This dataset contains metadata and electricity tariffs from November 2021 for the 100 largest WWTPs in the United States (Fig. 1). Natural gas tariffs are included for the 54 of 100 WWTPs with on-site cogeneration. The tariffs, which were divided into customer, energy, and demand charges for both electricity and natural gas, were selected based on each facility's estimated energy consumption from process-based models and previously published estimates of energy consumption as a function of treated volume. After collection, we validated the tariffs and metadata for completeness and consistency (see Technical Validation) before performing a sample analysis to illustrate how the dataset can be used (see Usage Notes). This dataset was originally gathered to enhance the external validity of Bolorinos *et al*'s analysis of energy flexibility at a case study WWTP in California²³.

The availability of a national electricity and natural gas tariff dataset has several critical applications. First, it will facilitate technoeconomic assessment of energy efficiency investments and load shifting activities at U.S. wastewater facilities. Second, it will facilitate analysis of the degree to which cost incentives for electricity reduction are aligned with a broader policy goal of water sector decarbonization^{24,25}. Third, it will allow researchers to run wastewater process simulations with variable instead of average energy prices to achieve more realistic cost-benefit analyses²⁶. Fourth, this dataset could complement broader analysis of the synergistic opportunities between diverse industrial energy loads and time-of-use electricity and natural gas tariffs to determine which sectors have the greatest energy flexibility or demand response potential²⁷. Finally, the data could support siting



Fig. 2 Flow chart illustrating data collection and production methods. *Primary sources include air quality permits, engineering drawings, case studies, facility inspections, and press releases. [†]See Tables 2–4 for utility tariff book references. Public information requests were necessary in Houston and Dallas where electricity tariffs were not published online. [‡]See Table 5 for full list of sources for real-time and day-ahead prices. Facilities were identified by the Clean Watershed Needs Survey (CWNS)³⁰. Electric and natural gas utilities were identified according to service area maps or ZIP codes from utility tariff books The presence of cogeneration was determined from a combination of the CWNS³⁰, Form EIA-860²¹, and primary evidence²², such as air quality permits. The presence of cogeneration, flow rates from the CWNS, and wastewater treatment (WWT) energy intensity (2.5 MWh / million gallons)^{6–8} were then used to estimate the electric grid and natural gas demand of each facility. Finally, the appropriate electricity and natural gas (if applicable) utilities were selected according to each facility's CWNS address, and tariffs were assigned by estimated demand. Natural gas tariffs were selected only for facilities with on-site cogeneration. A combination of utility tariff books, historical real-time and day-ahead prices, and public information requests was used to determine the charges within each tariff.

analyses for cogenerators and heat recovery pumps^{28,29} critical to energy transition planning by providing GPS coordinates of existing cogenerators that are prime candidates for heat pump installation.

Methods

Scope of Analysis. This dataset contains metadata and electricity tariffs from November 2021 for the 100 largest WWTPs in the United States (Fig. 2). Natural gas tariffs are included for the 54 of 100 WWTPs with on-site cogeneration. For each WWTP, we determined the presence of on-site biogas cogeneration, estimated facility-level electricity demand, and estimated natural gas demand if cogeneration was present. These demand estimates were then used to select the appropriate tariff for each WWTP, as many tariff books are stratified based on monthly consumption. Next, we classified the relevant tariffs by their monthly and daily temporal variation and extent to which generation and distribution charges are bundled. Finally, we collected the electricity and natural gas charges at each of the 100 largest WWTPs.

Wastewater Treatment Plant and Energy Utility Identification. We used the Clean Watersheds Needs Survey (CWNS)³⁰ to determine the 100 largest WWTPs in the United States by flow rate. The electric and gas utilities serving these WWTPs were determined by facility address and utility service area documentation contained in the utility tariff book.

Demand Impact of On-site Cogeneration. Facilities with on-site cogeneration can offset substantial portions of their electricity and natural gas demand³¹. Thus, it is necessary to accurately establish the presence of biogas production and combustion on site before estimating the grid electricity and natural gas demand of a facility. We collected and compared this data using three distinct sources. The CWNS dataset identified 15 facilities with cogeneration³⁰. We cross-referenced with data from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) Form 860 – Schedule 3 'Generator Data' for generators fueled by either 'Landfill Gas' or 'Other Waste Biomass' in the states and counties corresponding to our 100 WWTPs. We manually matched the "Utility Name" and "Plant Name" fields to identify WWTPs in our sample with cogeneration²¹. In total, we found 24 facilities with cogeneration in the EIA dataset that were not indicated as having cogeneration in the CWNS. This included 2 EIA entries that were mislabeled as 'Natural Gas Fired Combined Cycle' or 'Natural Gas Fired Combustion Turbine' but corresponded to a WWTP with biogas cogeneration.

Unfortunately, even the processed EIA data did not capture all WWTPs with cogenerators. For example, Form EIA-860 only includes data on engines with at least 1 MW of capacity²¹ and some facilities operate smaller engines²². Our filtering methodology may also miss facilities with cogenerator operations contracted out to a third party³². We thus conducted Google searches for primary sources, such as air quality permits from local³³⁻³⁵ and state³⁶⁻³⁹ monitoring authorities, documentation on municipal websites⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴, state inspections⁴⁵, technical reports⁴⁶, EPA case studies^{47,48}, and press releases⁴⁹⁻⁵², and used those sources to flag additional facilities with

cogeneration. We identified 15 additional facilities with on-site cogeneration that were not documented in the CWNS or the Form EIA-860 and documented those sources in reference_list.csv, for a total of 54 of 100 facilities with cogeneration²².

The performance of cogenerators at individual facilities is highly variable and proprietary. As a result, we use average literature values to estimate electricity and natural gas demand reduction. We assume that the presence of a cogenerator reduces 50% of a WWTP's electric power demand. We also assume that the cogenerator system meets 100% of the WWTP's heat demand^{31,53–55}, such that all facility natural gas consumption occurs in the cogenerator.

We assume that facilities operate their cogenerator to be compliant with local air emissions permits that establish an upper limit on the fraction of natural gas combusted (f_{ng}). We reviewed a nationally representative subset of seven cogenerator air quality permits (out of 54 total facilities with cogeneration in our dataset) and identified f_{ng} values ranging from 4.4%³⁹ and 40%³³, with a median value of 10%^{34–38}. Thus, we assumed that f_{ng} =0.1 when estimating existing and design natural gas demand ("Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand"; "Est. Design Natural Gas Demand") and perform sensitivity analysis on this assumption to ensure that natural gas tariff selection remains unchanged over this range.

Electricity and Natural Gas Demand Estimates. Electricity and natural gas tariffs are often partially determined by the peak demand (or load). To select the correct tariff, we first estimate gross WWTP electricity demand, then estimate the quantity of electricity produced by cogeneration along with the natural gas imported for that cogeneration, and finally subtract the gross electricity demand from on-site electricity cogeneration to determine the WWTP's net electricity demand. We approximate the electricity demand for each facility using Eq. (1),

$$D_{gross} = E_i * Q / (24 hr/d)$$
⁽¹⁾

where D_{gross} is the estimated gross electricity demand by the facility in MW, E_i is the average electric energy intensity of United States wastewater treatment, 2.5 MWh / MG⁶⁻⁸, and Q is the annual peak flow rate in million gallons per day (MGD). The value selected for E_i is within the range of several recent estimates of E_i in the peer reviewed literature⁶⁻⁸, but this value is likely to vary moderately on a facility basis depending on the level of treatment and the efficiency of plant operations. As explained further in "Validation with Existing Data", we do not expect these variations to significantly alter the tariff selection of these facilities.

There is also uncertainty and variability associated with the flow rate data across the 100 facilities in this study. The CWNS reports 'existing total flow', representing an average annual flow rate, and 'design flow', representing the theoretical maximum flow rate for which the plant was designed. In reality, the design flow is likely to fall below the actual maximum flow at plants treating significant wet weather flows. We resolve this issue by including electricity and natural gas demand estimates for both existing total flow and design flow in metadata.csv²². Examining a small sample of three facilities in California for which flow data was accessible, we found that design flow was closer to the annual peak flow than existing total flow. Therefore, we used design flow to compile our data set.

As a sensitivity analysis, we then applied the same methods using existing total flow. Despite the up to 54% difference between design flow and existing total flow, there were only four cases where using existing total flow would have resulted in a different tariff. In other words, tariff selection is robust to changes in assumed treatment flow rate.

We then use Eqs. (2–4) to estimate the net electric power and natural gas demand of each facility. We describe any simplifying assumptions unique to select facilities in WWTP_Billing_Assumptions.xlsx²².

$$I(\text{Cogen}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if a facility has cogeneration} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

$$D_{el} = D_{gross} / (1 + \mathbb{I}(Cogen))$$
(3)

$$D_{ng} = \mathbb{I}(\text{Cogen}) * f_{ng} * D_{el} * 34.12[(\text{therms/hr})/\text{MW}]$$
(4)

In Eq. (3), D_{el} , the estimated demand on the electric grid in MW (i.e., net electricity demand), is either set to D_{gross} or $D_{gross}/2$ depending on Eq. (2), an indicator function for the presence of cogeneration. Past work suggests that facilities with cogeneration produce, on average, half of the consumed electricity on-site⁵⁵. Finally, we use Eq. (4) to estimate the facilities gross demand for natural gas, D_{ng} , in therms/hr, where $f_{ng} = 0.1$ as described above, and 34.12 is the conversion factor between therms/hr and MW (based on 105.5 MJ per therm).

In summary, for each facility we calculated gross electricity demand using Eq. (1), net electricity demand using Eq. (3), and natural gas demand for cogeneration using Eq. (4). The net electricity demand and natural gas demand were then used to select the appropriate tariffs. Since the goal of the original study was to investigate electric load shifting in WWTPs, natural gas tariffs were collected only for facilities with cogeneration²³. In other words, natural gas usage when performing demand response with WWTPs will not change in the absence of cogeneration⁵⁶, so natural gas tariffs were ignored in those cases.

Electricity and Natural Gas Tariff Selection. We identify the relevant electricity and natural gas tariff from the appropriate utility tariff book by addressing three questions: (1) what type of entity is consuming energy? (2) what is the monthly peak demand? (3) what is the total monthly energy delivered?

Customer charges are typically based on whether the consumer is classified as residential, commercial, or industrial. Wastewater facilities are industrial customers. We selected a 'General Service' tariff in instances where industrial classifications did not exist.

In addition to customer type, energy and demand charges are also a function of monthly peak demand and total monthly energy delivered. Using the previously discussed methodology, we obtained two estimates for each facility's demand, based on CWNS existing total and design flows. This demand was multiplied by the number of hours in a month to estimate the total monthly electricity or natural gas delivered in kWh or therms (respectively). Within the appropriate customer section ('Industrial' or 'General Service'), we selected the tariff corresponding to the facility's estimated electricity and natural gas energy and/or demand estimates. For example, we selected Industrial/General Service B-20 for Pacific Gas & Electric from a long list including Residential TOU, Commercial/General Service A-1, Commercial/General Service B-10, Streetlight Rates, etc.

Given the uncertainty in f_{ng} and the resulting impact on natural gas demand, we performed sensitivity analysis to ensure that the tariff selection remained unchanged with more extreme blend limits. In this dataset, natural gas tariff cutoffs were sufficiently broad such that varying the blend between 4.4% and 40% did not change the tariff selected for any facility. The tariff classification changed for the first facility (CWNS No. 25000128001) when the f_{ng} was increased to 47%.

Categorization of Electricity and Natural Gas Tariffs. The applicable tariffs varied widely across the 100 WWTP in our dataset. To summarize their attributes, we descriptively categorized tariffs on two dimensions: (1) the hourly, daily, and monthly variability of energy and demand charges ("temporality"), and (2) whether electric power generation and delivery charges are bundled ("bundling").

Temporality. We categorize monthly electricity energy and demand charges into Flat, Seasonal-TOU, Nonseasonal-TOU, or Seasonal-NonTOU charges:

- 1. Flat Charges: Charges are constant throughout the year.
- 2. Seasonal-TOU Charges: Charges vary monthly (Seasonal) and daily and/or hourly (TOU).
- 3. Nonseasonal-TOU Charges: Charges are consistent from month to month, but vary daily and/or hourly.
- 4. Seasonal-NonTOU Charges: Charges vary monthly, but are constant from day to day and hour to hour.

We visualize this categorization using dummy charge data for winter and summer months in Fig. 3a,b, respectively. The seasonal patterns depicted in Fig. 3 represent the majority of the municipalities surveyed, but exceptions exist in select locations. For example, in Delaware the electricity energy charges were higher in winter than summer months. The partial peak seen in the Seasonal-TOU summer price (Fig. 3b) is another nuance of some tariffs, such as those of Pacific Gas & Electric, where there are multiple TOU periods with different charges. While not explicitly visualized, there may also be seasonal changes in the timing of peak periods. For example, Chattanooga, has winter morning peak and an afternoon/evening summer peak²². The temporality categories for electricity tariffs in our dataset are plotted in Fig. 1.

Bundling. In the United States, generation, transmission, and distribution charges are commonly bundled into a single electricity energy charge. However, in some cases facilities pay separate generation (or supply) and delivery (or transmission and distribution) charges to a single provider or to generation and delivery providers separately. For example, in much of the Texas interconnection, delivery and generation service providers are distinct.

We developed a second categorization scheme for electricity tariffs based on the extent to which generation and delivery charges are bundled:

- 1. Class 1: Fully bundled generation (supply) and delivery (transmission and distribution) charges.
- 2. Class 2: Bundled generation and delivery charges with fuel cost adjustment based on the market price of fossil fuels.
- 3. Class 3: Generation and delivery service from the same provider with pre-defined charges.
- 4. Class 4: Generation and delivery service from the same provider with pre-defined delivery charges and variable generation charges that reflect real-time or day-ahead electricity prices set by the live market.
- 5. Class 5: Generation and delivery service providers are legally required to be separate, and delivery charges are directly negotiated between customers and utilities. As a result, delivery charges are not published in tariff books, but can be obtained through public information requests.

We categorize each of the 56 electric utilities in our dataset in Table 1. For Class 2, ex-post analysis of our dataset revealed that the fuel cost adjustment would have accounted for between 0.5%⁵⁷ and 60%⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴ of the total electricity bill of facilities in 2021.

Electricity and Natural Gas Charges. Finally, we determine the electricity and natural gas charges for each facility based on the assumption that facilities use primary voltage and opt into time-of-use (TOU) pricing where available. We also assume no unique cogeneration agreements between the energy utility and WWTP, which in practice may lead to a custom tariff. As suggested by Aymerich *et al.*²⁶, we ignore reactive charges.





.....

A comprehensive list of simplifying assumptions is available in WWTP_Billing_Assumptions.xlsx;²² all ex-post analysis is described below.

For Class 1 facilities (fully bundled pre-defined charges), we obtained the charges directly from the selected tariff, which were found in tariff books taken from the energy utility website or public utility commission's archive. Classes 2–5 require additional assumptions, simplifications, or ex-post analysis. For these classes, we report the sum of the generation, delivery, and fuel cost adjustment charges as a single energy or demand charge. The "Notes" column describes the total electricity energy or demand charge calculation process.

Class 2 facilities have fuel cost adjustments that must be accounted for in ex-post analysis. We obtained the 2021 fuel cost adjustments for each Class 2 facility in addition to the pre-defined tariff (Tables 2–4).

Class 3 facilities have both generation and delivery service from the same provider, but with distinct instead of bundled charges. In that case, the charges were often on different sheets, so the data collection process simply had to be repeated for both generation and delivery charges using the same tariff book.

Class 4 facilities with real-time pricing (RTP) or day-ahead pricing (DAP) in our dataset were limited to NYISO and PJM zones. In cases where WWTPs use RTP or DAP (Table 5), we:

- 1. Obtained historical 2021 prices from one of two sources, depending on the tariff:
 - Real-time prices tied to the locational marginal price (LMP), (e.g., "The real time PJM Load Weighted Average Residual Metered Load Aggregate Locational Marginal Prices for the Public Service Transmission Zone"⁶⁵).
 - b. Day-ahead prices published directly by the utility.
- 2. Averaged the 2021 historical pricing data according to the existing tariff structure. Since RTP and DAP only existed for generation charges, the pre-defined delivery charges were used as the existing tariff structure. In other words, if the delivery charges were flat, then we calculated a single average generation charge for each month. If there was a TOU component, however, then we calculated a separate average for each TOU period.

Class 5 facilities lack published delivery tariffs. In our dataset, Class 5 facilities were limited to Houston and Dallas. Through a public information request, we collected the actual 12-month fixed-rate delivery service contracts for each WWTP and added those delivery charges to the generation charges in the public tariff book to create a comprehensive electricity tariff.

Dataset Collection Process. The dataset collection process described above can be summarized by the following steps, which were performed independently for each of the 100 WWTPs:

- 1. Determine electric utility by matching the utility's service area map with location data from the CWNS³⁰.
- 2. Download the full tariff book for the utility from Step 1.
- 3. Estimate peak gross electricity demand by plugging in design flow from CWNS into Eq. (1).
- 4. Determine if the facility has cogeneration based on the decision tree in Fig. 4.

Electric Utility	Class	Number of Facilities	Electric Utility (cont.)	Class (cont.)	Number of Facilities (cont.)
TECO	2	1	AEP Ohio	3	2
Austin Energy	1	1	DTE Energy	1	2
Omaha Public Power District	2	1	Evergy	2	1
PSEG Long Island	3	3	Toledo Edison	3	1
Eversource (CT)	3	1	Rochester Gas & Electric	4	1
Pacific Gas and Electric	1	6	Georgia Power	2	1
PNM	2	1	Nashville Electric Service	2	1
CPS Energy	2	1	Entergy New Orleans	2	1
Public Service Electric And Gas	4	4	PECO	4	3
Southern California Edison	3	6	CenterPoint Energy	5	1
Con Edison	4	12	Louisville Gas and Electric	2	1
Dominion Energy	1	2	Seattle City Light	1	1
Oklahoma Gas & Electric	2	1	Ameren	2	2
Tacoma Power	1	1	AES Indiana	2	1
NV Energy	1	2	Cleveland Public Power	1	1
Portland General Electric	1	1	Salt River Project	1	1
EPB of Chattanooga	3	1	Duke Energy	3	1
Baltimore Gas and Electric	3	2	Xcel Energy (CO)	2	1
Penelec	4	1	Duquesne Light Company	4	1
Puget Sound Energy	1	1	SMUD	1	1
Memphis Light, Gas, and Water	2	2	The Illuminating Company	3	1
National Grid	4	2	Jersey Central Power and Light	4	1
AES Ohio	3	1	San Diego Gas & Electric	3	1
Florida Power and Light	1	4	Xcel Energy (MN)	2	1
Delmarva	1	1	We Energies	2	1
Hawaiian Electric	2	1	Comed	4	3
Oncor	5	4	Eversource (MA)	3	1
Ohio Edison	3	1	Рерсо	3	1

Table 1. The classification of the 56 electricity utilities in our dataset based on the extent to which charges were bundled in the electricity tariff. The classification system was as follows Class 1 =fully bundled generation (supply) and delivery (transmission and distribution) charges; Class 2 =bundled generation and delivery charges with fuel cost adjustment based on the market price of fossil fuels; Class 3 =generation and delivery service from the same provider with pre-defined charges; Class 4 =generation and delivery service from the same provider with pre-defined delivery charges and variable generation charges that reflect real-time or day-ahead electricity prices set by the live market; and Class 5 =generation and delivery service providers are legally required to be separate, and delivery charges are directly negotiated between customers and utilities. As a result, delivery charges are not published in tariff books, but can be obtained through public information requests.

.....

5. Estimate net (i.e., electric grid) demand by plugging D_{gross} from Step 3 and l(Cogen) from Step 4 into Eq. (3).

6. Select appropriate electricity tariff from tariff book in Step 2 based on the following criteria: (i) industrial customer; (ii) primary voltage and other simplifying assumptions from WWTP_Billling_Assumptions. xlsx²²; and (iii) electricity demand from Step 5. An annotated example is provided in Supplementary File 1.

- 7. Record electricity customer, demand, and energy charges from the tariff book or public information request.
 - a. If necessary, obtain historical real-time or day-ahead pricing to calculate the average electricity generation charge (Table 5).
 - b. If the facility has tiered electricity charges, account for those with a basic charge limit. If there are no tiered charges, all basic charge limits should be zero. A basic charge limit is the quantity of energy delivered (either electricity or natural gas) at which a charge becomes effective.
- 8. Estimate natural gas demand by plugging D_{el} from Step 5 and I(Cogen) from Step 4 into Eq. (4) with $f_{ng} = 0.1$.
- 9. If the facility had cogeneration in Step 4, determine natural gas utility by matching the utility's service area map with location data from the CWNS³⁰.
- 10. If the facility had cogeneration in Step 4, download the full tariff book for the utility from Step 9.
- Select appropriate natural gas tariff from tariff book in Step 10 based on the following criteria: (i) industrial customer; (ii) simplifying assumptions from WWTP_Billling_Assumptions.xlsx;²² and (iii) natural gas demand from Step 8.
- 12. Record natural gas customer, demand, and energy charges from the tariff book or public information request.

CWNS_No	Cogen	Electricity	Natural Gas
12000053001	21	67	68
48003033002	N/A	https://austinenergy.com/rates/ commercial-rates	N/A
31001825002	N/A	69	N/A
36001010001	N/A	⁷⁰ ,https://www.psegliny.com/ aboutpseglongisland/ratesandtariffs/ rateinformation	N/A
36001010017	N/A	⁷⁰ ,https://www.psegliny.com/ aboutpseglongisland/ratesandtariffs/ rateinformation	N/A
9000641001	N/A	71,72	N/A
6005025001	21	73,74	75
35000021001	21	⁷⁶ ,https://www.pnm.com/fuel-adjustment	⁷⁷ , https://www.nmgco.com/en/cost_of_gas
36001010006	N/A	⁷⁰ ,https://www.psegliny.com/ aboutpseglongisland/ratesandtariffs/ rateinformation	N/A
48008015001	N/A	78,79	N/A
34006012001	49	65	80
6004010004	N/A	81	N/A
36002001007	30	82	83
51000161001	N/A	84,85	N/A
40000123012	N/A	86,87	N/A
53001280001	45	88	89
36002001004	30	82	83
32000011001	N/A	90	N/A
36002001006	30	82	83
41000017001	47	91	92
47000245002	N/A	93,94	N/A
24000001002	N/A	95,96	N/A
42006056001	N/A	97	N/A
53000776001	40	98	89
47000940001	N/A	⁹⁹ ,https://vec.org/service-products/ electric/tva-fuel-cost/	N/A
36007136001	41	https://www.nationalgridus.com/Upstate- NY-Business/Rates/Service-Rates	https://www.nationalgridus.com/Upstate- NY-Business/Rates/Service-Rates
39002093001	42	100	101
12000001001	46	102	103
10000027001	50	104	105
51000154002	N/A	84,85	N/A
6005053001	48	73,74	75

Table 2. Data provenance of cogeneration information, electricity tariffs, and natural gas tariffs in WWTP_Billing.xlsx²² for wastewater treatment plants with an existing total flow of 50.5 to 75 million gallons per day (MGD) according to CWNS³⁰. N/A indicates facilities that did not have cogeneration, so no cogen or natural gas data was collected.

gas data was concered.

a. If the facility has tiered natural gas charges, account for those with a basic charge limit. If there are no tiered charges, all basic charge limits should be zero.

Data Records

Data described in this paper are available publicly on figshare²². Two Excel spreadsheets and three CSV files are included:

- metadata.csv
- WWTP_Billing.xlsx
- WWTP_Billing_Assumptions.xlsx
- reference_list.csv
- synthetic_energy_data.csv

Metadata. Metadata is stored in a single CSV file with each facility taking up one row and the following columns named in the header:

CWNS_No	Cogen	Electricity	Natural Gas
34001005001	30	65	80
15000003001	43	58-64	106
48004026002	21	^{107,108} , https://dallascityhall.com/government/citysecretary/openrecords/Pages/test.aspx	109
39000084001	21	110	111
36003169012	39	82	112
39001792001	N/A	113	N/A
6002032003	30	73,74	75
6002036001	21	73,74	75
26004005011	N/A	114	N/A
29001011001	N/A	57	N/A
6004009003	N/A	81	N/A
34001030001	http://www.jmeuc.com/ newsletters.php	65	80
39008260001	21	115	116
36008024001	N/A	117,118	N/A
36002001010	30	82	83
13000012004	51	119,120	121
6008022001	21	81	122
32000200820	N/A	90	N/A
47000940002	N/A	⁹⁹ ,https://vec.org/service-products/electric/tva-fuel-cost/	N/A
47001016001	30	¹²³ ,https://vec.org/service-products/electric/tva-fuel-cost/	124
12000017028	N/A	102	N/A
22009071001	N/A	125	N/A
36002001009	30	82	83
42000094003	N/A	126	N/A
12000017027	21	102	127
39001792002	N/A	113	116
48007039001	N/A	https://www.houstontx.gov/pia.html	N/A
36002001005	30	82	83
21000025001	N/A	128	N/A
53000776002	21	http://www.seattle.gov/city-light/business-solutions/business-billing-and-account- information/business-rates	89
29001023001	N/A	129,130	N/A
29001023002	N/A	129,130	N/A
18000061001	N/A	131,132	N/A

Table 3. Data provenance of cogeneration information, electricity tariffs, and natural gas tariffs in WWTP_Billing.xlsx²² for wastewater treatment plants with an existing total flow of 75.01 to 120 million gallons per day (MGD) according to CWNS³⁰. N/A indicates facilities that did not have cogeneration, so no cogen or natural gas data was collected.

- Index: Index assigned by authors (1–100)
- CWNS_No: CWNS ID number
- Existing Total Flow (MGD): operational flow rate in million of gallons per day according to CWNS
- Design Flow (MGD): design flow rate in million gallons per day according to CWNS
- Existing Total Flow (m3/day): operational flow rate in cubic meters per day according to CWNS (using a conversion factor of 3785.41178 cubic meters = 1 million gallons)
- Design Flow (m3/day): design flow rate in cubic meters per day according to CWNS (using a conversion factor of 3785.41178 cubic meters = 1 million gallons)
- City: city where the facility is located, e.g., "Syracuse"
- **County**: county where the facility is located, e.g., "Onondaga"
- State: two-letter code for the state where the facility is located, e.g., "NY"
- Latitude: "latitude decimal degree for a point. Positive values are used for both Northern and Southern hemisphere and have to be used in conjunction with the Latitude direction. The measure of the degree portion of a latitude measurement (0 to 90 degrees), indicating angular distance North or South of the equator. One degree of latitude equals 111.1 Kilometers or approximately 60 Nautical Miles. Includes the direction of the latitude measurement, being either: N – North, or S – South"³⁰.
- Longitude: "longitude decimal degree for a point. Positive values are used for both Eastern and Western hemisphere and have to be used in conjunction with the Longitude direction. The measure of the degree portion of longitude (000 to 180 degrees), indicating angular distance West or East of the prime meridian drawn

CWNS_No	Cogen	Electricity	Natural Gas
36002001002	30	82	112
48004026001	N/A	^{107,108} , https://dallascityhall.com/government/citysecretary/openrecords/Pages/test.aspx	N/A
12000017004	21	102	127
36002001003	30	82	83
39001666001	N/A	https://www.cpp.org/info/Pricing/Rate-Schedule	N/A
48004122001	21	^{107,108} ,https://dallascityhall.com/government/citysecretary/openrecords/Pages/test.aspx	109
4001318001	21	133	134
6002041001	21	73,74	75
36009071001	N/A	https://www.nationalgridus.com/Upstate-NY-Business/Rates/Service-Rates	N/A
39003369002	N/A	135,136	N/A
6008022002	21	81	122
48000004001	N/A	^{107,108} ,https://dallascityhall.com/government/citysecretary/openrecords/Pages/test.aspx	N/A
8000070001	30	137	138
24000001001	44	95,96	139
42005016001	N/A	140	N/A
6005009001	52	141	75
6002121001	21	73,74	75
36002001012	30	82	83
39001666002	N/A	142	N/A
34002065001	21	143	80
6009031001	21	144,145	146
42000094001	N/A	140	N/A
42000094002	21	140	147
27000001001	N/A	148	N/A
55003100001	21	149	150
17000721009	N/A	151	N/A
36002001001	30	82	112
36002001011	30	82	83
34001082001	N/A	65	N/A
17000721007	N/A	151	N/A
25000128001	21	152,153	154
6004010001	21	81	122
6004009001	21	81	122
11000001001	21	155	156
26000596001	N/A	114	N/A
17000721001	N/A	151	N/A

Table 4. Data provenance of cogeneration information, electricity tariffs, and natural gas tariffs in WWTP_ Billing.xlsx²² for wastewater treatment plants with an existing total flow of more than 120 million gallons per day (MGD) according to CWNS³⁰. N/A indicates facilities that did not have cogeneration, so no cogen or natural gas data was collected.

from pole to pole around the Earth and passing through Greenwich, England. Includes the direction of the longitude measurement being either: E - East, or $W - West^{"30}$.

- Horizontal Collection Method: "text that describes the method used to determine the latitude and longitude coordinates for a point on the earth"³⁰
- Horizontal Coordinate Datum: name of the reference datum used in determining latitude and longitude coordinates from CWNS³⁰. The options are North American Datum of 1983 or World Geodetic System of 1984
- Location Description: name of the place where the coordinates were measured (taken directly from CWNS³⁰). The options are: Lagoon or Settling Pond; Facility/Station Location; Intake/Release Point; Treatment/Storage Point; or Center/Centroid
- Location Source: Indicates how the point was entered. "Manual" indicates that the state user entered the coordinate information. "NPDES Permit" indicates that the coordinates were sourced from the information provided on the NPDES permit³⁰
- Scale: Scale: text that describes the geopositioning or scale of the map used by CWNS³⁰, e.g., 1:1000
 - Has Cogen: whether the facility has onsite cogeneration (regardless of whether they are operating it). Either "Yes" or "No"
- Est. Existing Electricity Demand (MW): estimated average gross electricity demand in MW. Calculated using Existing Total Flow (MGD) in Eq. (1)

Electric Utility	Method	TOU Period	CWNS Numbers	Source
Con Edison	NYISO	Weekdays 8 AM-10 PM	36002001007, 36002001004, 36002001006, 36003169012, 36002001010, 36002001009, 36002001005, 36002001002, 36002001003, 36002001012, 36002001001, 36002001011	https://www.nyiso.com/custom-reports?report=dam_lbmp_zonal
National Grid	DAP	None	36007136001, 36009071001	https://www9.nationalgridus.com/niagaramohawk/home/rates/4_elec_supply.asp
PSEG	РЈМ	Weekdays 7 AM-9 PM	34006012001, 34001005001, 34001030001, 34001082001	https://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/energy/real-time/historical-bid-data
Jersey Central Power & Light	РЈМ	Weekdays 8 AM-8 PM	34002065001	https://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/energy/real-time/historical-bid-data
PECO	РЈМ	None	42000094001, 42000094002, 42000094003	https://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/energy/real-time/historical-bid-data
Duquesne Light Company	РЈМ	None	42005016001	https://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/energy/real-time/historical-bid-data
Penelec	РЈМ	None	42006056001	https://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/energy/real-time/historical-bid-data
Comed	DAP	None	17000721009, 17000721007, 17000721001	https://secure.comed.com/MyAccount/MyBillUsage/Pages/RatesPricing.aspx

Table 5. Utilities with real-time pricing for industrial consumers and the corresponding CWNS numbers of the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). PJM = average of PJM locational marginal price, NYISO = average of NYISO locational marginal price, and DAP = average of utility's day-ahead price.

- Est. Existing Electric Grid Demand (MW): estimated average electric grid demand in MW. Calculated using Existing Total Flow (MGD) in Eq. (3)
- Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr): estimated average natural gas demand in therms per hour. Calculated using Existing Total Flow (MGD) in Eq. (4)
- Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand (m3/hr): estimated average natural gas demand in cubic meters per hour (using a conversion factor of 2.83168 cubic meters = 1 therm). Calculated using Existing Total Flow (MGD) in Eq. (4)
- Est. Design Electricity Demand (MW): estimated maximum gross electricity demand in MW. Calculated using Design Flow (MGD) in Eq. (1)
- Est. Design Electric Grid Demand (MW): estimated maximum electric grid demand in MW. Calculated using Design Flow (MGD) in Eq. (3)
- Est. Design Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr): estimated maximum natural gas demand in therms/hr. Calculated using Design Flow (MGD) in Eq. (4)
- Est. Design Natural Gas Demand (m3/hr): estimated maximum natural gas demand in cubic meters per hour (using a conversion factor of 2.83168 cubic meters = 1 therm). Calculated using Design Flow (MGD) in Eq. (4)
- Electric Utility: electric utility, e.g., "National Grid"
- Gas Utility: natural gas utility, e.g., "National Grid"
- Electricity Energy Charge Temporality: categorization of the temporality of electricity energy charges. "Flat" indicates charges are constant throughout the year; "Seasonal-TOU" indicates charges vary monthly (Seasonal) and daily and/or hourly (TOU); "Nonseasonal-TOU" indicates charges are consistent from month to month, but vary daily and/or hourly; and "Seasonal-NonTOU" indicates charges vary monthly, but are constant from day to day and hour to hour
- Electricity Demand Charge Temporality: categorization of the temporality of electricity demand charges. "Flat" indicates charges are constant throughout the year; "Seasonal-TOU" indicates charges vary monthly (Seasonal) and daily and/or hourly (TOU); "Nonseasonal-TOU" indicates charges are consistent from month to month, but vary daily and/or hourly; and "Seasonal-NonTOU" indicates charges vary monthly, but are constant from day to day and hour to hour

Table 6 shows metadata provenance. Data on a facility's flow rate, city, state, and county directly from the CWNS³⁰ was supplemented with the previously described procedure for determining whether a facility has cogeneration. Descriptions of utility service areas were used to determine the correct utility for each municipality.

Billing Data. Each worksheet of WWTP_Billing.xlsx²² gives the name of the CWNS number corresponding to a facility. Each row corresponds to a different charge, so a municipality with a flat electricity tariff would have only one charge and therefore one row, whereas a municipality with a complex tariff would have many rows corresponding to many charges. Natural gas tariffs are included only for facilities that have cogeneration; without cogeneration the natural gas use is minimally changed by participating in energy flexibility programs³⁷. An annotated data sample is provided in Supplementary File 2. The electricity and natural gas tariff data has the following columns:

- **utility**: type of utility, i.e., "electric" or "gas"
- type: type of charge. Options are "customer", "demand", and "energy"



Fig. 4 Decision tree used to determine if a facility has on-site cogeneration. First the "Biogas_Combustion" field of the Clean Watershed Needs Survey (CWNS)³⁰ was checked, then "Landfill Gas" and "Other Waste Biomass" in Form EIA-860²¹, and finally we performed Google searches to identify primary evidence²², such as air quality permits from local^{33–35} and state^{36–39} monitoring authorities, documentation on municipal websites^{40–44}, state inspections⁴⁵, technical reports⁴⁶, EPA case studies^{47,48}, and press releases^{49–52}.

-
- **period**: name for the charge period. Only relevant for demand charges, since there can be multiple concurrent demand charges. E.g., a charge named "maximum" that is in effect 24 hours a day vs. a charge named "on-peak" that is only in effect during afternoon hours.
- basic_charge_limit (imperial): consumption limit above which the charge takes effect in imperial units (i.e., kWh of electricity and therms of natural gas). Default is 0. A limit is in effect until another limit supersedes it. E.g., if there are two charges, Charge 1 with basic_charge_limit = 0 and Charge 2 with basic_charge_limit = 1000, Charge 1 will be in effect until 1000 units are delivered, and Charge 2 will be in effect thereafter.
- **basic_charge_limit (metric)**: consumption limit above which the charge takes effect in metric units (i.e., kWh of electricity and m³ of natural gas). Default is 0. A limit is in effect until another limit supersedes it. E.g., if there are two charges, Charge 1 with basic_charge_limit = zero and Charge 2 with basic_charge_limit = 1000, Charge 1 will be in effect until 1000 units are delivered, and Charge 2 will be in effect thereafter.
- month_start: first month during which this charge occurs (1-12)
- month_end: last month during which this charge occurs (1–12)
- **hour_start**: hour at which this charge starts (0–24)
- **hour_end**: hour at which this charge ends (0–24)
- weekday_start: first weekday on which this charge occurs (0 = Monday to 6 = Sunday)
- weekday_end: last weekday on which this charge occurs (0 = Monday to 6 = Sunday)
- charge (imperial): cost represented as a float in imperial units. I.e., "\$/month", "\$/kWh", "\$/kW", "\$/therm", and "\$/therm/hr" for customer charges, electricity energy charges, electric demand charges, natural gas energy charges, and natural gas demand charges, respectively
- charge (metric): cost represented as a float in metric units. I.e., "\$/month", "\$/kWh", "\$/kW", "\$/m3", and "\$/m3/hr" for customer charges, electricity energy charges, electricity demand charges, natural gas energy charges, and natural gas demand charges, respectively. A conversion factor of 2.83168 cubic meters to 1 therm was used.
- units: units of the charge, e.g. "\$/kWh". If units are different between imperial and metric then imperial is listed followed by metric. E.g., "\$/therm or \$/m3".
- Notes: any comments the authors felt would help explain unintuitive decisions in data collection or formatting

Billing Assumptions. Besides the assumptions made for every facility laid out in the Methods section, some utilities had nuanced tariffs that required further simplifying assumptions. These assumptions are catalogued in

Attribute	Source
Index	Assigned by authors
Index in Initial List	30
CWNS_No	30
Existing Total Flow (MGD)	30
Design Flow (MGD)	30
Existing Total Flow (m3/day)	Converted "Existing Total Flow (MGD)" to SI units
Design Flow (m3/day)	Converted "Design Flow (MGD)" to SI units
City	30
County	30
State	30
Latitude	30
Longitude	30
Horizontal Coordinate Datum	30
Horizontal Collection Method	30
Location Description	30
Location Source	30
Scale	30
Has Cogen	Varies by facility, see reference_list.csv ²²
Est. Existing Energy Demand (MW)	Calculated using "Existing Total Flow (MGD)" in Eq. (1)
Est. Existing Electric Grid Demand (MW)	Calculated using "Existing Total Flow (MGD)" in Eq. (2)
Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr)	Calculated using "Existing Total Flow (MGD)" in Eq. (4)
Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand (m3/hr)	Converted "Est. Existing Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr)" to SI units
Est. Design Energy Demand (MW)	Calculated using "Design Flow (MGD)" in Eq. (1)
Est. Design Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr)	Calculated using "Design Flow (MGD)" in Eq. (4)
Est. Design Natural Gas Demand (m3/hr)	Converted "Est. Design Natural Gas Demand (therms/hr)" to SI units
Electricity Utility	Varies by facility, see reference_list.csv ²²
Gas Utility	Varies by facility, see reference_list.csv ²²
Electricity Energy Charge Temporality	Assigned by authors. See Categorization of Electricity and Natural Gas Tariffs
Electricity Demand Charge Temporality	Assigned by authors. See Categorization of Electricity and Natural Gas Tariffs

Table 6. Data provenance of metadata.csv²².

.....

WWTP_Billing_Assumptions.xlsx, which has two worksheets: 'Electric' and 'Gas'. Both have a new assumption on each row and identical columns:

- Assumptions: explanation of the assumption the authors made in determining the correct tariff for these facilities
- CWNS_No_1, CWNS_No_2, ..., CWNS_No_14: list of facilities for which this assumption applies. "ALL" indicates the assumption was applied to all facilities.

Reference List. For posterity, we saved captures of all the original tariffs and indexed them in reference_list. csv, which includes the following information:

- Document Title: name of the document being referenced
- Utility: utility that published this tariff
- Day Filed: day this tariff was filed (if known)
- Month Filed: month this tariff was filed (if known)
- Year Filed: year this tariff was filed (if known)
- **Day Effective**: day this tariff became effective (if known)
- Month Effective: month this tariff became effective (if known)
- **Year Effective**: year this tariff became effective (if known)
- **Day Accessed**: day this tariff was downloaded (if known)
- Month Accessed: month this tariff was downloaded (if known)
- Year Accessed: year this tariff was downloaded (if known)
- Relevant CWNS Numbers: list of facilities to which this tariff applies

If the referenced tariff included multiple sheets with different filed or effective dates, then the most recent date of the entire book was used. Date accessed is only included for non-static HTML pages, which were archived with the Wayback Machine (https://archive.org/web/). The original versions of all documents are also

CWNS No.	City	Avg. Volume (MGD) ⁶⁶	Existing Total Flow (MGD) ³⁰	Design Flow (MGD) ³⁰	Avg. Electricity (MW) ⁶⁶	Est. Existing Electric Grid Demand (MW)	Est. Design Electricity Demand (MW)
12000053001	Tampa	59.78	50.5	96	6.33	2.63	5
48008015001	San Antonio	125.29	58	125	8.97	6.04	13.02
36007136001	Syracuse	75.45	71.153	80	7.26	3.71	4.17
22009071001	New Orleans	102.00	92.10	122	3.52	9.59	10.1
53000776002	Seattle	102.48	110	215	6.01	5.73	11.2
4001318001	Phoenix	170.54	140	230	11.80	7.29	11.98
42005016001	Pittsburgh	183.64	164	250	9.68	17.08	26.04
6009031001	San Diego	171.90	184	240	11.97	9.58	12.5
25000128001	Boston	292.59	310	310	14.72	16.15	16.15

Table 7. Comparison between our dataset and Chini & Stillwell (2018)⁶⁶ for validation purposes. These 9 cities were selected as they were (i) found in both datasets and (ii) had similar flow rates indicating that a single facility treated all of the city's wastewater.

. . .

available on figshare²². A copy of the information available in reference_list.csv is separated by existing total flow of 50.5-75 MGD (Table 2), 75.01-120 MGD (Table 3), and >120 MGD (Table 4).

Synthetic Energy Data. For our sample case study, we include synthetic energy data for a WWTP. One week of sample energy data at a 15-minute timescale is copied for a year to be used in the sample analysis (see Usage Notes):

- DateTime: date and time of synthetic energy data sample
- grid_to_plant_kW: electricity delivered from the grid in kW
- natural_gas_therm_per_hr: natural gas delivered to the cogenerator in therms/hr

Technical Validation

Global Checks. Seven global checks were performed to ensure completeness, i.e., all timesteps are covered, and reasonableness, i.e., all values are within reasonable bounds:

- (1) average flow is increasing with each facility and is within bounds of smallest/largest flows;
- (2) natural gas tariffs are included if and only if a facility has cogeneration;
- (3) prices are positive and below a reasonable threshold (e.g., \$0.50 / kWh);
- (4) all hours/days of the year are included;
- (5) units are correct (i.e. demand is in kW, therms/hr, or m^3/hr and energy in kWh, therms, or m^3);
- (6) correct conversion factors were used (i.e. 2.831681 m³ per therm of natural gas and 3785.41178 m³ per million gallons); and
- (7) Equations (1-4) hold.

The maximum thresholds for prices were set manually at the start and then each exception to the threshold was double-checked for correctness in the original tariff document.

Validation with Existing Data. We compared the metadata collected here to wastewater treatment plant data collected by Chini & Stillwell⁶⁶ determine the accuracy of our data collection procedure. Chini & Stillwell's data was collected at a city-level through public information requests in 2012. Data was reported on a monthly basis for treatment volume (MG), electricity consumption (kWh), natural gas consumption (therms), and biogas consumption (therms). Data for natural gas and biogas consumption was not available for all cities.

Since Chini & Stillwell's dataset is compiled at a city-level, the first step was selecting WWTPs that were the only facility in their municipality. I.e., we ensured there was a one-to-one correspondence between the WWTP and the city by verifying that the city's treatment volume⁶⁶ was within 10% of the facility's existing total flow or design flow reported in the CWNS³⁰. This gave us nine cities to use for validation (Table 7).

The discrepancies in electricity demand are acceptable for the purpose of this paper, which was to assign the appropriate electricity or natural gas tariff to each facility. For example, we overestimate the electricity demand in New Orleans and Pittsburgh while underestimating demand in Syracuse and Atlanta. Across the 9 matching WWTPs, Est. Design Electric Grid Demand (MW) was 13.2% higher on average than the electricity demand from Chini & Stillwell⁶⁶, indicating that our estimation methodology is reasonable.

With that said, we propose two explanations for the discrepancies between the two datasets. First, Chini & Stillwell collected monthly data, so the electricity demand computed is an average monthly demand. However, our dataset attempts to estimate *peak* electricity demand for use in determining electricity and natural gas tariffs. Second, we use a constant energy intensity factor to approximate the energy demand of wastewater treatment. In reality, economies of scale will lead to an energy intensity factor that declines with treatment volume.



Fig. 5 Sample results from simulating the estimated annual cost of electric power and natural gas charges from the 100 WWTPs in our dataset. (a) Monthly electricity energy and demand charges were simulated using synthetic consumption data modeled on a case study facility in California and the energy and natural gas tariffs associated with CWNS Facility No. 12000017027. (b) Natural gas energy and demand charges were simulated using synthetic consumption data and the tariff associated with CWNS Facility No. 12000017027. (b) Natural gas energy and demand charges were simulated using synthetic consumption data and the tariff associated with CWNS Facility No. 12000017027. Customer charges were not plotted since they do not vary month-to-month. (c) Violin plot showing the distribution of electricity costs for each charge type from an annual simulation of all 100 facilities using synthetic data. Horizontal lines indicate the minimum, first quartile, second quartile, third quartile, and maximum from bottom to top. (d) Violin plot showing the distribution of natural gas costs for each charge type from an annual simulation of all 100 facilities using synthetic data. Horizontal lines indicate the minimum, first quartile, and maximum from bottom to top. (d) Violin plot showing the distribution of natural gas costs for each charge type from an annual simulation of all 100 facilities using synthetic data. Horizontal lines indicate the minimum, first quartile, second quartile, third quartile, and maximum from bottom to top.

.....

As stated in the Methods section, varying f_{ng} , the fraction of natural gas blended with biogas during cogeneration between 4.4% and 40% did not change the natural gas tariff selected for any facility. Similarly, using existing total flow instead of design flow from the CWNS database (which is often a large discrepancy) would lead to a change in only 4 of 100 WWTPs' electricity tariffs. In conclusion, these small over/underestimates in electricity or natural gas demand do not impact the correct tariff selection.

Having validated our electricity and natural gas demand estimation methods, we directly contacted a handful of facilities to verify that the tariff we assigned to them was correct. We were able to reach facilities through our existing research network in California, manually verifying that our tariffs for Pacific Gas & Electric, Southern California Edison, and Southern California Gas were appropriate for WWTPs in those service areas.

Usage Notes

One week of case study facility data at 15-minute timescales was repeated for a year to create a synthetic energy consumption dataset. Using that dataset and sample code, which are both available on GitHub (https://github. com/we3lab/wwtp-energy-tariffs), we conducted an energy cost simulation. CWNS Facility No. 12000017027 was selected for this example because it has the full range of charge types (customer, electricity demand, electricity energy, natural gas demand, and natural gas energy). The results of the simulation are shown in Fig. 5a,b. Customer charges of \$283.03/mo for electricity and \$300.00/mo for natural gas were not plotted since they are identical from month-to-month. Analogous simulations were run on all 100 facilities to verify the dataset's completeness (Fig. 5c,d).

Code availability

The data is provided as Excel and CSV spreadsheets that can be used without code for manipulation. Sample Python scripts are available on GitHub to ease analysis and demonstrate technical validation procedures (https://github.com/we3lab/wwtp-energy-tariffs).

Received: 22 February 2023; Accepted: 27 December 2023; Published online: 23 January 2024

References

- 1. Sanders, K. T. & Webber, M. E. Evaluating the energy consumed for water use in the United States. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 7, 034034 (2012).
- Copeland, C. & Carter, N. T. Energy-Water Nexus: The Water Sector's Energy Use. Report No. R43200 (Congressional Research Service, 2017).
- 3. McCarty, P. L., Bae, J. & Kim, J. Domestic wastewater treatment as a net energy producer can this be achieved? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45**, 7100–7106 (2011).
- Zohrabian, A., Plata, S. L., Kim, D. M., Childress, A. E. & Sanders, K. T. Leveraging the water-energy nexus to derive benefits for the electric grid through demand-side management in the water supply and wastewater sectors. WIREs Water 8, (2021).
- Zib, L., Byrne, D. M., Marston, L. T. & Chini, C. M. Operational carbon footprint of the U.S. water and wastewater sector's energy consumption. J. Clean. Prod. 321, 128815 (2021).
- Wang, H. et al. Comparative analysis of energy intensity and carbon emissions in wastewater treatment in USA, Germany, China and South Africa. Appl. Energy 184, 873–881 (2016).
- 7. Chini, C. M. & Stillwell, A. S. The state of US urban water data and the energy-water nexus. *Water Resour. Res.* 54, 1796–1811 (2018).
- Stillwell, A., Hoppock, D. & Webber, M. Energy recovery from wastewater treatment plants in the United States: A case study of the energy-water nexus. Sustainability 2, 945–962 (2010).
- Silva, C. & Rosa, M. J. Energy performance indicators of wastewater treatment: a field study with 17 Portuguese plants. Water Sci. Technol. 72, 510-519 (2015).
- Bolorinos, J., Mauter, M. S. & Rajagopal, R. Energy Services through Integrated Flexible Operation of Wastewater Systems. U.S. Provisional Patent 63/182,493 (2021).
- 11. Musabandesu, E. & Loge, F. Load shifting at wastewater treatment plants: A case study for participating as an energy demand resource. J. Clean. Prod. 282, 124454 (2021).
- Póvoa, P., Oehmen, A., Inocêncio, P., Matos, J. S. & Frazão, A. Modelling energy costs for different operational strategies of a large water resource recovery facility. Water Sci. Technol. 75, 2139–2148 (2017).
- Brok, N. B., Munk-Nielsen, T., Madsen, H. & Stentoft, P. A. Unlocking energy flexibility of municipal wastewater aeration using predictive control to exploit price differences in power markets. *Appl. Energy* 280, 115965 (2020).
- Kirchem, D., Lynch, M. Á., Bertsch, V. & Casey, E. Modelling demand response with process models and energy systems models: Potential applications for wastewater treatment within the energy-water nexus. *Appl. Energy* 260, 114321 (2020).
- Torregrossa, D., Leopold, U., Hernández-Sancho, F. & Hansen, J. Machine learning for energy cost modelling in wastewater treatment plants. J. Environ. Manage. 223, 1061–1067 (2018).
- Parry, I., Black, S. & Vernon, N. Still Not Getting Energy Prices Right: A Global and Country Update of Fossil Fuel Subsidies. Working Paper No. 2021/236 (International Monetary Fund, 2021).
- 17. Watersector Database https://sewagenetwork.nl/rwzi (2023).
- Waterbase reported under UWWTD data call 2021. Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive https://sdi.eea.europa.eu/data/ d8fd3400-04bd-44b0-8c99-da3016e24128 (2023).
- Chen, J. et al. City- and county-level spatio-temporal energy consumption and efficiency datasets for China from 1997 to 2017. Sci. Data 9, 101 (2022).
- 20. Chavat, J., Nesmachnow, S., Graneri, J. & Alvez, G. ECD-UY, detailed household electricity consumption dataset of Uruguay. Sci. Data 9, 21 (2022).
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. Form EIA-860 detailed data with previous form data (EIA-860A/860B). EIA Open Data www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia860/ (2020).
- Chapin, F. T., Bolorinos, J., & Mauter, M. S. Electricity and natural gas tariffs at United States wastewater treatment plants. *figshare* https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.6435578.v1 (2024).
- 23. Bolorinos, J., Mauter, M. S. & Rajagopal, R. Integrated energy flexibility management at wastewater treatment facilities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* (2023).
- Graff Zivin, J. S., Kotchen, M. J. & Mansur, E. T. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of marginal emissions: Implications for electric cars and other electricity-shifting policies. J. Econ. Behav. Organ. 107, 248–268 (2014).
- 25. Spees, K. & Lave, L. Impacts of responsive load in PJM: Load shifting and real time pricing. Energy J. 29, (2008).
- Aymerich, I., Rieger, L., Sobhani, R., Rosso, D. & Corominas, L. L. The difference between energy consumption and energy cost: Modelling energy tariff structures for water resource recovery facilities. *Water Res.* 81, 113–123 (2015).
- 27. Kollmann, R. *et al.* Renewable energy from wastewater practical aspects of integrating a wastewater treatment plant into local energy supply concepts. *J. Clean. Prod.* **155**, 119–129 (2017).
- Sato, M., Singer, G., Dussaux, D. & Lovo, S. International and sectoral variation in industrial energy prices 1995–2015. *Energy Economics* 78, 235–258 (2019).
- Sowby, R. B. Making waves: Research to support water and wastewater utilities in the transition to a clean-energy future. Water Res. 233, 119739 (2023).
- 30. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Clean Watersheds Needs Survey https://www.epa.gov/cwns (2012).
- Gingerich, D. B. & Mauter, M. S. Air Emission Reduction Benefits of Biogas Electricity Generation at Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 1633–1643 (2018).

- 32. Dallas Water Utilities, TX. Ameresco http://www.ameresco.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/cs_cityofdallas.pdf (2012).
- 33. Facility Permit to Operate. Facility No. 800214 (South Coast Air Quality Management District, 2017).
- 34. Broadbent, J. P. Major Facility Review Permit. Facility No. A0591 (Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2019).
- Dougherty, J. L. Final Air Operation Permit. Permit No. 0570373-031-AO. (Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County, 2021).
- Greener, K. Air Pollution Control Operating Permit: Administrative Amendment. Permit No. BOP200001 (New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2020).
- 37. Hopkins, M. E. Re: Final Air Pollution Permit-to-Install and Operate. Permit No. P0129892 (Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, 2021).
- 38. Operating Permit. Permit No. 01OPAD212 (Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 2022).
- Permit under the Environmental Conservation Law. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation https://www.dec. ny.gov/dardata/boss/afs/permits/355180034200019_r2_2.pdf (2019).
- South Plant Treatment Process. King County https://kingcounty.gov/~/media/depts/dnrp/wtd/system/Process/1801_southtreatment-process.ashx (2018).
- Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant. Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection https://static. ongov.net/WEP/wepdf/Ecologic/WWTP/Poster_Metro_2.pdf (2017).
- 42. Treatment Process. City of Dayton Water https://www.daytonohio.gov/379/Treatment-Process (n.d.).
- 43. Decarbonization and Energy Innovation. Hawai'i Gas https://www.hawaiigas.com/clean-energy/decarbonization (2021).
- Cogeneration Facility. Baltimore Department of Public Works https://publicworks.baltimorecity.gov/pw-bureaus/water-wastewater/ wastewater/back-river/cogeneration (2018).
- Tacoma Central No 1 Wastewater Treatment Plant Inspection Report. Washington State Department of Ecology https://apps. ecology.wa.gov/paris/DownloadDocument.aspx?id=291598 (2019).
- Morello, V. Broward NRWWTP Biogas to Energy Project. Broward County Water and Wastewater Services http://www.fwea.org/ docs/PD-AG-Broward_County_FWEA_Meeting-Formatted_VM_Edit_12-3.pdf (2015).
- Chesser, H. Case Study: RNG at Columbia Blvd WWTP. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/ files/2019-10/documents/chesser_rngworkshop_2019.pdf (2019).
- City of Fresno Reclamation Facility. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/sites/ production/files/2016-05/documents/wrrf_fresno_v2_may_12.pdf (2016).
- Press Release. Anaergia Inc. https://www.anaergia.com/camden-utilities-resources-biosolids-technology-wastewaterwastemunicipal (2016).
- Sternberg, D. EPA Recognizes Wilmington, Delaware's Renewable Energy and Biosolids Facility for Excellence and Innovation in Clean Water Infrastructure. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency https://archive.epa.gov/epa/newsreleases/epa-recognizeswilmington-delawares-renewable-energy-and-biosolids-facility-excellence.html (2018).
- Lund, S. Biogas-Fueled Cogeneration Is a Great Energy Saver, Especially When It's Fine-Tuned for Maximum Output. Treatment Plant Operator Magazine https://www.tpomag.com/editorial/2018/12/biogas-fueled-cogeneration-is-a-great-energy-saverespecially-when-its-fine-tuned-for-maximum-output (2018).
- Udris, D. & Sargeant, S. SMUD and SRCSD Team Up to Develop Region's First Co-digestion Facility. Regional San https://www. regionalsan.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/bio-gas-pr-2012-03.pdf (2012).
- Nowak, O., Enderle, P. & Varbanov, P. Ways to optimize the energy balance of municipal wastewater systems: lessons learned from Austrian applications. J. Clean. Prod. 88, 125–131 (2015).
- Shen, Y., Linville, J. L., Urgun-Demirtas, M., Mintz, M. M. & Snyder, S. W. An overview of biogas production and utilization at full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in the United States: Challenges and opportunities towards energy-neutral WWTPs. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 50, 346–362 (2015).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Opportunities for combined heat and power at wastewater treatment facilities: Market analysis and lessons from the field. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 2012, 4532–4588 (2012).
- Nakkasunchi, S., Hewitt, N. J., Zoppi, C. & Brandoni, C. A review of energy optimization modelling tools for the decarbonisation of wastewater treatment plants. J. Clean. Prod. 279, 123811 (2021).
- Large Power Service. Kansas City Power & Light Company https://www.evergy.com/-/media/documents/billing/missouri/detailed_ tariffs_mo/large-power-service-081419.pdf (2018).
- Suzuki, S. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for February 2021. *Hawaiian Electric* https://www.hawaiianelectric. com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ecrc_2021_02.pdf (2021).
- Matsuura, D. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for April 2021. Hawaiian Electric https://www.hawaiianelectric. com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ecrc_2021_04.pdf (2021).
- Matsuura, D. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for June 2021. *Hawaiian Electric* https://www.hawaiianelectric. com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ecrc_2021_06.pdf (2021).
- Matsuura, D. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for August 2021. Hawaiian Electric https://www.hawaiianelectric. com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ecrc_2021_08.pdf (2021).
- 62. Matsuura, D. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for October 2021. *Hawaiian Electric* https://www. hawaiianelectric.com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ ecrc_2021_10.pdf (2021).
- 63. Matsuura, D. Hawai'i Electric Light Energy Cost Recovery Factor for December 2021. *Hawaiian Electric* https://www. hawaiianelectric.com/documents/billing_and_payment/rates/energy_cost_adjustment_filings/hawaii/2021/hawaii_ ecrc_2021_12.pdf (2021).
- Schedule TOU-P: Large Commercial Time-of-Use Service. Hawaiian Electric https://www.hawaiianelectric.com/documents/ billing_and_payment/rates/hawaiian_electric_rates/heco_rates_tou_p.pdf (2018).
- Tariff for Electric Service. Public Service Electric and Gas https://nj.pseg.com/-/media/PSEG/Public-Site/Documents/Current-Electric-Tariff/Electric-Tariff-16-SBCUSF-Effective-10012021.ashx (2021).
- Chini, C. & Stillwell, A. S. The urban energy-water nexus: Utility-level water flows and embedded energy, *HydroShare* https://doi. org/10.4211/hs.df04c29d0ff64de0ace2d29145dd7680 (2018).
- August 2021: Information about your 2021 rates. Tampa Electric Company https://www.tampaelectric.com/49c5b2/siteassets/files/ rates/commratesinsert_aug2021.pdf (2021).
- Business Rates Comparison Chart. Peoples Gas https://www.peoplesgas.com/globalassets/files/rates/business-rates-comparisonchart.pdf (2021).
- Service Regulations & Schedules. Omaha Public Power District https://www.oppd.com/media/207840/oppd-rate-manual.pdf (2021).
- Common Commercial Electric Rates. PSEG Long Island https://www.psegliny.com/aboutpseglongisland/ratesandtariffs/-/media/ DBE8C9D907C7433784EAACCA8A1E9852.ashx (2021).
- Large Time-of-Day Electric Service. The Connecticut Light and Power Company https://www.eversource.com/content/docs/ default-source/rates-tariffs/ct-electric/rate-58-ct.pdf (2021).
- GSC By Rate Class 2021. Eversource Energy https://www.eversource.com/content/docs/default-source/doing-business/gsc-by-rateclass-2021.xlsx?sfvrsn=2e82ca0f_2 (2021).

- Industrial/General Service (B-20). Pacific Gas and Electric Company https://www.pge.com/tariffs/Ind_210801-211130.xlsx (2021).
 Electric Schedule B-20. Pacific Gas and Electric Company https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/ELEC_SCHEDS_B-20.
- pdf (2021).
 75. Gas Schedule G-NR2. Pacific Gas and Electric Company https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/GAS_SCHEDS_G-NR2.pdf (2021).
- Large Power Service Time-of-Use Rate. Public Service Company of New Mexico https://www.pnm.com/ documents/396023/396197/schedule_4_b.pdf/c81201a9-42a2-4787-8552-3ecadc8be169 (2018).
- Cogeneration Service. New Mexico Gas Company https://www.nmgco.com/userfiles/files/Rate%20No.%2035%20PDF%20 Cogeneration%20Service.pdf (2020).
- Extra Large Power Service. CPS Energy https://www.cpsenergy.com/content/dam/corporate/en/Documents/Rate_ ExtraLargePowerService.pdf (2021).
- CPS Energy Retail Fuel Adjustment Factors. CPS Energy https://www.cpsenergy.com/content/dam/corporate/en/Documents/ Finance/Fuel%20Adjustment%20-%2013-Month.pdf (2022).
- Tariff for Gas Service. Public Service Electric and Gas https://nj.pseg.com/-/media/PSEG/Public-Site/Documents/Current-Gas-Tariff/Gas-Tariff-16-WNC-SBCUSF-10012021.ashx (2021).
- Schedule TOU-8: Time-of-Use General Service Large. Southern California Edison https://edisonintl.sharepoint.com/teams/ Public/TM2/Shared%20Documents/Public/Regulatory/Historical-SCE%20Historical%20Books/Electric/2021/Schedules/ General%20Service%20&%20Industrial%20Rates/ELECTRIC_SCHEDULES_TOU-8_2021.pdf (2021).
- Schedule for Electricity Service. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc https://lite.coned.com/_external/cerates/ documents/elecPSC10/electric-tariff.pdf (2021).
- Gas Delivery Charges: Metro Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island. National Grid https://www.nationalgridus.com/media/pdfs/ billing-payments/gas-rates/nym/kedny_gas_delivery_charges_-_effective_september_2021.pdf (2021).
- Schedule GS-4: Large General Service Primary Voltage. Virginia Electric and Power Company https://cdn-dominionenergyprd-001.azureedge.net/-/media/pdfs/virginia/business-rates/schedule-gs4.pdf (2021).
- Rider A. Virginia Electric and Power Company https://cdn-dominionenergy-prd-001.azureedge.net/-/media/pdfs/virginia/sharedrates/rider-a.pdf (2021).
- Standard Pricing Schedule: LPL-TOU (Large Power and Light Time-of-Use). Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company. https://www. oge.com/wps/wcm/connect/ed8dd0f5-d6d9-4879-9544-708f36b8c0cc/18.00+-+LPL-TOU+Large+Power+and+Light+Timeof-Use+Stamped+Approved.pdf?MOD=AJPERES (2019).
- Standard Pricing Schedule: FCA. Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company https://www.oge.com/wps/wcm/connect/7235e4fb-86aa-485a-a55c-da559afb3698/50.84%2B%2BFCA%2BFactors%2B3rd%2BRevised%2BStamped%2BApproved.pdf (2021).
- 88. High Voltage General Service. Tacoma Power https://www.mytpu.org/wp-content/uploads/HVG_2021.pdf (2021).
- Summary of Total Current Prices Gas. Puget Sound Energy https://www.pse.com/-/media/Project/PSE/Portal/Rate-documents/ summ_gas_prices_2021_10_01.pdf (2021).
- Electric Rate Schedules for General/Commercial Customers. Nevada Power Company https://www.nvenergy.com/publish/content/ dam/nvenergy/brochures_arch/about-nvenergy/rates-regulatory/np_com_rate.pdf (2020).
- Schedule 89: Large Nonresidential. Portland General Electric Company https://assets.ctfassets. net/416ywc1laqmd/6dAeVKHyINXoh5FpDgllNJ/c1776db03882ca94ba5465c5848e49c6/Sched_089.pdf (2021).
- Summary of Monthly Sales Service Billing Rates. NW Natural https://webfrontend-sc-pd.azureedge.net/-/media/nwnatural/pdfs/ rates-external/or-summary-service_2021.pdf (2021).
- 93. GSB Rate Schedule Summary. EPB of Chattanooga https://assets.epb.com/media/rates/Oct%202018/GSB%20Summary%20 Oct%202018.pdf (2018).
- Total Monthly Fuel Cost Adjustment (TMFCA). EPB of Chattanooga https://assets.epb.com/media/uploaded-files/June%20 2021%20Total%20Monthly%20Fuel%20Cost%20Chart.pdf (2021).
- Primary Voltage Service. Baltimore Gas and Electric https://www.bge.com/MyAccount/MyBillUsage/Documents/Electric/P3_ SCH_P.pdf (2021).
- 96. Riders. Baltimore Gas and Electric https://www.bge.com/MyAccount/MyBillUsage/Documents/Electric/Rdr_1.pdf (2021).
- 97. Electric Service Tariff. Pennsylvania Electric Company https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/dam/customer/Customer%20 Choice/Files/PA/tariffs/Penelec-Tariff-81-Supp-117.pdf (2021).
- Summary of Total Current Prices Electric. Puget Sound Energy https://www.pse.com/-/media/Project/PSE/Portal/Ratedocuments/summ_elec_prices_2021_10_01.pdf (2021).
- Electric Service: Schedule GSA (E-2). Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division https://www.mlgw.com/images/content/files/pdf_ rates/GSA%20Jan21.pdf (2021).
- Electric Distribution Service: Primary. The Dayton Power and Light Company https://www.aes-ohio.com/sites/default/ files/2021-06/D20%20-%20Primary%206-1-21.pdf (2021).
- 101. Tariff for Gas Service. Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc https://midwest.centerpointenergy.com/assets/downloads/rates/oh-gastariff.pdf (2021).
- Business rates and clauses. Florida Power & Light https://www.fpl.com/content/dam/fpl/us/en/rates/pdf/bus-may-2021.pdf (2021).
 Natural Gas Tariff. Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities https://fpuc.com/wp-content/
- uploads/MASTER-Combined-Tariff-FINAL-w-2021-rates-and-sheet-updates.pdf (2021). 104. Delaware Electric Tariff. *Delmarva Power & Light Company* https://www.delmarva.com/Documents/Master%20tariff%20eff%20
- 07-01-2021%20filed%2006-29-21%20DSIC.pdf (2021). 105. Delaware Gas Tariff. Delmarva Power & Light Company https://www.delmarva.com/Documents/GAS%20Master%20Tariff%20
- eff%2007-01-21%20filed%2006AS%20DSIC.pdf (2021).
- 106. Tariff Applicable to Utility Gas Service of the Gas Company. Hawai'i Gas https://uploads-ssl.webflow. com/618c69307382fa36b31ac896/6233f32e32e8499c8eeeba3d_hgas_tariff_oahu_rate_sch_eff_02-01-2019.pdf (2019).
- Tariff for Retail Delivery Service. Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC https://www.oncor.com/content/dam/oncorwww/ documents/about-us/regulatory/tariff-and-rate-schedules/Tariff%20for%20Retail%20Delivery%20Service.pdf (2021).
- 108. Generic Transmission and Distribution Rates. Public Utility Commission of Texas http://www.puc.texas.gov/industry/electric/rates/ trans/tdgenericratesummary.pdf (2021).
- Tariff for Gas Service. Mid-Tex Division: Atmos Energy Corporation https://www.atmosenergy.com/sites/default/files/mtx_aec_ tariff_cee_effective_7_01_2021.pdf (2021).
- 110. Schedule of Rates for Electric Service. *Ohio Edison* https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/dam/customer/Customer%20 Choice/Files/Ohio/tariffs/OE-2021-Electric-Service.pdf (2021).
- Large Volume General Sales Service (LVGSS). The East Ohio Gas Company https://cdn-dominionenergy-prd-001.azureedge.net/-/ media/pdfs/ohio/rates-tariffs/tariff-lvgss.pdf (2021).
- 112. All Rates. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc https://lite.coned.com/_external/cerates/documents/allrates.pdf (2021).
- 113. Schedule GS. AEP Ohio https://qa.aepohio.com/lib/docs/ratesandtariffs/Ohio/March2022%20_AEP_OhioTariff.pdf (2021).
- Rate Book for Electric Service. DTE Electric Company https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mpsc/dtee1cur_579203_7.pdf (2021).

- 115. Schedule of Rates for Electric Service. *Toledo Edison* https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/dam/customer/Customer%20 Choice/Files/Ohio/tariffs/TE-2021-Electric-Service.pdf (2021).
- 116. Rules and Regulations Governing the Distribution and Sale of Gas. *Columbia Gas of Ohio* https://www.columbiagasohio.com/docs/ librariesprovider5/rates-and-tariffs/ohio-tariff.pdf (2021).
- 117. PSC No: 19 Electricity. Rochester Gas & Electric https://www.rge.com/documents/40137/2114698/ PSC%2B19%2BPart%2BIII%2BService%2BClassifications.pdf/95651dd0-cc1e-1ef3-9fd9-2e5e3627eafa?t=1654895226469 (2020).
- Merchant Function Charge Statement. Rochester Gas & Electric https://www.rge.com/account/understandyourbill/pricing/psc18/ mfc (2021).
- 119. Power and Light Large Schedule: "PLL-12". Georgia Power https://www.georgiapower.com/content/dam/georgia-power/pdfs/electric-service-tariff-pdfs/PLL-12.pdf (2021).
- 120. Fuel Cost Recovery Schedule: 'FCR-25'. Georgia Power. https://psc.ga.gov/search/facts-docket/?docketId=43011 (2020).
- Tariff. Atlanta Gas and Light Company https://www.atlantagaslight.com/content/dam/southern-co-gas/agl/pdfs/agl-billcalculators/2021-bill-calculators/december-2021/agl-tariff_effective_12-1-21_clean.docx (2021).
- 122. Schedule No. G-10. Southern California Gas Company https://tariff.socalgas.com/regulatory/tariffs/tm2/pdf/G-10.pdf (2021).
- 123. Large General Power Rate Schedules. *Electric Power Board of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County* https://www.nespower.com/-/media/project/nes/common/pdfs/commercial-rates/2021/november/lgs-november-2021-retailrate-schedules.pdf (2021).
- Index of Tariff & Service Regulations. Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc https://desitecoreprod-cd.azureedge.net/_/media/pdfs/ png/tn-tariffandserviceregulations.pdf (2021).
- Large Electric Service. Entergy New Orleans, LLC https://cdn.entergy-neworleans.com/userfiles/content/price/tariffs/eno/enol_ elec_le.pdf (2020).
- 126. Electric Service Tariff. *PECO Energy Company* https://www.peco.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/current%20elec%20tariff%20 Oct%201%202021.pdf (2021).
- 127. FPSC Natural Gas Tariff. Florida City Gas https://www.floridacitygas.com/fcgcommon/pdfs/FCG-Tariff-1-1-20.pdf (2020).
- 128. Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Furnishing Electric Service. Louisville Gas and Electric Company https://lge-ku.com/sites/default/files/regulatory/LGE-Electric-Rates-10222021.pdf (2021).
- 129. Electric Service. Union Electric Company https://www.ameren.com/-/media/rates/files/missouri/uecsheet61rate11mlps.pdf (2021).
- 130. Rider FAC. Union Electric Company https://efis.psc.mo.gov/Tariff/Display/22081 (2021).
- Rate PL: Primary Service (Large). Indianapolis Power & Light Company https://www.aesindiana.com/sites/default/files/2021-05/ Rate-PL-Primary-Service-Large-50409-Effective-04-07-21.pdf (2021).
- Fuel Cost Adjustment. Indianapolis Power & Light Company https://www.aesindiana.com/sites/default/files/2021-09/PENDING_ Rider_6_FAC-133_09-17-2021.pdf (2021).
- Standard Price Plan for Primary Large General Service. Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District https://www.srpnet.com/prices/business/pdfx/Nov2021/E-63.pdf (2021).
- Tariff Schedules Applicable to Gas Service of Southwest Gas Corporation. Southwest Gas Corporation https://www.swgas. com/7200000200650/21-1201-AZ-Gas-Tariff-No-7-Revision-No-375.pdf (2021).
- Rate DP: Service at Primary Distribution Voltage. Duke Energy Ohio https://desitecoreprod-cd.azureedge.net/_/media/pdfs/foryour-home/rates/electric-oh/sheet-no-44-rate-dp-oh-e.pdf (2019).
- Rider RE. Duke Energy Ohio https://dis.puc.state.oh.us/DocumentRecord.aspx?DocID=4eb804d2-e252-4fb6-a33e-caba8d84ce2d (2021).
- Colorado Commercial and Industrial Gas and Electric Schedule Summaries. Xcel Energy https://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/ xe/Regulatory/COBusRates.pdf (2021).
- Natural Gas Rates Summary. Public Service Company of Colorado https://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe-responsive/Company/ Rates%20&%20Regulations/Gas_Tariff_Summary_Sheet_07.01.2021.pdf (2021).
- General Service Gas Schedule C. Baltimore Gas and Electric https://www.bge.com/MyAccount/MyBillUsage/Documents/Gas/ GasScheduleC.pdf (2021).
- 140. .Schedule of Rates. *Duquesne Light Company* https://www.duquesnelight.com/docs/default-source/default-document-library/ currenttariff.pdf (2021).
- Commercial & Industrial Time-of-Day: Rate Schedule CI-TOD4. Sacramento Municipal Utility District https://www.smud.org/-/ media/Documents/Rate-Information/Rates/CI-TOD4.ashx (2021).
- 142. Schedule of Rates for Electric Service. *The Illuminating Company* https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/dam/customer/ Customer%20Choice/Files/Ohio/tariffs/CEI-2021-Electric-Service.pdf (2021).
- Tariff for Service. Jersey Central Power & Light Company https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/dam/customer/Customer%20 Choice/Files/New%20Jersey/tariffs/BPU-13-Part-III-Effective-July-1-2021.pdf (2021).
- 144. Schedule A6-TOU. San Diego Gas & Electric Company https://tariff.sdge.com/tm2/pdf/ELEC_ELEC-SCHEDS_A6-TOU.pdf (2021).
- 145. Schedule EECC. San Diego Gas & Electric Company https://tariff.sdge.com/tm2/pdf/historical/ELEC_ELEC-SCHEDS_ EECC_2021.pdf (2021).
- 146. Schedule GN-3. San Diego Gas & Electric Company https://tariff.sdge.com/tm2/pdf/GAS_GAS-SCHEDS_GN-3.pdf (2021).
- Gas Service Tariff. Philadelphia Gas Works https://www.pgworks.com/uploads/pdfs/PGW_Gas_Service_Tariff_Through_ Supplement_144_-_Updated.pdf (2021).
- Minnesota Commercial and Industrial Electric Prices. Xcel Energy https://www.transmission.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe/ Regulatory/Regulatory%20PDFs/rates/MN/MNBusRateCard.pdf (2021).
- Electric Service Rates in the State of Wisconsin. Wisconsin Electric Power Company https://www.we-energies.com/pdfs/etariffs/ wisconsin/elecrateswi.pdf (2021).
- Gas Service Rates, Rules and Regulations. Wisconsin Gas LLC https://www.we-energies.com/pdfs/tariffs_vol7/WGCtariffbk_vol7.pdf (2021).
- 151. Delivery Service Charges. Commonwealth Edison Company https://www.comed.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/MyAccount/ MyBillUsage/CurrentRates/Delivery_Service_Charges_InfoSheets.pdf (2021).
- 152. 2021 Summary of Eastern Massachusetts Electric Rates for Greater Boston Service Area. *Eversource Energy* https://www.eversource.com/content/docs/default-source/rates-tariffs/ema-greater-boston-rates.pdf (2021).
- Part C Basic Service: Eastern Massachusetts. Eversource Energy https://www.eversource.com/content/business/account-billing/ manage-bill/about-your-bill/rates-tariffs/electric-supply-rates/electric-supply-rates-archive
- 154. Gas Rate Tariffs. National Grid https://gasrates.nationalgridus.com/ne/Boston%20Base%20Rate%20Tariffs.pdf (2021).
- District of Columbia: Time Metered General Service Primary Service. Potomac Electric Power Company https://www.pepco.com/ SiteCollectionDocuments/9.DC%20Rates%20Update%2010.6.21%20%20GT%203A.pdf (2021).
- 156. Rate Schedules and General Service Provisions for Gas Service in the District of Columbia. Washington Gas Light Company https:// www.washingtongas.com/-/media/87d6aa7db89244cf893513bc613baa0d.pdf (2021).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the United States Department of Energy (DOE), EERE Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) as a part of the project ENERGY Services for INtegrated FLexible Operation of Wastewater Systems (Award No. DE-EE0009499). We would like to thank Lonita Brewer from EPB of Chattanooga for obtaining archived fuel cost adjustments for 2021.

Author contributions

Fletcher T. Chapin – methodology, data collection and validation, manuscript drafting. Jose Bolorinos – conceptualization, methodology, review and editing. Meagan S. Mauter – conceptualization, methodology, review and editing, funding acquisition.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41597-023-02886-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.S.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024