Article

CSTF2 mediated mRNA *N*⁶-methyladenosine modification drives pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma m⁶A subtypes

Received: 4 May 2022

Accepted: 21 September 2023

Published online: 10 October 2023

Check for updates

Yanfen Zheng^{1,11}, Xingyang Li^{1,11}, Shuang Deng^{1,11}, Hongzhe Zhao^{1,11}, Ying Ye¹, Shaoping Zhang¹, Xudong Huang¹, Ruihong Bai¹, Lisha Zhuang¹, Quanbo Zhou ¹/₂, Mei Li³, Jiachun Su ¹/₂, Rui Li¹, Xiaoqiong Bao¹, Lingxing Zeng ¹/₂, Rufu Chen⁴, Jian Zheng ^{1,5,6}, Dongxin Lin^{1,5,7} , Chuan He ^{8,9,10}, Jialiang Zhang ¹/₂ & Zhixiang Zuo ¹/₂

*N*⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) modification of gene transcripts plays critical roles in cancer. Here we report transcriptomic m⁶A profiling in 98 tissue samples from 65 individuals with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). We identify 17,996 m⁶A peaks with 195 hyper-methylated and 93 hypo-methylated in PDAC compared with adjacent normal tissues. The differential m⁶A modifications distinguish two PDAC subtypes with different prognosis outcomes. The formation of the two subtypes is driven by a newly identified m⁶A regulator CSTF2 that co-transcriptionally regulates m⁶A installation through slowing the RNA Pol II elongation rate during gene transcription. We find that most of the CSTF2-regulated m⁶As have positive effects on the RNA level of host genes, and CSTF2-regulated m⁶As are mainly recognized by IGF2BP2, an m⁶A reader that stabilizes mRNAs. These results provide a promising PDAC subtyping strategy and potential therapeutic targets for precision medicine of PDAC.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), ranking the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in the world¹, is often diagnosed at an advanced stage. The improvement in the outcome of PDAC is lagging behind many other malignancies, due to the lack of effective approaches in early diagnosis, treatment, and difficulties for therapeutic agents to access tumor sites^{2,3}. Chemotherapy is still the main treatment strategy for most advanced PDAC, though only benefits a subset of patients⁴. Therefore, it is of urgent need to develop superior

markers and therapeutic targets based on better understanding of the biology of PDAC.

Molecular subtyping has been used to guide clinical treatment in many cancer types, such as breast cancer and colon cancer, but has yet to be effective in PDAC⁵. Genome-wide association studies and wholegenome sequencing studies on PDAC have provided many potential molecular biomarkers for PDAC subtyping^{6,7}. Based on transcriptomic data, several studies have classified PDAC into distinct molecular

¹State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ²Department of Pancreaticobiliary Surgery, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. ³Department of Pathology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁴Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital & Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China. ⁵Jiangsu Key Lab of Cancer Biomarkers, Prevention and Treatment, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. ⁶Affiliated Cancer Hospital and Institute of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China. ⁷Department of Etiology and Carcinogenesis, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China. ⁸Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹⁰Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, The University of Chicago, IL, USA. ¹⁰These authors contributed equally: Yanfen Zheng, Xingyang Li, Shuang Deng, Hongzhe Zhao. ¹⁰e-mail: lindx@sysucc.org.cn; chuanhe@uchicago.edu; zhangjial@sysucc.org.cn; zuozhx@sysucc.org.cn;

independent dataset with 32 unpaired PDACs to the 33 normal pan-

creatic tissues (Fig. 1d). Permutation analysis of tumor and normal

sample labels (1000 times) vielded an average of 17 differential m⁶A

sites that were far less than observed 288 differential m6A sites (Sup-

plementary Fig. 1e), indicating that the identified aberrant m⁶A sites are

not random. 96.6% (28/29) of the randomly selected aberrant m⁶A sites

were validated by MeRIP-qPCR (Supplementary Table 2), supporting the reliability of our m⁶A-seq data (r = 0.867, P < 0.0001; Fig. 1e).

Moreover, by using the RADAR program³⁰, a recently developed ana-

lytical tool for detecting differentially methylated loci in MeRIP-seq

data, we found that most differentially methylated m⁶As (175/288)

were also identified by RADAR, indicating the high confidence of our

enriched around the stop-codons and in 3'UTR regions (Supplemen-

tary Fig. 1f). The 288 dysregulated m⁶A sites were enriched in genes

related to cancer pathways such as cell cycle and epithelialmesenchymal transition (Fig. 1f). For example, previously reported

oncogenes such as CENPF^{31,32}, WNT7B³³⁻³⁵ and NTSR1^{36,37} were found to

be hyper-m⁶A methylated in tumor versus adjacent normal tissues

according to these differential m⁶A peaks further characterizes two

PDAC subtypes (designated as S1 and S2, respectively, Fig. 2a). The S2

PDAC showed an m⁶A pattern that was distinct from the S1 PDAC

(Fig. 2b), but not different in the adjacent normal tissues of two PDAC

Unsupervised consensus clustering of the PDAC patients

Most of the differential m6A sites are within mRNAs and are

subtypes⁸⁻¹⁰. Law et al.¹¹ have classified PDAC into four subtypes with distinct microenvironment based on proteomic analysis. Although none of these studies have been implicated in clinical practice, these studies suggested that molecular subtyping could be a promising feature in guiding clinical PDAC treatment.

The RNA modifications are a new epigenetics layer of posttranscriptional regulation of genes. *N*⁶-adenosine methylation (m⁶A), as one of the most prevalent RNA modifications, plays an important role in a variety of biological processes, such as cell fate determination^{12–14}, circadian clock regulation¹⁵, adipogenesis¹⁶, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis¹⁷. Accumulating evidence has suggested that the aberrant RNA m⁶A modifications are important events in human cancer development and progression^{18–21}. Recently, we have demonstrated that m⁶A modifications stimulated by cigarette smoke can promote excessive miR-25-3p maturation, which enhances pancreatic cancer progression¹⁸. We hypothesized that m⁶A in RNA might hold great promise as molecular markers for PDAC subtyping.

In the present study, we have performed transcriptome-wide m⁶A-sequencing on ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-depleted RNAs of 98 pancreatic tissue samples from 65 individuals with PDAC. We have identified m⁶A profiles in PDAC distinct from the adjacent normal tissues, based on which defining PDAC subtypes. Further study uncovered CSTF2 as an m⁶A deposition mediator, driving the formation of two PDAC subtypes. Furthermore, we found that the CSTF2-regulated m⁶A methylation program can be recognized mostly by IGF2BP2, the m⁶A stabilized reader, promoting oncogenic pathways, suggesting that the CSTF2-associated PDAC m⁶A subtyping can serve as a promising therapeutic strategy.

Results

Transcriptome-wide m⁶A mapping in PDAC

We performed m⁶A-sequencing (m⁶A-seq) on rRNA-depleted RNAs of 98 pancreatic samples from 65 individuals, including 33 pairs of PDAC and corresponding normal tissue and another 32 PDAC samples (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Data 1). and identified 26,684 m⁶A peaks by using MACS2²² and MeTPeak²³. After removing 462 (1.7%) peaks at the "A" of the transcription start site (TSS) and BCA motifs, which may be N^6 ,2'-O-dimethyladenosine (m⁶Am) that can also be captured by the m⁶A antibody²⁴, and those m⁶As that were not detected in at least 5 different samples, 17,996 m⁶A peaks were used in further analysis (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Data 2). Among these m⁶A peaks, 15,708 (87.3%) have been recorded in the RMBASE²⁵ with many transcripts that are well-known to be m⁶A-modified (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Moreover, the identified m⁶A sites were enriched in the classical GGACH motif (Fig. 1b) and the regions near the start- and stop-codons (Fig. 1c). These results are in line with previous findings^{26,27}.

These 17,996 m⁶A peaks were mainly located in genetic regions coding for messenger RNAs (mRNAs, 95.9%) (Supplementary Fig. 1b), consistent with results from polyA⁺ RNA m⁶A-seq²⁸. However, because we employed rRNA-depleted RNA m⁶A-seq, there were 24.5% of the identified m⁶A peak located in the intron regions which show a proportion of GGACH motif comparable to the exon regions with m⁶A (Supplementary Fig. 1c), and the m⁶As-modified intron regions were usually close to the splicing sites (Supplementary Fig. 1d), implying that the intron regions can also be modified by m⁶A, which may alter mRNA splicing as suggested previously^{16,29}.

Distinguishing two PDAC subtypes by differential m⁶A modifications

Among the 17,996 m⁶A sites, 195 were hypermethylated while 93 were hypo-methylated in 33 tumors compared with those in 33 paired normal tissues (Supplementary Data 3). Most of these differentially methylated m⁶As (265/288) were validated by PDACs by comparing an

suggesting that the
ve as a promisingsubtypes (Supplementary Fig. 2a), suggesting that the subtype pat-
terns are tumor-specific. Moreover, the differentially methylated m⁶As
between the S1 and S2 PDAC samples showed no difference between S1
PDAC samples and adjacent normal tissue samples (Fig. 2b), and had a
large overlap with the differentially methylated m⁶As between adja-
cent normal and tumor tissues (Fig. 2c), indicating a S2 PDAC-specific
m⁶A dysregulation. The m⁶As of the genes in cancer pathways such as

results.

(Fig. 1g, h).

cell cycle and epithelial-mesenchymal transition were hypermethylated in S2 PDAC samples compared to S1 PDAC samples (Supplementary Fig. 2b). For instances, differentially methylated m⁶As in genes such as *CENPF, WNT7B* and *NTSR1* between tumor and normal tissues were hypermethylated in S2 PDAC samples compared to S1 PDAC samples (Supplementary Fig. 2c, d).

We analyzed the correlations of the two subtypes with known clinical factors such as sex, age, smoking status, drinking status, tumor stage, differentiation, vascular invasion, and lymph node metastasis. The results were all negative except for neural invasion (Fig. 2d). The stromal content is not significantly different between the two subtypes in tumor tissues used for m⁶A sequencing (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Table 1), indicating that these subtype patterns are PDAC intrinsic features. We further examined whether the m⁶A subtypes of PDAC are correlated with previously reported transcriptional subtypes⁸⁻¹⁰. We found that the frequencies of Bailey's squamous subtype and Collisson's classical subtype were significantly higher in S2 PDAC than in S1 PDAC (Fig. 2d).

Survival analysis revealed that the S2 PDAC had a median progress-free survival (PFS) time and overall survival (OS) time that were significantly shorter than the S1 PDAC (6.6 versus 11.2 months, log-rank P < 0.0001 and 11.4 versus 13.3 months, log-rank P = 0.041, respectively; Fig. 2e), with the HRs being 4.28 (95% CI = 1.53–11.96) and 3.31 (95% CI = 1.12–8.78), respectively, adjusted for clinical features, mutations of *KRAS/TPS3* and transcriptional subtypes reported previously^{8.10}. However, we did not find a significant association between survival and known transcriptional subtypes (Supplementary Fig. 2e), suggesting our m⁶A subtypes are independent of other transcriptional subtypes. Interestingly, we found that the T-cell and B-cell markers were comparatively lower in S2 subtype than S1 subtype (Supplementary Fig. 2f), suggesting a different immune phenotype between the two subtypes.



Fig. 1 | **Transcriptome-wide mapping of m⁶A modification in PDAC. a** Circos plot showing identified RNA m⁶A modifications in 65 PDAC tumor and 33 normal tissue samples. Each bar represents an m⁶A and bar height indicates sample number having the m⁶A. The red dashed circle indicates the cutoff (set as five) for sample number having the m⁶A. **b** Sequence logo representing the enriched sequence motif in m⁶As analyzed by the MEME tool. **c** Location distribution for m⁶As in mRNAs. **d** Heatmap showing different m⁶A levels in 33 paired PDAC tumor and normal samples and 32 unpaired PDAC tumor samples (FDR < 0.1 of paired Wilcoxon test). Each row represents a differentially methylated m⁶A and each column

CSTF2 drives the PDAC m6A subtype formation

We next explored the mechanism underlying the formation of PDAC subtypes. First, we applied random forest analysis and spearman correlation analysis to examine the correlation of hypermethylated m⁶A in the S2 PDAC with RNA binding proteins (RBPs) that have the binding sites supported by CLIP sequencing data in POSTAR2

represents a sample. **e** Spearman correlation of fold changes of 29 aberrant m⁶As (tumor/normal) determined by m⁶A-seq or MeRIP-qPCR. **f** Significantly enriched hallmarks for hypermethylated m⁶As (*left panel*) or hypo-methylated m⁶As (right panel) by the Reactome pathway analysis. **g** The abundance of m⁶A in indicated RNAs in tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues by m⁶A-seq. Number represents the range of the m⁶A signals. **h** The abundance of indicated RNAs that are qualified by m⁶A-seq in tumor tissues (*n* = 33) and adjacent normal tissues (*n* = 33). Boxplots indicate median (middle line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), and 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers). *P* values were from Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

database³⁸ overlapped with the m⁶A peaks or with known m⁶A writers and erasers (Supplementary Fig. 3a). We found that *Cleavage Stimulation Factor 2* (*CSTF2*) RNA levels were most significantly correlated with the levels of hypermethylated m⁶A sites in S2 PDAC (Fig. 3a). Both *CSTF2* RNA and protein level were significantly higher in PDAC than in adjacent normal tissues (Supplementary Fig. 3b–d) and in the





associations between the m⁶A-defined PDAC subtypes and clinical (sex, age, smoking status, drinking status, tumor stage, differentiation, neural invasion, vascular invasion, and lymph node metastasis) or molecular features (the transcriptional subtype). **e** Kaplan–Meier estimates of progression-free survival (PFS, upper panel) and overall survival (OS, lower panel) in PDAC patients with different m⁶A subtype. *, *P*<0.05 of Fisher's exact test in **d**.

S2 PDAC than the S1 PDAC (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 3e), while another two candidates, U2AF2 and CAPRIN1, showed little difference on RNA levels between the S2 and S1 PDAC (Supplementary Fig. 3f). PDAC cell lines (PANC-1 and SW1990) with moderate expression level of CSTF2 were chosen for experiments subsequently (Supplementary Fig. 3g). We found that when the CSTF2 was knocked down in PANC-1 and SW1990 cells, methylation levels were substantially decreased in 86% (14,342/16,628) and 88% (11,544/13,051) of differential m⁶A sites, respectively (Fig. 3c, d and Supplementary Fig. 3h). The effect of CSTF2 on m6A were further verified by m6A-LC-MS (Supplementary Fig. 3i) and m⁶A-ELISA (Supplementary Fig. 3j), while knockdown of U2AF2 and CAPRIN1 showed minute effect of global m⁶A level (Supplementary Fig. 3k-m). Moreover, when CSTF2 was ectopically overexpressed in the same cell lines, 8804 and 8554 of m⁶A sites were hypermethylated (Fig. 3e, f), with 72.8% (6411/ 8804) and 61.7% (5275/8854) overlapping of hypo-methylated m⁶A in the two examined cell types with CSTF2 knockdown (Fig. 3g). Significantly dysregulated m⁶As (Fig. 3h) upon CSTF2-knockdown were further verified by MeRIP-qPCR (Supplementary Fig. 3n), which could be rescued by forced-expressed CSTF2 (Supplementary Fig. 30, p), but not affected by U2AF2 or CAPRIN1 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. 3q). Moreover, 64.9% (122/188) hypermethylated in the S2 PDAC are hypo-methylated in cells with CSTF2 knockdown (Fig. 3i). Together, these results suggest that CSTF2 may regulate mRNA m6A formation in PDAC.

CSTF2 promotes the malignant phenotypes of PDAC cells

We then explored the effects of CSTF2 on malignant phenotypes of PDAC cells. In vitro experiments showed that the knockdown of CSTF2 substantially suppressed the abilities of cell proliferation, colony formation, cell cycle, migration, and invasion of PDAC cells (Fig. 4a-d, Supplementary Fig. 4a-c). By using mouse subcutaneous xenograft models, we also found that CSTF2 overexpression significantly enhanced but silence markedly suppressed the growth rates of PDAC tumor (Fig. 4e). Furthermore, forced expression of CSTF2 promoted lung metastasis of PDAC cells while CSTF2 knockdown showed opposite effects (Fig. 4f). Additionally, the CSTF2 knockdown induced inhibition of malignant phenotypes can be rescued by forcedexpressed CSTF2, implying the on-target effect of CSTF2 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. 4d-f). Notably, the malignant phenotypes promoted by forced-expressed CSTF2 could be partially alleviated by knockdown of CENPF, WNT7B, or NTSR1 (Supplementary Fig. 4g, h), implying that CSTF2 may function via modulating m6A of specific genes.

CSTF2 mediates m⁶A deposition by retarding elongation

We next investigated how CSTF2 mediated m⁶A deposition. We found that neither the expressions nor the subcellular localizations of the known m⁶A writers or erasers were affected by *CSTF2* knockdown in PDAC cells (Supplementary Fig. 5a–c). The intact methyltransferase complex was not affected by *CSTF2* knockdown in PDAC cells



Fig. 3 | **CSTF2** is a key protein to promote mRNA m⁶A deposition in S2 PDAC subtype. a Spearman correlation between the expression of RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and hypermethylated m⁶As in the S2 PDAC subtype. The *x* axis represents log₁₀(FDR) in analyzing the enrichment of the RBP-correlated hypermethylated m⁶As against background. The *y* axis represents the contribution score of each RBP to the hypermethylated m⁶A based on random forest analysis. **b** CSTF2 expression levels in two PDAC subtypes determined by RNA sequencing (left panel) or qRT-PCR (right panel). The line in the middle of the box is plotted at the median while the upper and lower hinges indicated 25th and 75th percentiles. ***P* < 0.01 of Wilcoxon rank-sum test. **c** The effect of *CSTF2* on m⁶A levels in PANC-1 cells. There were 2286 hypermethylated m⁶As (red) and 14,342 hypo-methylated m⁶As (blue) in cells with *CSTF2* knockdown (KD). **d** The effect of *CSTF2* on m⁶A level in SW1990 cells. There were 1507 hypermethylated m⁶As (red) and 11,544 hypo-methylated m⁶As

(blue) in cells with *CSTF2* knockdown (KD). **e**, **f** Scatter plot of m⁶A levels in PANC-1 cells (**e**) and SW1990 cells (**f**) with or without forced *CSTF2* expression. There were 8804 hypermethylated m⁶As (red) and 4602 hypo-methylated m⁶As (blue) in cells with *CSTF2* overexpression in PANC-1 cells. There were 8554 hypermethylated m⁶As (red) and 4450 hypo-methylated m⁶As (blue) in cells with *CSTF2* overexpression in SW1990 cells. **g** Venn plot showing overlap between hypo-methylated m⁶As in cells with *CSTF2* knockdown and hypermethylated m⁶As in cells with *CSTF2* voerexpression. **h** Integrative genomics viewer (IGV) plots show different abundance of m⁶A in the depicted transcripts between PDAC cells with or without *CSTF2* KD. **i** Venn plot showing the mRNAs with hyper m⁶As in the S2 PDAC subtype and mRNA with hypo m⁶As in PDAC cells with *CSTF2* KD. Cut off for significantly differential m⁶A methylation are defined as | fold change | >1.2 in **c-f**.



(Supplementary Fig. 5d). Depletion of *CSTF2* has relatively small effect on global APA profiling (Supplementary Fig. 5e), which is similar with previous studies reporting that CSTF2T plays a redundant role in regulating APA with CSTF2T could be upregulated upon *CSTF2* knockdown (Supplementary Fig. 5f) and only co-depletion of *CSTF2* and *CSTF2T* leads to obvious APA changes^{39,40}. Moreover, genes with significant APA changes hold little overlap with hypo-methylated genes upon *CSTF2* knockdown (38/7426). The results above indicate that the phenotypes observed upon *CSTF2* knockdown were unlikely mediated through APA.

Our CLIP sequencing data showed that the CSTF2 RNA binding sites are well overlapped with m⁶A sites in RNA (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 5g–j), consistent with reported public CLIP sequencing data (Fig. 5b). Previous studies reported that CSTF2 can directly

Fig. 4 | **Knockdown of CSTF2 inhibits proliferation and metastasis of PDAC cells. a**, **b** *CSTF2* KD repressed PDAC cell proliferation gauged by electrical impedance expressed as decreasing cell index (**a**) or measured by CCK-8 assays (**b**). Data of **a**, **b** are means \pm S.D. (n = 3). **c** *CSTF2* KD inhibited PDAC cell colony formation. Upper panels are representative pictures of colony formation; lower panels show quantitative statistics of relative colony formation activity (means \pm S.E.M., n = 3). **d** *CSTF2* KD repressed PDAC cell migration and invasion determined by transwell assays. Upper panels are representative pictures showing different abilities of cell migration and invasion; Lower panels show quantitative statistics of migration and invasion abilities. Data are means \pm S.E.M. in (n = 3) of three independent

interact with RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II)^{41,42} that is known to recruit the m⁶A methyltransferase complex (MTC) co-transcriptionally⁴³, suggesting that CSTF2 might affect m⁶A deposition through MTC and RNA Pol II. We thus performed CUT&Tag sequencing of CSTF2 and RNA Pol II, and the results showed a good overlap of genomic binding positions of CSTF2 and RNA Pol II (Fig. 5c). We observed that m⁶A peaks with Pol II occupancy showed a significantly greater reduction in m⁶A levels upon CSTF2 knockdown than those peaks without Pol II occupancy (Fig. 5d). Furthermore, we found that genes exhibiting substantial changes in Pol II occupancy also displayed a greater reduction in m6A levels upon CSTF2 knockdown (Fig. 5e). These results suggest that m⁶A sites whose formation is more reliant on Pol II may be particularly vulnerable to the effects of CSTF2 knockdown. Moreover, we found that CSTF2-binding sites in DNA were co-localized with CSTF2 binding sites and m⁶A sites in RNA, and the co-localization was associated with RNA Pol II (Fig. 5f, g). These results implied that RNA Pol II may indeed play a role in mediating m⁶A depositions regulated by CSTF2.

Previous study reported that CSTF2 may function as a ratelimiting factor in the elongation of RNA Pol II⁴⁴, and a recent study showed that prolonged elongation rate may help RNA Pol II to recruit m⁶A writer METTL3⁴³. We further performed CUT&Tag sequencing of Pol II and Pol II-Ser2P upon CSTF2 knockdown. Significant decreases in RNA Pol II and Pol II-Ser2P density were observed in those genes with hypomethylated-m⁶A upon CSTF2 knockdown, while the RNA Pol II density of CSTF2 non-targets was not affected (Fig. 5h and Supplementary Fig. 5k). We also observed a slight increase in H3K79me2 and H3K36me3, but no significant changes in the enrichment of Pol II-ser5P (Supplementary Fig. 5k). This was illustrated by the representative genomic tracks of CSTF2 targets such as CENPF, WNT7B and NTSR1 genes (Fig. 5i and Supplementary Fig. 5l). These findings are in line with the fact that faster elongation leads to lower RNA Pol II density in the gene body⁴⁵. Moreover, using 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole 1-beta-D-ribofuranoside (DRB) in combination with global nuclear runon followed by sequencing (GRO-seq) (Supplementary Fig. 5m), we found that knockdown of CSTF2 moderately increased the elongation rate of target RNAs (Supplementary Fig. 5n), which was validated by elongation rate experiments subsequently (Supplementary Fig. 6a-c). We also found that CSTF2 knockdown facilitated but ectopic overexpression of CSTF2 attenuated the synthesis of nascent RNA in PDAC cells (Fig. 5j, k and Supplementary Fig. 6d, e), confirming that CSTF2 action decreased the elongation rate of Pol II. We therefore hypothesized that CSTF2 might facilitate the METTL3 recruitment via prolonging elongation rate of RNA Pol II, thus promoting m6A deposition. We found that forced CSTF2 expression changes in PDAC cells resulted in substantial variations of the RNA Pol II and METTL3 interaction (Fig. 5l, m and Supplementary Fig. 7a, b). Similar results were also observed between the activated elongating form of Pol II, phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain (Pol II-Ser2P) and METTL3 upon forced CSTF2 expression changes (Supplementary Fig. 7c, d), whereas global Pol II-Ser2P was not affected, suggesting that CSTF2retarded Pol II recruited more METTL3.

Notably, knockdown of CSTF2 caused comparable decrease of global m⁶A level with that by METTL3 knockdown (Supplementary

experiments. Scale bars, 200 µm. **e** Effects of *CSTF2* on the growth of xenograft tumors derived from PDAC cells in vivo in nude mice. Shown were the subcutaneous xenografts obtained at the end of experiments (left panel) and the curves of xenograft growth (right panel). **f** Effects of *CSTF2* on lung localization of PDAC cells in nude mice (n = 5) with injection of cells via tail-vein. Left panels show representative bioluminescence imaging at day 42 after injection of cells, and right panel shows quantitative luminal intensities. Data represent means ± S.E.M. from five mice of each group. Data of **a**–**d** were from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 and ***, P < 0.001 of Student's *t* tests compared with each control.

Fig. 7e, f), and the hypomethylated-m⁶A in cells with METTL3 knockdown overlapped with 69% (1850 of 2676) of m6A produced by CSTF2 (Fig. 5n). CSTF2 knockdown caused less METTL3 binding around the m⁶A region of the target transcript but ectopic overexpression of CSTF2 strengthened the interaction (Fig. 50, p and Supplementary Fig. 7g). It is reported that CSTF2 effects on the binding of elongation factors on transcripts co-transcriptionally⁴⁴. Notably, we found that knocked down or inhibited the elongation factors AFF1/4 in HEK293T cells markedly attenuated the elongation rate of CSTF2 target genes, while effects on CSTF2 non-target genes tended to be weaker (Supplementary Fig. 7h-j). CSTF2 knockdown in PDAC cells promoted the recruitment of AFF1/4, ensuring efficient elongation but ectopic overexpression of CSTF2 attenuated the recruitment, leading to slowing elongation (Supplementary Fig. 7k, l). These results strongly support that CSTF2 promotes m⁶A depositions by slowing down the Pol II elongation, therefore facilitating the recruitment of METTL3 cotranscriptionally.

CSTF2-regulated m⁶As enhance RNA stability

We then explored the effects of m⁶As on their host RNA levels in PDAC and found that 205 m⁶As (148 RNAs) out of the 254 differentially methylated m⁶As between S1 and S2 PDAC subtype had impacts on their host RNA levels (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Data 4). For example, both the m⁶A level and RNA level of some genes in cancer-related pathways such as cell cycle and epithelial-mesenchymal transition were significantly different between S1 and S2 (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Among the 148 RNAs, the m⁶A levels and RNA levels of 115 RNAs were both upregulated in S2 PDAC compared to S1 PDAC, while the m⁶A levels and RNA levels of 33 RNAs were both downregulated (Fig. 6a). We also found higher RNA levels of CSTF2 target genes in PDAC tissues comparing with that in normal tissues (Supplementary Fig. 8b). The positive correlation between m6A level and RNA level was also observed in PDAC cells, where RNA level downregulation of many RNAs (805/5222) upon CSTF2 knockdown will show hypomethylation of m⁶A levels, but only few RNAs (9/5222) will show lengthened 3'UTR (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 8c), indicating that the CSTF2regulated m6As but not CSTF2-regulated APAs may contribute to the increased RNA levels. IGF2BP family is reported to be an m6A reader stabilized transcripts⁴⁶. Since the expression of IGF2BP2 was positively correlated with most of the 254 differentially methylated m6As between S1 and S2 PDAC subtype (Supplementary Fig. 8d), we next investigated the role of IGF2BP2 in the CSTF2-regulated m6As. We found that the expression of IGF2BP2 was not altered by CSTF2 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. 8e). However, both CSTF2 knockdown and IGF2BP2 knockdown caused similar changes in expression levels of CENPF, WNT7B, NTSR1 (Supplementary Fig. 8f-h). CSTF2 knockdown dampened the binding of IGF2BP2 to the m⁶A region of the target transcript (Supplementary Fig. 8i), without altering the binding enrichment of YTHDF1/2/3 on these RNAs (Supplementary Fig. 8j). The effect of CSTF2 knockdown on transcripts can be rescued by ectopic expression of CSTF2 implying that the effect is on-target (Supplementary Fig. 8k). Both CSTF2 knockdown and IGF2BP2 knockdown caused similar change on stabilities of CENPF, WNT7B, NTSR1 transcripts (Supplementary Fig. 8l). Furthermore, we conducted dCas13



based m⁶A editing and gRNA to specifically manipulate the m⁶A site (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 9a). The downregulation of m⁶A level were verified (Fig. 6d) which dampened the binding of IGF2BP2 (Fig. 6e), leading to downregulation of both the mRNA levels (Fig. 6f) and half-lives of transcripts (Fig. 6g), specifically (Supplementary

Fig. 9b–g). Forced-expressed IGF2BP2 failed to rescue the effect of downregulation of m⁶A level on both the mRNA levels and half-lives of transcripts (Fig. 6h, i and Supplementary Fig. 9h). Taken together, the above results demonstrated that CSTF2-regulated m⁶As enhance the RNA stability via IGF2BP2.

Fig. 5 | CSTF2 mediates m⁶A deposition by retarding elongation. a, b Colocalization of the CSTF2 binding sites and the m⁶A sites in RNA in PANC-1 (a) or HEK293 cells (b). The line plot shows distance between the CSTF2 binding sites (*left panel*) and the m⁶A sites in RNA within 2-kb region and the Venn plots show the corresponding overlapping proportion (*right panel*). *P* value for Fisher's exact test.
c Co-localization of the DNA binding sites of CSTF2 and RNA Pol II in PANC-1 cells.
d, e Genes enriched with Pol II binding (d) or with significant Pol II binding change (e) experienced more dramatic m⁶A change upon *CSTF2*-KD. *P* value of d, e were from Wilcoxon rank-sum test. f Co-localization of the DNA and RNA-binding sites of CSTF2 in PANC-1 cells. Purple line and blue line represents those CSTF2 CLIP peak overlapped (purple) or not overlapped (blue) with Pol II CUT&Tag peak, respectively. g Co-localization of DNA-binding sites of CSTF2 and RNA m⁶A sites in PANC-1 cells. Purple line and blue line represents those CSTF2 CLIP peak overlapped (purple) or not overlapped (blue) with Pol II CUT&Tag peak, respectively. g co-localization of DNA-binding sites of CSTF2 and RNA m⁶A peak overlapped (purple) or not overlapped (blue) with Pol II CUT&Tag peak, respectively. g co-localization of DNA-binding sites of CSTF2 target m⁶A peak overlapped (purple) or not overlapped (blue) with Pol II CUT&Tag peak, respectively. B Comparison of the RNA Pol II density along the CSTF2 target mRNA (*left*: CSTF2

Discussion

Increasing evidence has shown that m⁶A modifications are important in the pathogenesis of various types of cancer^{20,21,47-49}. However, their global function and regulation in cancer are still largely unknown, mainly due to the lack of m⁶A-seq data from larger sample sizes of cancer patients. In the present study, we have performed a transcriptome-wide m⁶A-seq and mapping of m⁶A modifications in a large set of PDAC samples from 65 patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most comprehensive study on mRNA m⁶A methylome landscape in PDAC to date. We have demonstrated that PDAC has obviously different mRNA m⁶A modification compared with adjacent normal tissues, with 68% of m⁶A sites being hypermethylated and 32% of m⁶A sites being hypo-methylated.

Recent high-throughput sequencing studies have revealed a great diversity of PDAC at multi-omics levels, such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics^{8-11,50,51}. However, the current data is far more than enough to reveal the complex mechanism underlying the heterogenous disease, let alone guide the clinical treatment based on molecular subtyping in PDAC. In this study, we have innovatively defined two PDAC subtypes using distinct m⁶A modification profiling, which is related to patients' survival, offering alternative insight into PDAC and informing the development of superior markers or therapeutic regimens based on this finding.

Another important finding is the discovery of CSTF2 as an m⁶A deposition mediator that regulates mRNA m⁶A modification. We have demonstrated that the depletion of CSTF2 in PDAC cells substantially reduced global m6A levels but did not change the expression levels of the m⁶A writers and erasers. CSTF2 is well known as a member of the cleavage stimulation factor complex regulating the 3' end cleavage and alternative polyadenylation (APA)⁵². Our data showed that the knockdown of CSTF2 alone has a limited effect on global APA, consistent with a previous study, as CSTF2T, the paralog of CSTF2 functioning as an APA regulator similarly, can be upregulated accompanied with CSTF2 knockdown^{39,40}. Pol II termination defect is only observed when CSTF2 and CSTF2T are co-depleted, but not in CSTF2-knockdown cells⁵³. These data suggest that it is unlikely that the effect of CSTF2 knockdown on m6A levels may be due to its APA-modulating effect. Furthermore, CLIP sequencing shows that CSTF2 binding sites enrich significantly around the m⁶A sites, suggesting that CSTF2 influences m6A modifications in a m6A site-dependent manner. Recent studies have proposed that the mRNA m⁶A modification is a co-transcriptional process depending on slowing or pausing of transcribing RNA Pol II^{29,43} and CSTF complex can directly interact with RNA Pol II and slow down its elongation rate during Pol II elongation^{41,42,44}. Our results together with previous findings indicate that the CSTF2 effect on m⁶A deposition is likely through the mechanism of slowing RNA Pol II elongation rate.

In conclusion, we have comprehensively deciphered the landscape of transcriptome-wide m⁶A mRNA modification in PDAC. We target RNAs; *right*: CSTF2 non-target RNAs) in PANC-1 cells upon *CSTF2*-KD. **i** Shown are representative tracks of transcript experiencing m⁶A level and Pol II binding densities change upon *CSTF2* KD. **j**, **k** Representative images of 5-ethynyluridine (EU) labeling in PANC-1 cells (left panel) showing the effect of *CSTF2* KD (**j**) or overexpression (**k**) of three independent experiments, and quantification analysis of EU signals from one representative experiment (right panel). Scale bar, 100 µm. Boxplots indicate median (middle line), 25th, 75th percentile (box) and 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) (Cell number: n = 27, 31 and 29 for KD control, CSTF2-KD#1 and CSTF2-KD#2; n = 29 and 24 for Control and CSTF2 OE, respectively) **I**, **m** Showing the effects of *CSTF2* KD (**l**) or overexpression (**m**) on the interaction between Pol II and METTL3 in PANC-1 cells. **n** Showing the overlap between mRNAs with hypo-m⁶As upon *CSTF2* KD and *METTL3* KD. **o**, **p** CLIP-qPCR showed that *CSTF2* KD impaired (**o**) but overexpression enhanced (**p**) METTL3 binding to target transcripts in PANC-1. Data are the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments in **o**, **p**. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01 and ****P* < 0.001 of Student's *t*-test.

have identified CSTF2 promoting the m⁶A modification in mRNAs and IGF2BP2 enhancing the stability of mRNAs with hypermethylated m⁶As, which forms a CSTF2-m⁶A-IGF2BP2 axis (Fig. 7). The aberrancy of this m⁶A modification-related axis may contribute to the development and progression of PDAC and thus has the potential clinical applications in PDAC precision medicine.

Methods

Patients and tissue specimens

This study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sun Yat-sen University. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant, and all data were anonymously analyzed.

For high-throughput m⁶A-sequencing and disease-relevant molecule analyzing, 65 patients with PDAC were recruited and the distributions of select characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table 1. All patients were recruited at Sun Yat-sen University Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital (Guangzhou, China) between 2010 and 2018 and they underwent pancreatectomy and received no treatment before surgery. The diagnosis of PDAC was histopathologically confirmed and tumor stage was classified according to the 7th edition of AJCC Cancer Staging System⁵⁴. The PDAC tumor and nontumor tissue (≥5 cm away from tumor) samples were collected at surgery from each patient and immediately placed in liquid nitrogen.

Tissue RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated from tumor and normal samples with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The tumor and stromal contents were evaluated from the continuous tissue section slides stained with H&E by three board-certified pathologists who were blinded to the patients' clinicopathological status and only the samples containing $\geq 60\%$ tumor cells were used. The resultant RNA samples were quantified by measuring absorbance at 260 nm with a UV spectrophotometer and then determined via the RNA6000 Nano assay (Agilent) for an RNA Integrity Number (RIN), and only the samples with RIN \geq 7.0 were included for further analysis.

High-throughput m⁶A-sequencing

Total RNA from tissue was digested with DNase I and then subjected to RiboMinus (Illumina) treatment to eliminate ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). An amount of 1.5 μ g RNA was used as input. We used Magna MeRIP m⁶A Kit (Millipore) for m⁶A immunoprecipitation (m⁶A-IP)⁵⁵. Briefly, 20 μ g of rRNA-depleted RNA was sheared to about 100 nucleotides in length by metal-ion-induced fragmentation and then purified and incubated with 10 μ g of anti-m⁶A antibody (Synaptic Systems, 202003). Sequencing libraries for m⁶A-IP and input were prepared and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2500 SE50 and Illumina HiSeqX Ten PE150, respectively.



Alignment of m⁶A-sequencing reads

We used STAR⁵⁶ to align the m⁶A-sequencing reads to human reference genome (hg38). For m⁶A-IP reads, the 50 base pairs (bp) single-end reads were aligned to human genome using STAR with the following parameters: --twopassMode Basic --chimSegmentMin 20 --outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonical --outFilterMultimapNmax 20 --alignIntronMin 20 --algigIntronMax 1000000 --alignMatesGapMax 1000000. For input reads, the 150 bp pair-end reads were aligned to human genome using STAR with parameters like m^6 A-IP reads.

m⁶A calling, annotation, and motif analysis

The input reads (150 bp) were trimmed to the length of m⁶A-IP reads (50 bp) using fastx_trimmer from FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab. cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). MACS2²² and MeTPeak²³ were used to call the

Fig. 6 | **Aberrant m⁶A enhances mRNA stability. a** Correlations of levels of m⁶As with levels of their host RNAs. Hyper-up, increase in levels of both m⁶As and their host RNAs in S2 PDAC versus S1 PDAC; hypo-down, decrease in levels of both m⁶As and their host RNA levels in S2 PDAC versus S1 PDAC; hypo-up, decrease in m⁶A level but increase in RNA level in S2 PDAC versus S1 PDAC; Non-significant change, levels of RNA did not significantly change in S2 PDAC versus S1 PDAC. **b** Waterfall plot of differentially expressed CSTF2 target RNAs upon *CSTF2* knockdown in PANC-1 cell. **c** Diagram of dCas13 based m⁶A editing system. **d** Relative m⁶A enrichment of *CENPF, WNT7B, NTSR1* transcript detected by MeRIP-qPCR upon transfected with dCas13b-ALKBH5 and non-target gRNA or gRNA targeting m⁶A of

specific RNAs, respectively. **e** Relative IGF2BP2 enrichment of indicated transcript detected by CLIP-qPCR upon transfected with dCas13b-ALKBH5 and non-target gRNA or gRNA targeting m⁶A of specific RNA, respectively. **f**, **g** Relative mRNA level (**f**) and half-lives (**g**) of *CENPF*, *WNT7B*, *NTSR1* detected by qRT-PCR upon transfected with dCas13b-ALKBH5 and non-target gRNA or gRNA targeting m⁶A of indicated RNA, respectively. **h**, **i** Relative mRNA level (**h**) and half-lives (**i**) of *CENPF*, *WNT7B*, *NTSR1* detected by qRT-PCR upon transfected RNA, respectively. **h**, **i** Relative mRNA level (**h**) and half-lives (**i**) of *CENPF*, *WNT7B*, *NTSR1* detected by qRT-PCR upon manipulating the m⁶A site by dCas13 based m⁶A editing system with or without rescue with forced-expressed IGF2BP2. Data are the mean \pm S.E.M. of three independent experiments in **d**–**i**. ***P* < 0.01 and ****P* < 0.001 of Student's *t* test. ns, not significant.



Fig. 7 | **Proposed action model for CSTF2 in RNA m⁶A deposition and formation of PDAC subtypes.** High expression of *CSTF2* in PDAC, an m⁶A deposition mediator, causes the aberrant RNA m⁶A which drives the formation of PDAC subtypes by a mechanism of slowing down the transcriptional elongation rate and retention of more methyltransferase complex (MTC). Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

(PDAC) can be classified into two subtypes namely subtype 1 (S1) and subtype 2 (S2) based on aberrant m⁶A modifications. Compared with S1, S2 is characterized by high expression of *CSTF2* and high m⁶A level which leads to activation of specific tumor-related pathways.

peaks based on the m⁶A-IP reads and the trimmed Input reads for all the normal and tumor samples. The cutoff *P* value for significant peak for MACS2 was set at 1.00e-6. The peaks called from the two methods were first merged using IntersectBed in BEDTools⁵⁷. Only those peaks identified by both two peak-calling methods were retained. We considered those 5'UTR peaks with transcription start site (TSS) "A" and "BCA" motif were m⁶Am peaks and the other peaks were m⁶A peaks. To avoid false positives, those m⁶A peaks occurred in at least five samples were retained for further analysis. Gencode v25 human annotations were downloaded from Gencode website for peak annotation⁵⁸. An ad hoc perl script was used to annotate the m6A peaks. Firstly, BEDTools' intersectBed was applied to map the peaks to Gencode v25 human annotations. To avoid duplicated mapping, only the canonical transcript for a gene was used. Canonical transcript was defined as described in UCSC genome browser. We then compared the peaks to the curated m⁶A sites in RMBASE²⁵ using IntersectBed to distinguish known peaks and novel peaks. MEME⁵⁹ was used to find the motif enriched in m⁶A peaks.

Analysis of RNA level and differential expression

For quantification of RNA level, RSEM⁶⁰ was performed with the following parameters: -paired-end, -star. R package DESeq2⁶¹ and edgeR⁶² were used for differential gene expression analysis between tumor and normal tissue samples. First, those genes with adjusted *P* value from DESeq2 <0.1 were considered significantly differentially expressed. To reduce false positives, edgeR was further applied. The significantly differentially expressed genes obtained from DESeq2 were further filtered by edgeR adjusted *P* value at cutoff 0.1.

Analysis of m⁶A level and differential methylation

The relative m⁶A level for each m⁶A was quantified according to the procedure described by Schwartz et al.⁶³ Briefly, multicov in bedtools was used to calculate the read coverage in m⁶A-IP and Input for each peak. RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase Million) method was then used to normalize the read coverage. The relative m⁶A level was obtained by calculating the ratio between IP RPKM value and Input RPKM value for each m⁶A. Following this procedure, we calculated the relative m⁶A levels for all the 17,996 identified m⁶As for all 98 samples. To obtain the aberrant m⁶A modifications in PDAC, we performed paired Wilcoxon rank-sum test on the quantitative difference in all m⁶A between the 33 paired tumor and normal samples, which resulted in 1108 hypermethylated m⁶As and 948 hypomethylated m⁶As at significance level of P < 0.05. To reduce the false positives, we performed FDR multiple testing correction. Finally, 195 hypermethylated- and 93

hypomethylated-m⁶As were obtained upon setting FDR = 0.1. For identification of differentially m⁶A methylated loci between two groups using RADAR³⁰, region with an adjusted *P* value < 0.05 and $|\log_2$ fold change|> 0.5 was considered as differential m⁶A peaks.

Analysis of the correlation between RBPs and m⁶A modification

The correlations between the levels of RBPs and m⁶As were calculated by both random forest and Spearman correlation analyses. The detailed procedures of random forest analysis were as follows: we set the RBPs and clinical factors (sex, age, smoking status, drinking status, tumor stage, differentiation, neural invasion, vascular invasion, and lymph node metastasis) as independent variables (X) and the m⁶As as dependent variables (Y), as shown in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), where the *n* means the number of RBPs and the *m* means the number of samples.

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{m1} & x_{m2} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m] \tag{2}$$

Then we used random forest algorithm to construct the regression model between the RBPs (X) and m⁶As (Y), yielding the contributions of all RBPs to each m⁶A from Eq. (3), where *c* is the

$$y_i = c + \sum_{k=1}^{K} contrib(x,k)$$
(3)

value corresponding to the root node in the regression tree, k is the feature number in the regression route and *contrib* (x, k) represents the contribution of independent variable x to dependent variable y at the kth feature. For the regression model with multiple random forest trees, the contribution of each RBP was the average of the contributions from all the trees.

Spearman correlation between each RBP and each m⁶A was calculated for hypermethylated, hypo-methylated, and unchanged m⁶As, respectively. The RBP-m⁶A pairs with |correlation|> 0.25 and P < 0.05were considered to be significant. We performed Fisher's exact test to evaluate the significance of the differences in the number of these significantly correlated RBP-m⁶A pairs. The *P* values from Fisher's exact test were corrected for multiple comparisons. The contribution score from random forest analysis and FDR from Spearman correlation analysis were finally combined to evaluate the correlations between RBPs and m⁶As.

Identification and characterization of PDAC subtypes based on aberrant m⁶As

We used R package ConsensusClusterPlus⁶⁴ to perform consensus clustering of the aberrant m⁶As in 65 PDAC tumor samples. The number of bootstraps was 1,000 and the sub-sampling proportion was 0.8. We performed a two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test to identify significant m⁶A between S1 and S2 PDAC and used R package ClusterProfiler⁶⁵ for pathway enrichment.

Methylated RNA immunoprecipitation-coupled quantitative real-time PCR (MeRIP qRT-PCR)

Total RNA isolated from each tissue was fragmented and immunoprecipitated by anti-m⁶A antibody as described above. Purified m⁶A-containing RNA was reversely transcribed and amplified¹⁸. The enrichment of m⁶A was quantified by quantitative PCR with the genespecific primers shown in Supplementary Table 3.

Global RNA m⁶A quantification

Total RNA from cells was extracted using TRIzol as described above. PolyA+ RNA was purified using Dynabeads mRNA purification kit (Invitrogen). Global RNA m⁶A quantification in polyA+ RNA was conducted by m⁶A RNA Methylation Quantification Kit (Catalog # P-9005, EpiQuik [™]). PolyA+ RNA (200 ng) of each sample was used for analysis performed in triplicate.

Liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

RNA samples were digested with digestion buffer containing phosphodiesterase I (0.01 U), nuclease S1 (180 U), 1 mM zinc sulfate, 280 mM sodium chloride, and 30 mM sodium acetate at pH 6.8 for 4 h at 37 °C, and dephosphorylated with bacterial alkaline phosphatase (30 U) for 2 h at 37 °C. After enzymes removal, the nucleosides samples were then subjected to LC-MS/MS and analyzed on a TripleTOF 6600 mass spectrometer (SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA). Nucleosides were quantified using the nucleoside-to-base ion mass transitions of 268.1–136.1 for A, 245.1–113.0 for U, 244.1–112.1 for C, 184.1–152.1 for G, 282.1–150.1 for RNA m⁶A. Quantification was performed by comparison with the standard curves obtained from their nucleoside standards. The ratio of m⁶A to A was analyzed based on the calculated concentrations.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA from tissue and cell lines was extracted with TRIzol reagent. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara). Relative RNA level determined by qRT-PCR was measured in triplicate on a Roche LightCycler 480 using the SYBR Green method⁶⁶. *Beta-ACTIN* was employed as an internal control for mRNA quantification. The primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 3. All experiments were performed in three biological replicates.

Cell lines and cell culture

Human PDAC cell lines PANC-1 and SW1990 and embryonic kidney cells 293 T were purchased from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology. All cell lines were authenticated by DNA fingerprinting analysis and tested for free from mycoplasma infection. PANC-1 and 293 T cells were maintained in DMEM medium while SW1990 was maintained in RPMI-1640 medium and both media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were grown without antibiotics in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 and 99% relative humidity at 37 °C.

Plasmid, RNA interference, and stable cell line generation

The hairpin-of pLKD-vectors containing short hairpin RNA (shRNA) sequence targeting CSTF2 and the plenti-CSTF2-puro and pcDNA3.1-IGF2BP2 plasmid was commercially constructed. The shCSTF2resistant WT (CSTF2-res) was generated by introducing point mutations. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting the METTL3, IGF2BP2, U1AF2, CAPRIN1, BUD13, CENPF, WNT7B, NSTR1 or scramble knockdown control (KD control) was purchased from GenePharma. Transfection with siRNA or plasmid was performed with lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). Lentivirus was produced in 293 T cells by cotransfection of the pLKD-constructs along with psPAX2 and pMD2.G vectors, and subsequent virus-containing media were collected for lentiviral infection. 48 hours after transduction, cells were harvested (RNAi) or subjected to puromycin selection (2 µg/ml). RNA knockdown sequences were listed in Supplementary Table 4. The PspCas13b-ALKBH5 (dCas13b-ALKBH5) plasmid, gRNA plasmid, and nontargeting gRNA plasmid were kind gifts from Dr. Hongsheng Wang (Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou). Specifically demethylated the m⁶A of target RNAs were conducted by cotransfection of dCas13b-ALKBH5 and corresponding gRNA plasmid. The sequence of gRNA is listed in Supplementary Table 4.

Western blot assays

Total protein extract from PDAC tissues or cells was prepared using a detergent-containing lysis buffer. For cytoplasmic and nuclear fractionation, lysis was obtained using the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo) following the manufacturer's instructions. Protein sample (50 µg) was subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to the PVDF membrane (Millipore). Antibody against CSTF2 (ab200837), CSTF2T (ab138486), METTL3 (ab195352), METTL14 (ab252562), WTAP (ab195380), FTO (ab126605), ALKBH5 (ab195377), IGF2BP2 (ab128175), WNT7B (ab227607), RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain (CTD) Ser2 (ab193468) or β-ACTIN (ab8227) was from Abcam. Antibody against RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain (CTD) (#05-623) and were from Millipore. Antibody against U2AF2 (68166-1-lg), CAPRINI (15112-1-AP), RBM15 (10587-1-AP), RBM15B (67506-1-lg), Lamin B1 (12987-1-AP), GAPDH (60004-1-lg), AFF4 (14662-1-AP) or CENPF (28568-1-AP) were from Proteintech. Antibody against BUD13 (A303-321A-1) and AFF1 (A302-345A-1) were from Invitrogen and antibody against NTSR1 (sc-374492) was from Santa Cruz Bio. The membrane was incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody and visualized with a Phototope Horseradish Peroxidase Western Blot Detection kit (Thermo Fisher).

Analysis of cell malignant phenotypes

PANC-1 and SW1990 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (2000 cells per well) for culture. Cell viability was measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo) at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h, respectively. For realtime impedance measurement (Xcelligence)⁶⁷, cells (4000) were seeded in E-plates and placed into the Real-Time Cell Analyzer (RTCA) station and incubated at 37 °C for 96 h, with impedance measured every 30 min. Cell index values were calculated by the apparatus software (RTCA software 2.0). For colony formation assays, 1000 cells were seeded in six-well plate and allowed to grow until visible colonies formed in complete growth medium (2 weeks). Colonies were fixed with methanol, and stained with crystal violet. For migration assays, 5×10^4 cells in 200 µl of serum-free medium were added into the upper chamber. For invasion assays, cells were added after coating filters with 30 µg of matrigel (Corning). A 500 µl of medium with 20% FBS was used as a chemoattractant in the lower chamber. After 12-h incubation in 5% CO₂ at 37°C, cells were fixed with methanol and stained with 0.5% crystal violet before measurement.

RNA stability assays

Cells with or without *CSTF2* or *IGF2BP2* knockdown were treated with actinomycin D at a final concentration of 2 μ M for 20, 40 or 60 min before trypsinization and collection. Total RNA was then extracted with TRIzol reagent. Gene expression level was determined by RT-qPCR and the mRNA half-life time was calculated as previously described⁴⁶.

5-Ethynyluridine incorporation and quantification

5-Ethynyluridine (EU) incorporation was performed by using Cell-Light EU Apollo488 RNA Imaging Kit (RiboBio). Briefly, cells were incubated in complete culture medium containing 500 μ M EU for 1 hour before washing with PBS and fixed. The cells were stained with 0.5 μ g/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min and mounted in anti-fade solution. Image stacks were obtained by using the fluorescence microscopy (Olympus). Nucleoplasm regions were identified based on DNA (DAPI) staining. The median of each cell's mean intensity of the extracted nuclear signals after background subtraction (the signals outside nuclei) were plotted and calculated by applying the Image J software.

Cells grown in 15-cm dishes at 70–80% confluency were lysed with 500 μ l of immunoprecipitation buffer. Proteins were immunoprecipitated from 500 μ g of cell lysates with 5 μ g of antibody against METTL3 (ab195352), RNA Polymerase II (CTD) (#05-623, Millipore), RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain (CTD) Ser2P (ab193468) or IgG. After applying a magnet, proteins associated with Protein A/G Magnetic Beads were washed three times and analyzed by western blotting.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed using the EZ-Magna ChIPTM A/G Kit (17-10086, Millipore). In brief, after cross-linking with 1% formaldehyde, cells were lysed and sonicated on ice to generate DNA fragments with an average length of 200–500 bp. Pre-cleared DNA of each sample was saved as an input fraction. Fragmented DNA was then used for immunoprecipitation with 5 μ g of ChIPgrade antibody against AFF1, AFF4, or IgG as control. Bound DNA was eluted and purified, followed by qRT-PCR using the primers shown in Supplementary Table 3.

Cross-linking-immunoprecipitation (CLIP)

CLIP was performed as previously reported¹⁸ with some modifications. Briefly, the whole cell lysate from cross-linked (twice by 150 mJ per cm² of 365 nm UV light) PANC-1 cells were isolated and sonicated, followed by treatment with DNase I (0.5 U/µl, 37 °C for 5 min) and RNase TI (0.2 U/µl, 22 °C for 15 min). Pre-washed Dynabeads protein A/G (Millipore) conjugated with 10 µg antibodies against CSTF2, METTL3, or IGF2BP2 were then incubated with the extraction at 4 °C overnight with rotating. After substantial washing of beads, end repair was performed by using T4 PNK (NEB). RNA was then treated with proteinase K (37 °C for 30 min), acidic phenol/chloroform extraction, and ethanol precipitation, and was subsequently used for library construction by using NEBNext small RNA library prep kit (E7330S) and sequenced on Illumina Hiseq4000. For CLIP-qPCR, the input and immunoprecipitated RNA samples were recovered as described above, cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript III RT (Invitrogen) and random hexamer primers (Invitrogen) and subject to gRT-PCR using specific primers shown in Supplementary Table 3.

miCLIP sequencing

m⁶A individual-nucleotide-resolution cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (miCLIP) sequencing was performed as previously reported¹⁸. In brief, total RNA from PANC-1 cells was digested by DNase I and subjected to two rounds of RiboMinus treatment to eliminate rRNAs. Ribo-off RNA (20 μ g) was then fragmented and incubated with 10 μ g of anti-m⁶A antibody (Synaptic Systems, 202003) in IP buffer supplemented with 0.2 U/ μ l RNase inhibitor (NEB) for 2 h at 4 °C. The RNA-antibody mixture was cross-linked and incubated with 100 μ l of prewashed protein A/G beads (Millipore) overnight at 4 °C with rotating. The Beads were substantially washed, and end repair was performed by using T4 PNK. After recovering via proteinase K, acidic phenol/chloroform extraction, and ethanol precipitation treatment, RNA was subsequently used for library construction with NEB Next small RNA library prep kit (E7330S) and sequenced on Illumina Hiseq4000.

Analysis of iCLIP-sequencing data

Read preprocessing was performed essentially⁶⁸. Adaptors and lowquality bases were trimmed by Cutadapt (v1.16) and reads shorter than 20 nucleotides were discarded. Reads were demultiplexed based on their experimental barcode using the pyBarcodeFilter.py script of the pyCRAC tool suite. Sequence-based removal of PCR duplicates was then performed with the pyFastqDuplicateRemover.py script. The reverse reads were reversely complemented and processed in the same way as the forward counterparts. Reads were then mapped to human genome (hg38) with BWA (v0.7.15), with parameter bwa aln -n 0.06 -q 20 as recommended by the online CTK Documentation (see URLs). We detected cross-linking-induced mutation sites (CIMS) in iCLIP data of m⁶A, CSTF2, and using CLIP Tool Kit (CTK). To identify the m⁶A locus, the mode of mutation calling was performed⁶⁹. For each mutation position, the coverage of unique tag (k) and mutations (m) were determined by CIMS.pl script of CLIP Tool Kit. First, the known SNPs (dbSNP 147) were removed from all the mutation positions. Then, the C > T mutation positions within m/k \leq 50% and only mutation positions at the +1 position of adenosines were identified as CIMS-based m⁶A residues.

CUT&Tag assays

CUT&Tag assays were carried out following the previous description with some modifications⁷⁰. Briefly, 1×10^5 cell sample was treated with 10 µl of Concanavalin A coated magnetic beads (Bangs Laboratories) for 10 min. Bead-bounded cells were then suspended with dig wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM Spermidine; 1× Protease inhibitor cocktail; 0.05% Digitonin; 2 mM EDTA) and a 1:50 dilution of antibody against CSTF2 (ab200837), RNA Polymerase II (CTD) (#05-623) RNA Polymerase II (CTD Ser2P) (#61083), H3K36me3 (ab9050), H3K79me2 (ab3594), RNA Polymerase II (CTD Ser5P) (MA1-46093) or IgG and incubated at 4 °C overnight. After the removal of the primary antibody by substantial washing, cells were incubated with secondary antibody (1:100) for 1 h and then incubated with pA-Tn5 adapter complex for 1 h. After washing with Dig-med buffer, cells were resuspended in Tagmentation buffer (10 mM MgCl₂ in Dig-med Buffer) and incubated at 37 °C for 1h. DNA products were purified using phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Sequencing libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions and cleaned up using XP beads (Beckman Counter). Sequencing was performed in the Illumina Novaseq 6000 using PE150.

Analysis of CUT&Tag sequencing data

Raw sequencing reads were examined using FastQC (http://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Adaptor and lowquality bases were removed using Fastp⁷¹. Qualified reads were aligned to hg38 human genome using Bowtie2⁷² with options: -p 6 --local --very-sensitive-local --no-unal --no-mixed --no-discordant --phred33 -I 10 -X 700. MACS2²² was used for peak-calling with parameters: macs2 callpeak -t input_file -p 1e-5 -f BAMPE -n out_name. The annotatePeaks.pl script from the Homer software suite⁷³ was used for annotation. Visualization of the depositions along genomic regions was performed with IGV⁷⁴. Read counts were normalized by RPKM which was computed in each 10-base pair bin among defined regions and then used for generating profile plots using Deeptools⁷⁵.

DRB/GRO-seq

Cells at 80%–90% of confluence in 15 cm dish were initially treated with DRB for 3.5 h and samples from time points 10 and 25 min after release into the fresh medium were processed. Transcription-competent nuclei were prepared using the Nuclei Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Sigma). Nuclear Run-On reactions were carried out with Br-UTP as described⁷⁶, and Br-UTP run-on labeled RNA was isolated using beads coupled with Br-UTP-specific antibody (sc-32323AC, Santa Cruz). The purified RNA was used for the preparation of strand-specific RNA libraries using standard Illumina protocols and sequenced on NextSeq CN500 using SE75.

GRO-seq data analysis and transcription elongation rate calculation

GRO sequencing reads were aligned to the hg38 reference genome using Bowtie2 with standard parameters. To analyze the transcription elongation rate, we calculated the base pair level coverage of the region 10 kb upstream to 120 kb downstream of each transcript's TSS. Average transcript profiles were generated by taking a trimmed mean (0.01) of read depth over each base pair. The normalized read depth was smoothed using the smooth.spline function from Bioconductor's stats package (spar = 0.8). We then calculate wave peak for each gene as the maximum point on the spline and remove any genes that are lowly expressed, have missing values, have duplicate values, or whose wave doesn't advance with time. Select only genes with a wave-peak after the first 1 kb in the 25 min sample. And a linear fit model to the wave peak positions as a function of time to determine the rate of elongation in kb/min units. The significance of the difference between the increased elongation rates in the KD sample relative to WT was assessed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

Measurement of the Pol II elongation rate

Measurement of the Pol II elongation rate was conducted as previously described⁴⁵. PDAC cells were seeded overnight on 6-cm dishes to 70%–80% confluency before treating with 300 μ M 5,6-Dichlorobenzimidazole 1- β -D-ribofuranoside (DRB; Sigma) in culture medium for 5 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated in fresh culture medium for various time periods. Total RNA was isolated from cells and reversely transcribed with random hexamer primers. Analysis of pre-mRNAs was accomplished by qRT-PCR with amplicons spanning the intron-exon junctions. The primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 3.

Animal experiments

Aged 4-5 weeks' female BALB/c nude mice were purchased from the Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology. Two million PDAC cells suspended in 100 µl PBS were injected subcutaneously into the back flank of mice (five in each group). Tumor volume was measured and calculated according to the formula volume = length \times width² \times 0.5. The sample size was not predetermined for these experiments. For the metastasis model, 0.1 ml of cell suspension containing 2×10^{6} luciferaselabeled cells was injected into tail veins. The metastases were detected using the Living Image[®] software (Perkin Elmer) after intraperitoneal injection of luciferin (Promega) before quantifying fluorescence. All experimenters were blinded to which cells were injected into the mice. All the mice were observed daily for signs of end-point criteria. Mice once showed signs of cachexia, >20% weight loss of initial weight, breathing difficulties, or tumors close to 15 mm in diameter, they were euthanized immediately. No tumors exceeded this limit. All the animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, and the animals were handled in accordance with institutional guidelines.

Statistics and reproducibility

We used Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test to examine the difference between two categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank-sum test to examine the difference between a continuous variable and a binary categorical variable. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to measure the correlation between two continuous variables and r > 0.25 and P < 0.05 was considered significant. Student's *t* test was used to examine the difference between the two means. PFS and OS were estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method and the differences were examined by the log-rank test. Hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated with the Cox proportional hazards model. All statistical tests were two-sided tests and P < 0.05 was considered significant unless indicated. R 3.6.1 (https://www.r-project.org/) was used in our data analysis. Western blots were repeated independently three times with similar results, and representative images were shown.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Article

Data availability

Public CLIP-seq data of m⁶A and CSTF2 are accessible under GEO numbers GSE147440 and GSE37398. The raw sequence data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive in BIG Data Center, Beijing Institute of Genomics (BIG), Chinese Academy Sciences [http://bjgd.bjg.ac.cn/] under restricted access: of HRA000095, HRA001663, HRA003601, and HRA004744. The researchers can register and login to the GSA database website [https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa-human/] and follow the guidance of "Request Data" to request the data step by step [https://ngdc.cncb.ac. cn/gsa-human/document/GSA-Human Request Guide for Users us. pdf] and/or by contacting zuozhx@sysucc.org.cn or zhangjial@sysucc.org.cn. All requests will be reviewed by corresponding authors and the SYSUCC institutional review board. The approximate response time for accession requests is about two weeks. The access authority can be obtained for scientific research and not-for-profit use only. Once access has been granted, the data will be available to download for two months. The remaining data supporting the findings of this study are available within the Article, Supplementary Information, or Source Data file. Source data are provided in this paper. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

Custom codes developed for data preprocessing, analysis and visualization are available at GitHub: https://github.com/canceromics/ CSTF2_m6Asubtype_code.

References

- 1. Rahib, L. et al. Projecting cancer incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the United States. *Cancer Res.* **74**, 2913–2921 (2014).
- Ryan, D. P., Hong, T. S. & Bardeesy, N. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. N. Engl. J. Med. **371**, 1039–1049 (2014).
- Wolfgang, C. L. et al. Recent progress in pancreatic cancer. CA Cancer J. Clin. 63, 318–348 (2013).
- Nevala-Plagemann, C., Hidalgo, M. & Garrido-Laguna, I. From stateof-the-art treatments to novel therapies for advanced-stage pancreatic cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **17**, 108–123 (2020).
- Collisson, E. A., Bailey, P., Chang, D. K. & Biankin, A. V. Molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 16, 207–220 (2019).
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Electronic address, a.a.d.h.e. & Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N. Integrated genomic characterization of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 32, 185–203 e13 (2017).
- 7. Waddell, N. et al. Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **518**, 495–501 (2015).
- Bailey, P. et al. Genomic analyses identify molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 531, 47–52 (2016).
- Collisson, E. A. et al. Subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and their differing responses to therapy. *Nat. Med.* 17, 500–503 (2011).
- Moffitt, R. A. et al. Virtual microdissection identifies distinct tumorand stroma-specific subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1168–1178 (2015).
- Law, H. C. et al. The proteomic landscape of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma liver metastases identifies molecular subtypes and associations with clinical response. *Clin. Cancer Res* 26, 1065–1076 (2020).
- Chen, T. et al. m⁶A RNA methylation is regulated by microRNAs and promotes reprogramming to pluripotency. *Cell Stem Cell* 16, 289–301 (2015).
- Batista, P. J. et al. m⁶A RNA modification controls cell fate transition in mammalian embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 15, 707–719 (2014).

- Geula, S. et al. Stem cells. m⁶A mRNA methylation facilitates resolution of naive pluripotency toward differentiation. *Science* 347, 1002–1006 (2015).
- 15. Fustin, J. M. et al. RNA-methylation-dependent RNA processing controls the speed of the circadian clock. *Cell* **155**, 793–806 (2013).
- Zhao, X. et al. FTO-dependent demethylation of N⁶-methyladenosine regulates mRNA splicing and is required for adipogenesis. *Cell Res* 24, 1403–1419 (2014).
- Su, R. et al. R-2HG exhibits anti-tumor activity by targeting FTO/ m⁶A/MYC/CEBPA signaling. Cell **172**, 90–105 e23 (2018).
- Zhang, J. et al. Excessive miR-25-3p maturation via N⁶-methyladenosine stimulated by cigarette smoke promotes pancreatic cancer progression. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1858 (2019).
- Li, Z. et al. FTO plays an oncogenic role in acute myeloid leukemia as a N⁶-methyladenosine RNA demethylase. *Cancer Cell* **31**, 127–141 (2017).
- Zhang, C. et al. Hypoxia induces the breast cancer stem cell phenotype by HIF-dependent and ALKBH5-mediated m⁶A-demethylation of NANOG mRNA. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E2047–E2056 (2016).
- Lin, S., Choe, J., Du, P., Triboulet, R. & Gregory, R. I. The m⁶A methyltransferase METTL3 promotes translation in human cancer cells. *Mol. Cell* 62, 335–345 (2016).
- 22. Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. **9**, R137 (2008).
- Cui, X., Meng, J., Zhang, S., Chen, Y. & Huang, Y. A novel algorithm for calling mRNA m⁶A peaks by modeling biological variances in MeRIP-seq data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, i378–i385 (2016).
- Tan, B. et al. Viral and cellular N⁶-methyladenosine and N⁶,2'-Odimethyladenosine epitranscriptomes in the KSHV life cycle. *Nat. Microbiol.* 3, 108–120 (2018).
- Xuan, J. J. et al. RMBase v2.0: deciphering the map of RNA modifications from epitranscriptome sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D327–D334 (2018).
- Dominissini, D. et al. Topology of the human and mouse m⁶A RNA methylomes revealed by m⁶A-seq. *Nature* 485, 201–206 (2012).
- Xiong, F. et al. RNA m⁶A modification orchestrates a LINE-1-host interaction that facilitates retrotransposition and contributes to long gene vulnerability. *Cell Res.* **31**, 861–885 (2021).
- Meyer, K. D. et al. Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3' UTRs and near stop codons. *Cell* 149, 1635–1646 (2012).
- Huang, H. et al. Histone H3 trimethylation at lysine 36 guides m⁶A RNA modification co-transcriptionally. *Nature* 567, 414–419 (2019).
- 30. Zhang, Z. et al. RADAR: differential analysis of MeRIP-seq data with a random effect model. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 294 (2019).
- 31. Chen, H. et al. Centromere protein F is identified as a novel therapeutic target by genomics profile and contributing to the progression of pancreatic cancer. *Genomics* **113**, 1087–1095 (2021).
- Li, X. et al. Apoptosis-induced translocation of centromere protein F in its corresponding autoantibody production in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncoimmunology* **10**, 1992104 (2021).
- Song, S. et al. IDH2 contributes to tumorigenesis and poor prognosis by regulating m⁶A RNA methylation in multiple myeloma. Oncogene 40, 5393–5402 (2021).
- Sun, Y. et al. FZD5 contributes to TNBC proliferation, DNA damage repair and stemness. *Cell Death Dis.* 11, 1060 (2020).
- 35. Arensman, M. D. et al. WNT7B mediates autocrine Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and anchorage-independent growth in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Oncogene* **33**, 899–908 (2014).
- 36. Wu, Z., Martinez-Fong, D., Tredaniel, J. & Forgez, P. Neurotensin and its high affinity receptor 1 as a potential pharmacological target in cancer therapy. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **3**, 184 (2012).
- Takahashi, K. et al. Neurotensin receptor 1 signaling promotes pancreatic cancer progression. *Mol. Oncol.* 15, 151–166 (2021).

Article

- Zhu, Y. et al. POSTAR2: deciphering the post-transcriptional regulatory logics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D203–D211 (2019).
- Yao, C. et al. Transcriptome-wide analyses of CstF64-RNA interactions in global regulation of mRNA alternative polyadenylation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 18773–18778 (2012).
- Yao, C. et al. Overlapping and distinct functions of CstF64 and CstF64tau in mammalian mRNA 3' processing. *RNA* 19, 1781–1790 (2013).
- McCracken, S. et al. The C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II couples mRNA processing to transcription. *Nature* 385, 357–361 (1997).
- Nag, A., Narsinh, K. & Martinson, H. G. The poly(A)-dependent transcriptional pause is mediated by CPSF acting on the body of the polymerase. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 662–669 (2007).
- Slobodin, B. et al. Transcription impacts the efficiency of mRNA translation via co-transcriptional N⁶-adenosine methylation. *Cell* 169, 326–337 e12 (2017).
- Chen, X. et al. CSTF2-induced shortening of the RAC1 3'UTR promotes the pathogenesis of urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Cancer Res.* 78, 5848–5862 (2018).
- Saponaro, M. et al. RECQL5 controls transcript elongation and suppresses genome instability associated with transcription stress. *Cell* **157**, 1037–1049 (2014).
- Huang, H. et al. Recognition of RNA N⁶-methyladenosine by IGF2BP proteins enhances mRNA stability and translation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20, 285–295 (2018).
- 47. Deng, X. et al. RNA N⁶-methyladenosine modification in cancers: current status and perspectives. *Cell Res.* **28**, 507–517 (2018).
- Li, M., Zha, X. & Wang, S. The role of N⁶-methyladenosine mRNA in the tumor microenvironment. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 1875, 188522 (2021).
- Huang, H., Weng, H. & Chen, J. m⁶A modification in coding and noncoding RNAs: roles and therapeutic implications in cancer. *Cancer Cell* 37, 270–288 (2020).
- Lomberk, G. et al. Distinct epigenetic landscapes underlie the pathobiology of pancreatic cancer subtypes. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1978 (2018).
- 51. Eyres, M. et al. TET2 drives 5hmc marking of GATA6 and epigenetically defines pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma transcriptional subtypes. *Gastroenterology* **161**, 653–668 e16 (2021).
- Murthy, K. G. & Manley, J. L. The 160-kD subunit of human cleavagepolyadenylation specificity factor coordinates pre-mRNA 3'-end formation. *Genes Dev.* 9, 2672–2683 (1995).
- 53. Nojima, T. et al. Mammalian NET-seq reveals genome-wide nascent transcription coupled to RNA processing. *Cell* **161**, 526–540 (2015).
- Sobin, L. H. & Compton, C. C. TNM seventh edition: what's new, what's changed: communication from the International Union Against Cancer and the American Joint Committee on Cancer. *Cancer* **116**, 5336–5339 (2010).
- 55. Zhang, C. et al. m⁶A modulates haematopoietic stem and progenitor cell specification. *Nature* **549**, 273–276 (2017).
- 56. Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).
- Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841–842 (2010).
- Frankish, A. et al. GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D766–D773 (2019).
- Bailey, T. L., Williams, N., Misleh, C. & Li, W. W. MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, W369–W373 (2006).
- Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 323 (2011).

- Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 550 (2014).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140 (2010).
- Schwartz, S. et al. Perturbation of m⁶A writers reveals two distinct classes of mRNA methylation at internal and 5' sites. *Cell Rep.* 8, 284–296 (2014).
- Wilkerson, M. D. & Hayes, D. N. ConsensusClusterPlus: a class discovery tool with confidence assessments and item tracking. *Bioinformatics* 26, 1572–1573 (2010).
- Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y. & He, Q. Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* 16, 284–287 (2012).
- Schneeberger, C., Speiser, P., Kury, F. & Zeillinger, R. Quantitative detection of reverse transcriptase-PCR products by means of a novel and sensitive DNA stain. *PCR Methods Appl.* 4, 234–238 (1995).
- Zimmermann, G. et al. Small molecule inhibition of the KRAS-PDEdelta interaction impairs oncogenic KRAS signalling. *Nature* 497, 638–642 (2013).
- Moore, M. J. et al. Mapping Argonaute and conventional RNAbinding protein interactions with RNA at single-nucleotide resolution using HITS-CLIP and CIMS analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 9, 263–293 (2014).
- Linder, B. et al. Single-nucleotide-resolution mapping of m⁶A and m⁶Am throughout the transcriptome. *Nat. Methods* 12, 767–772 (2015).
- Kaya-Okur, H. S. et al. CUT&Tag for efficient epigenomic profiling of small samples and single cells. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1930 (2019).
- 71. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884–i890 (2018).
- 72. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).
- 73. Heinz, S. et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. Cell* **38**, 576–89 (2010).
- Thorvaldsdottir, H., Robinson, J. T. & Mesirov, J. P. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief. Bioinform.* 14, 178–192 (2013).
- Ramirez, F. et al. deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W160–W165 (2016).
- Core, L. J., Waterfall, J. J. & Lis, J. T. Nascent RNA sequencing widespread pausing and divergent initiation at human promoters. *Science* **322**, 1845–1848 (2008).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFA1302100), Program for Guangdong Introducing Innovative and Entrepreneurial Teams (2017ZT07S096 to D.L.), Natural Science Foundation of China (82072617 to J. Zheng and 82003162 to J. Zhang), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2021B1515020108 to Z.Z.) and Sun Yat-sen University Intramural Funds (to D.L. and to J. Zheng).

Author contributions

Z.Z., J. Zhang, J. Zheng, C.H. and D.L. conceived and designed the entire project. Z.Z. and J. Zhang designed and supervised the research. J. Zhang and R.B. prepared all tissue samples for high-throughput sequencing. Y.Z., H.Z. and J. Zhang performed m6A-seq, CUT&Tag-seq, DRB/GRO-seq, m6A ELISA assays. Y.Z. and X.H. performed PAR-CLIP sequencing and RNA sequencing. R.B. and L. Zhuang performed qRT-PCR, western blot assays, immunofluorescence staining, and coimmunoprecipitation. S.Z. and J.S. performed cell proliferation, migration, and invasion assays. Y.Z., S.Z. and L. Zeng performed the animal models. X.L., S.D., Y.Y. and X.B. performed statistical and bioinformatics analyses of high-through sequencing data. R.L. and S.D. were engaged in the analysis of public data. Z.Z. supervised all bioinformatics analyses. Q.Z., M.L. and R.C. were responsible for patient recruitment and clinical data collection. Z.Z., J. Zheng, J. Zhang, D.L. and C.H. prepared the manuscript and all authors proved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41861-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Dongxin Lin, Chuan He, Jialiang Zhang or Zhixiang Zuo.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023