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#### Abstract

Hypertension is the major cause of preventable disease burden in China. However, limited evidence is available on sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension. We assessed sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China. A systematic search of four English language and four Chinese-language databases was conducted to identify studies conducted from 2005 that reported sex-specific data on the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China. Random-effects meta-analysis weighted by the inverse of the variances were used to obtain pooled sex-specific rates and women-minus-men differences, and their $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI). Overall, 57 studies comprising 2,155,829 individuals ( $55 \%$ women) were included. Awareness ( $53 \%$ in women vs. $47 \%$ in men), treatment among all ( $44 \%$ vs. $38 \%$ ), treatment among aware ( $65 \%$ vs. $60 \%$ ), control among all ( $17 \%$ vs. $14 \%$ ), and control among treated ( $27 \%$ vs. $27 \%$ ) were low for both sexes, but more favourable in women than men. The corresponding womenminus men difference was $7 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $6 ; 8 \%$ ) for awareness, $6 \% ~(5 ; 8 \%)$ for treatment among all, $6 \%(2 ; 9 \%)$ for treatment among aware, $3 \%(2 ; 3 \%)$ for control among all, and $0 \%(-2 ; 1 \%)$ for control among treated. Awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China is low in both sexes, but greater in women than men. Sex-specific interventions may be needed to efficiently combat the burden of hypertension.
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## Introduction

Hypertension is the leading modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular diseases and chronic kidney disease globally. In 2015, an estimated $20 \%$ of women and $24 \%$ of men had hypertension [1], defined as systolic and diastolic blood pressures (BP) of $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, respectively. In 2013, one of the voluntary global noncommunicable disease targets set by the World Health Assembly was to reduce the prevalence of hypertension by $25 \%$ between 2010 and 2025 [2]. Population-based approaches play a fundamental role in this endeavour, with inexpensive BP-lowering therapies being widely available to effectively lower the substantial burden of hypertension among individuals at highest risk. Nevertheless, low awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension across the world foreshadow a substantial preventable disease burden.

With nearly $20 \%$ of the world's population, China represents a large proportion of the global burden of hypertension; $17 \%$ of women and $22 \%$ of men in China had
hypertension in 2015 [1, 3]. The management of hypertension in China is poor [4, 5]; a recent report from a nationally representative survey indicated that $\sim 60 \%$ of hypertensive individuals in China are not aware of their condition, about two-thirds are not treated, and less than $10 \%$ have proper control [6].

Several studies, from mostly Western populations, have reported that women have greater awareness of their hypertension and higher rates of treatment and control than men [7-13]. Sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of the condition have not been assessed in detail in China. However, these may differ from those in the West because of major cultural and sociodemographic differences, as well as differences in health care delivery and utilization. Accurate information about sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of the condition is important, not only to provide a baseline picture from which progress can be monitored, but also to inform the development of better approaches to improve the control of hypertension in both women and men in China.

The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic review with meta-analyses to provide the most comprehensive contemporary estimates of sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China.

## Methods

## Search strategy

We systematically searched four English language (PubMed MEDLINE, EMBASE, Global Health, and Web of Science) and four Chinese-language databases (China National Knowledge Infrastructure, SinoMed, Wanfang, and Weipu) for studies that reported on the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension separately for women and men in a population-based setting in China. To reflect contemporary health care practice in China, the search was restricted to observational studies conducted after 2005. The search of the English databases was last updated on 1st March 2017. The search of the Chinese databases was last updated on 16th November 2016. The reference lists of all relevant original research and review articles were scanned to capture any potentially missed studies. Four authors, two each for the English and Chinese literature, independently screened the studies and any disagreement was mediated by a third author. The protocol for the systematic review is published in PROSPERO [14].

## Study selection

Studies were included if they reported sex-specific awareness, treatment, or control of hypertension in a
population-based study in China. Studies were excluded if they included less than 100 individuals or if sex-specific estimates were not provided. In the case of duplicate reports from the same study, the report involving the largest number of participants was included. The quality of the included studies was assessed using a modified version of the Newcastle Ottawa Scale and varied from moderate to good (Supplementary Table 1).

## Definitions

The definitions of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension varied across studies. Most studies defined awareness as a self-report of any prior medical diagnosis of hypertension. Treatment of hypertension was defined as current use of antihypertensive medications. Hypertension was considered as controlled if participants had a systolic BP of $<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and diastolic BP $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

The terms sex and gender were used interchangeably across studies. Sex refers to the biological and physiological differences between males and females, including differences in hormonal profiles and sex organs, that result from a single chromosomal difference between men (XY) and women (XX). Gender refers to the socially constructed roles, behaviours, activities, and attributes that are considered appropriate for men and women, i.e. the roles that a given society, or individual, considers as masculine and feminine. While differences between women and men in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension has both sex and gender elements, we use sex throughout the paper.

## Statistical analysis

The primary analysis was a comparison of sex-specific prevalence rates for the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension. For each study, we calculated the sex difference in prevalence rate by subtracting the rate in men from that in women. Standard errors for the sexspecific prevalence rates, and the women-minus-men differences, were calculated using standard formulae. Prevalence rates and their differences were pooled across studies using random-effects meta-analysis weighted by the inverse of the variances of the prevalence rates. The $I^{2}$ statistic was used to estimate the percentage of variability across studies due to between-study heterogeneity. The presence of publication bias was graphically examined using funnel plots, plotting the women-minus-men differences against its standard error, and tested using Begg's test. Subgroup analyses were conducted by study region (urban or rural) and by the level of adjustment (crude vs. at least age-adjusted). Data are reported with $95 \%$

Fig. 1 Flowchart of study selection

Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies

| Author, year | $N$ (\% women) | Age range, yr | Region/setting | Study year | Prevalence, \% |  | Awareness, \% |  | Treatment among hypertensive, \% |  | Treatment among aware, \% |  | Control among hypertensive, \% |  | Control among treated, \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Women | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Cai L, 2012 | 5760 (58) | 18-79 | N/U | 2008 | 42 | 31 | 37 | 48 |  |  |  |  | 82 | 86 | 30 | 35 |
| Chen Y, 2013 | 8767 (56) | 65-91 | E/U | 2008 | 61 | 62 | 86 | 89 | 48 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheng M, 2012 | 15,363 (51) | $\geq 15$ | E/U | 2010 | 32 | 30 | 71 | 77 | 56 | 65 | 28 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| Dong F, 2016 | 6073 (62) | 20-80 | SW/R | 2012 | 36 | 30 | 35 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 27 |  |  |
| Dong G, 2008 | 29,970 (50) | 35-85 | NE/R | 2005-2006 | 37 | 36 | 24 | 30 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Fan G, 2015 | 5402 (47) | >35 | N/NR | 2013 | 32 | 31 | 47 | 64 | 31 | 49 | 13 | 20 | 42 | 38 |  |  |
| Fu Z, 2013 | 14,596 (50) | >35 | E/NR | NR | 43 | 49 | 55 | 58 | 41 | 44 | 3 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| Gao Y, 2014 | 1255 (56) | $>20$ | SW/M | 2007 | 20 | 16 | 25 | 34 | 24 | 29 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Gao Y, 2013 | 46,239 (60) | $\geq 20$ | M/M | 2007-2008 | 29 | 24 | 43 | 48 | 33 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guo J, 2015 | 24,410 | $>18$ | M/M | 2011 | 31 | 27 | 52 | 59 | 42 | 50 | 28 | 32 | 81 | 86 | 45 | 46 |
| He C, 2014 | 315 (49) | $\geq 18$ | E/NR | 2012 | 24 | 25 | 60 | 56 | 45 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hu M, 2015 | 8193 (50) | 18-84 | NW/M | 2013 | 22 | 21 | 58 | 70 | 43 | 52 | 15 | 20 |  |  | 36 | 39 |
| Irazola V, 2016 | 4938 (51) | 35-74 | M/R | 2010 | 54 | 50 | 32 | 46 | 26 | 40 | 5 | 6 | 78 | 86 | 20 | 14 |
| Lei H, 2012 | 1504 (52) | >60 | NW/NR | 2009 | 58 | 53 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Lewington S, 2016 | 500,223 (59) | 35-75 | M/M | 2004-2009 | 34 | 32 | 28 | 33 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 48 | 45 | 30 | 29 |
| Li F, 2014 | 368,870 (53) | $\geq 65$ | SC/M | 2012 | 59 | 62 | 55 | 60 |  |  | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Li W, 2016 | 45,109 (63) | 35-70 | M/M | 2005-2009 | 42 | 38 | 38 | 44 | 30 | 37 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 26 | 23 |
| Li Wen, 2012 | 28,518 | $\geq 18$ | N/M | 2009 | 28* | 28* |  |  |  |  | 26 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Li X, 2010 | 17,174 (53) | 15-69 | E/U | 2007-2008 | 24 | 23 | 84 | 87 | 71 | 77 | 28 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| Li Y, 2011 | 19,668 (63) | 45-75 | E/R | 2008-2009 | 100 | 100 | 69 | 74 | 43 | 45 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Li YC, 2012 | 98,658 (54) | $\geq 18$ | M/M | 2010 | 35 | 32 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 28 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Li Y, 2017 | 17,4621 (57) | $>18$ | M/M | 2013-2014 | 35 | 30 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 22 | 37 | 35 | 78 | 82 | 37 | 35 |
| Liang S, 2016 | 1758 (49) | $\geq 18$ | SC/NR | 2013 | 23 | 24 | 39 | 47 | 32 | 41 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Liu H, 2014 | 1502(61) | >45 | E/U | 2011 | 38 | 37 | 85 | 89 | 76 | 74 | 22 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| Liu Q, 2011 | 2354 (31) | 65 | N/U | 2008-2009 | 91 | 92 | 66 | 68 | 63 | 65 |  |  |  |  | 54 | 53 |
| Liu Z, 2009 | 2626 (51) | $\geq 60$ | E/M | 2007-2009 | 64 | 62 |  |  | 50 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 19 |
| Meng X, 2011 | 25,196 (51) | 18-74 | NE/U | 2009-2010 | 33 | 25 | 36 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 59 | 72 | 12 | 14 |
| Miao J, 2013 | 1350 (64) | >45 | SW/R | 2010 | 45 | 46 | 19 | 30 | 14 | 27 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Pan L, 2013 | 2036 (57) | 18-98 | SC/R | 2010 | 11 | 10 | 37 | 39 | 22 | 24 | 9 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Qian X, 2013 | 278 (54) | 65-84 | E/NR | 2011 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 41 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Qu Y, 2011 | 9618 (59) | 50 | SC/R | NR | 39 | 36 | 19 | 24 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 39 | 52 |  |  |
| Shen J-2013 | 784 (54) | >25 | NW/R | NR | 32 | 30 |  |  | 46 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 14 |

Table 1 (continued)

| Author, year | $N$ (\% women) | Age range, yr | Region/setting | Study year | Prevalence, \% |  | Awareness, \% |  | Treatment among hypertensive, \% |  | Treatment among aware, \% |  | Control among hypertensive, \% |  | Control among treated, \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Women | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Sheng C, 2013 | 3949 (55) | $\geq 60$ | E/U | 2006-2008 | 60 | 59 | 71 | 75 | 63 | 68 | 26 | 23 |  |  | 41 | 34 |
| Sun Z, 2007 | 45,925 (50) | $>35$ | NE/R | 2004-2006 | 37 | 39 | 26 | 33 | 20 | 27 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 5 |
| Wang C, 2013 | 18,772 (55) | 15-74 | SC/M | NR | 27 | 24 | 43 | 49 | 33 | 38 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Wang H, 2013 | 17,437 (53) | 49 | E/M | 2010 | 31 | 29 | 52 | 57 | 43 | 49 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 19 |
| Wang HC, 2013 | 15350 | 18-69 | E/M | 2011 | 55 | 45 | 32 | 38 | 24 | 32 | 14 | 16 | 39 | 52 | 33 | 32 |
| Wang HM, 2010 | 1083 (56) | 30-70 | NW/R | 2008 | 50 | 44 | 42 | 60 | 22 | 30 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Wang J, 2014 | 50,171 (40) | $\geq 18$ | M/M | 2009-2010 | 31 | 28 | 35 | 51 | 27 | 42 |  |  | 7 | 11 | 28 | 32 |
| Wang Z, 2015 | 24,9830 (52) | 18-79 | M/M | 2007-2010 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 29 |  |  | 23 | 27 |
| Wang ZH, 2009 | 840 (55) | >30 | N/NR | 2007-2008 | 51 | 37 | 86 | 91 | 41 | 46 | 18 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Wu L, 2015 | 2074 (60) | $\geq 60$ | N/U | 2010 | 64 | 70 | 72 | 76 | 64 | 68 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 29 |
| Wu X, 2014 | 23,929 (51) | $\geq 15$ | SC/M | 2009 | 11 | 12 | 51 | 58 | 44 | 54 | 22 | 24 | 88 | 93 | 46 | 42 |
| Xie W, 2015 | 2037 (50) | $>=15$ | SC/U | NR | 16 | 20 | 51 | 58 | 42 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Xing L, 2010 | 153,481 (51) | $>35$ | NE/R | 2008 | 40 | 41 | 51 | 62 | 35 | 44 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 11 | 9 |
| Xu B, 2013 | 6447 (52) | 18-69 | SC/M | 2007 | 16 | 15 | 24 | 27 | 21 | 24 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Xu D, 2016 | 2819 (44) | 18-60 | NW/U | 2012 | 40 | 23 | 38 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Xu T, 2010 | 30,682 (46) | 18-65 | N/U | 2007 | 36 | 55 | 55 | 64 | 48 | 57 | 22 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Yang W, 2012 | 2815 (58) | $\geq 35$ | SC/NR | 2009 | 37 | 33 | 70 | 74 | 61 | 69 | 45 | 49 |  |  |  |  |
| Yongqing, 2016 | 9533 (51) | 18-69 | E/M | 2013-2014 | 39 | 27 | 29 | 35 |  |  | 87 | 90 |  |  | 25 | 23 |
| Zhang C, 2012 | 9146 (56) | $>55$ | N/R | 2008-2009 | 51 | 57 | 61 | 68 | 47 | 57 | 12 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Zhang G, 2011 | 474 (65) | $\geq 50$ | SC/NR | 2010 | 43 | 40 | 55 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 29 |
| Zhang M, 2011 | 5110 (48) | $\geq 25$ | SW/R | 2007 | 27 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Zhang N, 2011 | 4634 (59) | $>35$ | E/R | 2011 | 38 | 36 | 64 | 66 | 58 | 58 | 23 | 16 |  |  | 40 | 28 |
| Zhao Y, 2010 | 3324 (60) | 25-74 | NW/R | 2008-2009 | 16 | 16 | 25 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zhao Y, 2013 | 2476 (66) | 35-64 | NW/R | 2010 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 44 | 13 | 22 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 18 | 17 |
| Zhong L, 2014 | 20,473 (53) | 18-79 | NE/NR | 2012 | $36^{*}$ | $36^{*}$ | 38 | 53 | 35 | 50 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |

$E$ east, $M$ mixed, $N$ north, $N E$ north east, $N R$ not reported, $N W$ north west, $R$ rural, $S C$ south central, $S W$ south west, $U$ urban *Sex-combined prevalence
Available data were included in the meta-analyses


Fig. 2 Pooled women to men difference in the awareness of hypertension. Boxes represent the individual point estimates from each study and the horizontal lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals
(CI) around the point estimate. The diamond and vertical dashed line represents the pooled summary estimate and $95 \%$ CI
similar in the crude and adjusted analyses ( $6 \%$ [ $5 ; 8 \%$ ] vs. $7 \%$ [5; 9\%]).

## Sex differences in the treatment of hypertension

Forty-four studies including 926,276 individuals reported sex-specific treatment rates for hypertension among all those with hypertension. The pooled sex-specific prevalence of the treatment of hypertension was $44 \%(40 ; 48 \%)$ in women and $38 \%$ ( $34 ; 42 \%$ ) in men (Supplementary Figure 3). The women-minus men difference in the treatment of hypertension was $6 \%$ (5; 8\%) (Fig. 3). Between-study heterogeneity was substantial ( $\mathrm{I}^{2}=97 \% ; P<0.001$ ), but there was limited evidence of publication bias $(p=0.18)$ (Supplementary Figure 4). The sex difference in the treatment of hypertension was $4 \%(1 ; 7 \%)$ in urban, $6 \%(4 ; 8 \%)$ in rural, and $7 \%(5 ; 9 \%)$ in mixed areas and was somewhat smaller in the crude than in the adjusted analyses (6\% [4; $7 \%$ ] vs. $9 \%$ [ $6 ; 12 \%]$ ). Twelve studies comprising 805,053 individuals reported on the treatment of hypertension among those who are aware of their condition. Among those aware, the pooled sex-specific prevalence of treatment of hypertension was $65 \%(50 ; 81 \%)$ in women and $60 \%$ ( $47 ; 72 \%$ ) in men (Supplementary Figure 5). The corresponding women-minus men difference in the treatment of hypertension was $6 \%$ ( $2 ; 9 \%$ ) (Supplementary Figure 6). Differences between regions were limited; the womenminus men difference was $9 \%(1 ; 16 \%)$ in urban, $8 \%(2$; $13 \%$ ) in rural, and $5 \%(0 ; 10 \%)$ in mixed areas. The findings were consistent in the crude and adjusted analyses (5\% [1; $9 \%]$ vs. $6 \%[3 ; 11 \%]$ ).

## Sex differences in the control of hypertension

Forty-two studies including 2,022,836 individuals provided sex-specific data on the control of hypertension among all those with the condition. The pooled sex-specific prevalence of the control of hypertension was $17 \%(14 ; 20 \%)$ in women and $14 \%(11 ; 16 \%)$ in men (Supplementary Figure 7). The corresponding women-minus men difference in the control of hypertension was $3 \%(2 ; 3 \%)$, with substantial heterogeneity between studies ( $\mathrm{I}^{2}=98 \% ; P<0.001$ ) (Fig. 4). There was limited evidence of publication bias ( $p$ $=0.15$ ) (Supplementary Figure 8). The sex difference in the control of hypertension was $5 \%(2 ; 9)$ in urban, $0 \%(-0.5$; $0.6)$ in rural, and $2 \%(1 ; 3 \%)$ in mixed areas, with minimal differences between the crude and adjusted findings ( $3 \%$ [2; $4 \%$ ] vs. $2 \%$ [1; 3\%]). Twenty-four studies including 738,057 individuals reported on the control of hypertension among those who are treated for hypertension. Among those treated, the pooled sex-specific prevalence of the control of hypertension was $27 \%(22 ; 32 \%)$ in women and $27 \%$ (22; 32\%) in men (Supplementary Figure 9). The
corresponding women-minus men difference was $-0.2 \%$ ( -1.5 ; 1.0\%) (Supplementary Figure 10), which did not differ substantially by study region; the difference was $-0.4 \%(-4 ; 3 \%)$ in urban, $-2 \%(-5 ; 0 \%)$ in rural, and $0.3 \%(-1.5 ; 2.2 \%)$ in mixed areas. The women-minus men difference was $-0.6 \%(-1 ; 3 \%)$ in the crude analyses and $-2 \%(-3 ;-1 \%)$ in the adjusted analyses.

## Discussion

This systematic review with meta-analyses, which included over 2 million individuals in China, demonstrates that the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension differs between women and men in this large country. While the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension among all those with hypertension is low in both sexes, women consistently had greater awareness and treatment of their hypertension than men. Overall, the control of hypertension was also better in women than men, but there were no sex differences in the control of hypertension among those receiving antihypertensive medications.

The sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension reported here are broadly consistent with findings from various countries. A report from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) demonstrated that the awareness and treatment of hypertension were higher in women than in men [7]. While the control of hypertension had improved between 1988-1994 and 2007-2008 in both women and men, the sex differences did not change. In Germany, sex differences in the management and control of hypertension persisted between 1998 and 2008-2011, with younger men being considerably more likely than younger women to be unaware, untreated, and uncontrolled [11]. Additionally, the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) Study among 140,000 adults across 17 different countries demonstrated that women had greater awareness of their hypertension and higher rates of treatment and control than men, irrespective of the economic status of the country [9]. In addition, the PURE study, in agreement with the present analyses, reported substantial differences across study regions, with considerably lower rates of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension among rural areas compared to urban areas. This present study expands on these findings by showing that the sex differences in awareness and treatment of hypertension are consistent between urban and rural settings. However, sex differences in the control of hypertension were only observed in urban communities, suggesting that strategies to optimise the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension need to be tailored to the needs of men and women in specific settings.


Fig. 3 Pooled women to men difference in the treatment of hypertension among all those with hypertension. Boxes represent the individual point estimates from each study and the horizontal lines
represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) around the point estimate. The diamond and vertical dashed line represents the pooled summary estimate and 95\% CI


Fig. 4 Pooled women to men difference in the control of hypertension among all those with hypertension. Boxes represent the individual point estimates from each study and the horizontal lines represent the

Higher in women
$95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) around the point estimate. The diamond and vertical dashed line represents the pooled summary estimate and 95\% CI

Factors underpinning these sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension are uncertain, but they may be age-related. A number of studies have shown that the awareness and treatment of hypertension are generally higher among older than among younger individuals, with conflicting evidence on age differences in the control of hypertension [7, 9]. However, few studies have characterised the influence of age on sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension [11-13, 15, 16]. NHANES 1999-2004 demonstrated that, in middle-age, antihypertensive medication use was significantly higher among women than among men [15]. However, among the elderly, women were treated less aggressively and had worse hypertension control than men. Further analyses of NHANES 2013-4 showed that the sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension were restricted to young adults, with a considerable awareness and treatment gap in those younger than 40 years of age [12]. Results from the CardioVascular Research Network (CVRN) among 150,000 individuals in the US with hypertension demonstrated that younger women, but older men, had higher rates of hypertension awareness, treatment, and control compared to their similarly aged counterparts [16]. Findings from the China Kadoorie Biobank, which were included in the present study, also indicated that women's advantage in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension deteriorated with age [17]. Reasons for these opposing sex differences across the age spectrum are uncertain. At younger ages, women's greater awareness, treatment and control may be related to the fact that women who are pregnant are more likely to be detected and treated for hypertension, as their blood pressure is routinely monitored throughout pregnancy.

Differences between women and men in health-seeking behaviour, especially among younger adults, might also contribute to the more favourable rates of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in women reported here [18]. A study among 15,000 young adults in the US found that sex differences in the awareness of hypertension could be ascribed to disparities in health care use with more regular visits among women than men [10]. Similarly, NHANES 2013-4 found that more health care visits among young adult women accounted for $30 \%$ of the sex-related differences in awareness, $60 \%$ of the difference in treatment, and $52 \%$ of the difference in control [12]. Thus, little contact with the health care system in men, compared with women, may explain their lower awareness, treatment and control of hypertension.

While the control of hypertension among all those with hypertension was higher in women than men, the absence of sex differences in the control of hypertension among those treated for the condition is perhaps not surprising given
evidence from randomised controlled trials which has demonstrated that the effects of blood pressure lowering medications are similar in women and men [19]. Hence, the sex difference in control rates among all those with hypertension is more likely to be explained by sex differences in awareness and treatment of hypertension than by sex differences in the effectiveness of medications.

The strengths of this meta-analysis are its size and inclusion of studies published in both the Chinese and English literature, which enabled us to conduct the most comprehensive analyses to date on sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China. However, there are some limitations of this study that are inherent in the use of published data, including the heterogeneity between studies in the ascertainment of hypertension, study design and sampling methods, endpoint definitions, and the degree of adjustment for confounders. While rates of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension differ by age, some included studies only provided crude results. Sensitivity analyses excluding those studies did not alter the findings. Moreover, since we compared women and men within the same study, any influence of methodological differences between studies is likely to have affected women and men similarly. We therefore assume that this report of sex comparisons remains valid. Further, the nature of our study did not allow us to identify factors explaining the reported sex differences in the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China.

The present study, once again, strengthens the need to address the low levels of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in China. A [4] recent report from the China PEACE Million Persons Project among 1.7 million Chinese adults aged $35-75$ showed that less than a third of those with hypertension were treated. The control of hypertension was ubiquitously low among all of the many subpopulations throughout China, with fewer than one in twelve in control of their blood pressure. As in this study, women had higher awareness, treatment, and control rates than men. The PEACE project also showed that there are major deficiencies in the availability, cost, and prescription of antihypertensive medications across China [5], despite China's National Essential Medicine Program which mandates the availability and zero-profit prescription of essential medications in public primary health care settings [20]. National integrated efforts, involving multiple stakeholders, will be needed to improve the prevention and control of hypertension in China.

In conclusion, while the awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China is low in both sexes, women had greater awareness, treatment and control of their hypertension than men. These findings heighten the need for sex-specific interventions, especially strategies targeted
at increasing awareness, treatment and control for men, to combat the economic and health burden of hypertension efficiently and effectively for both men and women.
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