

VIEWPOINT OPEN



Rethinking non-syndromic hearing loss and its mimics in the genomic era

Barbara Vona ^{1,2}

© The Author(s) 2024

European Journal of Human Genetics; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-024-01579-x>

The sense of hearing plays a crucial role in everyday life, from influencing speech and language development in early childhood to reducing risk of social isolation, depression and cognitive decline in the elderly. The causes of hearing loss are numerous, although genetic causes are thought to be implicated in up to 80% of congenital diagnoses (reviewed in ref. [1]). The remarkable complexity of the auditory system is mirrored in its extensive genetic heterogeneity, with deleterious variants in hundreds of genes already associated with hearing loss and many more awaiting discovery. Clinically, hearing loss is arranged into two categories with syndromic or non-syndromic designations. Understanding potential syndromic involvement is crucial, as syndromic hearing loss comprises approximately 30% of genetic diagnoses in pediatric cases. This viewpoint aims to raise awareness of non-syndromic mimics and emphasizes the importance of a molecular genetic diagnosis in broadening understanding of the natural history of disease.

Before the widespread availability of molecular diagnostic testing, physicians would typically conduct a battery of diagnostic tests in newly diagnosed patients with clinically confirmed hearing loss. These tests included serology to assess infection, ophthalmology examinations, urinalysis, renal ultrasound, and electrocardiogram, among others, to identify common or potentially life-threatening syndromes [2]. However, with the introduction of molecular genetic testing, early diagnosis of syndromes, including those falling into a third unofficial category known as “non-syndromic mimics,” has become possible and stands as an important complication to the syndromic/non-syndromic hearing loss dichotomy that has long guided dialog in genetic counseling. Non-syndromic mimics initially present as either isolated hearing loss with delayed onset of other clinical features or as syndromes with mild or even sub-clinical manifestations initially overlooked during pre-testing counselling. As routine application of comprehensive genetic testing is still a historically new addition to the medical care of patients, the appreciation of possible outliers of expressivity, penetrance, severity, progression and sequential order of other phenotypes is often limited. As more patients undergo molecular diagnostic testing, there seem to be increasing reports of unusual clinical findings that merit publication – many of such articles are published in the *European Journal of Human Genetics*.

A significant challenge in high-throughput sequencing diagnostics is the identification of a substantial number of children and

young adults who are clinically diagnosed with non-syndromic hearing loss who harbor variants in genes linked to syndromes. Adding to this is the growing number of genes that are implicated in both syndromic and non-syndromic hearing loss. So far, nearly 80 genes have been implicated as being non-syndromic mimics, with the list of genes continuously growing (Table 1). More syndromes than previously appreciated may present as non-syndromic hearing loss, potentially leading to the underdiagnosis of syndromic hearing loss. In practical terms, non-syndromic mimics appear as one of two possible scenarios.

SCENARIO 1: THE SYNDROME IS YET TO MANIFEST

This first scenario is best illustrated by conditions such as Usher syndrome, where the initial presentation involves congenital or early onset hearing impairment, followed years or even decades later by visual impairment (retinopathy). If vestibular deficits are present, they are usually not obvious in infancy. Although initially appearing as non-syndromic hearing loss, the gradual progression to low vision or blindness underscores the importance of early education and preventative measures. In such instances, the diagnosis of a syndrome without prior indicators also has profound implications for both patients and their families. For example, an early diagnosis of Usher syndrome would educate the family to avoid relying on sign language as a means of communication due to poor prognosis of vision loss. Other syndromes that may be encountered include goitre with Pendred syndrome, the numerous syndromes associated with male (e.g., deafness infertility syndrome) or female infertility (e.g., Perrault syndrome) in pre-pubertal children, or sudden cardiac death with Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome, with the latter case having life-threatening consequences.

SCENARIO 2: THE SYNDROME PRESENTS MILDLY AND GOES UNNOTICED

In other instances, the indicators of a syndrome may already be present but in a mild or atypical manner, resulting in their oversight. In such examples, syndromes are clinically identified through retrospective evaluation following molecular genetic testing. Enhancing diagnostic accuracy can occasionally be achieved through a thorough dysmorphology assessment, which

¹Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center Göttingen, 37073 Göttingen, Germany. ²Institute for Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Göttingen, 37075 Göttingen, Germany. ✉email: barbara.vona@med.uni-goettingen.de

Received: 27 January 2024 Accepted: 21 February 2024

Published online: 06 March 2024

Table 1. Syndromic genes that may mimic non-syndromic hearing loss.

Gene	Inheritance	Syndrome	Gene	Inheritance	Syndrome
Vision Impairment					
<i>MYO7A*</i>	AR	Usher 1B	<i>CLRN1</i>	AR	Usher 3A
<i>CDH23*</i>	AR	Usher 1D	<i>ARSG</i>	AR	Usher 4
<i>PCDH15*</i>	AR	Usher 1F	<i>PSIP1</i>	AD	Deafness and optic neuropathy
<i>USH1G*</i>	AR	Usher 1G	<i>SLITRK6</i>	AR	Deafness and myopia
<i>WHRN*</i>	AR	Usher 2D	<i>CEP250</i>	AR	Cone-rod dystrophy and hearing loss 2
<i>ADGRV1</i>	AR	Usher 2C	<i>CEP78</i>	AR	Cone-rod dystrophy and hearing loss
<i>USH2A</i>	AR	Usher 2A			
Renal Dysfunction					
<i>COL4A5</i>	X-linked	Alport 1	<i>COL4A3</i>	AR, AD	Alport 2; Alport 3
<i>COL4A4</i>	AR	Alport 2	<i>BSND*</i>	AR	Bartter 4A
Cardiac Dysfunction					
<i>KCNQ1</i>	AR, AD	Jervell and Lange-Nielsen; Long QT 1	<i>KCNE1</i>	AR, AD	Jervell and Lange-Nielsen; Long QT 5
Female Infertility					
<i>HSD17B4</i>	AR	Perrault 1; D-bifunctional protein deficiency	<i>SGO2</i>	AR	Perrault
<i>HARS2</i>	AR	Perrault 2	<i>PRORP</i>	AR	Perrault
<i>CLPP</i>	AR	Perrault 3	<i>RMND1</i>	AR	Perrault
<i>LARS2</i>	AR	Perrault 4	<i>GGPS1</i>	AR	Perrault
<i>TWINK</i>	AR	Perrault 5	<i>PEX6</i>	AR	Perrault
<i>ERAL1</i>	AR	Perrault 6	<i>TFAM</i>	AR	Perrault
Male Infertility					
<i>STRC-CATSPER2</i> Contiguous Deletion	AR	Deafness infertility syndrome	<i>POLR2C</i>	AR	Hearing loss and male infertility
<i>CDC14A*</i>	AR	DFNB32, with or without immotile sperm			
Connective Tissue Disorders (eye, bone)					
<i>COL2A1</i>	AD	Stickler 1; Kniest dysplasia	<i>COL9A2</i>	AR, AD	Stickler 5; Epiphyseal dysplasia, multiple, 2
<i>COL11A1*</i>	AD, AR	Stickler 2; Fibrochondrogenesis 1	<i>COL9A3</i>	AD	Epiphyseal dysplasia, multiple, 3, with or without myopathy
<i>COL11A2*</i>	AR, AD	Fibrochondrogenesis 2	<i>BMP4</i>	AD	Stickler with renal dysplasia
<i>COL9A1</i>	AR, AD	Stickler 4, Epiphyseal dysplasia, multiple, 6			
Waardenburg Syndrome					
<i>PAX3</i>	AD, AR/AD, AD	Waardenburg 1; Waardenburg 3; Craniofacial-deafness-hand	<i>EDNRB</i>	AR/AD, AR	Waardenburg 4A; ABCD
<i>MITF</i>	AD, AD, AR	Waardenburg 2A; Tietz-albinism-deafness; COMMAD	<i>EDN3</i>	AR/AD	Waardenburg 4B
<i>SNAI2</i>	AR	Waardenburg 2D	<i>SOX10</i>	AD, AD	Waardenburg 4C; Waardenburg 2E, with/without neurologic involvement
Heimler Syndrome					
<i>PEX1</i>	AR	Heimler 1; Peroxisome biogenesis disorder 1A and 1B	<i>PEX26</i>	AR	Heimler; Peroxisome biogenesis disorder 7A and 7B
<i>PEX6</i>	AR, AD	Heimler 2; Peroxisome biogenesis disorder 4A and 4B			
Branchio-otic					
<i>EYA1</i>	AD	Branchiootic 1	<i>SIX1*</i>	AD	Branchiootic 3

Table 1. continued

Gene	Inheritance	Syndrome	Gene	Inheritance	Syndrome
Pendred					
<i>SLC26A4*</i>	AR	Enlarged vestibular aqueduct Pendred	<i>KCNJ10</i>	AR	Enlarged vestibular aqueduct, digenic (Pendred); SESAME
<i>FOX11</i>	AR	Enlarged vestibular aqueduct (Pendred)			
Wolfram Syndrome					
<i>CISD2</i>	AR	Wolfram 2	<i>WFS1</i>	AR AD	Wolfram 1 Wolfram-like
Heterogeneous Syndromes					
<i>ABHD12</i>	AR	Polyneuropathy, hearing loss, ataxia, retinitis pigmentosa, and cataract	<i>KARS1</i>	AR	Congenital deafness and adult-onset progressive leukoencephalopathy
<i>ACTG1*</i>	AD	Baraitser-Winter 2	<i>KMT2C</i>	AD	Kleefstra 2
<i>CHD7</i>	AD, AD	CHARGE; Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism 5 with/without anosmia	<i>KMT2D</i>	AD	Kabuki 1
<i>DIAPH1*</i>	AD	Thrombocytopenia	<i>MYH9*</i>	AD	Macrothrombocytopenia and granulocyte inclusions with or without nephritis
<i>FGF3</i>	AR	Congenital deafness with inner ear agenesis, microtia, and microdontia	<i>PHYH</i>	AR	Refsum
<i>FGFR3</i>	AD	LADD 2	<i>PTPN11</i>	AD	LEOPARD 1; Noonan 1
<i>FITM2</i>	AR	Deafness and dystonia (Siddique)	<i>RAI1</i>	AD	Smith-Magenis
<i>GATA3</i>	AD	Hypoparathyroidism, sensorineural deafness, and renal dysplasia	<i>SERPINF1</i>	AR	Osteogenesis imperfecta VI
<i>GPRASP2</i>	X-linked	Hearing loss with inner ear abnormalities and facial dysmorphism	<i>TFAP2A</i>	AD	Branchiooculofacial
<i>HARS1</i>	AD	Charcot-Marie-Tooth, axonal, 2 W			

An asterisk (*) designates an association with both non-syndromic and syndromic hearing loss.

can reveal subtle dysmorphisms. As an example, the identification of dystopia canthorum in Waardenburg Syndrome type I through eye measurements illustrates the importance of a meticulous physical examination in uncovering syndromic features that may not be immediately evident. Another example pertains to missed abnormal branchial arches and kidneys in patients with branchio-oto-renal syndrome, where hearing loss typically starts at birth. In many cases, the degree of subtlety of the syndromic findings does not merit a clinical diagnosis or meet diagnostic criteria of the associated syndrome [3–5]. The broad spectrum of presentation and sheer number of hearing loss syndromes makes the probability of encountering such a scenario in clinical practice a possibility.

An early diagnosis of a non-syndromic mimic was unattainable before advanced molecular genetic testing. In fact, it was not until the widespread adoption of such testing that the term “non-syndromic mimic” emerged and only recently has it been possible to grasp an appreciation of its prevalence. However, estimating the occurrence of non-syndromic mimics continues to prove challenging, often relying on the recognition of healthcare professionals and a progressively refined understanding of the natural progression of such syndromes. Identifying genes that mimic non-syndromic hearing loss remains a hurdle. Nevertheless, it is crucial to raise awareness and the growing volume of reports detailing unusual natural progressions. Early identification of

syndromes remains imperative, enabling prompt referral of affected individuals to specialists, facilitating tailored interventions, and enhancing prognostic accuracy.

REFERENCES

- Vona B, Doll J, Hofrichter MAH, Haaf T. Non-syndromic hearing loss: clinical and diagnostic challenges. *Medizinische Genetik*. 2020;32:117–29.
- Bitner-Glindzicz M. Hereditary deafness and phenotyping in humans. *Br Med Bull*. 2002;63:73–94.
- Bademci G, Cengiz FB, Foster li J, Duman D, Sennaroglu L, Diaz-Horta O, et al. Variations in Multiple Syndromic Deafness Genes Mimic Non-syndromic Hearing Loss. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:31622.
- Masuda M, Kanno A, Nara K, Mutai H, Morisada N, Iijima K, et al. Phenotype-genotype correlation in patients with typical and atypical branchio-oto-renal syndrome. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:969.
- Perry J, Redfield S, Oza A, Rouse S, Stewart C, Khela H, et al. Exome Sequencing Expands the Genetic Diagnostic Spectrum for Pediatric Hearing Loss. *Laryngoscope*. 2023;133:2417–24.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

BV is a member of the European Reference Network on Rare Congenital Malformations and Rare Intellectual Disability (ERN-ITHACA) [EU Framework Partnership Agreement ID: 3HP-HP-FPA ERN-01-2016/739516]. BV is supported by the German Research Foundation DFG VO 2138/7-1 grant 469177153. The graphical abstract was created using BioRender.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BV prepared and collected the original literature, as well as wrote and edited the manuscript.

FUNDING

BV is funded by the German Research Foundation DFG VO 2138/7-1 grant 469177153. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Barbara Vona.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2024