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#### Abstract

Communesins, isolated from the mycelium of a strain of Penicillium sp., are cytotoxic heptacyclic indole alkaloids bearing a bis-aminal structure and two contiguous quaternary carbon centers. Toward a total synthesis of communesin F, we synthesized a pentacyclic ABCDG ring skeleton via carboborylation of 1,3-diene and a Friedel-Crafts-type cyclization, resulting in the formation of an azepine ring through a $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction.


## Introduction

Communesins A and B, which were originally isolated by Numata et al. from the mycelium of a strain of Penicillium sp. attached to the marine alga Enteromorpha intestinalis, are heptacyclic indole alkaloids (Fig. 1) [1]. Spectroscopic analyses, including nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR including 2D NMR) and high-resolution mass spectrometry, have revealed that their structures are quite unique. They are characterized by a heptacyclic skeleton bearing two aminals and two contiguous quaternary carbon centers. To date, nine congeners have been reported [2-6], and perophoramidine is also known as a structurally related bis-amidine indole alkaloid [7]. Recently, Tang et al. confirmed that communesins can be biosynthetically produced through the coupling of aurantioclavine and tryptamine based on genetic inactivation

[^0]studies [8]. Communesins show cytotoxicity against P388 lymphocytic leukemia cells ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ A: $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, B: $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and potent insecticidal activity toward silkworms ( $L_{50}$ D: $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, E: $80 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ). Because of their unique structure and biological activity, many research groups have conducted synthetic studies of communesins, in which various synthetic methods were developed [9-14]. The first racemic total synthesis of communesin F was achieved by Qin et al. based on an intramolecular cyclopropanation strategy [15]. Weinreb and Funk also reported total synthesis of communesin F , independently $[16,17]$. The first asymmetric total syntheses of communesins A, B, and F were accomplished by Ma et al. [18, 19]. Asymmetric total syntheses were also reported by Stoltz, Movassaghi, Yang, and Chen, independently [20-23]. We have also engaged in the development of synthetic strategies for this class of alkaloids, including communesins, perophoramidine, and aurantioclavin [24-31].

## Results and discussion

Recently, we have developed palladium(Pd)-catalyzed carbosilylation of 1,3-diene with carbamoyl chloride for the synthesis of several spirooxindoles [32]. Extending this reaction, a Pd-catalyzed carboborylation of 1,3-diene was developed for a synthesis of iminoindoline [30]. Considering our developed method, it was envisioned that communesin F would be accessed from a pentacyclic skeleton II through intermediate I by the introduction of an aminoethyl unit and the formation of amidine. The pentacyclic skeleton II would be constructed from a tetracyclic compound IV via III by
the introduction of an allyl alcohol unit, resulting in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction for the formation of an azepine ring and a reduction of amidine. The tetracyclic compound IV can be synthesized by a carboborylation of 1,3-diene VI and an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts-type reaction of a resultant iminoindoline $\mathbf{V}$ [30]. Following this retrosynthetic analysis, we have recently succeeded in the construction of tetracyclic skeleton IV ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$ ) from diene VI $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$ ) through iminoindoline $\mathbf{V}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe})$. However, compound $\mathbf{1}$ could not be converted to compound $\mathbf{2}$ through removal of the methyl group, although we tried various conditions, including $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PLi}$, and $p \mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SLi}$ (Scheme 1 b , also see Supplementary Information, Figure S1). These

communesin $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ H: $R=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{nPr}$
no name: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=$ s

( $)$-aurantioclavine

Fig. 1 Communesins and related alkaloids

Scheme 1 a Retrosynthesis of communesin F and $\mathbf{b}$ failed attempt at removing a methyl group from compound $\mathbf{1}$
(a) Retrosynthesis of communesin
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Scheme 2 Synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted iminoindoline 10 based on the Pd-catalyzed carboborylation of 1,3-diene and its derivatization

With carbodiimide 9 containing a diene moiety, we investigated whether the triflate is intact under the reaction conditions of Pd-catalyzed carboborylation of 1,3-diene. In the previous literature, there is no report concerning $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyzed Miyaura borylation of triflates and diborone without a ligand, but reactions using diphenylphosphinoferrocene [34] or the reaction of arylbromide have been reported [35]. Therefore, it was expected that a triflate group would be intact during the carboborylation of 1,3diene. As expected, the reaction of 9 proceeded smoothly under the established conditions $\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},(\mathrm{pinB})_{2}\right.$, xylene, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give an allyl borane, which was treated with $\mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give allyl alcohol 10. After silylation of allyl alcohol 10, a tert-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) group was introduced to an amidine nitrogen for further transformation. The treatment of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ with tetrabutylammonium fluoride gave an allyl alcohol along with the removal of the trifluoromethanesulfonyl (Tf) group, which was converted to allyl bromide $\mathbf{1 2}$ under standard conditions. Unfortunately, the resultant allyl bromide $\mathbf{1 2}$ could not be converted to compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ through a treatment with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine. On the other hand, when HF-pyridine was used, a triethylsilyl group was selectively removed with the triflate group intact. The resultant allyl alcohol was also converted to allyl bromide $\mathbf{1 3}$ containing a triflate group, while a small amount of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was also obtained through the removal of a Boc group.

Next, we investigated Friedel-Crafts-type cyclization of allyl bromides $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ to construct a tetracyclic ABCD ring skeleton. Previously, we have reported the cyclization of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ containing a methoxy group using 10 mol $\%$ of $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ and 3.5 equivalents of AgOTf (Table 1, entry 1) [30, 36-38]. The reaction gave compound $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ in $49 \%$ yield along with 18b in $30 \%$ yield. We initially applied these conditions to a cyclization of compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ containing a triflate group. However, the reaction gave a complex mixture instead of any cyclized products $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ (entry 2 ). On the other hand, the cyclization of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ containing a phenolic hydroxy group proceeded under the same conditions to give compounds $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ in 63 and $30 \%$ yields with excellent stereochemistry, respectively (entry 3). The stereochemistry was determined by a comparison with our previous results [30] and a NOESY experiment of a derivatized compound 28 (vide infra). When 3.5 equivalents of AgOTf was reduced to 1.2 equivalents, the formation of byproduct $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ was suppressed to $17 \%$ yield (entry 4). Finally, the yield of the desired product 16a was improved to $80 \%$ yield using 1.05 equivalents of AgOTf (entry 5). AgOTf was essential for this Friedel-Crafts-type reaction (entry 6).

After the construction of a tetracyclic ABCD ring skeleton containing an amidine, we turned our attention to the formation of an azepine ring (G ring). A treatment of compound 16a with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine gave compound 17a

Table 1 Formation of a tetracyclic ABCD skeleton through a Friedel-Crafts-type reaction

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Complex mixture
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Starting material 12 was recovered in $77 \%$ yield


Scheme 3 Failed attempt at the formation of an azepine ring
in $91 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). To introduce an allyl alcohol unit, Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with vinyl boronic ester 19 was examined. When compound $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and vinyl boronic ester 19 were treated with a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$, SPhos and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF) at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, these reactions gave the desired product 20 in low yield (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). However, conditions involving $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in toluene and ethanol at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ improved the yield to $56 \%$ (entry 3). The removal of the $p \mathrm{Ns}$ and trimethylsilyl (TMS) group gave allyl alcohol 21 in $66 \%$ yield over two steps. To construct the azepine ring, mesylation of a tertiary alcohol was initially attempted through a treatment with methanesulfonyl chloride ( MsCl ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ [18]. However, a dehydration occurred to give diene 23 instead of the desired

Table 2 Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of compound 17a and boronate 19

| Entry | Cat. | Ligand | Base | Solvent | Temp. | Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ | SPhos | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMF | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | - | aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $28 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | - | aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | toluene/ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $56 \%$ |
|  |  |  | EtOH |  |  |  |

cyclized product 22. Interestingly, when compound 21 was treated with pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) [15], ortho-amide 24 was observed (as assessed using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). A related structure was observed in synthetic studies of dehaloperophoramidine reported by Somfai et al. [13, 14]. We considered the thermodynamic stability of possible equilibrium products such as simplified
compounds $\mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{2 6}$, and $\mathbf{2 7}$ through density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Fig. 2). These calculations revealed that ortho-amide 26 was the most stable isomer among these compounds. These results indicate that the formation of the ortho-amide through acid activation using PPTS from amidine would be a competitive process with the formation of the azepine ring via the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' reaction of the tertiary alcohol, and the equilibrium tends to be biased toward the ortho-amides, such as compounds 24 and 26. Therefore, we expected that it would be difficult to achieve the formation of azepine 22 from compound 21 containing the amidine moiety.

Therefore, a reduction of amidine 20 was investigated prior to the formation of the azepine ring to avoid the formation of the ortho-amide (Scheme 4). When compound 20 was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, the desired product was not obtained. In the case of DIBAL-H, the removal of a Boc group occurred instead of the reduction of the amidine. However, in sharp contrast, treatment with catechol borane [39] gave the desired product 28 in $65 \%$ yield as a 3.3:1 mixture of diastereomers. A NOESY experiment indicated that the stereochemistry of the major isomer was a transfused structure, which would be epimerized to a cis-fused structure later. Because a reducing reagent (catechol borane) would approach from the opposite side of the sterically hindered substituent $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{Boc})(p \mathrm{Ns})\right)$ of the angular position of the BC ring, the trans isomer was obtained as a


Fig. 2 Comparison of the thermodynamic stability of formable compounds 25, 26, and 27, calculated using Gaussian '09 at the B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level of theory (DFT)
major product in this reaction. After the removal of $p \mathrm{Ns}$ and the TMS groups, the formation of an azepine ring was investigated again. When compound 29 was treated with MsCl and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ [18], the reaction gave diene $\mathbf{3 1}$ in $48 \%$ yield and the desired cyclized product $\mathbf{3 0}$ was not detected at all (Table 3, entry 1). When $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ was employed at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as a Lewis acid, the reaction proceeded to give the desired product 30 as a major product albeit in low yield (entry 2) [40, 41]. The reaction using $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the desired product 30 in $17 \%$ yield with recovery of the starting material (entry 3). However, under room temperature reaction conditions, the starting material 29 was consumed completely to give the desired azepine $\mathbf{3 0}$ in $55 \%$ yield, while diene 31 was obtained in $34 \%$ yield (entry 4 ). The newly generated stereochemistry of compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was confirmed to have the desired stereochemistry using NOESY experiments (Fig. 3a). In this cyclization, it was supposed that transition state $\mathbf{B}$ would not be favored than transition state $\mathbf{A}$ because of the presence of the steric repulsion between the allyl alcohol and vinyl group (Fig. 3b). Thus, compound 30 was obtained as a single diastereomer through transition state $\mathbf{A}$. The obtained pentacyclic compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ would be useful for further derivatization, and now we are investigating further transformations to achieve a total synthesis of communesin F .

In summary, we have investigated the synthesis of a pentacyclic ABCDG ring skeleton of communesin $F$ based on carboborylation of 1,3-diene, a $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed

Table 3 Investigation of the formation of the azepine ring

| Entry | Conditions | Yield |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30: $0 \%$ | 31: $48 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{MS} 4 \AA, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30: $23 \%$ | 31: trace |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{MS} 4 \AA, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30: $17 \%$ | 31: $0 \%{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{MS} 4 \AA, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to | 30: $55 \%$ | 31: $34 \%$ |
|  | rt |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Starting material 29 was recovered in $67 \%$ yield

Scheme 4 Synthesis of the ABCDG ring skeleton 30
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Fig. 3 a NOESY experiment of compounds $\mathbf{3 0}$, $\mathbf{b}$ proposed transition state $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$
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Friedel-Crafts-type reaction and azepine ring formation. It is interesting that a triflate group was intact under the conditions required for Pd-catalyzed carboborylation of 1,3-diene. Additionally, it was essential that the resultant amidine was reduced prior to the formation of the azepine ring through Bi $(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed cyclization to avoid an undesired formation of ortho-amide. We are currently investigating further transformation of the pentacyclic compound to complete the synthesis of communesin F.

## Experimental procedure

## General

All non-aqueous reactions were carried out under a positive pressure of argon in oven-dried glassware. Analytical thinlayer chromatography was performed using Silica gel 60 plates (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Silica gel column chromatography was performed using Kanto silica gel 60 (particle size of 63-210 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Kanto, Tokyo, Japan) and Chromatorex BW-300 (Fuji silysia, Aichi, Japan). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were recorded using a JNM-ECA 500 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at 500 MHz or a JNM-AL 400 (JEOL) at 400 MHz . Chemical shifts were reported relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\delta 0.00)$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or the residual solvent peak in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ( $\delta$ 7.16). Multiplicity was indicated by
one or more of the following: s (singlet); d (doublet); t (triplet); q (quartet); m (multiplet); br (broad). Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded using a JNM-ECA 500 at 126 MHz or a JNM-AL 400 at 100 MHz . Chemical shifts were reported relative to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta 77.0)$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(\delta 128.0)$. Infrared spectra were recorded using a FT/IR4100 Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) with ATR (attenuated total reflectance). Lowand high-resolution mass spectra were recorded using a JMS700 mass spectrometer (JEOL) for FAB-MS and a LCMS-ITTOF (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) for ESI-MS.

## Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data



Silylether 4: To a solution of aniline $3(2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 9.40$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(94.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( 25.0 g , 94.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(94.0 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , and then warmed to room temperature. After 2 h , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and 1 M aqueous NaOH were added to the reaction mixture until the mixture became basic. The
mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure gave a crude demethylated lactone.

To a solution of the above crude lactone in anhydrous DMF ( 20.0 mL ) were added TBSCl $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 28.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After addition of water, the mixture was extracted with extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $5-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave silylether $4(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ in two steps) as a pale yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.53(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76$ (br, 2 H), $2.72(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 164.4,156.1,153.1$, 144.0, 129.8, 120.3, 115.5, 108.7, 108.6, 66.5, 28.2, 25.6, 18.1, -4.1; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3369, 2954, 2891, 2857, 1716, 1625, 1580, 1462, 1398, 1302, 1257, 1219, 1081, 1020; MS (FAB) $m / z 320[M+H]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$320.1682; found: $m / z 320.1685$.

(E)-Dienylaniline 5: To a solution of silylether $4(1.25 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIBAL-H ( 1 M in toluene, $7.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na} /$ K tartrate was added to the reaction solution. The resultant mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 2 h , and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure gave a crude acetal.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{MePPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}(4.89 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 25.0 mL ) was added KHMDS (1 M solution in THF; $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . To the yellow mixture was then added a solution of the above crude acetal in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . After addition of water, the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-40\% EtOAc/hexane) gave (E)-
dienylaniline 5 ( $963.1 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ in two steps) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.95$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.9,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.36(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.25(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{br}, 2$ H), 2.98 ( br, 1 H ), 2.38 ( $\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.88 (s, 9 H ), 0.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.9,144.7,136.6$, 132.4, 132.1, 128.7, 119.3, 115.8, 106.9, 106.0, 60.6, 33.3, 25.7, 18.1, -5.5; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3375,2955,2924,2857$, 1618, 1581, 1464, 1234, 1088; MS (FAB) m/z 320 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$320.2046; found: $m / z 320.2045$.

$(E)$-Dienylurea 6: To a solution of $(E)$-dienylaniline 5 $(847.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(26.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added phenyl isocyanate $(317.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . After addition of water, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by short-column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave a crude urea as a white solid.

To a solution of the above crude urea in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50.0$ $\mathrm{mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}$ $(6.15 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in some portions. The resultant solution was refluxed at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature. After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc/hexane) gave (E)-dienylurea 6 ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ in 2 steps) as a pale yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.69 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.6,10.9,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.65 (br, 1 H ), $6.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.71-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.39-2.36 (m, 1 H), $0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.6,147.2,138.8,137.6$, $137.1,131.4,129.7,129.3,128.9,126.8,124.4,121.4$, 121.1, 120.6, 119.7, 118.4 (q, $J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 115.1, 61.6, $35.0,25.9,18.5,-5.5$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3332,2954,2857$, 1659, 1550, 1524, 1446, 1420, 1296, 1250, 1207, 1139, 1054, 962; MS (FAB) $m / z 571[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$571.1910; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$
571.1910.

( $E$ )-Dienylalcohol 7: To a solution of $(E)$-dienylurea 6 $(42.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0748 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TBAF ( 1 M in THF, $83.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave ( $E$ )-dienylalcohol $7(35.3 \mathrm{mg}$, quant.) as a pale yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18 (br, 1 H ), 7.07 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.72 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.9,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.24$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (br, 1H), 3.04 (br, 1 H ), $2.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.23 (br, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.2,147.3$, $139.3,137.9,137.8,131.3,129.2,129.1,129.1,126.1,124.1$, $121.4,120.9,119.6,118.4(\mathrm{q}, J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.8,60.2,34.2$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3337, 3010, 2926, 1670, 1579, 1550, 1446, 1420, 1297, 1210, 1138, 1051, 963; MS (FAB) $m / z 457$ [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 457.1045$; found: $m / z 457.1042$.

( $E$ )-Dienylurea 8: To a solution of $(E)$-dienylalcohol 7 ( $992.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{pNsNHBoc}(786.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(682.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 12.0 mL ) was added a solution of di-tert-butyl azodicarboxylate (598.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 13.5 h . After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave the mixture of ( $E$ )-dienylurea 8 and $p \mathrm{NsNHBoc}$. The mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed with 1 M aqueous NaOH and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure gave $(E)$-dienylurea $\mathbf{8}(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.35-8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$
(br, 1 H), 7.13-7.09 (m, 1 H), $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.79 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.3,10.9,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.6,150.9,150.5,147.3$, 145.1, 138.5, 138.4, 137.7, 131.3, 129.4, 129.4, 129.1, $128.1,125.9,124.6,124.1,122.6,121.3,120.0,118.4$ (q, $J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.1,86.5,46.2,33.4,27.9$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3349, 2929, 2854, 1732, 1668, 1534, 1446, 1420, 1368, 1351, 1291, 1249, 1212, 1139, 1055, 961; MS (FAB) m/z $741[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 741.1512$; found: $m / z 741.1512$.

( $E$ )-Dienylcarbodiimide 9: To a solution of $(E)$-dienylurea $8(62.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0844 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(73.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.270$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(47.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.338 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(83.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.253 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . After concentration of the mixture under reduced pressure, purification of the residue by flash column chromatography on neutral silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc/hexane) gave (E)-dienylcarbodiimide 9 $(56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. The product was not stable, thus it was used for the next reaction immediately: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.07 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.37-7.27 (m, 4 H ), 7.19 (dd, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=7.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $16.6,10.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.37-5.32$ (m, 2 H ), 3.89 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.99(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.3,150.2$, 147.6, 145.4, 139.3, 137.3, 137.2, 132.4, 132.1, 131.1, $129.5,129.3,129.1,127.9,125.9,124.9,124.4,123.9$, $121.6,118.4(\mathrm{q}, J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.2,85.2,45.9,33.4,27.7$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3105,2938,2857,2141,1731,1591,1563$, $1533,1476,1452,1421,1366,1351,1285,1250,1213$, 1137, 909 (Compound 9 was too unstable to measure HRMS).


2-Iminoindoline 10: To a solution of carbodiimide 9 $(56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0780 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous xylene $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $39.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0156 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction
atmosphere was replaced by the Ar atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of water ( 1.0 mL ) and sodium perborate tetrahydrate $(72.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.468 \mathrm{mmol})$, the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave 2 -iminoindoline $\mathbf{1 0}(42.4 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ Hz ), 7.40-7.34 (m, 4 H ), 7.13 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.98 (br, 1 H), 6.93-6.90 (m, 1 H), 6.07 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8$, $5.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 4.9 Hz ), 3.45 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.0,11.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.22 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.3,12.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,12.6$, $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,12.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.94(\mathrm{br}, 1$ H), 1.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.5$, $158.9,150.3,150.0,145.1,144.9,138.6,133.2$, 131.1, $129.2,129.1,127.6,127.5,124.1,123.9,120.0,118.5$ (q, $J=320 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.9,114.4,85.7,62.8,59.0,43.4,33.0$, 27.7; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3380, 3106, 2936, 2877, 1732, 1561, 1534, 1439, 1420, 1349, 1247, 1213, 1138, 1083, 1014, 907; MS (FAB) $m / z 741[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$741.1512; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 741.1508 .

$N$-Boc-iminoindoline 11: To a solution of 2iminoindoline 10 ( $39.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0532 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(23.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TESCl $(16.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . After addition of water, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by short-column chromatography on neutral silica gel (10-30\% EtOAc/hexane) gave a crude TES-protected iminoindoline.

To a solution of the above crude iminoindoline in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(37.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.213$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(34.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( 6.5 mg , 0.0532 mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . After concentration of the resultant mixture under reduced pressure, purification of the residue by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10-30\%

EtOAc/hexane) gave $N$-Boc-iminoindoline 11 ( 33.7 mg , $84 \%$ in two steps) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.85-3.79 (m, 1 H), 3.65-3.62 (m, 1 H$), 2.70-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{dd}, 9 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$, 7.8 Hz ), $0.57(\mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.2,150.2,150.1,149.0,147.9,145.9,145.6$, $143.5,131.5,130.5,129.4,129.1,128.7,123.9,123.8$, $122.1,120.5,118.3(\mathrm{q}, J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.8,114.1,85.3$, 84.8, 62.6, 54.0, 43.2, 34.7, 27.7, 27.4, 6.7, 4.3; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2955, 2876, 1731, 1698, 1617, 1594, 1535, 1456, $1421,1370,1348,1287,1251,1218,1141,1046,1014$, 917, 822; MS (FAB) $m / z 955[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$955.2901; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 955.2900 .


Allyl bromide 12: To a solution of N -Boc-iminoindoline $11(21.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0229 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TBAF $(48.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0481 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-60\% EtOAc/hexane) gave an allyl alcohol ( $15.1 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.04-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 15.4 Hz ), 4.09 (br, 2 H ), 3.86-3.80 (m, 1 H), 3.69-3.63 (m, 1 H ), 2.78 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,12.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.58 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,12.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.0,152.7,150.3,150.2$, $149.2,148.4,145.5,142.2,131.3,129.8,129.6,129.4$, $129.1,123.8,123.7,120.4,115.2,112.7,106.6,85.2,84.2$, $62.9,53.5,43.9,35.2,27.7,27.5$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3445 , 2980, 1729, 1695, 1535, 1450, 1360, 1352, 1270, 1085, 910, 730; MS (FAB) m/z 709 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 709.2543$; found: $m / z 709.2543$.

To a solution of the above allyl alcohol ( $169.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.239$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(157.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.598 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(158.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.478 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After addition of water, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ ) gave allyl bromide $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( 180.3 mg , $98 \%)$ as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, J=8.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $7.07-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7,11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0$, 11.2 Hz ), 2.77 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.3,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.58 (ddd, 1 H , $J=12.3,12.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.8,152.2,150.3,150.2$, $149.2,148.3,145.5,142.4,134.6,130.1,129.4,129.1$, $126.8,123.8,120.5,114.8,112.8,107.4,107.3,85.3,84.2$, 53.5, 43.8, 34.8, 32.1, 27.8, 27.4; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3445 , 2980, 1729, 1695, 1599, 1532, 1460, 1366, 1348, 1277, 1250, 1143, 1085, 1061, 968, 909, 852, 730, 605, 578; MS (FAB) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 771[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 771.1699$; found: $m / z 771.1696$.


Tetracyclic compound 16a: To a suspension of allyl bromide $12(300.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.389 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(25.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0389 mmol ), $\operatorname{AgOTf}(104.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.408 \mathrm{mmol})$, MS4 $\AA$ $(300 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(161.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . After addition of water, the mixture was then filtered through Celite pad. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave a tetracyclic compound 16a ( $215.8 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$, 7.4 Hz ), 7.24 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,8.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.18 (dd, 1 H , $J=7.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.48(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.4,10.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.57-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19-2.11 (m, 2 H ), 1.70 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.0,152.9,150.2,149.9,149.3$, $145.0,143.6,142.9,136.2,130.7,129.5,128.6,126.5$, 126.0, 125.8, 125.1, 124.7, 123.8, 114.5, 114.2, 108.0, 85.1, 84.2, 50.1, 47.9, 43.8, 28.2, 27.7, 27.3; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3449, 2979, 2919, 1731, 1654, 1599, 1533, 1460, 1368, 1348, 1282, 1236, 1148, 1088, 889,; MS (FAB) m/z $691[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 691.2438; found: $m / z 691.2439$.


Triflate 17a: To a solution of tetracyclic compound 16a $(213.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.308 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added pyridine $(87.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(103.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.616$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . After addition of water, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc/hexane) gave triflate 17a ( $230.3 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6,8.3$ Hz ), 7.37-7.32 (m, 2 H ), 7.23-7.14 (m, 3 H ), 6.28 (ddd, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53-3.49$ (m, 1 H ), 3.38-3.32 (m, 1 H$), 2.26-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), 1.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.3$, $150.2,149.7,149.1,147.1,145.2,144.5,143.4,133.6$, $131.0,129.5,128.4,126.8,126.3,125.7,125.5,123.8$, $121.5,119.5,118.2(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=318 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.9,114.3,85.3$, 84.8, 51.0, 48.1, 43.2, 28.1, 27.8, 27.6; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2982, 2933, 1729, 1661, 1613, 1534, 1455, 1423, 1369, 13647, 1291, 1217, 1143, 1086, 1033, 922; MS (FAB) m/z $823[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 823.1931; found: $m / z 823.1929$.


Coupling product 20: To a solution of triflate 17a (30.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0365 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl boronate $19(20.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0730$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 0.5 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(220.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Pd $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.65 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. The reaction atmosphere was replaced by the Ar atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . After the reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hex}-$ ane) gave coupling product $\mathbf{2 0}(16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.30(\mathrm{ddd}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=16.9,10.1,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 5.48 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.41$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.3,13.7$, 4.0 Hz ), 3.26-3.19 (m, 1 H), 2.29 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,12.8$, 5.5 Hz ), 2.16 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,12.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), $1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 164.3,149.8,149.6,149.7$, $145.2,144.1,142.6,139.0,136.1,134.6,129.4,129.0$, $128.2,126.7,126.3,125.7,125.3,125.3,125.0,123.8$, $123.7,122.5,122.4,113.5,85.1,84.0,74.0,51.5,48.1$, $44.3,30.1,30.1,28.2,27.9,2.6$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2978, 1727, 1655, 1597, 1575, 1533, 1474, 1452, 1368, 1347, 1291, 1249, 1150, 1087, 1034, 840, 748, 713, 685, 628, 602; MS (FAB) $m / z 831[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$831.3459; found: $m / z 831.3448$.




Aminal 28: To a solution of coupling product 20 (50.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0602 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6.0 mL ) was added catechol borane solution ( 1 M in THF, $75.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0753 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After addition of water, the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc/hexane) gave aminal 28 ( 32.6 mg , $65 \%, \mathrm{dr}=3.3: 1$ ) as a yellow oil: (major diastereomer) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$

Hz), 7.27-7.24 (m, 1 H$), 7.20-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.05-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13-4.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1,14.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6,12.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.86 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9,12.9$, 4.3 Hz ), $1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), $0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.4$, $150.2,145.7,144.7,140.6,137.8,137.1,131.5,129.5$, $129.2,128.9,127.8,127.7,127.0,125.4,123.8,123.7$, $123.5,121.9,120.1,116.9,113.7,84.6,83.3,78.3,74.1$, $54.8,50.6,44.8,30.6,30.4,28.6,27.9,2.8$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2997, 2918, 1731, 1696, 1534, 1467, 1370, 1347, 1235, 1089, 887, 627; MS (FAB) m/z $833[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$833.3616; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 833.3616.


Aminal 29: To a solution of aminal 28 ( $10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0130$ mmol ) in THF ( 1.3 mL ) was added TBAF ( 1 M in THF, $15.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0156 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-30\% EtOAc/hexane) gave an alcohol ( $7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

To a solution of the above alcohol ( $7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 9.99 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0695 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhSH}(6.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0614 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , and then diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5-30\% EtOAc/hexane) gave aminal $29(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.84 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.78 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$ Hz ), 6.14-6.07 (m, 2 H ), 5.97 (br, 1 H ), 5.61 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $10.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.40(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.73 (br, 1 H$), 1.93(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6,144.7,142.9,140.7,139.3,137.2$, $131.3,129.9,129.1,128.8,127.6,127.3,124.0,122.9$,
$120.9,120.1,117.2,113.9,83.2,78.9,78.4,71.2,55.5$, $50.8,37.1,30.2,29.3,28.53,28.49$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2978, 2916, 1469, 1384, 1283, 1234, 1089, 888, 628; MS (FAB) $m / z 576[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ 575.3359; found: $m / z 575.3359$.


Pentacyclic compound 30: To a mixture of aminal 29 (6.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0120 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MS} 4 \AA(7.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}\left(0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . After addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration under reduced pressure, purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave a pentacyclic compound 30 $(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.74$ (br, 1 H ), 7.23-7.18 (m, 2 H ), 7.11 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3$, $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.92-5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ (br, 1 H$), 5.42$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.6$, 2.3 Hz ), 5.38 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=14.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.10 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6,11.4,5.4$ Hz ), 2.03-1.96 (m, 2 H ), $1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ (s, 9 H ), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) § 155.4, 153.8, 144.7, 142.8, 138.5, 137.7, 132.7, 131.2, $130.7,128.2,127.6,126.0,124.7,122.8,120.0,118.2$, $116.7,114.6,82.8,79.2,78.7,58.7,58.2,50.7,41.0,28.5$, 28.4, 25.2, 23.5, 18.4; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2977, 2919, 1691, 1466, 1391, 1341, 1279, 1235, 1089, 889, 756, 628, 523; MS (FAB) m/z $558[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}$ ] ${ }^{-}$556.3175; Found: $m / z$ 556.3177. (ESI) HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$558.3332; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 558.3311 .
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