#### ARTICLE





# Inter-partner specificity limits the acquisition of thermotolerant symbionts in a model cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis

Yasmin Gabay<sup>1</sup> · John Everett Parkinson<sup>2,3</sup> · Shaun P. Wilkinson<sup>1</sup> · Virginia M. Weis<sup>2</sup> · Simon K. Davy<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 July 2018 / Revised: 7 February 2019 / Accepted: 10 April 2019 / Published online: 11 June 2019 © International Society for Microbial Ecology 2019

#### Abstract

The ability of corals and other cnidarians to survive climate change depends partly on the composition of their endosymbiont communities. The dinoflagellate family Symbiodiniaceae is genetically and physiologically diverse, and one proposed mechanism for cnidarians to acclimate to rising temperatures is to acquire more thermally tolerant symbionts. However, cnidarian-dinoflagellate associations vary in their degree of specificity, which may limit their capacity to alter symbiont communities. Here, we inoculated symbiont-free polyps of the sea anemone *Exaiptasia pallida* (commonly referred to as 'Aiptasia'), a model system for the cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis, with simultaneous or sequential mixtures of thermally tolerant and thermally sensitive species of Symbiodiniaceae. We then monitored symbiont success (relative proportional abundance) at normal and elevated temperatures across two to four weeks. All anemones showed signs of bleaching at high temperature. During simultaneous inoculations, the native, thermally sensitive *Breviolum minutum* colonized polyps most successfully regardless of temperature when paired against the non-native but more thermally tolerant *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* or *Durusdinium trenchii*. Furthermore, anemones initially colonized with *B. minutum* and subsequently exposed to *S. microadriaticum* failed to acquire the new symbiont. These results highlight how partner specificity may place strong limitations on the ability of certain cnidarians to acquire more thermally tolerant symbionts, and hence their adaptive potential under climate change.

## Introduction

The symbiosis between cnidarians (*e.g.* corals, anemones, and jellyfish) and photosymbionts (primarily dino-flagellates of the family Symbiodiniaceae) is widespread in the marine environment, and has particular ecological importance with respect to the growth and survival of coral reefs [1-3]. The family Symbiodiniaceae is genetically and

**Supplementary information** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0429-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Simon K. Davy simon.davy@vuw.ac.nz

- <sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Kelburn Parade, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Integrative Biology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Present address: Department of Integrative Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

physiologically diverse, with seven genera and numerous species currently described and many more awaiting formal description [4–6]. Symbiont community composition greatly affects host performance [7–10], and impacts the ability of the holobiont (the host and all of its symbionts) to thrive at different latitudes, depths, irradiances, and temperatures [11–14].

Despite the high diversity of Symbiodiniaceae, hostsymbiont associations are nonrandom, exhibiting varying degrees of specificity. Some host species associate with multiple dominant or co-dominant symbiont types, while others associate with only one primary type, and nearly all contain background symbionts [15–17]. The degree of specificity depends on the host, the symbiont, and the environment [18–20]. The cellular mechanisms involved in determining specificity are still unclear, though cell surface recognition during phagocytosis of the symbiont by the host is known to be involved [21–24], as is the capacity of different symbiont types to circumvent the host's immune response [25]. Furthermore, the competitive interactions between different Symbiodiniaceae could play a role but have received little attention [26, 27].

Understanding how host-symbiont specificity arises is not only important for understanding the evolution of particular partnerships, but also the potential to form new partnerships. This is especially important when considering the survival of scleractinian corals and other cnidarians as our climate changes, through the acquisition of new symbiont species or through adjustments in the relative numbers of current species [28-30]. Evidence for such transitions is abundant e.g., [31-38], but they typically occur under stressful conditions, such as those that cause coral bleaching -the loss of a majority of symbiont cells/pigments. Thus, under normal conditions, the greater colonization success of homologous symbionts (those that regularly associate with a particular host) appears to prevent persistent colonization by heterologous symbionts (those that typically do not associate with a given host), even if these 'foreign' symbionts manage to evade the host's immune system. Indeed, when hosts are rendered aposymbiotic (symbiont-free) under laboratory conditions they can typically form new associations when inoculated with a range of homologous or heterologous symbionts [10, 39-41]. Yet such a ready ability to form new, lasting partnerships does not appear to occur often in the wild [33, 42, 43].

The sea anemone Aiptasia (Exaiptasia pallida) is a widely adopted model system for the study of cnidariandinoflagellate symbioses [44, 45]. This anemone is distributed throughout the world's tropical seas, and in the Indo-Pacific associates only with Breviolum minutum (ITS2 type B1), though Atlantic populations can additionally associate with Symbiodinium linucheae (A4) and rarely with a member of the genus Cladocopium [46]. Consistent with this high degree of host-symbiont specificity, laboratory experiments show that B. minutum colonizes Indo-Pacific Aiptasia at a much faster rate than S. microadriaticum (A1) and Durusdinium trenchii (D1a), while Effrenium voratum (E1) and *Cladocopium* sp. (C3) fail to persist after just a few weeks [10]. Furthermore, B. minutum forms a more beneficial symbiosis than heterologous species, facilitating faster host growth and asexual reproduction consistent with greater rates of photosynthesis and carbon translocation [9, 10, 47]. It also appears to be a highly compatible symbiont, as Aiptasia is more immunotolerant of B. minutum than it is of heterologous D. trenchii [8]. The relationship between Aiptasia and B. minutum therefore provides an excellent model for elucidating the mechanisms that promote such a high degree of partner fidelity in the wild, despite the ability of this sea anemone to form a range of associations in a laboratory setting.

Here we measured the colonization success of *B. minutum*, the homologous partner of Aiptasia, relative to two thermally tolerant heterologous species: *S. microadriaticum* and *D. trenchii*. In a recent partial-rank aggregation analysis that included reports on the relative

thermotolerance of Symbiodiniaceae both in hospite and in vitro, B. minutum was ranked the 38th most heat-tolerant type (out of 64), while S. microadriaticum and D. trenchii were ranked 26th and 8th, respectively [48]. We measured the relative abundance of these different symbiont species in a clonal Aiptasia line after: (1) inoculating symbiont-free polyps with each Symbiodiniaceae species in isolation, (2) inoculating symbiont-free polyps simultaneously with each pairwise combination of Symbiodiniaceae species; and (3) exposing polyps in established symbioses to alternate symbionts. We performed the experiments at 24, 32, and 34 °C to explore the effect of elevated temperature on symbiont dynamics. We hypothesized that, under elevated temperatures, the success of homologous B. minutum would decline, causing Aiptasia to transition to an alternate symbiont community composed of a greater proportion of heat-tolerant heterologous species. Ultimately, we aimed to assess the extent to which host-symbiont specificity might override the potential to establish novel symbioses with more thermally resistant partners even at elevated temperatures, and help to explain the strong partner fidelity seen in Aiptasia in the field.

#### Methods

## **Experimental organisms**

All experiments were performed with a clonal culture of the symbiotic sea anemone *Exaiptasia pallida* (culture ID: NZ1; commonly referred to as 'Aiptasia') isolated from the Indo-Pacific region. Polyps were maintained in aquaria at 24 °C under a standard light regime of 80 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on a 12:12 h (light:dark) photoperiod, and fed twice weekly with freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii. To generate aposymbiotic anemones, polyps were menthol-bleached as described by Matthews et al. [49]. This treatment was repeated daily for 4 weeks or until no symbionts were present, as determined by the absence of chlorophyll fluorescence under confocal microscopy (IX81, Olympus New Zealand; 635 nm laser, 655–755 nm emission filter).

Cultured strains of the three focal Symbiodiniaceae species (*Breviolum minutum*, *Symbiodinium micro-adriaticum*, and *Durusdinium trenchii*) were chosen as inoculates based on their capacity to readily colonize aposymbiotic Aiptasia [10]; for more details about the cultures, see Table 1. The algae were sub-cultured from long-term (>5 years) laboratory stocks and grown in silica-free f/2 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Auckland, New Zealand) under the same conditions as the anemones. All cultures were sampled for experimental use during the log-phase of growth.

Culture ID	Original host source	Geographical location	Symbiodiniaceae species	ITS2 type	Relationship to the host
FlAp2	Exaiptasia pallida	Long Key, Florida	Breviolum minutum	B1	Homologous
CCMP2467	Stylophora pistillata	Gulf of Aqaba	Symbiodinium microadriaticum	A1	Heterologous
Ap2	Unknown anemone sp.	Okinawa	Durusdinium trenchii	D1a	Heterologous

 Table 1
 Symbiodiniaceae
 culture
 details

#### Single species exposure

Aposymbiotic anemones (n = 4 polyps per symbiont culture, temperature, and time-point combination) were inoculated with one of three Symbiodiniaceae cultures: 100% B. minutum, 100% S. microadriaticum, or 100% D. trenchii. Polyps of similar size (2-3 mm oral disc diameter) were starved for a week and then transferred to 400-ml clear plastic jars (one anemone per jar) filled with 0.2 µm filtered seawater (FSW: IKA T-10, ThermoFisher Scientific) and allowed to acclimate for three days. Each algal culture was diluted in 25 ml FSW and mixed with one drop of Artemia nauplii, giving a final concentration of  $\sim 1 \times 10^6$  Symbiodiniaceae cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Using a glass pipette, 1 ml of inoculate was dispensed onto the oral disc of each polyp. Thereafter, anemones were fed once weekly, followed the next day by a water change. Inoculated anemones were maintained at 24, 32, or 34 °C under the standard light regime. Polyps were sacrificed at 1 and 2 weeks post-inoculation to determine the densities of different Symbiodiniaceae species. Each polyp was homogenized in 500 µl FSW and centrifuged (Sigma 3-16k) for 5 min at 400 RCF to separate the algal cells from the anemone tissues. A 100 µl sample was removed from the supernatant (host fraction) for protein determination via the Bradford assay [50] and the remaining supernatant was discarded. The algal pellet was then processed for DNA extraction and qPCR analysis (see below).

#### Simultaneous exposure

Aposymbiotic anemones (n = 4 polyps *per* symbiont mixture, temperature, and time point combination) were inoculated with one of three Symbiodiniaceae mixtures: 50% *B. minutum* + 50% *S. microadriaticum*, 50% *B. minutum* + 50% *D. trenchii*, or 50% *S. microadriaticum* + 50% *D. trenchii*. Inoculated anemones were maintained at 24, 32, or 34 °C under the standard light regime. Polyps were sacrificed as above at 1 and 2 weeks post-inoculation to determine the densities of different Symbiodiniaceae species via qPCR.

#### **Delayed exposure**

Aposymbiotic anemones (n = 6 polyps *per* symbiont culture, temperature, and time point combination) were first

inoculated as above with either 100% *B. minutum* or 100% *S. microadriaticum*. The inoculated polyps were maintained at 24 °C until they became densely colonized (a minimum of 4 weeks). The anemones were then challenged *via* exposure to the alternate Symbiodiniaceae species (i.e. anemones colonized by *B. minutum* were re-inoculated with *S. microadriaticum* or *vice versa*), and maintained at 24, 32, or 34 °C under the standard light regime. Polyps were sacrificed as above at 1, 2, and 4 weeks post-inoculation to determine the densities of different Symbiodiniaceae species *via* qPCR.

## **Quantitative PCR**

DNA extraction and qPCR amplification followed the protocol of Yamashita et al. [51] with modification. For full details, see the Supplementary Information. In brief, symbiont DNA was isolated following guanidinium extraction of the algal pellets and amplification with the Symbiodiniaceaespecific rDNA primers of Pawlowski et al. [52]. For a subset of samples, the rDNA was cloned into E. coli vectors, re-isolated, and sequenced (n = 4 sequences per Symbiodiniaceae species). Sequences were blasted against the NCBI database to confirm ITS2 type, and one sample from each type was chosen to generate standard curves for qPCR using the genus/clade-specific primers of Yamashita et al. [51]. All original sample extracts were then subjected to genus-specific qPCR, and the 28 S copy number per genus per cell was estimated by deduction from the regression lines and comparison with the standard curves. In this case, because there was only one species per genus in the experiment, genus identity resolved species identity.

To confirm cell enumeration by qPCR, one cultured sample of each of the three species was diluted, extracted, and amplified (n = 6 replicates *per* sample). For the combinations 50% *B. minutum* + 50% *S. microadriaticum* and 50% *B. minutum* + 50% *D. trenchii*, seven two-culture mixtures were generated with Symbiodiniaceae species ratios ranging from 1:99 to 99:1. Following qPCR, the predicted *versus* observed symbiont ratios were assessed for accuracy using least-squares linear regression. A subset of polyps from each treatment was tested periodically to confirm a lack of contamination by symbionts from other treatments (see Supplementary Information: Fig. S1; Tables S1 and S2).

#### **Statistical analyses**

To test the effects of temperature, time, and their interaction on symbiont density *per* species within each experimental treatment, the data were log-transformed to achieve normality and homoscedasticity then analyzed *via* two-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* tests ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) in the R statistical environment [53]. Differences in the logtransformed symbiont density between species within each treatment were calculated *via* one-way ANOVA. The data were plotted with the package 'ggplot2' [54]. All raw data and R code for the analyses are included in the Supplementary Information.

## Results

### Single species exposure

Colonization success (symbiont cell density) varied by symbiont species in the single species exposures. In independent host polyps, the homologous B. minutum (Fig. 1a) surpassed heterologous S. microadriaticum (Fig. 1b) and heterologous D. trenchii (Fig. 1c) regardless of treatment (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), whereas the density difference between S. microadriaticum and D. trenchii was rarely significant. The density of B. minutum varied with temperature and the time x temperature interaction, the density of S. microadriaticum varied by the interaction only, and the density of D. trenchii varied by temperature only (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The density of the heterologous symbionts remained low throughout the experiment (average range:  $0-3 \times 10^5$  cells *per* mg protein), but homologous B. minutum density was very high at 24 and 32 °C (average range:  $1-6 \times 10^7$  cells *per* mg protein). However, B. minutum density dropped significantly at 34 °C (average range:  $2-3 \times 10^5$  cells *per* mg protein; two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), while still remaining higher than for the heterologous species in parallel treatments. A similar heat-induced bleaching pattern (though much smaller in magnitude) was observed for D. trenchii, but not for S. microadriaticum.

#### Simultaneous exposure

Colonization success varied by symbiont species when introduced in 50/50 mixtures simultaneously. When paired within the same host polyp, success of the homologous *B. minutum* surpassed that of the heterologous *S. microadriaticum* (Fig. 2a) or *D. trenchii* (Fig. 2b) regardless of treatment (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). In these two trials, the proportion of *B. minutum* was always higher than for the heterologous species, ranging from 93–100% (*versus*  S. microadriaticum) or from 96–100% (versus D. trenchii). The density of the heterologous symbionts remained low throughout the experiments (never exceeding an average of  $3 \times 10^4$  cells *per* mg protein in a given treatment), whereas homologous *B. minutum* density began low at 24 and 32 °C in week one, then increased dramatically by week two to levels comparable to the single species exposure. However, *B. minutum* density remained low at 34 °C. Thus, temperature and time either significantly or marginally influenced *B. minutum* density in both experiments, and the interaction was significant when *B. minutum* was paired with *S. microadriaticum* (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). Neither temperature nor time influenced *D. trenchii* density.

In the simultaneous exposure experiment between the two heterologous symbionts (Fig. 2c), densities of both species remained low regardless of treatment (never exceeding  $2 \times 10^4$  cells *per* mg protein), and the only significant difference between species was detected at 24 ° C, where D. trenchii was greater in abundance than S. microadriaticum (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). Symbiodinium microadriaticum colonization increased with temperature (from an average of 16% at 24 °C, to 47% at 32 °C, to 80% at 34 °C). By necessity, D. trenchii showed the opposite pattern: its proportion decreased as the temperature increased. However, temperature only significantly impacted D. trenchii density (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), and neither temperature, time, nor their interaction affected S. microadriaticum density. As in the other trials, D. trenchii density was lowest at 34 °C.

### **Delayed** exposure

When anemones were challenged with heterologous *S. microadriaticum* after first forming a stable symbiosis with homologous *B. minutum*, *S. microadriaticum* did not manage to colonize the polyps in appreciable amounts, representing < 0.1% of the symbiont community at any combination of temperature and time point (Fig. 3a). Thus, *B. minutum* density always exceeded *S. microadriaticum* density (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The density of *B. minutum* declined with time at 24 °C, though to a small degree (3 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells at week one to 6 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells at week four), while density remained relatively low at 32 and 34 °C regardless of time. Temperature, time, and their interaction all significantly impacted the density of both species (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05).

In the alternate case, when anemones were challenged with homologous *B. minutum* after first forming a stable association with heterologous *S. microadriaticum*, *B. minutum* was able to partially colonize the anemone Fig. 1 Single species colonization experiments. Pure cultures of one Symbiodiniaceae species (a 100% B. minutum; b 100% S. microadriaticum; and c 100% D. trenchii) were introduced to aposymbiotic anemones (n = 4 polyps per treatment per time point). Symbiont densities (cells per mg host protein) were measured one and two weeks after inoculation under cool (24 °C), ambient (32 °C), and thermal stress conditions (34 °C) via genusspecific qPCR. Pie charts represent the average proportion of each species in the host's total symbiont community. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Letters correspond to distinct cell densities within a species across treatments (color coded; two-way ANOVA; p < 0.05). To visualize large cell density changes without obscuring small changes, results were depicted across two plots each: note the y-axis break and unique scales



(Fig. 3b). The average proportion of *B. minutum* across temperatures increased from 7% at week one to 36% at week four. Notably, the density of *S. microadriaticum* 

declined with time at 24 °C ( $2 \times 10^5$  cells at week one to  $1 \times 10^4$  cells at week four), such that the change in proportion of symbiont types was accounted for mainly by a

Fig. 2 Simultaneous colonization experiments. Simultaneous mixtures of two different Symbiodiniaceae species (a 50% B. minutum and 50% S. microadriaticum; b 50% B. minutum and 50% D. trenchii; and c 50% S. microadriaticum and 50% D. trenchii) were introduced to aposymbiotic anemones (n = 4)polyps per treatment per time point). Symbiont densities (cells per mg host protein) were measured one and two weeks after inoculation under cool (24 °C), ambient (32 °C), and thermal stress conditions (34 °C) via genus-specific qPCR. Pie charts represent the average proportion of each species in the host's total symbiont community. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Letters correspond to distinct cell densities within a species across treatments (color coded; two-way ANOVA; p < 0.05). Asterisks correspond to distinct cell densities across species within a treatment (one-way ANOVA; *p* < 0.05). To visualize large cell density changes without obscuring small changes, results were depicted across two plots each: note the y-axis break and unique scales



loss of the heterologous species rather than a gain in the homologous species. Density remained low for both species at 32 and  $34 \,^{\circ}$ C. The density of *S*.

*microadriaticum* was significantly greater than the density of *B. minutum* during weeks one and two but not week four at 24 and 34 °C (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). In this Fig. 3 Delayed colonization experiments. Delayed mixtures of two different Symbiodiniaceae species (a first 100% B. minutum until the symbiosis stabilized, followed by 100% S. *microadriaticum*: and **b** first 100% S. microadriaticum until the symbiosis stabilized, followed by 100% B. minutum) were introduced to aposymbiotic anemones (n = 6 polyps per treatment per time point). Symbiont densities (cells per mg host protein) were measured one, two, and four weeks after the secondary inoculation under cool (24 °C), ambient (32 °C), and thermal stress conditions (34 °C) via genus-specific qPCR. Pie charts represent the average proportion of each species in the host's total symbiont community. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean Letters correspond to distinct cell densities within a species across treatments (color coded; two-way ANOVA; p < 0.05). Asterisks correspond to distinct cell densities across species within a treatment (one-way ANOVA; p < 0.05). To visualize large cell density changes without obscuring small changes, results were depicted across two plots each: note the y-axis break and unique scales



case, temperature, time, and their interaction affected *S. microadriaticum* density (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), but not *B. minutum* density.

## Discussion

This study is the first to investigate the effects of thermal stress on multi-species symbiosis establishment dynamics in the Aiptasia—Symbiodiniaceae model system. Given the different thermal tolerances of the three symbiont species used (*D. trenchii* > *S. microadriaticum* > *B. minutum*) and previous evidence for symbiont transitions in other cnidarians under heat stress, we expected to see a shift away from a *B. minutum*-dominated community and towards an *S. microadriaticum*- and/or *D. trenchii*-dominated community in Aiptasia as temperatures rose. However, in all cases, *B. minutum* persisted as the dominant partner.

### Single species exposure

As expected, when introduced as the only potential symbiont, the homologous B. minutum colonized aposymbiotic Aiptasia polyps far more readily than either S. microadriaticum or D. trenchii, achieving densities an order of magnitude greater than the two heterologous species at both 24 and 32 °C (Fig. 1). These results are similar to those of previous colonization studies performed under ambient conditions [10]. Marked declines in symbiont density were observed at 34 °C for B. minutum and D. trenchii, but even here B. minutum densities continued to exceed those of the other two species, despite their greater thermal tolerance under culture conditions. Therefore, while these heterologous symbionts have the potential to persist in Aiptasia at elevated temperatures, host-symbiont specificity likely limits their success relative to the native partner. Future work should examine whether these patterns are also observed during symbiont uptake when the host is already under thermal stress, as opposed to exposure to thermal stress post-uptake as was performed here. These single-species data provided baseline colonization rates against which the simultaneous and delayed exposures could be compared.

### Simultaneous exposure

The homologous B. minutum was dominant when introduced simultaneously with either heterologous species under ambient conditions, representing the greatest proportion of the symbiont community at the coolest temperature (24 °C; Fig. 2). Contrary to our expectations based upon its thermal sensitivity, however, B. minutum remained dominant at 32 and 34 °C, with the heterologous species rarely exceeding 2% of the total community, mirroring the single-species exposure results. Even though symbiont cell density was relatively low at the most extreme temperature, the homologous symbiont still dominated the more heattolerant alternatives, at least in terms of proportional abundance. A similar dominance by the native symbiont in Aiptasia at 'normal' temperature (25 °C) was reported by Belda-Baillie et al. [55], who showed that when anemones were inoculated with a mixture of 6 different Symbiodiniaceae isolates (genera Symbiodinium, Breviolum and Cladocopium) and maintained for 3 months, the homologous B. minutum was always dominant in the end, and remained so even when exposed again to this same symbiont mixture and maintained for another month.

Our results raise the question as to why the symbiont community did not shift even at elevated temperature. We offer two potential explanations, which are not mutually exclusive. First, the specificity between Aiptasia and B. minutum may supersede any potential for establishing primary associations with heterologous symbionts, regardless of the alternate symbiont's heat tolerance. This would mirror certain other high-fidelity associations among cnidarians [20, 28, 56]. Of course, certain hosts more predictably change their symbiont communities under thermal stresses imposed by transplantation or other experimental manipulation [35, 36, 57]. Thus, Aiptasia appears to be a poor model for highly flexible cnidarian-dinoflagellate associations, but a good model for the many marine symbioses that tend to be more specific. It is important to note that the terms "flexibility" and "specificity" can be overly simplistic, because many hosts capable of associating with multiple Symbiodiniaceae species do so with only a highly constrained subset, and nearly all hosts feature moonlighting background populations of diverse symbionts which may or may not become ecologically relevant under climate change scenarios [17, 43, 58].

Second, the interaction between *B. minutum* and its primary host Aiptasia may greatly improve the symbiont's performance *in hospite*, at least relative to heterologous species. Indeed, previous metabolomic and proteomic work on Aiptasia indicates that the host is impacted by thermal stress before symbiotic *B. minutum*, suggesting that the species is more thermally robust when residing in host tissue [59, 60]. This robustness may be facilitated by various host-buffering mechanisms to maintain a favorable internal cellular environment [61–63]. Notably, none of the published studies used in the consensus thermotolerance rankings of Swain et al. [48] examined the performance of *B. minutum* from Aiptasia *in hospite*, so its greater tolerance in its homologous host may have been overlooked in the past.

When compared to the single species exposure results, it is clear that the presence of a second potential symbiont hindered colonization by the homologous symbiont, as it took an extra week for *B. minutum* to reach control density when paired with *D. trenchii*. The same was true when it was paired with *S. microadriaticum* at 32 °C, but control densities were never reached at 24 °C. These data indicate that some sort of competitive interaction was at play, with the outcome being dependent upon the particular combination of symbionts. It remains unclear to what extent competition among symbionts (rather than, or in addition to, host control) may result in the observed specificity of particular cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbioses, but future studies should investigate the mechanisms that may underlie these patterns.

The simultaneous inoculation experiment with the two heterologous species (*S. microadriaticum* and *D. trenchii*) suggested that relative colonization success can change with environmental conditions, as the dominant partner shifted from *D. trenchii* to *S. microadriaticum* as temperature increased. This was a perplexing result because *D. trenchii* is typically one of the most tolerant and opportunistic species under extreme heat [33, 64, 65]. It should be noted, however, that these were artificial associations, and the absolute density of symbiont cells was very low at all temperatures. Hence, caution is warranted when interpreting these data.

### **Delayed exposure**

The final set of experiments was designed to test the strength of the 'home field advantage.' That is, in an established symbiosis, can newly introduced low-abundance symbionts displace the dominant species? The answer is that it appears to depend on the degree of specificity of the host and symbiont for each other. When homologous *B. minutum* was first established as the dominant symbiont in Aiptasia, subsequent introduction of heterologous *S. microadriaticum* failed to cause any community shift (Fig. 3a). *S. microadriaticum* was relegated to background symbiont status, never exceeding 0.1% of the population. This was true even at the most extreme temperature (34 °C), where absolute cell density declined

dramatically relative to the ambient treatment. Thus, even bleaching was insufficient to dislodge the homologous species' numerical dominance, despite the alternate species' greater heat tolerance. A similar pattern has been observed previously in the jelly *Cassiopea xamachana*, where uptake of novel symbionts in the field was much less frequent when polyps had been experimentally inoculated beforehand with the native *S. microadriaticum* rather than with other symbiont types [7].

In contrast, when heterologous *S. microadriaticum* was introduced first (Fig. 3b), subsequent introduction of homologous *B. minutum* did drive a community shift (up to 39% *B. minutum* in one replicate after four weeks at ambient conditions). The change was similar at the extreme temperature, where again the cell density was quite low. Presumably, this shift to *B. minutum* would have continued had the experiment continued. Longer-term trials designed to determine if and when *B. minutum* takes over as the numerically dominant symbiont should be carried out in the future.

The results of both the simultaneous and delayed exposure experiments reinforce the idea that in this particular association, the homologous symbiont species maintains its dominance over more heat-tolerant heterologous symbionts even as temperatures rise and presumably improve the relative performance of the alternate species. Note that we did not measure the physiology or nutritional output of each symbiont species in hospite, and therefore we do not know if their relative performance actually changed. Nevertheless, B. minutum's success owes itself to more than just a home field advantage-it appears able to supplant alternate symbionts whether it begins as the dominant species or a background symbiont. These population dynamics are consistent with the high fidelity of this symbiosis throughout the Indo-Pacific. Further work is now needed to better understand the cellular events that underlie these dynamics, and in particular the relative importance of symbiont expulsion, apoptosis, autophagy, and cell cycle control to the regulation of native versus non-native symbiont proliferation through the host's tissues [66]. Furthermore, we know little about how competitive interactions between individual symbiont cells or host-symbiont nutritional fluxes might contribute to the relative colonization success of symbionts in a mixed population. Of note though, recent work has demonstrated that, when colonized solely by B. minutum or D. trenchii, Aiptasia exhibits marked differences with respect to host metabolite profile, as well as various nutritional, stress moderation, and cell-cell signaling pathways [8, 9].

#### **Ecological implications**

The potential for symbiotic cnidarians to change their dinoflagellate partners as an acclimatory response to

global climate change continues to intrigue researchers [34, 37, 67–70]. However, high host-symbiont specificity may constrain the potential for partner alteration in certain cnidarians, limiting their associations to one or few algal partners [56, 67, 71]. Our study indicates that the Aiptasia -B. minutum association is highly specific, at least among Indo-Pacific individuals. However, such partner fidelity might not be disadvantageous. The relationships between specialist cnidarians and symbionts may be the outcome of stringent coevolution [72], resulting in integrated and functionally optimal mutualisms that can persist under both stable and stressful conditions; indeed, to our knowledge there are no published reports of fully bleached Aiptasia in the field. On the other hand, the "symbiotic entrepreneurialism" of generalist hosts may open the door to competitive interactions between symbionts that ultimately impair holobiont function and destabilize the symbiosis [73].

For example, the high degree of host-symbiont specificity between Pacific scleractinian corals in the genus Porites and Symbiodiniaceae in the Cladocopium C15 lineage is believed to confer considerable ecological benefit when compared to more generalist scleractinian coral genera such as Acropora and Pocillopora [74-76]. Similarly, the widespread ecological success of Aiptasia across the Indo-Pacific region could be attributed, in part, to its high degree of partner fidelity. Moreover, even though this symbiosis exhibits bleaching at high temperature, the persistent dominance of B. minutum suggests that Aiptasia will retain its fidelity for this symbiont even as the climate warms. Whether B. minutum continues to offer the greatest ecological benefit to Aiptasia under these changing conditions warrants further study. Likewise, whether symbiont population dynamics in specialist hosts mirror those seen in the model Aiptasia system awaits further confirmation. Such knowledge will better inform predictions about the responses of symbiotic cnidarians, including reef-building corals, to climate change.

Acknowledgements This work was funded by a Victoria University of Wellington postgraduate scholarship to YG and a grant from the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund, grant number VUW1601, to SKD and VMW.

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### References

 Trench RK. Microalgal-invertebrate symbioses: a review. Endocytobiosis Cell Res. 1993;9:135–75.

- 2. Roth MS. The engine of the reef: photobiology of the coral-algal symbiosis. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:422.
- Moberg F, Folke C. Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. Ecol Econ. 1999;29:215–33.
- Baker AC. Flexibility and specificity in coral-algal symbiosis: diversity, ecology, and biogeography of *Symbiodinium*. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2003;34:661–89.
- Suggett DJ, Warner ME, Leggat W. Symbiotic dinoflagellate functional diversity mediates coral survival under ecological crisis. Trends Ecol Evol. 2017;32:735–45.
- LaJeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Gabrielson PW, Jeong HJ, Reimer JD, Voolstra CR, et al. Systematic revision of Symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and diversity of coral endosymbionts. Curr Biol. 2018;28:2570–80.
- Mellas RE, McIlroy SE, Fitt WK, Coffroth MA. Variation in symbiont uptake in the early ontogeny of the upside-down jellyfish, *Cassiopea* spp. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 2014;459:38–44.
- Matthews JL, Crowder CM, Oakley CA, Lutz A, Roessner U, Meyer E, et al. Optimal nutrient exchange and immune responses operate in partner specificity in the cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114:201710733.
- Matthews JL, Oakley CA, Lutz A, Hillyer KE, Roessner U, Meyer E. et al. Partner switching and metabolic flux in a model cnidarian–dinoflagellate symbiosis. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2018;285:20182336.
- Gabay Y, Weis VM, Davy SK. Symbiont identity influences patterns of symbiosis establishment, host growth, and asexual reproduction in a model enidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Biol Bull. 2018;234:1–10.
- LaJeunesse TC, Pettay DT, Sampayo EM, Phongsuwan N, Brown B, Obura DO, et al. Long-standing environmental conditions, geographic isolation and host-symbiont specificity influence the relative ecological dominance and genetic diversification of coral endosymbionts in the genus *Symbiodinium*. J Biogeogr. 2010;37:785–800.
- 12. Berkelmans R, van Oppen MJH. The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of corals: a 'nugget of hope' for coral reefs in an era of climate change. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2006;273:2305–12.
- Sampayo EM, Ridgway T, Bongaerts P, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Bleaching susceptibility and mortality of corals are determined by fine-scale differences in symbiont type. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105:10444–9.
- Kamezaki M, Higa M, Hirose M, Suda S, Reimer JD. Different zooxanthellae types in populations of the zoanthid *Zoanthus* sansibaricus along depth gradients in Okinawa, Japan. Marine Biodiversity. 2013;43:61–70.
- Thornhill DJ, LaJeunesse TC, Kemp DW, Fitt WK, Schmidt GW. Multi-year, seasonal genotypic surveys of coral-algal symbioses reveal prevalent stability or post-bleaching reversion. Mar Biol. 2006;148:711–22.
- LaJeunesse T, Bonilla HR, Warner M, Wills M, Schmidt G, Fitt W. Specificity and stability in high latitude eastern Pacific coralalgal symbioses. Limnol Oceanogr. 2008;53:719–27.
- Silverstein RN, Correa AMS, Baker AC. Specificity is rarely absolute in coral-algal symbiosis: implications for coral response to climate change. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2012;279:2609–18.
- Bongaerts P, Riginos C, Ridgway T, Sampayo EM, van Oppen MJ, Englebert N, et al. Genetic divergence across habitats in the widespread coral *Seriatopora hystrix* and its associated *Symbiodinium*. PLoS ONE. 2010;5:e10871.
- Frade PR, De Jongh F, Vermeulen F, Van Bleijswijk J, Bak RPM. Variation in symbiont distribution between closely related coral species over large depth ranges. Mol Ecol. 2008;17:691–703.
- 20. Iglesias-Prieto R, Beltran VH, LaJeunesse TC, Reyes-Bonilla H, Thome PE. Different algal symbionts explain the vertical

distribution of dominant reef corals in the eastern Pacific. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2004;271:1757–63.

- Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Wood-Charlson E, Hollingsworth L, Krupp D, Weis V. Dynamics of infection and localization of dinoflagellate endosymbionts in larvae of the coral *Fungia scutaria* during the onset of symbiosis. Mar Biol. 2006;149:713–9.
- Dunn SR, Weis VM. Apoptosis as a post-phagocytic winnowing mechanism in a coral–dinoflagellate mutualism. Environ Microbiol. 2009;11:268–76.
- Wood-Charlson EM, Hollingsworth LL, Krupp DA, Weis VM. Lectin/glycan interactions play a role in recognition in a coral/ dinoflagellate symbiosis. Cell Microbiol. 2006;8:1985–93.
- 24. Parkinson JE, Tivey TR, Mandelare PE, Adpressa DA, Loesgen S, Weis VM. Subtle differences in symbiont cell surface glycan profiles do not explain species-specific colonization rates in a model cnidarian-algal symbiosis. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:842.
- Voolstra CR, Schwarz JA, Schnetzer J, Sunagawa S, Desalvo MK, Szmant AM, et al. The host transcriptome remains unaltered during the establishment of coral-algal symbioses. Mol Ecol. 2009;18:1823–33.
- Baker DM, Andras JP, Jordán-Garza AG, Fogel ML. Nitrate competition in a coral symbiosis varies with temperature among *Symbiodinium* clades. ISME J. 2013;7:1248.
- Loram JE, Trapido-Rosenthal HG, Douglas AE. Functional significance of genetically different symbiotic algae *Symbiodinium* in a coral reef symbiosis. Mol Ecol. 2007;16:4849–57.
- Baker AC. Reef corals bleach to survive change. Nature. 2001; 411:765–6.
- Kinzie RA III, Takayama M, Santos SR, Coffroth MA. The adaptive bleaching hypothesis: experimental tests of critical assumptions. Biol Bull. 2001;200:51–8.
- Buddemeier RW, Fautin DG. Coral bleaching as an adaptive mechanism - a testable hypothesis. Bioscience. 1993;43:320–6.
- Yorifuji M, Harii S, Nakamura R, Fudo M. Shift of symbiont communities in Acropora tenuis juveniles under heat stress. Peer J. 2017;5:e4055.
- 32. Mieog JC, van Oppen MJH, Cantin NE, Stam WT, Olsen JL. Realtime PCR reveals a high incidence of *Symbiodinium* clade D at low levels in four scleractinian corals across the Great Barrier Reef: implications for symbiont shuffling. Coral Reefs. 2007;26:449–57.
- LaJeunesse TC, Smith RT, Finney J, Oxenford H. Outbreak and persistence of opportunistic symbiotic dinoflagellates during the 2005 Caribbean mass coral 'bleaching' event. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2009;276:4139–48.
- 34. Kemp DW, Hernandez-Pech X, Iglesias-Prieto R, Fitt WK, Schmidt GW. Community dynamics and physiology of *Symbiodinium* spp. before, during, and after a coral bleaching event. Limnol Oceanogr. 2014;59:788–97.
- Silverstein RN, Cunning R, Baker AC. Change in algal symbiont communities after bleaching, not prior heat exposure, increases heat tolerance of reef corals. Global Change Biol. 2015;21:236–49.
- Cunning R, Silverstein RN, Baker AC. Investigating the causes and consequences of symbiont shuffling in a multi-partner reef coral symbiosis under environmental change. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2015;282:20141725.
- 37. Bay LK, Doyle J, Logan M, Berkelmans R. Recovery from bleaching is mediated by threshold densities of background thermo-tolerant symbiont types in a reef-building coral. R Soc Open Sci. 2016;3:160322.
- McGinley MP, Aschaffenburg MD, Pettay DT, Smith RT, LaJeunesse TC, Warner ME. *Symbiodinium* spp. in colonies of eastern Pacific *Pocillopora* spp. are highly stable despite the prevalence of low-abundance background populations. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2012;462:1–7.
- 39. Wolfowicz I, Baumgarten S, Voss PA, Hambleton EA, Voolstra CR, Hatta M, et al. *Aiptasia* sp. larvae as a model to reveal

mechanisms of symbiont selection in cnidarians. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 32366.

- Chen W-NU, Hsiao Y-J, Mayfield AB, Young R, Hsu L-L, Peng S-E. Transmission of a heterologous clade C *Symbiodinium* in a model anemone infection system via asexual reproduction. PeerJ. 2016;4:e2358.
- 41. LaJeunesse TC. Investigating the biodiversity, ecology, and phylogeny of endosymbiotic dinoflagellates in the genus *Symbiodinium* using the ITS region: in search of a "species" level marker. J Phycol. 2001;37:866–80.
- 42. LaJeunesse TC, Smith R, Walther M, Pinzon J, Pettay DT, McGinley M, et al. Host-symbiont recombination *versus* natural selection in the response of coral-dinoflagellate symbioses to environmental disturbance. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2010;277:2925–34.
- 43. Lee MJ, Jeong HJ, Jang SH, Lee SY, Kang NS, Lee KH, et al. Most low-abundance "background" *Symbiodinium* spp. are transitory and have minimal functional significance for symbiotic corals. Microb Ecol. 2016;71:771–83.
- 44. Weis VM, Davy SK, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Pringe JR. Cell biology in model systems as the key to understanding corals. Trends Ecol Evol. 2008;23:369–76.
- 45. Baumgarten S, Simakov O, Esherick LY, Liew YJ, Lehnert EM, Michell CT, et al. The genome of *Aiptasia*, a sea anemone model for coral symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112:11893–8.
- 46. Thornhill DJ, Xiang Y, Pettay DT, Zhong M, Santos SR. Population genetic data of a model symbiotic cnidarian system reveal remarkable symbiotic specificity and vectored introductions across ocean basins. Mol Ecol. 2013;22:4499–515.
- 47. Starzak DE, Quinnell RG, Nitschke MR, Davy SK. The influence of symbiont type on photosynthetic carbon flux in a model cnidarian–dinoflagellate symbiosis. Mar Biol. 2014;161:711–24.
- 48. Swain TD, Chandler J, Backman V, Marcelino L. Consensus thermotolerance ranking for 110 *Symbiodinium* phylotypes: an exemplar utilization of a novel iterative partial-rank aggregation tool with broad application potential. Funct Ecol. 2017;31: 172–83.
- 49. Matthews JL, Sproles AE, Oakley CA, Grossman AR, Weis VM, Davy SK. Menthol-induced bleaching rapidly and effectively provides experimental aposymbiotic sea anemones (*Aiptasia* sp.) for symbiosis investigations. J Exp Biol. 2016;219:306–10.
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976;72:248–54.
- Yamashita H, Suzuki G, Hayashibara T, Koike K. Do corals select zooxanthellae by alternative discharge? Mar Biol. 2011;158:87–100.
- Pawlowski J, Holzmann M, Fahrni JF, Pochon X, Lee JJ. Molecular identification of algal endosymbionts in large miliolid foraminifera:
   Dinoflagellates. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2001;48:368–73.
- R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013.
- 54. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Switzerland: Springer Nature; 2016.
- 55. Belda-Baillie CA, Baillie BK, Maruyama T. Specificity of a model cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Biol Bull. 2002;202:74–85.
- 56. Sampayo EM, Ridgway T, Franceschinis L, Roff G, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S. Coral symbioses under prolonged environmental change: living near tolerance range limits. Sci Rep. 2016;6:36271.

- Howells E, Berkelmans R, Van Oppen M, Willis BL, Bay L. Historical thermal regimes define limits to coral acclimatisation. Ecology. 2013;94:1078–88.
- Ziegler M, Eguíluz VM, Duarte CM, Voolstra CR. Rare symbionts may contribute to the resilience of coral–algal assemblages. ISME J. 2017;12:161–72.
- Hillyer KE, Tumanov S, Villas-Bôas S, Davy SK. Metabolite profiling of symbiont and host during thermal stress and bleaching in a model cnidarian–dinoflagellate symbiosis. J Exp Biol. 2016;219:516–27.
- 60. Oakley CA, Durand E, Wilkinson SP, Peng L, Weis VM, Grossman AR, et al. Thermal shock induces host proteostasis disruption and endoplasmic reticulum stress in the model symbiotic cnidarian *Aiptasia*. J Proteome Res. 2017;16:2121–2134.
- Parkinson JE, Banaszak AT, Altman NS, LaJeunesse TC, Baums IB. Intraspecific diversity among partners drives functional variation in coral symbioses. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15667.
- 62. Kenkel CD, Matz MV. Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral adaptation to a variable environment. Nat Ecol Evol. 2016;1:0014.
- Barott KL, Venn AA, Perez SO, Tambutté S, Tresguerres M. Coral host cells acidify symbiotic algal microenvironment to promote photosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112:607–12.
- 64. Silverstein RN, Cunning R, Baker AC. Tenacious D: *Symbiodinium* in clade D remain in reef corals at both high and low temperature extremes despite impairment. J Exp Biol. 2017;220:1192–6.
- 65. LaJeunesse TC, Wham DC, Pettay DT, Parkinson JE, Keshavmurthy S, Chen C. Ecologically differentiated stress tolerant endosymbionts in the dinoflagellate genus *Symbiodinium* Clade D are different species. Phycologia. 2014;53:305–19.
- Davy SK, Allemand D, Weis VM. Cell biology of cnidariandinoflagellate symbiosis. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2012;76:229–61.
- Goulet TL. Most corals may not change their symbionts. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2006;321:1–7.
- Baker AC, Romanski AM. Multiple symbiotic partnerships are common in scleractinian corals, but not in octocorals: comment on Goulet (2006). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007;335:237–42.
- Oliver T, Palumbi S. Many corals host thermally resistant symbionts in high-temperature habitat. Coral Reefs. 2011;30:241–50.
- Núñez-Pons L, Bertocci I, Baghdasarian G. Symbiont dynamics during thermal acclimation using cnidarian-dinoflagellate model holobionts. Mar Environ Res. 2017;130:303–14.
- Poland D, Coffroth M. Trans-generational specificity within a cnidarian–algal symbiosis. Coral Reefs. 2017;36:119–29.
- Thornhill DJ, Lewis AM, Wham DC, LaJeunesse TC. Hostspecialist lineages dominate the adaptive radiation of reef coral endosymbionts. Evolution. 2014;68:352–67.
- Putnam HM, Stat M, Pochon X, Gates RD. Endosymbiotic flexibility associates with environmental sensitivity in scleractinian corals. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol. 2012;279:rspb20121454.
- Loya Y, Sakai K, Yamazato K, Nakano Y, Sambali H, van Woesik R. Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. Ecol Lett. 2001;4:122–31.
- 75. Fabricius KE, Langdon C, Uthicke S, Humphrey C, Noonan S, De'ath G, et al. Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. Nat Clim Change. 2011;1:165.
- van Woesik R, Sakai K, Ganase A, Loya Y. Revisiting the winners and the losers a decade after coral bleaching. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011;434:67–76.