Effects of Catalysts on Dielectric Properties and D. C. Conduction in Poly(ethylene terephthalate)

Hiroyuki SASABE,* Kiwa SAWAMURA,** Shogo SAITO,* and Kentaro YODA***

(Received March 1, 1971)

ABSTRACT: Dielectric relaxations and d.c. conduction in poly(ethylene terephthalate)(PET) were studied from the point of view of how types and concentration of catalysts used in polymerization affect these electrical properties. D.C. conductivity in PET is affected considerably by the use of catalysts; that is, residual catalysts themselves are ionized in the polymer and contribute to d.c. conduction. On the other hand, from the evidence that the dielectric α -relaxation process is scarcely affected by catalysts but that the dielectric β -relaxation process is separated into two modes by the use of catalysts, it is concluded that the molecular structure of PET is scarcely affected by catalysts as a whole, but might be changed in local structures, such as chain ends. The molecular mechanisms of the β -relaxation in PET are attributable to the local oscillations of frozen-in main chains and the hindered rotations of the terminal carboxyl groups.

KEY WORDS Poly(ethylene terephthalate) / Dielectric Relaxation / D.C. Conduction / Catalyst / Ionic Conduction / Local Mode Relaxation /

Studies on electrical properties in organic polymers have been vigorously carried out for the past twenty years, but still left unsolved the problem of current carriers in electrical conduction. The current carriers in many polymers have been supposed to be ions, judging from the negative pressure dependence of d.c. conductivity.¹ Such is also the case with conduction in poly(ethylene terephthalate). The problem that must then be solved is the source of the ionic impurities. As a source of ionic impurities, organic and/or inorganic impurities involved in raw materials, products from thermal decomposition of polymer and residual catalysts can be considered. Effects of these impurities on electrical properties in polymers have been studied in connection with the molecular structure of the polymers. However quantitative treatments on the relationship between species and concentration of catalysts and electrical

properties of polymers have so far rarely been carried out. The main objectives of this paper are focussed on this point.

Effects of catalysts are classified into two types: (1) polymer structures, *e.g.*, terminal groups, molecular weight, and stereoregularity, are changed depending upon species of catalysts used, then electrical properties of the polymer are also changed (indirect effect), and (2) residual catalysts themselves are ionized in the polymer and contribute to d.c. conduction. Thus the electrical properties of the polymer are affected (direct effect). These electrical properties depend on temperature. The expected effects of catalysts on electrical properties are shown in Table I.

In this paper we will investigate dielectric α and β relaxation processes and d.c. conduction in poly(ethylene terephthalate) samples with different catalysts used in polymerization as a function of temperature, and discuss which effect is expected, direct or indirect, in the electrical properties of the polymer.

EXPERIMENTAL

Five samples of poly(ethylene terephthalate)

^{*} Electrotechnical Laboratory, Tanashi, Tokyo, Japan.

^{**} Mitsubishi Paper Mills Ltd., Marunouchi, Tokyo, Japan.

^{***} Katata Research Institute, Toyobo Co. Ltd., Otsu, Shiga, Japan.

Effects of Catalysts on Electrical Properties in PET

Electrical properties	Temperature region	Molecular mechanism	Effects of catalysts (expected)	
Dielectric relavation	$T \! > \! T_{ m g}$	α -relaxation (micro-Brownian motion of amorphous main chains)	Indirect	
Dielectric relaxation	$T\!<\!T_{ m g}$	β -relaxation (local oscillation of frozen- in main chains)	Indirect	
D.C. conduction	$T > T_{ m g}$	Ionic conduction	Direct (+indirect)	
D.C. conduction	$T\!<\!T_{ m g}$	Ionic conduction	Direct	

Table I. Expected effects of catalysts on electrical properties of polymers

Table II. Characteristics of samples

				1		
Sample	Catalyzer	M_n	DEG/EG, mol %	χ, %	g/cm ³ $\stackrel{\rho}{at}$ 21°C	$\overset{T_{\mathrm{g}}}{^{\circ}\mathrm{C}}$,
PET-0	? (commercial grade)	17280	2.4	74.9	1.346	
PET-I	none	13060	3.6	15.5	1.344	65.2
PET-II	Ca(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O 0.12 wt% Sb ₂ O ₃ 0.025 wt% P comp. P; 114 ppm (stabilizer)	19390		33.9	1.344	68.9
PET-III	$Zn(OAc)_22 \cdot H_2O 0.036 \text{ wt}\%$	23420	2.7	50.4	1.343	68.5
PET-IV	?	23040		70.4	1.343	67.5

(PET) were used. Characteristics of these samples are listed in Table II. Here \overline{M}_n is the numberaveraged molecular weight and calculated from the relation,²

$$[\eta] = (3.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4} \bar{M}_n^{0.77 \pm 0.09}$$
(1)

where $[\eta]$ is the intrinsic viscosity and estimated from the viscosity η of the phenol-tetrachloroethane solution at 30°C. Application of eq 1 to the present study requires the identity in the distribution of molecular weights among samples. There would exist some differences in the distribution among samples as polymerized. However, all samples used for measurements of $[\eta]$ as well as electrical properties had been heated up to the melt and then would have the most probable distribution $(M_w/M_n=2; M_w, \text{ the weight-averaged})$ molecular weight) due to the exchange reactions of ester linkages in the melt. Therefore eq 1 would be applicable to the present system. Chain segments of PET generally contain not only ethylene glycol groups(EG) but small amounts of diethylene glycol group(DEG). Mole fraction of DEG in the main chains, DEG/EG, is determined from the gas chromatography method, using poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG 20M) column with temperature programmed from 100

Polymer J., Vol. 2, No. 4, 1971

to 200°C at rate of 4°C/min. Concentration of COOH groups in PET, [COOH], is determined from the titration with NaOH (0.1*N*)—benzyl alcohol mixture. Mole fraction of terminal COOH groups, χ , is calculated from the relation,

$$\chi = \frac{[\text{COOH}]}{[\text{OH}] + [\text{COOH}]} \times 100 = \frac{[\text{COOH}]}{2/\bar{M}_n} \times 10^{-6} \times 100(\%)$$
(2)

 ρ is the density at 21°C, and determined from the density gradient tube of n-heptane-tetrachlorocarbon system. T_{g} is the glass transition temperature at 1 atm and estimated from the break point in $\log \sigma$ vs. temperature plot, where σ is the d.c. conductivity. PET-I was prepared from terephthalic acid and ethylene glycol by direct esterification followed by a polycondensation without catalyst at 240°C under reduced pressure. PET-0 is a commercial grade sample and in sheet form of 0.5-mm thickness. Other samples were made into thin films of 0.2-mm thickness by compression moulding at 255°C $(T_{\rm m}, \text{about } 250^{\circ}\text{C})$, and then quenching in an ice water mixture. These films were nearly amorphous (degree of crystallinity is about 8%). The three terminals method was adopted for electrical measurements, and measurements of d.c. conductivity and dielectric losses at low frequencies of the polymer were thus made possible. Electrodes were prepared by deposition of silver *in vacuo*. Complex dielectric constant $\varepsilon^* (=\varepsilon'$ $i\varepsilon''$) was measured in the range of frequency from 10^{-5} to 10^{6} Hz, and of temperature from -100 to $+100^{\circ}$ C. D.C. conductivity σ was measured in the temperature range above room temperature. σ was calculated from the steady state (leakage) current after absorption current was vanished. Since absorption (charging) current in PET could be superposed on the inverted discharging current in this temperature region, this current would be due to the dielectric α relaxation process in PET. Details of electrical measurements were described in the previous papers.^{1,3}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

D.C. Conduction

Figure 1 shows the relationship between d.c. conductivity σ and temperature T for the five samples. Values of $d(\log \sigma)/dT$ change suddenly in the vicinity of 70°C for all samples. These breaks correspond to the glass transition temperature T_g , as discussed in previous papers.^{1,4} σ in the glassy state $(T < T_g)$ is less than 10^{-17} $ohm^{-1} cm^{-1}$, which is the limit of the accuracy of measurement. On the other hand, σ in the liquid state $(T > T_g)$ increases markedly with increases in T, and the accuracy of measurement is sufficiently high. As seen from Figure 1, σ for PET-I, σ (PET-I), is clearly less than those for the other four samples. This fact suggests that residual catalysts in the polymer can be ionized and become a source of charge carriers. σ (PET-II) is slightly larger than σ (PET-III). This implies that the sample polymerized with a large quantity of catalysts becomes more conductive, though not only the quantity but the degree of ionization of the catalyst should be considered. Figure 2 shows the relationship between $\log \sigma$ and P for PET-I and PET-II. The break in this plot corresponds to the glass transition point. For both samples $d(\log \sigma)/dP$ is negative above and below the glass transition point. Consequently, we can conclude that ionic conduction is dominant in PET-II. Conduction in PET-I should be also ionic, but



Figure 1. Temperature dependence of d.c. conductivity for five samples.



Figure 2. Pressure dependence of d.c. conductivity for PET-I and PET-II. Arrows indicate the glass transition points.

further investigations are needed.

In order to clarify the mechanism of d.c. conduction in the polymer, we studied the effects of crystallization on d.c. conduction. Crystallization of samples PET-I and PET-II were carried out in silicone-oil bath at 110°C for 1.5 hr. Degree of crystallinity X is calculated from density ρ as

$$X = \frac{\rho_{\rm c}}{\rho} \frac{\rho_{\rm a}}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{\rm a}} \times 100(\%)$$
 (3)

where ρ_c and ρ_a are the density of crystalline and amorphous states respectively. Values of ρ_c and ρ_a are calculated from the molecular packing as 1.455 and 1.335 gcm⁻³, respectively.⁵ X for PET-I is equal to 40.5% and X for PET-II to 40.0%. As the crystallization temperature is low, numerous small spherulites are generated in PET samples. As the reproducibility of data on $\varepsilon'' vs$. log f and σ at various temperatures is very good, the crystallization of the samples during measurements is negligible. Figure 3 shows the plots of log σ against 1/T for amor-



Figure 3. Plots of log σ against 1/T for amorphous and crystallized samples.

phous and crystallized samples. Breaks are observed in these plots. As the break on $\log \sigma$ *vs.* temperature plot corresponds to the glass transition, the shift of the break point means variation of $T_{\rm g}$ with crystallization. An interesting fact is that the value of σ at the break point is scarcely changed due to polymer crystallization. This suggests that the concentration of ionic carriers at $T_{\rm g}$ is almost independent of crystallization, because the glass transition can be regarded as a reference point for ionic transportation in polymers. The value of σ at $T_{\rm g}$ for four samples are in the following order

$$\sigma$$
 (PET-I, amorph.) $\simeq \sigma$ (PET-I, cryst.)
 $\ll \sigma$ (PET-II, amorph.) $\simeq \sigma$ (PET-II, cryst.) (4)

This result is considered to be a manifestation of the difference in concentration of ionic carriers. From the comparison of σ for crystallized samples with σ for amorphous one at a given temperature above T_g , it is found that σ decreases remarkably with crystallization. Judging from the fact that σ at T_g is almost independent of crystallization, this result is mainly attributable to reduction in carrier mobility: Ambolski's proposal that conducting ions are partly trapped or bound in the crystalline region would be unacceptable.

Temperature dependence of σ above T_g is expressed by the WLF equation,

$$\log \frac{\sigma(T)}{\sigma(T_{\rm g})} = \frac{C_1(T - T_{\rm g})}{C_2 + (T - T_{\rm g})}$$
(5)

Parameters C_1 and C_2 for amorphous PET-I and PET-II samples were given as

PET-I, amorph.; $C_1 = 24.39$, $C_2 = 167.3$ PET-II, amorph.; $C_1 = 13.33$, $C_2 = 55.3$.

Values of WLF parameters calculated from the temperature dependence of dielectric relaxation time are C_1 =19.61 and C_2 =40.5 for both samples, which is discussed in the next section. In the case of PET-II, C_2 for the σ -T relation is nearly equal to C_2 for the τ -T relation. This fact means that the temperature dependence of σ is governed exclusively by that of the ionic mobility, though σ is the linear function of the carrier (ionic) concentration and the carrier (ionic) mobility. In such a case, the ratio of C_1

Polymer J., Vol. 2, No. 4, 1971

for the σ -T relation to C_1 for the τ -T relation corresponds to the ratio of critical hole size for the ionic transport to that for the segmental motion in the polymer.⁶ In the case of PET-I, on the other hand, C_2 for the $\sigma - T$ relation is definitely different from C_2 for the $\tau - T$ relation. If the ionic mobility μ is separately determined from σ , the μ -T relation is also of the WLF type, and C_2 for the μ -T relation may be equal to that for the $\tau - T$ relation. Hence the temperature dependence of σ in PET-I is determined not only by that of the ionic mobility, but by that of concentration of the free ions. Therefore any information on the hole size for the ionic transport cannot be obtained from the parameter C_1 .

Let us now use the rate process theory for analysis of the σ -T data. From the relationship between $\log \sigma$ and 1/T which somewhat deviates from a linear relation, one can determine the average value of apparent activation energy ΔH^* . Values of ΔH^* for samples are listed in Table III. It was found that ΔH^* for PET-II is larger than ΔH^* for PET-I, regardless whether the samples are amorphous or crystallized. The apparent activation energy ΔH^* is the sum of the activation energies for the ionic transport $(\Delta H^*(\mu))$ and that for the ionization $(\Delta H^*(n))$ of low-molecular-weight molecules introduced from raw materials, thermal decomposition of polymer, residual catalysts, and so on. The difference in $\Delta H^*(n)$ between two samples, PET-I and PET-II, would be so small that the difference in ΔH^* would lead to the conclusion that $\Delta H^*(\mu)$ in PET-II is larger than $\Delta H^*(\mu)$ in PET-I. This implies that the carrier size in PET-I is smaller than that in PET-II.¹

A similar conclusion can be directly obtained from the pressure dependence of σ which is shown in Figure 2: activation volume ΔV^* obtained from $d(\log \sigma)/dP$ for PET-I is definitely smaller than that for PET-II. If the difference in activation volume for ionization between PET-I and PET-II is ignored, the larger ΔV^* corresponds to the larger volume of transporting ions. This evidence supports ionic conduction being the dominant mechanism for electrical conduction in PET-I, but the source of charge carriers in PET-I is not clear as yet.

Dielectric α -Relaxation Process

The dielectric α -relaxation process in PET has

 Table III. Values of activation energy for amorphous and crystallized samples

Sample PET-I, amorph.	ΔH^* , kcal mol ⁻¹			
PET-I, amorph.	74			
PET-I, cryst.	64			
PET-II, amorph.	94			
PET-II, cryst.	77			



Figure 4. Dielectric absorption curves at various fixed temperatures for PET-0 (α -relaxation process).

Effects of Catalysts on Electrical Properties in PET



Figure 5. Dielectric absorption curves at various fixed temperatures for PET-I (α -relaxation process).



Figure 6. Temperature dependence of average dielectric relaxation time for five samples.

been closely studied and is interpreted as being due to the micro-Brownian motion of amorphous main chains. Average relaxation time $\bar{\tau}$ is determined from $f_{\rm max}$ as $\bar{\tau}=1/2\pi f_{\rm max}'$ where $f_{\rm max}$ is the frequency at which the dielectric

Polymer J., Vol. 2, No. 4, 1971

absorption curve ($\varepsilon'' vs. \log f$ plots) becomes a maximum. Figures 4 and 5 show $\varepsilon'' vs. \log f$ plots at various fixed temperatures for PET-0 and PET-I, respectively. Temperature of $\overline{\tau}$ for five samples are shown in Figure 6. There are little differences among the curves for PET-0, -II, -III, and -IV; only the curve for PET-I is different from other curves. However all curves are of WLF type, and parameters C_1 and C_2 are independent of the samples, as listed in Table IV. T_g of PET-I is smaller than that of other samples by about three degrees. This corresponds to the fact that the molecular weight of PET-I is the smallest of the five samples.

Figure 7 shows the temperature dependence of dielectric increment for the α -relaxation $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ $(=\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{\infty})$. $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ was calculated from the dielectric absorption curve graphically, using the relation,

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \frac{4.6}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varepsilon'' \mathrm{d} \, (\log f) \tag{6}$$

There is no difference in the five samples within the limit of experimental error. Hence it is reasonable to consider that the catalyst scarcely affects the molecular structure of PET. This is more conspicuous if one compares the shape of the α -absorption curves. Figure 8 shows the reduced loss $(\varepsilon''/\varepsilon''_{max})$ curves as a function of $\log(f/f_{max})$ for five samples. In the distribution of τ no differences are observed among the samples. Distribution function of τ , $F(\ln \tau)$,

H. SASABE, K. SAWAMURA, S. SAITO, and K. YODA

Sample	C_1	C_2	$T_{ m g}$, °C	$ au(T_{ m g})$, sec	$T_{\rm g}$ a, °C
PET-I	19.61	40.5	67.0	3.2×10 ³	65
PET-0, -II, -III, -IV	19.61	40.5	69.5	3.2×10^{3}	68

Table IV. WLF parameters for samples

* Determined from DSC measurement.



Figure 7. Temperature dependence of dielectric increment for α -relaxation process.



Figure 8. Reduced loss curves as a function of reduced frequency for five samples.

can be determined from the reduced curve of $\varepsilon''/\varepsilon''_{\max}$ vs. log (f/f_{\max}) , applying the Cole— Cole theory. As seen from Figure 8, $F(\ln \tau)$ is slightly asymmetric with respect to the average time $\overline{\tau}$, and given as

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin 0.7\pi}{\cosh 0.7s + \cos 0.7\pi}; \quad \tau > \bar{\tau}$$
(7a)

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin 0.55\pi}{\cosh 0.55s + \cos 0.55\pi}; \quad \tau < \bar{\tau}$$
(7b)

where $s = \ln \tau/\bar{\tau}$. This distribution of τ is slightly narrower than the distribution obtained by Yamafuji and Ishida for PET.⁷ This is because the reduced curve in Figure 8 is obtained from the lower frequency data so that it is not affected by the superposition of the β -absorption in the higher frequency side.

Consequently, from the data of the α -absorption, we can conclude that catalysts scarcely affect the molecular structure of PET as a whole.

Dielectric β -Relaxation Process

 β -relaxation process in PET is located in the vicinity of -50° C and 1 Hz in the mechanical

or dielectric absorption curves. The molecular mechanism of this process has been interpreted as being due to the local oscillations of frozenin main chains. A detailed mechanical study by Illers and Breuer,⁸ however, revealed that the β -relaxation peak in PET is not a single peak but a double one. This behavior was observed as an asymmetry of the loss curve, G''*vs. T*, and was better indicated by the plot of $(\partial G'/\partial \log f)_T$ against T, where G' and G'' are the real and imaginary parts of the modulus, respectively. We have also observed double peaks in the dielectric absorption curves, $\varepsilon'' vs$. $\log f$ or $\varepsilon'' vs$. T, for the β -relaxation process. Double peaks are better resolved in the frequency dependence of ε'' at fixed temperatures than in the temperature dependence of ε'' at fixed frequencies. Figure 9 shows an example of $\varepsilon'' vs$.



Figure 9. Dielectric absorption curves at various fixed temperatures for PET-II (β -relaxation process).



Figure 10. The frequency—temperature location of the maximum of the β -relaxation in PET-II.



Figure 11. Dielectric absorption curves at various fixed temperatures for PET-I (β -relaxation process).



Figure 12. Reduced loss curves as a function of reduced frequency for various samples at -30° C.

 $\log f$ plots for PET-III. At room temperature the absorption curve has a single peak. However with decreases in temperature, a shoulder appeared in the high frequency side of the absorption curve. This shoulder grows gradually to become a double peak with decreases in temperature. The high-frequency-side peak, however, disappears in the very low temperature range, because the relaxation strength for the corresponding molecular motion would decrease definitely with decreases in temperature in this range. Figure 10 shows the frequency-temperature location of the maximum of the dielectric β -relaxation in PET-II. Double peak can be commonly in the PET-0, -II, -III, -IV, -C, and -D samples. Here PET-C is a crystalline sample of PET-0 crystallized at 112°C for 30 hr and PET-D is a drawn sample of PET-0 stretched at 65°C to 500-% elongation. Therefore the β process in PET would be attributed to two (or more) kinds of molecular motions.

Figure 11 shows the β -absorption curves for PET-I. A conspicuous difference between the absorption curve for PET-I and that for other samples is that PET-I shows single peaks only over the whole temperature and frequency ranges studied. The reason why a resolution of peak is not observed in PET-I will be discussed later. Figure 12 shows reduced absorption curves, $\tan \delta(\omega)/\tan \delta(\omega_m)$ vs. $\log (\omega/\omega_m)$, for various samples at -30° C. Here ω is an angular frequency $(=2\pi f)$ and $\tan \delta$ is a loss factor $(=\varepsilon'')$ ε'). As seen from this figure, there are little differences among distributions of relaxation times for the β process in PET-I, -II, -III, and Therefore β -relaxation process in PET-I -IV. would be also attributable to two molecular motions. The one relaxation process located in the lower-frequency side of the β process is designated here as the β_1 -relaxation process, and the other as the β_2 -relaxation process. Figure 12 indicates that the distribution in PET-C is rather narrow but that in PET-D is very broad.

The following three models may be considered for the molecular mechanism of the β -relaxation: (1) local oscillation of COO groups involved in the frozen-in main chains (local mode relaxation), (2) local motion of DEG groups in the main chains, and (3) rotational motion of terminal COOH groups. Illers and Breuer have proposed that the model (1) is composed of two modes; one is due to trans isomers (corresponding to the β_1 -relaxation) and the other is due to gauche isomers (corresponding to the β_2 -relaxation). According to the recent work done mechanically by Kawai, et al.,⁹ the secondary relaxation processes were separated into three kinds of submechanism; Mode I and Mode II are related not only to the hindrance potentials in the neighborhood of the parallelized and unparallelized phenyl groups (as postulated by Illers and Breuer) but also to the hindered rotations of methylene sequences, and Mode III is related to the localized hindered rotations of methylene sequences. The last mode, however, appeared in far lower-temperature regions than the β relaxation process, and hence this is omitted here. Mode I and Mode II correspond to the β_1 - and the β_2 -relaxations, respectively. From these interpretations, however, the following facts obtained in the present study are inexplicable; a resolution of the β -relaxation peak is not observed in PET-I and there is a large difference between the activation energy for the β_1 relaxation ($\simeq 17$ kcal mol⁻¹; calculated from Figure 10) and that for the β_2 -relaxation ($\simeq 11$ kcal mol^{-1}), and the distribution of the relaxation times for the PET-D sample is the broadest despite the fact that PET-D is considered to be richest in trans configuration by elongation. The model (2) is disqualified because double peaks are not clearly observed in PET-I, which contains more DEG groups than PET-IV (Table II).

According to Yamafuji and Ishida,⁷ the dielectric increment due to model (1), $\Delta \varepsilon_1$, is given as

$$\Delta \varepsilon_1 = \frac{4\pi}{2} (E_{\rm r}/E) (N_1 \mu_1^2 / G' a N_1^{-2/3})$$
 (8)

where E_r/E is the ratio of Onsager's internal field to the applied field, N_1 is the number of dipoles per unit volume, μ_1 is the effective dipole moment of COO group, *a* is the effective radius of the motional unit, and *G'* is the

dynamic shear modulus. These parameters are evaluated as $N_1 = 2 \times 4.09 \times 10^{21}$ ($\rho = 1.31 \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ at 0°C), $\mu_1 = 1.6 \text{ D}$, $E_r/E = 3.23$ ($\varepsilon_0 = 3.7 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\infty} =$ 3.3), $a=4\times10^{-8}$ cm, $G'=9\times10^{9}$ dyne cm⁻² (at 1 Hz and 0° C), if we choose the motional unit as the half of the monomer unit. Then $\Delta \varepsilon_1$ is estimated as 0.28. As G' decreases with increases in temperature, $\Delta \varepsilon_1$ increases with temperature. Figure 13 shows the temperature dependence of $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ for the β -relaxation process in various samples. $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ is estimated graphically as well as $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$. $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ for PET-0 is considerably smaller than $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ for other samples. The reason for this is unknown, but it might be that only PET-0 is an as-received sample (commercial grade) and may be rolled. $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ is slightly larger than $\Delta \varepsilon_1$, but increases with temperature as well as $\Delta \varepsilon_1$.

Rotational motion of terminal COOH groups in the glassy state should be treated as a hindered rotation in a potential field formed by surrounding molecules, and the 'site' model is a good expression for this molecular motion. According to Ishida and Yamafuji,¹⁰ contribution of the dipole orientations caused by the transition between two sites $(1 \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{ or } 3 \rightleftharpoons 4$; see Figure 14) to the dielectric increment, $\Delta \varepsilon_3$, due to model (3) are given by the equation,

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{3} = \frac{4\pi N_{3} \mu_{3}^{2}}{3kT} \left(\frac{3\varepsilon_{0}}{2\varepsilon_{0} + \varepsilon_{\infty}} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\infty} + 2}{3} \right)^{2} 4W_{0} \left[\frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} + \frac{\rho\gamma}{1 + \rho\gamma} \right] \sin^{2} \Theta_{0}$$
(9)



Figure 13. Temperature dependence of dielectric increment for the β -relaxation process in various samples.

Polymer J., Vol. 2, No. 4, 1971



Figure 14. Schematic diagram for the transition probabilities among the sites (after Ishida and Yamafuji¹⁰).

Sample	N_3 (calcd)	$\varepsilon_{\max}^{\prime\prime}$ (obsd)	ε_0 (obsd)	ε_{∞} (obsd)	$\Delta \varepsilon_{3}'$ (calcd)
PET-0	6.81×10 ¹⁹	0.077	3.60	3.27	0.13
PET-I	$1.87 imes 10^{19}$	0.066	3.70	3.33	0.04
PET-II	2.75×10^{19}	0.052	3.66	3.30	0.05
PET-III	5.21×1019	0.060	3.61	3.24	0.10
PET-IU	3.38×10^{19}	0.050	3.69	3.26	0.07

Table V. Values of N_3 , $\varepsilon_{\max}^{\prime\prime}$, ε_0 , ε_{∞} , and $\Delta \varepsilon_3^{\prime}$ at 0° C

where N_3 is the number of the terminal carboxyl groups per unit volume, μ_3 is the effective dipole in the transition between two sites $(1 \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{ or } 3 \rightleftharpoons 4)$ and γ , δ , and $\rho\gamma$ are the quantities whose values are between 0 and 1. In the low-temperature regions below $T_{\rm g}$, γ , δ , and $\rho\gamma$ are respectively smaller than unity, but they should approach unity near T_g . Thus $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ increases with temperature, because the temperature dependence of $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ is strongly governed by that of γ and $\rho \gamma$. If γ , δ , and $\rho\gamma$ reach unity, free rotation of the dipole may be possible. Hence the dielectric increment $\Delta \varepsilon_{3}'$ for the free rotation of terminal COOH groups would give the upper limit of $\Delta \varepsilon_3$. Therefore, we adopt the free rotation model instead of model (3) for the order estimation of $\Delta \varepsilon_3$. $\Delta \varepsilon_3'$ is given by the Debye equation,

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{3}' = \frac{4\pi}{27kT} (\varepsilon_{0} + 2)(\varepsilon_{\infty} + 2)N_{3}\mu_{3}^{2} \qquad (10)$$

Values of ε_0 and ε_∞ for the samples were respectively estimated from the Cole—Cole plot (Table V). Assuming $\mu_3 = 2.32 \text{ D}$, values of $\Delta \varepsilon_3'$ for various samples are calculated as shown in Table V. They increase slightly with an increase in N_3 , but are 0.13 at most. $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ is about one-



Figure 15. Relationship between number of terminal carboxyl groups per unit volume and observed values of dielectric increment for the β -relaxation process at 0°C.

third of $\Delta \varepsilon_1$, and hence $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ would be considerably smaller than $\Delta \varepsilon_1$. Total value of $\Delta \varepsilon_1$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ is about 0.4 at the most and close to the value of $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$. In PET-I, the contribution of $\Delta \varepsilon_3$ to $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ is so small that the resolution of the β -peak would not be observed. Figure 15 indicates the relationship between $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ and N_3 at 0°C. $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$ increases slightly with an increase in N_3 .

Resolution of double peaks in various samples is remarkable with an increase in the number of terminal carboxyl groups per unit volume. Activation energies for the β_1 and β_2 processes, ΔH_1^* and ΔH_2^* , are 17 and 11 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

Consequently, these facts lead us to the conclusion that the β_1 -relaxation process is attributed to the local mode relaxation (model (1)) and the β_2 -relaxation process to the rotational motion of terminal carboxyl groups. Therefore, effects of catalysts are scarcely recognized in the molecular structure of PET as a whole, but recognized in the local structure such as chain ends.

CONCLUSION

Among the samples used, only the noncatalyzed sample exhibited peculiar behavior electrically, *i.e.*, the d.c. conductivity of this sample was quite small and resolution of the dielectric β -relaxation peak could not be observed. Effects of catalysts on d.c. conduction and on dielectric properties are different; the former is a direct effect and the latter is an indirect one. Consequently, we can conclude that residual catalysts are ionized in the polymer and contribute to an increase in d.c. conductivity. Catalysts scarcely affect the molecular structure of PET as a whole, but would slightly alter local structures such as chain ends. We believe such investigations could contribute to the basis of material designs for polymers in electrical or electronic engineering.

REFERENCES

- S. Saito, H. Sasabe, T. Nakajima, and K. Yada, J. Polym. Sci, Part A-2, 6, 1297 (1968).
- 2. I. M. Ward, Trans. Faraday Soc., 53, 1406 (1957).
- S. Saito, "Researches of the Electrotechnical Laboratory", Electrotechnical Laboratory Ed., Sanpo-sha, Tokyo, 1964 No. 648.
- S. Saito, Rep. Prog. Polym. Phys. Japan, 12, 407 (1969).
- R. De P. Daubeny, C. W. Bunn, and C. J. Brown, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 226, 531 (1954).
- S. Saito and H. Sasabe, Rep. Prog. Polym. Phys. Japan, 12, 405 (1969); H. Sasabe and S. Saito, to be submitted to Polymer. J.
- Yamafuji and Y. Ishida, Kolloid Z. Z. Polym. 183, 15 (1962).
- K. H. Illers and H. Breuer, J. Colloid Sci., 18, 1 (1963).
- K. Tajiri, Y. Fujii, M. Aida and H. Kawai, J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys. B4, 1 (1970).
- Y. Ishida and K. Yamafuji, Kolloid Z. Z. Polym., 177, 97 (1961).