

is better crystallized and has larger grains, there will be more scattering from a sample of the α form than from a sample of the β variety. We have found that any such differences in sharpness disappear if specimens of α -hemihydrate are well ground during preparation of the mulls and disks.

Our studies have shown that α and β -hemihydrate have the same type of crystal lattice. The α -hemihydrate is produced in a more moist atmosphere (in saturated steam conditions) than the β form, which is usually obtained by thermal dehydration of the dihydrate under dry circumstances^{9,14}. Moist conditions favour agglomeration of the particles and this suggests that β -hemihydrate is more finely divided than α -hemihydrate, hence its greater reactivity. The greater fineness of the β form would account for the differences observed in the DTA data¹¹⁻¹³. The conclusion that the differences in behaviour of α and β -hemihydrate are essentially manifestations of particle size, are in agreement with the results of Kruijs and Späth¹⁵, who ascribed the differences between the two forms of hemihydrate to a difference in the respective surface areas.

JOHN BENSTED
SATYA PRAKASH VARMA *

Associated Portland Cement Manufacturers Ltd,
Central Research Laboratories,
London Road,
Greenhithe, Kent, DA9 9JQ

Received August 6, 1971.

* Same author as Satya Prakash².

- ¹ Wiegel, E., and Kirchner, H. H., *Ber. Deut. Keram. Ges.*, **43**, 718 (1966).
- ² Bensted, J., and Prakash, S., *Nature*, **219**, 60 (1968).
- ³ Bartram, D. A., *Nature*, **223**, 494 (1969).
- ⁴ Miyazaki, H., *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi*, **69**, 1026 (1966).
- ⁵ Miyazaki, H., *Shinku Kagaku*, **15**, 113 (1968).
- ⁶ Estep, P. A., Kovach, J. J., and Karr, jun., C., *Anal. Chem.*, **40**, 358 (1968).
- ⁷ Vasquez Moreno, T., *Monografias del Instituto Eduardo Torroja de la Construcción y del Cemento (Madrid)*, No. 279, 40 (1969).
- ⁸ Moenke, H., *Mineralspektren*, Tables 4.44, 4.45 (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962).
- ⁹ Kelley, K. K., Southard, J. C., and Anderson, C. T., *US Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper*, 625 (1941).
- ¹⁰ Wirsching, F., *Zement-Kalk-Gips*, **19**, 487 (1966).
- ¹¹ Pièce, R., *Schweiz. Mineral. Petrog. Mitt.*, **41**, 303 (1961).
- ¹² Holdridge, D. A., *Trans. Brit. Ceram. Soc.*, **64**, 211 (1965).
- ¹³ Murat, M., and Barriac, P., *Rev. Matér. Constr. Trav. Publ.*, **631**, 160 (1969).
- ¹⁴ Schwiete, H. E., and Knauf, A. N., *Gips-Alte und neue Erkenntnisse in der Herstellung und Anwendung der Gipse*, 34 (Merziger Druckerei und Verlags-GmbH, Merzig, 1969).
- ¹⁵ Kruijs, A., and Späth, H., *Tonind.—Ztg. Keram. Rundsch.*, **75**, 341, 395 (1951).

3.6×10^{-3} (ref. 2) and not 7.14×10^{-3} as assumed by us. On correcting for this factor, the apparent range R_{ap} of an average fission fragment in glass is found to be $\approx 15 \mu\text{m}$ rather than $7.6 \mu\text{m}$; this in turn practically halves all the uranium values reported by us.

The revised value of the mean uranium content of the thirteen Ivory Coast microtektites examined by us is 0.22 p.p.m. by weight, with a range of 0.19 to 0.26 p.p.m. between individual samples. This is in good accord with the average value of 0.23 p.p.m. (and a range of 0.12 to 0.48 p.p.m.) calculated from the results of Gentner *et al.*³. The value of the uranium content of the single Ivory Coast tektite studied by us is now changed to 0.7 p.p.m., which is to be compared with the value reported by Rybach and Adams⁴ of 1.10 p.p.m. The latter is the mean of twenty samples, but the range of individual values is not given in ref. 4. It is known⁵, however, that the uranium content in tektites in a given strewn field often varies by as much as a factor of two between samples, so that our revised value is not unreasonable.

A more precise determination of R_{ap} is continuing in our laboratory. The value of R_{ap} is inversely proportional, in the case of a material with a known amount of uranium in it, to the magnitude of the prolonged etching factor $f(t)$ used in equation (2) of ref. 1. We have previously used 39-43 (or, nominally ≈ 40) volumes % hydrofluoric acid at 21° C as the etchant. For more precise work we are now using 40.0 and 48.0 volumes % HF at 21° C, 17° C and 13° C. The values of $f(t)$ in several materials of interest, and the average range of fission fragments in them, will be published shortly. These determinations will, it is hoped, give uranium content values with greater precision than has been possible up to now with the fission track method.

S. A. DURRANI
H. A. KHAN

Department of Physics,
University of Birmingham,
Birmingham B15 2TT

Received August 3, 1971.

- ¹ Durrani, S. A., and Khan, H. A., *Nature*, **232**, 320 (1971).
- ² Schreurs, J. W. H., Friedman, A. M., Rokop, D. J., Hair, M. W., and Walker, R. M., *Radiation Effects*, **7**, 231 (1971).
- ³ Gentner, W., Glass, B. P., Storzer, D., and Wagner, G. A., *Science*, **168**, 359 (1970).
- ⁴ Rybach, L., and Adams, J. A. S., *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **33**, 1101 (1969).
- ⁵ Morgan, J. W., *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **7**, 53 (1969).

Editorial and Publishing Offices of NATURE

MACMILLAN JOURNALS LIMITED
4 LITTLE ESSEX STREET, LONDON WC2R 3LF
Telephone Number: 01-836 6633. Telegrams: Phusis London WC2R 3LF

711 NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20004
Telephone Number: 202-737 2355

Subscription Department
MACMILLAN JOURNALS LIMITED
BRUNEL ROAD, BASINGSTOKE, HANTS
Telephone Number: Basingstoke 5431

American display advertisements
NATURE SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS INC
711 NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20004

All other advertisements
T. G. SCOTT & SON, LIMITED
1 CLEMENT'S INN, LONDON WC2A 2ED
Telephone 01-242 6264/01-405 4743
Telegrams: Textualist London WC2A 2ED

Registered as a newspaper at the Post Office

Copyright © Macmillan Journals Limited, August 23 1971

Ivory Coast Microtektites: Corrected Values of Uranium Content

THIS note is meant to correct the values of uranium content in Ivory Coast microtektites as published in our recent article in *Nature*¹. The correction leaves unchanged all the values of age derived by us, as well as the inferences drawn in the article as to a possible correlation between tektite falls, geomagnetic reversals and faunal changes. The values of uranium content and the apparent range of fission fragments in glass as reported by us may, however, mislead other workers in the field. The error came to our notice just before the publication of our article.

During our measurements we failed to appreciate the fact that the reference glass, U-2, used by us for standardizing the uranium values, contained depleted uranium and not natural uranium. The isotopic abundance of ²³⁵U in it, thus, was